

Lie 24/01/17

Recall BGG-resolution

$\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, L(\lambda)$ - f.d.

$$\rightarrow \bigoplus_{l(w)=k} M(w, \lambda) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow M(\lambda) \rightarrow L(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$$

Have shown $\dots \rightarrow D_1 \rightarrow D_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. 'standard resolution', used to compute

$$D_i = U_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes_{U_{\mathfrak{h}}} \Lambda^i(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}) \underset{\text{v.s.}}{\cong} U_{\mathfrak{n}_-} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda^i \mathfrak{n}_-$$

column of Lie alg. of \mathfrak{n}_- .

Claim 1: D_i has composition series w/ factors $M(\lambda_i)$, λ_i weights of $\Lambda^i(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})$.

Pf: in words, \mathfrak{h} is reductive, so its irreps are 1-dim, so has comp. series, factors are 1-dim. the factors give $M(\lambda_i)$.

Now project $D_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ onto \mathbb{C} .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \rightarrow & (D_1)^\circ & \rightarrow & (D_0)^\circ & \rightarrow & \mathbb{C} & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & \parallel & & \parallel & & & & \\ \dots & C_1 & & C_0 & & & & \end{array}$$

$C_i := (D_i)^\circ$ is the proj of D_i onto \mathbb{C} .
(i.e. the \mathbb{C} component)
(projection is exact)

why? D_i 's have a lot of Verma ~~modules~~ modules which are irrelevant

Now each C_i will have comp. series, where factors are $M(\lambda)$, λ weight of $\Lambda^i \mathfrak{n}_-$, $\lambda \in W \cdot 0$.

problem has reduced to "combinatorics": counted weights in $\Lambda^i \mathfrak{n}_-$.

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{weights of } \Lambda^i \mathfrak{n}_- \\ \parallel \\ \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} \alpha \end{array} \right\}$	$w \cdot 0 = - \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in R_+ \\ w \cdot \alpha \in R_-}} \alpha$	$\left(\begin{array}{l} = \frac{1}{2} w(\sum \alpha) - \frac{1}{2} (\sum \alpha) \\ = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{w \cdot \alpha \in R_-} \alpha - \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} \alpha \right) \end{array} \right)$
$\left\{ - \sum_{S \subseteq R_+} \alpha \mid S =i \right\}$		

eg $\alpha = \alpha_1$

eg. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$.

$i=0$	$\{0\}$	0	w	0	$w \cdot 0$
$i=1$	$\{\alpha_1\}$	α_1	s_1	α_1	α_1
	$\{\alpha_2\}$	α_2	s_2	α_2	α_2
$i=2$	$\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$	$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$	$s_1 s_2$	$\alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$	$2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$
	$\{\alpha_1, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2\}$	$2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$	$s_2 s_1$	$\alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$	$\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2$
	$\{\alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2\}$	$\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2$	$s_1 s_2 s_1$	$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$	$2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2$
$i=3$	$\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2\}$	$2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2$			

Lemma $\{\text{weights of } \Lambda^i \mathfrak{n}_- \text{ in } w \cdot 0\} = \{w \cdot 0, \mid l(w) = i\}$.

~~(each weight of~~ \uparrow even as a set w/ multiplicity

Pf : Left to reader.

~~Thus, we get~~

Thus, we get resolution $\dots C_2 \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0$

C_k has composition series w/ factors $M(w \cdot 0)$, $l(w) = k$, each appearing once.

Lemma: $\text{Ext}_\lambda^1(M(w_1 \cdot \lambda), M(w_2 \cdot \lambda)) = 0$, unless $w_1 > w_2$ in Bruhat order.

Cor: $C_k = \bigoplus_{l(w)=k} M(w \cdot 0)$

So we are done.

Then apply translation functors to get statement for others $\lambda \in P_+$.

Cor. : $H^k(\pi_-, \mathbb{C}) = \text{Ext}_{\pi_-}^k(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$. (Note $\mathbb{C}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a π_- -free res. of \mathbb{C})

$= H^k(\text{Hom}_{\pi_-}(\mathbb{C}_0, \mathbb{C}))$.

$= H^k(\dots \xrightarrow{0} (\bigoplus_{l=0}^k \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{0} \dots)$

$= \bigoplus_{l=0}^k \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}^{|\{l \mid l=0, \dots, k\}|}$.

$= \text{Hom}_{\pi_-}(\mathbb{C}_0, \mathbb{C})$

$= V$ ($M_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mu)$ is free/ π_- , ν_{μ} is highest weight, ν_{μ} min. up we only care abt π_- (not \mathfrak{b}), so weights spaces don't have to be preserved. ν_{μ} can be sent to anything)

(first computed by Bott, ~60 years ago, using geometry, diff forms on some var.)

can think of $D_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as resolving different constant sheaf by differential form.