## MAT 319 Spring 2015 Notes on series and Ratio Test

*Proposition 1:* A convergent sequence is a Cauchy sequence. Proof: This is Ross, Lemma 10.9

Definition: The series  $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_k$  converges means that the sequence  $(s_n = \sum_{1}^{n} a_k)$  of partial sums is a convergent sequence. (Ross, section 14.2).

Proposition 2: (Cauchy criterion) the series  $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_k$  converges if and only if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an N such that m, n > N, m > n implies  $\sum_{n+1}^{m} a_k < \epsilon$ .

Proof: This is Ross, Theorem 14.4.

Proposition 3: If the series  $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_k$  converges then  $\lim a_k = 0$ .

Proof: Need to show for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an index N such that if n > N then  $|a_n| < \epsilon$ . If the series converges, it satisfies the Cauchy criterion: there exists an N' such that if m, n > N' (and  $m \ge n$ ) then  $|\sum_{n=1}^{m} a_k| < \epsilon$ . Take N = N' + 1. If n > N then n-1 > N' and  $|\sum_{n=1+1}^{m} a_k| < \epsilon$ , i.e.  $|\sum_{n=1}^{m} a_k| < \epsilon$ . In particular, take m = n. Then  $|a_n| = |\sum_{n=1}^{m} a_k| < \epsilon$ , as required. [This is better than the argument I gave in class, which required proving first that  $\lim a_k$  exists.]

Proposition 4 (Comparison Test): Suppose  $\sum a_k$  is a convergent series with positive terms (every  $a_k \ge 0$ ). Then if the terms of a series  $\sum b_k$  satisfy  $|b_k| \le a_k$  for every k, the series  $\sum b_k$  converges.

Proof. We use the Cauchy criterion. For every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an index N such that m, n > N implies  $\sum_{n+1}^{m} a_k < \epsilon$ . Suppose then m, n > N; then  $|\sum_{n+1}^{m} b_k| \leq \sum_{n+1}^{m} |b_k| \leq \sum_{n+1}^{m} a_k < \epsilon$  (\*), so  $\sum b_k$  satisfies the Cauchy criterion and therefore converges. Triangle inequality used in (\*).

Definition: A series  $\sum a_k$  converges absolutely means that  $\sum |a_k|$  converges.

*Proposition 5:* If a series converges absolutely, it converges.

Proof: Since  $a_k \leq |a_k|$  this follows from the Comparison Test.

Proposition 6 (Ratio Test): Suppose a series  $\sum a_k$  of non-zero terms satisfies  $\lim |\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}| = R$ . Then if R < 1 the series  $\sum a_k$  converges absolutely, and if R > 1 it diverges.

Proof. First suppose R < 1. Let  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}(1-R)$ . Note that  $\frac{1}{2}(1-R) > 0$  so there exists an index N such that if n > N then

$$||\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}| - R| < \frac{1}{2}(1 - R),$$

which means

$$R - \frac{1}{2}(1 - R) < \left|\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}\right| < R + \frac{1}{2}(1 - R).$$

Set  $\rho = R + \frac{1}{2}(1-R) = \frac{1}{2}(1+R)$  and note that  $\rho < 1$ . In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\frac{a_{N+2}}{a_{N+1}}\right| < \rho \ \text{ so } |a_{N+2}| < \rho |a_{N+1}| \\ \left|\frac{a_{N+3}}{a_{N+2}}\right| < \rho \ \text{ so } |a_{N+3}| < \rho |a_{N+2}| < \rho^2 |a_{N+1}| \\ \dots \\ \left|\frac{a_{N+i}}{a_{N+i-1}}\right| < \rho \ \text{ so } |a_{N+i}| < \rho |a_{N+i-1}| < \dots < \rho^{i-1} |a_{N+1}| \\ \dots \end{aligned}$$

With the N we have obtained, let us write

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| = \sum_{k=1}^{N} |a_k| + \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} |a_k|.$$

The first sum is finite. We can apply the Comparison Test to the second sum, which equals  $|a_{N+1}| + |a_{N+2}| + \cdots$ . The series  $\sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} |a_k|$  is equal to  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_{N+i}|$  (just rewriting the indices). Since  $|a_{N+i}| \leq \rho^{i-1} |a_{N+1}|$  (note that this holds for i = 1 also), and  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \rho^{i-1} |a_{N+1}|$  is a geometric series converging to

$$|a_{N+1}| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \rho^{i-1} = |a_{N+1}| \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \rho^{i} = \frac{|a_{N+1}|}{1-\rho}$$

the Comparison Test tells us that  $\sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} |a_k|$  converges. Throwing in the finite sum  $\sum_{k=1}^{N} |a_k|$  exhibits  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|$  as a convergent sequence, as was to be shown.

Now suppose R > 1, and take  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}(R-1)$ . Arguing as before, we can find an N such that if n > N then

$$R - \frac{1}{2}(R - 1) < |\frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}| < R + \frac{1}{2}(R - 1).$$

Set  $\rho = R - \frac{1}{2}(R-1) = \frac{1}{2}(R+1)$  and note that  $\rho > 1$ . In particular,

$$|\frac{a_{N+2}}{a_{N+1}}| > \rho \text{ so } |a_{N+2}| > \rho |a_{N+1}|$$
...
$$|\frac{a_{N+i}}{a_{N+i-1}}| > \rho \text{ so } |a_{N+i}| > \rho |a_{N+i-1}| > \ldots > \rho^{i-1} |a_{N+1}|$$

If  $\sum a_k$  converges, then (Proposition 3)  $\lim a_k = 0$ . But here  $\lim_{i\to\infty} |a_{N+i}| > \lim_{i\to\infty} \rho^{i-1} |a_{N+1}| = \infty$  since  $\rho > 1$ . Since the terms indexed beyond N + 1 are going to  $\infty$  in absolute value, they have no chance of going to zero, so the sum does not converge.