

Lie 9/11/17

Recall: Borel-Weil Thm.

L_λ : line bundle on G/B

[note: there might be some issue w/ notation in previous lecture]

$L_\lambda = G \times_B \mathbb{C}_\lambda$. sections of L_λ = functions $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\lambda$,
st. $f(gb) = b^{-1}f(g)$.

Thm ① If $\lambda \in P_+$,

then $H^0(G/B, L_{-\lambda}) = L(-w_0(\lambda)) =$ in. fd. w/ lowest wt. $-\lambda$

② Otherwise, $H^0(G/B, L_{-\lambda}) = 0$

Sketch of pf: $G/B = X_{w_0} \cup D_i$, construct section on X_{w_0} ,
open cell = $B^* \cdot B \cong N$. check that it extends to whole of some P' that is $\cap D_i$.

Today: alternative pf.

Let $K =$ cpt form of G : $[K \subset G, \text{Lie}(K) = \text{Lie}(K) \otimes \mathbb{C}]$:

(Eg - $G = \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$, $K = \text{SU}(n)$).

Thm: Such a cpt form always exists.

③ $\mathfrak{k} = \text{Lie}(K)$ is generated by

(for ss. G) $i\mathfrak{h}_\alpha, e_\alpha - f_\alpha, i(e_\alpha + f_\alpha)$. for suitable choice of root basis e_α, f_α in \mathfrak{g} .

(to construct, first need to find $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, st. $K|_{\mathfrak{k}}$ is ss-re defn. then somehow show it integrates to closed $\subset G$.)

Thus, we have $G \supset T \subset \mathbb{C}$
 $U \supset U$
 $K \supset T_{\mathfrak{k}} \subset \mathbb{R}$

Note: ~~the~~ Borel has no counterpart in K : there are no "upper triangles" in K , all $g \in K$ are diagonalizable.

Thm. $G/B \cong K/T_K$. (isom of \mathbb{C}^∞ -fld, also preserves action of K).

Example $G = SL_n$. $G/B = \{\text{flags in } \mathbb{C}^n\}$

$K/T_K = SU(n) / \text{diag. unitary matrices}$ } Gram-Schmidt

$= \{\text{ordered orthonormal bases in } \mathbb{C}^n\}$

$v_i \mapsto v_i \cdot e^{i\theta_i}$

$\theta_i \in \mathbb{R}$

"phase change"

$= \left\{ \text{splitting } \mathbb{C}^n = W_1 \oplus \dots \oplus W_n \right\}$
 $\dim W_i = 1$

In general, use decomp.

$$G = KAN \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \uparrow \exp(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}) \\ \uparrow \langle \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} \rangle \end{array} \right)$$

(in $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$, A is $\text{diag}(+ve, +ve, \dots, +ve)$)

$K = SU(n) = \text{"rotation"}$

$A = \text{rescaling}$

$N = \text{linear combinations / column reduction}$

" \mathfrak{g} is the Gram-Schmidt process"

$$G/B = G/T_N$$

$$= KAN/T_N = KAN/T_K AN$$

but $T = T_K \cdot A$, $= K/T_K$. □

Cor: G/B is opt.

Claim: The line bundle $L_\lambda = K \times_{T_\lambda} \mathbb{C}_\lambda$

sections of $L_\lambda = \text{functions } f: K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_\lambda$
 $f(kt) = t^\lambda f(k)$.

$$C^\infty(G/B, L_\lambda) = C^\infty(K/T_K, L_\lambda).$$

We can easily describe $C^\infty(K/T_K, L_\lambda)$.

Peter-Weyl thm: $C^\infty(K) = \widehat{\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}_+} L(\lambda) \otimes L(\lambda)^*}$ as K -bimodules.

$$(g \mapsto \langle g \cdot v, \eta \rangle) \longleftrightarrow v \otimes \eta \quad (\text{more precisely, map}$$

[note: K cpt is ~~g~~ important.

→ in Lie, C^∞ would, cpt is good
in alg. gp would, ss. is good.]

$$C^\infty(K) \leftarrow \widehat{\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{P}_+} L(\lambda) \otimes L(\lambda)^*}$$

has dense image in $C^\infty(K)$.
so take completion on the right
by appropriate norm.
(works for any $L^\infty(K)$)

$$C^\infty(K/T_K, L_\lambda) = \{ f: K \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f(kt) = \lambda(t)^{-1} \cdot f(k) \}.$$

$$= \left(\widehat{\bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathfrak{P}_+} L(\mu)^* \otimes L(\mu)} \right) \Big|_{\text{weight } -\lambda \text{ in second factor.}}$$

[Check signs] →

$$= \widehat{\bigoplus_{\mu} L(\mu)^* \otimes L(\mu)}_{-\lambda}$$

□

But B-W thm is abt holomorphic sections, K is not even complex ...

So how to detect whether f is "hol. on K/T_K "?

Lemma $H^0(G/B, L_\lambda) = \text{hd. sections of } L_\lambda$

$$= \{ f \in C^\infty(K/T_K, L_\lambda) \mid n_+ f = 0 \}.$$

$$= \{ f \in C^\infty(K/T_K, L_\lambda) \mid \left. \begin{array}{l} f(kt) = \lambda^{-1}(t) \cdot f(k), \\ n_+ f = 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

when for $z \in \mathfrak{g}$, $z = a + bi$,
 $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

let $z \cdot f = a \cdot f + i b f$
(from right action of K on itself)

eg. for $S_{\mathbb{R}}$:

$$e_{\alpha} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}(e_{\alpha} - f_{\alpha})}_a - \underbrace{\frac{i}{2}(e_{\alpha} + f_{\alpha})}_b$$

essentially is Cauchy-Riemann.
eg.

Feb

Thus: $H^0(G/B, L_{\lambda}) = \left(\hat{\oplus} L(\mu)^* \otimes L(\mu)_{-\lambda} \right)^{n_{+}}$

$$= \hat{\oplus} L(\mu)^* \otimes L(\mu)_{-\lambda}^{n_{+}}$$

so $H^0(G/B, L_{\lambda}) = \begin{cases} L(-\lambda)^* & \lambda \in P_{+} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

$= \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} \cdot v_{-\lambda} & \text{when } \mu = -\lambda \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Next time: $H^1 \dots = ?$