

## MAT 312/AMS 351

### Notes and exercises on normal subgroups and quotient groups.

If  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , the equivalence relation  $\sim_H$  is defined between elements of  $G$  as follows:

$$g_1 \sim_H g_2 \Leftrightarrow \exists h \in H, g_1 = g_2 h.$$

*Proposition 1.* This is indeed an equivalence relation.

Proof: The three properties: reflexive, symmetric, transitive correspond to the three properties of a subgroup:  $H$  contains the identity element  $e$  of  $G$ ,  $H$  contains inverses of all its elements,  $H$  is closed under composition.

- For any  $g \in G$ , since  $e \in H$  and  $ge = g$ , it follows that  $g \sim_H ge = g$ , so the relation  $\sim_H$  is reflexive.
- If  $g_1 \sim_H g_2$ ,  $\exists h \in H, g_1 = g_2 h$ . Since  $h^{-1}$  must then also belong to  $H$ , and  $g_1 h^{-1} = g_2 h h^{-1} = g_2$ , it follows that  $g_2 \sim_H g_1$ , so the relation  $\sim_H$  is symmetric.
- If  $g_1 \sim_H g_2$  and  $g_2 \sim_H g_3$ , then  $\exists h \in H, g_1 = g_2 h$ , and also  $\exists h' \in H, g_2 = g_3 h'$ . Since then  $hh' \in H$ , and  $g_1 = g_2 h = (g_3 h')h = g_3(h'h)$ , it follows that  $g_1 \sim_H g_3$ ; so the relation  $\sim_H$  is transitive.

For the  $\sim_H$  equivalence class of the element  $g \in G$  we have the suggestive notation  $gH$  (since every element of that equivalence class is  $gh$  for some  $h \in H$ ); This equivalence class is called the *left  $H$ -coset* of  $g$ ; “left” because  $gH$  is obtained by multiplying every element of  $H$  on the left by  $g$ . Note that the left  $H$ -coset of the identity  $e$  is  $H$  itself.

*Right  $H$ -cosets.* In a completely analogous way one can define  $g_1 \equiv_H g_2 \Leftrightarrow \exists h \in H, g_1 = hg_2$ . A completely analogous argument proves that, since  $H$  is a subgroup, the relation  $\equiv_H$  is also an equivalence relation. In this case the equivalence class of  $g \in G$  is written  $Hg$  and called the *right  $H$ -coset* of  $g$ .

Example. Consider  $G = S(3)$ , the group of permutations of 3 elements, so in cycle notation  $G = \{e, (12), (13), (23), (123), (132)\}$ ; and consider the subgroup  $H = \{e, (12)\}$ . Since  $|G| = 6$  and  $|H| = 2$ , we expect 3 left  $H$ -cosets. They are

- $H = \{e, (12)\}$
- $(13)H = \{(13), (13)(12) = (123)\}$

- $(23)H = \{(23), (23)(12) = (132)\}$ .

Note that  $(123)H = (12)H$  and  $(132)H = (23)H$ . A coset can have several names!

On the other hand the three right  $H$ -cosets are

- $H = \{e, (12)\}$
- $H(13) = \{(13), (12)(13) = (132)\}$
- $H(23) = \{(23), (12)(23) = (123)\}$ .

So in general left  $H$ -cosets and right  $H$ -cosets give two different partitions of  $G$ . But sometimes the partitions coincide. In this case  $H$  is called a *normal* subgroup of  $G$ . More formally:

*Definition:* The subgroup  $H$  of group  $G$  is called *normal* if  $gH = Hg$  for every  $g \in G$ .

Example 1. With  $G = S(3)$  as above, consider the subgroup  $H = \{e, (123), (132)\}$ . Since  $|G| = 6$  and  $|H| = 3$ , we expect two left  $H$ -cosets and two right  $H$ -cosets. In either case one coset must be  $H$  itself; so the other one must contain the three remaining elements, namely  $\{(12), (13), (23)\}$ . So  $H$  is normal in  $G$ . The same thing will happen whenever  $|H| = \frac{1}{2}|G|$ ; in this case we say that  $H$  is a subgroup of index 2, and we can state the proposition: Every subgroup of index 2 is normal.

Example 2. Consider  $G = A(4)$ , the group of all even permutations of 4 elements. In cycle notation,

$$G = \{e, (123), (124), (132), (134), (142), (143), \\ (234), (243), (12)(34), (13)(24), (14)(23)\}.$$

(Since exactly half the permutations in  $S(4)$  are even, this  $G$  is an index-2 subgroup of  $S(4)$ , and hence a normal subgroup of  $S(4)$ ). In  $G$ , consider the subgroup  $H = \{e, (12)(34), (13)(24), (14)(23)\}$ . Here with  $|G| = 12$ ,  $|H| = 4$  we expect three cosets.

