

Math 639: Lecture 9

Recurrence, Renewal theory

Bob Hough

February 23, 2017

Recurrent and possible values

Definition

Let X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d. in \mathbb{R}^d and let $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$. The number $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is said to be a *recurrent value* for the random walk S_n if for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\text{Prob}(\|S_n - x\|_\infty < \epsilon \text{ i.o.}) = 1.$$

A number x is called a *possible value* of the random walk if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is an n such that

$$\text{Prob}(\|S_n - x\|_\infty < \epsilon) > 0.$$

Recurrent and possible values

Theorem

The set V of recurrent values is either \emptyset or a closed subgroup of \mathbb{R}^d . In the second case $V = U$, the set of possible values.

Recurrent and possible values

Proof.

- Suppose $V \neq \emptyset$. Since V^c is open, V is closed.
- We prove: if $x \in U$ and $y \in V$ then $y - x \in V$.
- Let $p_{\delta,m}(z) = \text{Prob}(\|S_n - z\|_{\infty} \geq \delta \text{ for all } n \geq m)$. If $y - x \notin V$, there is an $\epsilon > 0$ and $m \geq 1$ so that $p_{2\epsilon,m}(y - x) > 0$.
- Choose k so that $\text{Prob}(\|S_k - x\|_{\infty} < \epsilon) > 0$.
- Note that

$$\text{Prob}(\|S_n - S_k - (y - x)\|_{\infty} \geq 2\epsilon \text{ for all } n \geq k + m) = p_{2\epsilon,m}(y - x)$$

and is independent of $\{\|S_k - x\|_{\infty} < \epsilon\}$. Thus

$$p_{\epsilon,m+k}(y) \geq \text{Prob}(\|S_k - x\|_{\infty} < \epsilon) p_{2\epsilon,m}(y - x) > 0,$$

which contradicts $y \in V$. Hence $y - x \in V$.



Recurrent and possible values

Proof.

The above demonstrates that V is a closed subgroup, hence contains 0 , and thus is equal to U . □

Transience and recurrence

Definition

If $V \neq 0$ the random walk is *transient*, otherwise *recurrent*. The *return times to 0* are defined by

$$\tau_0 = 0, \quad \tau_n = \inf\{m > \tau_{n-1} : S_m = 0\}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

As mentioned last lecture, $\text{Prob}(\tau_n < \infty) = \text{Prob}(\tau_1 < \infty)^n$.

Transience and recurrence

Theorem

For any random walk, the following are equivalent.

- 1 $\text{Prob}(\tau_1 < \infty) = 1$
- 2 $\text{Prob}(S_m = 0 \text{ i.o.}) = 1$
- 3 $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \text{Prob}(S_m = 0) = \infty.$

Transience and recurrence

Proof.

- If $\text{Prob}(\tau_1 < \infty) = 1$, then $\text{Prob}(\tau_n < \infty) = 1$ for all n and $\text{Prob}(S_m = 0 \text{ i.o.}) = 1$, so 1 implies 2.
- 2 implies 3 follows from Borel-Cantelli.
- Let

$$V = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{(S_m=0)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{1}_{(\tau_n < \infty)}$$

and calculate to give 3 implies 1,

$$\begin{aligned} E[V] &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \text{Prob}(S_m = 0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{Prob}(\tau_n < \infty) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{Prob}(\tau_1 < \infty)^n = \frac{1}{1 - \text{Prob}(\tau_1 < \infty)}. \end{aligned}$$



Transience and recurrence

Definition

Simple random walk in \mathbb{R}^d is defined by letting steps satisfy

$$\text{Prob}(X_i = e_j) = \text{Prob}(X_i = -e_j) = \frac{1}{2d}.$$

Theorem

Simple random walk is recurrent in $d \leq 2$ and transient in $d \geq 3$.

Transience and recurrence

Proof.

- Let $\rho_d(m) = \text{Prob}(S_m = 0)$ in dimension d . This is 0 by parity considerations if m is odd.
- We have $\rho_1(2n) \sim (\pi n)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which proves the recurrence in dimension 1.
- In dimension 2, let T_n^1 and T_n^2 be independent one dimensional simple random walks. The walk (T_n^1, T_n^2) takes steps, with equal probability $(1, 1), (1, -1), (-1, 1), (-1, -1)$. Rotating by 45 degrees and dividing by $\sqrt{2}$ gives S_n . Hence $\rho_2(2n) = \rho_1(2n)^2 \sim \frac{1}{\pi n}$. Since $\sum_n \frac{1}{n}$ diverges, the walk is recurrent.



Transience and recurrence

Proof.

- Estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_3(2n) &= 6^{-2n} \sum_{j,k} \frac{(2n)!}{(j!k!(n-j-k)!)^2} \\ &= 2^{-2n} \binom{2n}{n} \sum_{j,k} \left(3^{-n} \frac{n!}{j!k!(n-j-k)!} \right)^2 \\ &\leq 2^{-2n} \binom{2n}{n} \max_{j,k} 3^{-n} \frac{n!}{j!k!(n-j-k)!}.\end{aligned}$$

- The maximum occurs for $j, k, n-j-k$ all at least $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$ and the estimate $\rho_3(2n) \ll \frac{1}{n^2}$ follows from Stirling's formula. Since this is summable, the transience follows.
- Transience for $d > 3$ follows by projecting on the first 3 coordinates.



Transience and recurrence

We now consider more general random walks.

Lemma

If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Prob}(\|S_n\|_{\infty} < \epsilon) < \infty$, then $\text{Prob}(\|S_n\|_{\infty} < \epsilon \text{ i.o.}) = 0$. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Prob}(\|S_n\|_{\infty} < \epsilon) = \infty$ then $\text{Prob}(\|S_n\|_{\infty} < 2\epsilon \text{ i.o.}) = 1$.

Transience and recurrence

Proof.

- The first conclusion follows from Borel-Cantelli.
- Let $F = \{\|S_n\| < \epsilon \text{ i.o.}\}^c$. Calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Prob}(F) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \text{Prob}(\|S_m\|_{\infty} < \epsilon, \|S_n\|_{\infty} \geq \epsilon \text{ for all } n \geq m+1) \\ &\geq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \text{Prob}(\|S_m\|_{\infty} < \epsilon, \|S_n - S_m\|_{\infty} \geq 2\epsilon \text{ for all } n \geq m+1) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \text{Prob}(\|S_m\|_{\infty} < \epsilon) \rho_{2\epsilon,1}\end{aligned}$$

where $\rho_{\delta,k} = \text{Prob}(\|S_n\|_{\infty} \geq \delta \text{ for all } n \geq k)$. Since $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \text{Prob}(\|S_m\|_{\infty} < \epsilon) = \infty$, $\rho_{2\epsilon,1} = 0$.



Transience and recurrence

Proof.

- Let

$$A_m = \{\|S_m\|_\infty < \epsilon, \|S_n\|_\infty \geq \epsilon \text{ for all } n \geq m + k\}.$$

Since any ω belongs to at most k A_m ,

$$k \geq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \text{Prob}(A_m) \geq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \text{Prob}(\|S_m\|_\infty < \epsilon) \rho_{2\epsilon, k}.$$

- Thus $\rho_{2\epsilon, k} = \text{Prob}(\|S_j\|_\infty \geq 2\epsilon \text{ for all } j \geq k) = 0$ for each k .



Transience and recurrence

Lemma

Let m be an integer ≥ 2 .

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{Prob}(\|S_n\|_{\infty} < m\epsilon) \leq (2m)^d \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{Prob}(\|S_n\|_{\infty} < \epsilon).$$

Transience and recurrence

Proof.

- Write

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{Prob}(\|S_n\|_{\infty} < m\epsilon) \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_k \text{Prob}(S_n \in k\epsilon + [0, \epsilon)^d).$$

The inner sum is over $k \in \{-m, \dots, m-1\}^d$.



Transience and recurrence

Proof.

- Let $T_k = \inf\{\ell \geq 0 : S_\ell \in k\epsilon + [0, \epsilon)^d\}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{Prob}(S_n \in k\epsilon + [0, \epsilon)^d) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^n \text{Prob}(S_n \in k\epsilon + [0, \epsilon)^d, T_k = \ell) \\ &\leq \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \text{Prob}(\|S_n - S_\ell\|_\infty < \epsilon, T_k = \ell) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \text{Prob}(T_k = m) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \text{Prob}(\|S_j\| < \epsilon) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \text{Prob}(\|S_j\|_\infty < \epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete since there are $(2m)^d$ values of k .



Transience and recurrence

Theorem

The convergence (resp. divergence) of $\sum_n \text{Prob}(\|S_n\|_\infty < \epsilon)$ for a single value of $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficient for transience (resp. recurrence).

If $d = 1$, if $E[X_i] = \mu \neq 0$, then the strong law of large numbers implies $S_n/n \rightarrow \mu$, so $|S_n| \rightarrow \infty$ and S_n is transient.

The Chung-Fuchs Theorem

Theorem (Chung-Fuchs theorem)

Suppose $d = 1$. If the weak law of large numbers holds in the form $S_n/n \rightarrow 0$ in probability, then S_n is recurrent.

