

Lie. 2/11/17.

Recall: G - as alg gp. Lie of \mathfrak{g} .

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$$

$\mathfrak{h} = \text{Lie}(T)$, $T \subset G$ max torus

Borel.
 $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+ = \text{Lie}(B)$.

$\mathfrak{n}_+ = \text{Lie}(N)$, $N \cong \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{R}+}$

$$X = G/B = \bigsqcup_w \underbrace{BwB/B}_{X_w}$$

Each $X_w \cong \mathbb{C}^{\ell(w)}$

In particular, $X_{w_0} \cong Bw_0B/B = \underbrace{Bw_0B/B}_B = w_0 N/B \cong N/B$ (alg var.)

Today: Borel-Weil thm. (note: not Weyl.)

constructing reps of G geometrically.

G acts on $X = G/B$, so acts on fns on X , but its projections, so not interesting.

try to see sections of ~~line~~ ^{vector} bundle. $\begin{matrix} L \\ \downarrow \\ X \end{matrix}$

- not enough data to define $G \curvearrowright I(X, L)$.

- fibres are not canonically identified.

- could say g^*L , but it's not quite the same...

Def: A G -equivariant vector bundle is a vector bundle $\begin{matrix} L \\ \downarrow \\ X \end{matrix}$

together w/ an action of G on the total space $*$

which commutes w/ projection.

In particular, every $g \in G$ gives map $g_*: L_x \xrightarrow{\sim} L_{gx}$.

(eg. G -equiv. vec. bundle over pt is just a rep of G).

Lemma: If G acts on X , and L is G -equiv $\begin{matrix} L \\ \downarrow \\ X \end{matrix}$, then $I(\mathbb{Z}X, L)$ is rep.

Thm: $(G\text{-equiv line bundles} / X) \longleftrightarrow \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{one-dim reps} \\ \text{of } B \end{array} \right) \longleftrightarrow \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{characters} \\ \lambda \in P \end{array} \right)$.

write L_λ for the l.b. corresp. to λ .

Pf: (\longrightarrow)
 L equiv l.b., so look at V -fibre over $x_0 = 1 \cdot B \in G/B$.

so $\text{Stab}(x_0) = B$ must act on V .

(\longleftarrow) gives $V \supset B$,

$$L = G \times_B V = \frac{(g,v)}{(g,b,v) \sim (g,b,v)} \quad \text{"induction of reps"}$$

Eg. $G = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$,

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$G/B \cong \mathbb{P}^1$$

SL_2 -equiv on $\mathbb{P}^1 \longleftrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.

$$(\mathbb{Z}) \longleftrightarrow n.$$

(can be constructed as homogeneous poly $[x,y]$ by its sections \rightarrow automatically has $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ action).

Thm (Borel-Weil) Let $\lambda \in P$, then

$$I(G/B, L_\lambda) = \begin{cases} L(\lambda), & \lambda \in P_+ \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Pf: Let $V = I(G/B, L_\lambda)$, v is fixed by general result of Atk.

Strategy: find highest weight vector: killed by n_+ , is fixed by N .

Consider space $v \in V^{n_+} = V^N = \{v \in V \mid gv = v \ \forall g \in N\}$.

$B \supset N$ has to act by 1 (since $N = [B, B]$).
 $\therefore B/C$ is determined by action of T/C .

{ More generally,
 $(G \supset H, \text{ rep } \rho \text{ of } H)$
 \downarrow
 equiv bundle on G/H .

for $X = G/B$, have open cell $X_{w_0} = Bw_0B/B = Nw_0B/B \cong N$, which N acts freely, transitively on.

have retraction $\Gamma(X, L_\lambda) \hookrightarrow \Gamma(X_{w_0}, L_\lambda) =: \tilde{V}$

We claim that \tilde{V}^N is 1-dim w/ weight λ .

clear: N acts freely, transitively on X_{w_0} , so section is determined by value at a pt. : $\Gamma(X_{w_0}, L_\lambda)^N \cong$ fiber of L_λ at x_0 .

choose $x_0 = w_0B \dots$

T acts on fiber by $\rho(\lambda) \dots$?

[will clarify next time]

note: id. B is the smallest all.

Thus, there are ~~2~~ possible two possibilities

① $V^N \cong \mathbb{C}_\lambda$ is 1-dim, so $V \cong L(\lambda)$.

② $V^N = 0$, so $V \cong 0$.

Lemma: ① happens iff $\lambda \in P_+$.

if $\lambda \in P_+$, then $B_\mathbb{Z} L(\lambda)$ is ∞ -dim, so $L(\lambda) \neq V$.

converse, note $X - X_{w_0} = \cup D_i$, D_i closed irred, codim = 1.

A section s of line bundle L on X_{w_0} extends to x_0

$\Leftrightarrow s$ has no poles on D_i .

if have $P_i \subset X$, $\dim P_i = 1$, st. $[P_i] \cdot [D_i] > 0$.

then s has no poles on $D_i \Leftrightarrow s$ is regular on P_i .

$\bigcup_{P_i} V_{P_i}$
 $\bigcup_{P_i} V_{\text{reg } P_i}$

just one P_i is enough, since a zero for an X would have poles along codim 1 thing. So it doesn't have pole even at some pt in D_i , then it doesn't have pole along D_i .

For G/B , $D_i = B_{\text{inv}} \cdot B/B$, $P_i = P_i/B = P^i$

(for $\text{SL}(2)$, P_i

$$L_{\mathbb{R}} L_{\lambda}|_{P_i} = \mathcal{O}(\langle \lambda, h_i \rangle)$$

so by hypothesis,
and since ϵ is nonzero
outside D_i , it must have
zero of that order.



$$\text{Lie}(P_i) = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-\epsilon}$$