The left cosets are

- $H = \{e, (12)(34), (13)(24), (14)(23)\}$
- $(123)H = \{(123), (123)(12)(34) = (134), \\ (123)(13)(24) = (243), (123)(14)(23) = (142)\}$
- $(124)H = \{(124), (124)(12)(34) = (143), \\ (124)(13)(24) = (132), (124)(14)(23) = (234)\}$

The right cosets are

- $H = \{e, (12)(34), (13)(24), (14)(23)\}$

- $H(123) = \{(123), (12)(34)(123) = (243), (13)(24)(123) = (142), (14)(23)(123) = (134)\}$
- $H(124) = \{(124), (12)(34)(124) = (234), (13)(24)(124) = (143), (14)(23)(124) = (132)\}$

Note that  $(123)H = H(123)$  and  $(124)H = H(124)$ . So  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $A(4)$ .

**Quotient groups.** When  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , the law of composition of  $G$  induces a composition between  $H$ -cosets which makes this set also into a group. This is called the *quotient group* of  $G$  by  $H$ , and written  $G/H$ .

*Definition:* For two cosets  $gH$  and  $g'H$  ( $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , but we write them as left cosets for explicitness) we define  $gH \cdot g'H$  to be  $(gg')H$ .

*Proposition 2.* This operation is well-defined, and makes the set of cosets into a group.

Proof: First, we need to show the operation is *well-defined* because the result might be different if we had chosen different names (i.e. different representative elements) for the cosets  $gH$  and  $g'H$ . So suppose in fact that  $\gamma \in gH$  and  $\gamma' \in g'H$ . We need to show that  $\gamma\gamma'H = gg'H$ . What we know is that there is an element  $h \in H$  such that  $\gamma = gh$ , and an element  $h' \in H$  such that  $\gamma' = g'h'$ . So  $\gamma\gamma'H = (gh)(g'h')H$ . Now  $h'H = H$  since  $h' \in H$ , and  $g'h'H = g'H = Hg'$  since  $H$  is normal. Furthermore  $hH = H$  since  $h \in H$ , so  $hg'h'H = hHg' = Hg'$ , and finally  $\gamma\gamma'H = gHg' = gg'H$  using normality again.

Next we need to show that this operation satisfies the three conditions required of a group law.

- Associativity.  $g_1H \cdot (g_2H \cdot g_3H) = g_1H \cdot (g_2g_3)H = g_1(g_2g_3)H = (g_1g_2)g_3H = (g_1g_2)H \cdot g_3H = (g_1H \cdot g_2H) \cdot g_3H$ .
- Identity. The coset  $eH = H$  is the identity, since  $eH \cdot gH = (eg)H = gH$ , and  $gH \cdot eH = (ge)H = gH$ .
- Inverses. The inverse of  $gH$  is  $g^{-1}H$ , since  $gH \cdot g^{-1}H = (gg^{-1})H = eH$  and  $g^{-1}H \cdot gH = (g^{-1}g)H = eH$ .

Example: With  $G = A(4)$  and  $H$  as above,  $G/H = \{H, (123)H, (124)H\}$ . Since  $|G/H| = 3$ , a prime, the group  $G/H$  must be isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Z}_3$ .

In fact the composition table for  $G/H$  is

	$H$	$(123)H$	$(124)H$
$H$	$H$	$(123)H$	$(124)H$
$(123)H$	$(123)H$	$(132)H = (124)H$	$(13)(24)H = H$
$(124)H$	$(124)H$	$(14)(23)H = H$	$(142)H = (123)H$

which is a relabeling of

	0	1	2
0	0	1	2
1	1	2	0
2	2	0	1

### Exercises.

- (1) “A completely analogous argument proves that, since  $H$  is a subgroup, the relation  $\equiv_H$  is also an equivalence relation.” Write out the details of this argument.
- (2) In  $G = \mathbf{Z}_{21}^* = \{1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 10, 11, 13, 16, 17, 19, 20\}$  show that the set  $H = \{1, 4, 16\}$  is a subgroup. Since  $G$  is abelian,  $H$  is automatically normal. Identify the four elements of  $G/H$  and construct their multiplication table. Is this group isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Z}_4$ ?
- (3) Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$ . Show that  $H$  is normal if and only if  $ghg^{-1} \in H$  for every  $g \in G, h \in H$ . Another way of writing this is:  $gHg^{-1} = H$  for every  $g \in G$ .