The Chung-Fuchs Theorem

Proof.

- Let $u_n(x) = \text{Prob}(|S_n| < x)$ for $x > 0$.
- Applying the previous lemma,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n(1) \geq \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n(m) \geq \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{n=0}^{Am} u_n(n/A)$$

for any $A < \infty$ since $u_n(x) \geq 0$ and is increasing in x .

- Since $u_n(n/A) \rightarrow 1$, letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ gives

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n(1) \geq A/2.$$

for all A . The conclusion now follows from the previous theorem.



Transience and recurrence

Theorem

If S_n is a random walk in \mathbb{R}^2 and $\frac{S_n}{n^2}$ converges to a non-degenerate normal distribution, then S_n is recurrent.

Transience and recurrence

Proof.

- Let $u(n, m) = \text{Prob}(\|S_n\|_\infty < m)$.
- We have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u(n, 1) \geq (4m^2)^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u(n, m).$$

- If $m/\sqrt{n} \rightarrow c$, then

$$u(n, m) \rightarrow \int_{[-c, c]^2} n(x) dx$$

where $n(x)$ is the limiting normal distribution.

- Let $u([\theta m^2], m) \rightarrow \rho(\theta^{-\frac{1}{2}})$.



Transience and recurrence

Proof.

- Write

$$\frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u(n, m) = \int_0^{\infty} u([\theta m^2], m) d\theta$$

and let $m \rightarrow \infty$ to obtain

$$\liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4m^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u(n, m) \geq \frac{1}{4} \int_0^{\infty} \rho(\theta^{-\frac{1}{2}}) d\theta.$$

The integral diverges since $\rho(c) = \int_{[-c, c]^2} n(x) dx \sim n(0)(2c)^2$ as $c \downarrow 0$.



Transience and recurrence

Theorem

Let $\phi(t)$ be the characteristic function of X_i . Let $\delta > 0$. S_n is recurrent if and only if

$$\sup_{r < 1} \int_{(-\delta, \delta)^d} \Re \frac{1}{1 - r\phi(y)} dy = \infty.$$

Parseval

Theorem (Parseval relation)

Let μ and ν be probability measures on \mathbb{R}^d with characteristic functions ϕ and ψ . Then

$$\int \psi(t) \mu(dt) = \int \phi(x) \nu(dx).$$

Proof.

By Fubini,

$$\begin{aligned} \int \psi(t) \mu(dt) &= \int \int e^{itx} \nu(dx) \mu(dt) \\ &= \int \int e^{itx} \mu(dt) \nu(dx) = \int \phi(x) \nu(dx). \end{aligned}$$



Transience and recurrence

Lemma

If $|x| \leq \frac{\pi}{3}$ then $1 - \cos x \geq \frac{x^2}{4}$.

Proof.

If $|z| \leq \frac{\pi}{3}$ then $\cos z \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\sin y &= \int_0^y \cos z dz \geq \frac{y}{2} \\ 1 - \cos x &= \int_0^x \sin y dy \geq \int_0^x \frac{y}{2} dy = \frac{x^2}{4}.\end{aligned}$$



Transience and recurrence

Proof of Recurrence Theorem.

- The density

$$F_\delta(x) = \frac{\delta - |x|}{\delta^2} \mathbf{1}(|x| \leq \delta)$$

has characteristic function $\hat{F}_\delta(t) = 2 \frac{1 - \cos \delta t}{(\delta t)^2}$.

- Let S_n have density μ_n . One has

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Prob} \left(\|S_n\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \right) &\leq 4^d \int \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{1 - \cos(\delta t_i)}{(\delta t_i)^2} \mu_n(t) \\ &= 2^d \int_{(-\delta, \delta)^d} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{\delta - |x_i|}{\delta^2} \phi^n(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$



Transience and recurrence

Proof of Recurrence Theorem.

- Hence

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n \text{Prob} \left(\|S_n\|_{\infty} < \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \leq 2^d \int_{(-\delta, \delta)^d} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{\delta - |x_i|}{\delta^2} \frac{1}{1 - r\phi(x)} dx$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{Prob} \left(\|S_n\|_{\infty} < \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \leq \left(\frac{2}{\delta} \right)^d \sup_{r < 1} \int_{(-\delta, \delta)^d} \Re \frac{1}{1 - r\phi(x)} dx.$$

Thus finiteness of the right hand side gives transience of the walk.



Transience and recurrence

Proof of Recurrence Theorem.

