

## CORRECTION OF HW5

**Exercise 1.** Page 80, #1.

**Proof.**

Take for example the following sequence:  $x_{2k} = k, x_{2k+1} = 1$ .

□

**Exercise 2.** Page 80, #3.

**Proof.** Recall that  $f_n$  satisfies the relation  $f_{n+2} = f_{n+1} + f_n$  and that all the  $f_n$  are  $> 0$ .

therefore we deduce that  $\frac{f_{n+2}}{f_{n+1}} = 1 + \frac{f_n}{f_{n+1}}$ . We know that  $x_n = \frac{f_{n+1}}{f_n}$  has a limit  $L$ . Notice that  $L$  cannot be zero (because then  $\frac{f_n}{f_{n+1}}$  would then be unbounded, which is not the case because it is equal to  $\frac{f_{n+2}}{f_{n+1}} - 1$ , which converges to  $L - 1$ ). Thus we can apply the quotient theorem and obtain the equality  $L = 1 + \frac{1}{L}$ . This implies that  $L^2 = L + 1$ . By solving this equation and keeping the positive root we get  $L = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$ .

□

**Exercise 3.** Page 80, #8a.

**Proof.** Let's compare  $x_{n+1} = (3(n+1))^{1/2(n+1)}$  and  $x_n = (3n)^{1/2n}$ . We have

$x_{n+1}^{2n \cdot 2(n+1)} = 3(n+1)^{2n} = (3n)^{2n} \cdot (1 + \frac{1}{n})^{2n}$ , whereas  $x_n^{2n \cdot 2(n+1)} = (3n)^{2(n+1)} = (3n)^{2n} \cdot (3n)^2$ , therefore after some integer  $K$ , the sequence is decreasing (because  $(1 + \frac{1}{n})^{2n}$  is eventually strictly smaller than  $(3n)^2$ ). Since it is bounded below by 0, it has a limit  $L$ .

Now the subsequence  $x_{2n}$  must converge to the same limit  $L$ , but we have

$x_{2n} = (3 \cdot 2n)^{1/4n} = 2^{1/4n} \cdot x_n^{1/2}$  so this converges to  $1 \cdot \sqrt{L} = \sqrt{L}$  so  $L = \sqrt{L}$  and thus  $L = 1$ .

□

**Exercise 4.** Page 80, #14.

**Proof.** Pick  $\varepsilon_1 = 1$ , then we know the existence of  $x_{n_1}$  such that  $s - \varepsilon_1 < x_{n_1} \leq s$  (def. of a sup), and we even know that  $x_{n_1} < s$ .

Pick  $\varepsilon_2 = \frac{1}{k_2}$ , such that  $k_2$  is at least 2 (thus  $\varepsilon_2 < \frac{1}{2}$ ), and such that  $x_{n_1} < s - \varepsilon_2$ , then one knows the existence of  $x_{n_2}$  such that  $s - \varepsilon_2 < x_{n_2} < s$ .

Pick  $\varepsilon_3 = \frac{1}{k_3}$ , such that  $k_3$  is at least 3 (thus  $\varepsilon_3 < \frac{1}{3}$ ), and such that  $x_{n_2} < s - \varepsilon_3$ , then one knows the existence of  $x_{n_3}$  such that  $s - \varepsilon_3 < x_{n_3} < s$ .

By continuing like this one constructs an increasing subsequence  $x_{n_k}$  that has the property that  $s - \frac{1}{k} < x_{n_k} < s$ , therefore it converges to  $s$  (Squeeze theorem!).

□

**Exercise 5.** Page 80, #15.

**Proof.** Since the  $I_n$  are nested one knows that  $x_n \in I_0$  so this sequence is bounded and therefore by Bolzano-Weierstrass. it has a converging subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$ , with limit  $L$ .

Let's prove by contradiction that  $L \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ . Indeed, if it's not the case, then say  $L \notin I_N$  for some  $N$ . Pick  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough such that  $(L - \varepsilon, L + \varepsilon) \cap I_N = \emptyset$ . By convergence of  $(x_{n_k})$ , there is some element of this subsequence, say  $x_{n_K}$  that lands in  $(L - \varepsilon, L + \varepsilon)$  and such that  $n_K$  is larger than  $N$ , thus  $I_{n_K}$  intersects  $(L - \varepsilon, L + \varepsilon)$ , but this is a contradiction because  $I_{n_K} \subset I_N$  (and  $I_N$  doesn't intersect that interval). □

**Exercise 6.** Page 86, #1.

**Proof.**  $(-1)^n$  is bounded and not convergent so it's not a Cauchy sequence. □

**Exercise 7.** Page 86, #3c.

**Proof.**  $(\ln n)$  is not bounded so it's certainly not a Cauchy sequence. This can be proved using the definition: pick  $\varepsilon = 1$ , can we find  $K$  such that for any  $n, m \geq K$  one has  $|\ln n - \ln m| = \left| \ln \frac{n}{m} \right|$  less than 1? The answer is no: take  $n = 5m > m \geq K$ , then  $\ln \frac{5m}{m} = \ln 5 > 1$ . □

**Exercise 8.** Page 86, #9.

**Proof.** Notice that  $|x_{n+p} - x_n| \leq |x_{n+p} - x_{n+p-1}| + \dots + |x_{n+1} - x_n| < r^{n+p-1} + \dots + r^n$ .

But this last sum is also  $r^n \cdot (r^{p-1} + \dots + 1) = r^n \cdot \frac{1-r^p}{1-r} < \frac{1}{1-r} \cdot r^n$ .

Given any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then one can find a natural number  $K$  such that for any  $n \geq K$  one has  $\frac{1}{1-r} \cdot r^n \leq \frac{1}{1-r} \cdot r^K < \varepsilon$ , and thus the sequence is a Cauchy sequence. □

**Exercise 9.** Page 86, #13.

**Proof.** First we notice that  $x_n$  is never zero so the sequence is well-defined.

Then one has  $|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}| = \left| 2 + \frac{1}{x_{n+1}} - 2 - \frac{1}{x_n} \right| = \left| \frac{x_n - x_{n+1}}{x_n \cdot x_{n+1}} \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot |x_{n+1} - x_n|$  because every  $x_n$  is  $> 2$ . So the sequence is contractive, and therefore converges to a limit  $x$ . This limit must satisfy  $x = 2 + \frac{1}{x}$  and be positive, thus one must have  $x^2 = 2x + 1$ , and then one gets that

$$x = 1 + \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

□