- For the reverse direction, use density $G_\delta(x) = \frac{\delta(1 - \cos(\frac{x}{\delta}))}{\pi x^2}$, with characteristic function $\hat{G}_\delta(t) = (1 - |\delta t|)\mathbf{1}(|t| \leq \frac{1}{\delta})$.
- Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Prob} \left(\|S_n\|_\infty < \frac{1}{\delta} \right) &\geq \int_{(-1/\delta, 1/\delta)^d} \prod_{i=1}^d (1 - |\delta x_i|) \mu_n(dx) \\ &= \int \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{\delta(1 - \cos(t_i/\delta))}{\pi t_i^2} \phi^n(t) dt.\end{aligned}$$



Transience and recurrence

Proof of Recurrence Theorem.

- Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n \text{Prob}(\|S_n\|_{\infty} < 1/\delta) &\geq \int \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{\delta(1 - \cos(t_i/\delta))}{\pi t_i^2} \frac{1}{1 - r\phi(t)} dt \\ &\geq (4\pi\delta)^{-d} \int_{(-\delta, \delta)^d} \Re \frac{1}{1 - r\phi(t)} dt.\end{aligned}$$

- Letting $r \uparrow 1$ proves the theorem.



Transience and recurrence

Definition

A random walk in \mathbb{R}^3 is *truly three-dimensional* if the distribution of X_1 has $\text{Prob}(X_1 \cdot \theta \neq 0) > 0$ for all $\theta \neq 0$.

Theorem

No truly three-dimensional random walk is recurrent.

Transience and recurrence

Proof.

- If $z = a + bi$ with $a \leq 1$,

$$\Re \frac{1}{1-z} = \frac{1-a}{(1-a)^2 + b^2} \leq \frac{1}{1-a}.$$

- Hence

$$\Re \frac{1}{1-r\phi(t)} \leq \frac{1}{\Re(1-r\phi(t))} \leq \frac{1}{\Re(1-\phi(t))}.$$

- Estimate

$$\Re(1-\phi(t)) = \int (1-\cos(xt))\mu(dx) \geq \int_{|x \cdot t| < \frac{\pi}{3}} \frac{|x \cdot t|^2}{4} \mu(dx).$$



Transience and recurrence

Proof.

- Let $t = \rho\theta$ where $\theta \in S = \{x : |x| = 1\}$. This gives

$$\Re(1 - \phi(\rho\theta)) \geq \frac{\rho^2}{4} \int_{|x \cdot \theta| < \frac{\pi}{3\rho}} |x \cdot \theta|^2 \mu(dx).$$

- Letting $\rho \rightarrow 0$ and $\theta(\rho) \rightarrow \theta$,

$$\liminf_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \int_{|x \cdot \theta(\rho)| < \frac{\pi}{3\rho}} |x \cdot \theta(\rho)|^2 \mu(dx) \geq \int |x \cdot \theta|^2 \mu(dx) > 0.$$

- This implies that for $\rho < \rho_0$

$$\inf_{\theta \in S} \int_{|x \cdot \theta| < \frac{\pi}{3\rho}} |x \cdot \theta|^2 \mu(dx) = C > 0.$$



Transience and recurrence

Proof.

- It follows that for $0 < \rho < \rho_0$, $\Re(1 - \phi(\rho\theta)) \geq \frac{C\rho^2}{4}$.
- Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(-\delta, \delta)^d} \Re \frac{1}{1 - r\phi(y)} dy &\leq \int_0^{\delta\sqrt{d}} \rho^{d-1} d\rho \int \frac{1}{\Re(1 - \phi(\rho\theta))} d\theta \\ &\leq C' \int_0^1 \rho^{d-3} d\rho < \infty. \end{aligned}$$



Definition

Consider simple random walk on \mathbb{Z} . A polygonal line has segments $(k-1, S_{k-1}) \rightarrow (k, S_k)$. A *path* is a polygonal line that is a possible outcome of simple random walk.

To count the number of paths from $(0, 0)$ to (n, x) , introduce $a = \frac{n+x}{2}$ and $b = \frac{n-x}{2}$. The number $N_{n,x}$ of paths is $\binom{n}{a}$.

The reflection principle

Theorem (Reflection principle)

If $x, y > 0$, then the number of paths from $(0, x)$ to (n, y) that are 0 at some time is equal to the number of paths from $(0, -x)$ to (n, y) .

The reflection principle

Proof.

- Suppose $(0, s_0), (1, s_1), \dots, (n, s_n)$ is a path from $(0, x)$ to (n, y) .
- Let $K = \inf\{k : s_k = 0\}$. Let $s'_k = -s_k$ for $k \leq K$ and $s'_k = s_k$ for $k > K$. Thus (k, s'_k) is a path from $(0, -x)$ to (n, y) .
- Conversely, if $(0, t_0), (1, t_1), \dots, (n, t_n)$ is a path from $(0, -x)$ to (n, y) , then it must cross 0. Set $K = \inf\{k : t_k = 0\}$ and let $t'_k = -t_k$ for $k \leq K$ and $t'_k = t_k$ for $k > K$.
- Thus $(k, t'_k), 0 \leq k \leq n$ is a path from $(0, x) \rightarrow (n, y)$ that is 0 at time K . This completes the bijection.



Ballot theorem

Theorem (Ballot theorem)

Suppose that in an election candidate A gets α votes and candidate B gets β votes, where $\beta < \alpha$. Given uniform ordering of the votes, the probability that throughout the counting A always leads B is $\frac{\alpha - \beta}{\alpha + \beta}$.

Ballot theorem

Proof.

- The number of admissible arrangements of the votes is the number of paths from $(1, 1)$ to (n, x) that don't cross 0.
- By the reflection principle, the number of paths from $(1, 1)$ to (n, x) which do cross 0 is equal to the number of paths from $(1, 1)$ to $(n, -x)$.
- Hence, the number of admissible paths is

$$\begin{aligned} N_{n-1, x-1} - N_{n-1, x+1} &= \binom{n-1}{\alpha-1} - \binom{n-1}{\alpha} \\ &= \frac{(n-1)!}{(\alpha-1)!(n-\alpha)!} - \frac{(n-1)!}{\alpha!(n-\alpha-1)!} \\ &= \frac{\alpha - (n-\alpha)}{n} \frac{n!}{\alpha!(n-\alpha)!} = \frac{\alpha - \beta}{\alpha + \beta} N_{n, x}. \end{aligned}$$



Visits to 0

Lemma

$$\text{Prob}(S_1 \neq 0, S_2 \neq 0, \dots, S_{2n} \neq 0) = \text{Prob}(S_{2n} = 0).$$

Visits to 0

Proof.

By the Ballot theorem

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Prob}(S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{2n} > 0) &= \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \text{Prob}(S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{2n-1} > 0, S_{2n} = 2r) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{2n}} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (N_{2n-1, 2r-1} - N_{2n-1, 2r+1}) = \frac{N_{2n-1, 1}}{2^{2n}}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{Prob}(S_{2n-1} = 1) = \text{Prob}(S_{2n} = 0)$ we obtain

$$\text{Prob}(S_1 > 0, \dots, S_{2n} > 0) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Prob}(S_{2n} = 0)$$

the claim follows by symmetry. □

Visits to 0

Set $L_{2n} = \sup\{m \leq 2n : S_m = 0\}$.

Lemma

Let $u_{2m} = \text{Prob}(S_{2m} = 0)$. Then $\text{Prob}(L_{2n} = 2k) = u_{2k}u_{2n-2k}$.

Proof.

$\text{Prob}(L_{2n} = 2k) = \text{Prob}(S_{2k} = 0, S_{2k+1} \neq 0, \dots, S_{2n} \neq 0) = u_{2k}u_{2n-2k}$. \square

The arcsine law

Theorem

For $0 < a < b < 1$,

$$\text{Prob} \left(a \leq \frac{L_{2n}}{2n} \leq b \right) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\pi} \int_a^b (x(1-x))^{-\frac{1}{2}} dx.$$

The arcsine law

Proof.

- Since $u_{2n} = \frac{\binom{2n}{n}}{2^{2n}} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi n}}$ one obtains that if $\frac{k}{n} \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$n \text{Prob}(L_{2n} = 2k) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{x(1-x)}}.$$

- The convergence is uniform on compact sets. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Prob} \left(a \leq \frac{L_{2n}}{2n} \leq b \right) &= \sum_{2an \leq 2k \leq 2bn} \text{Prob}(L_{2n} = 2k) \\ &\rightarrow \frac{1}{\pi} \int_a^b \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}}. \end{aligned}$$



The arcsine law

Theorem

Let π_{2n} be the number of segments $(k-1, S_{k-1}) \rightarrow (k, S_k)$ that lie above the axis, i.e. in $\{(x, y) : y \geq 0\}$, and let $u_m = \text{Prob}(S_m = 0)$.

$$\text{Prob}(\pi_{2n} = 2k) = u_{2k} u_{2n-2k}$$

and consequently, if $0 < a < b < 1$,

$$\text{Prob}\left(a \leq \frac{\pi_{2n}}{2n} \leq b\right) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\pi} \int_a^b \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}}.$$

The arcsine law

Proof.

- Let $\beta_{2k,2n} = \text{Prob}(\pi_{2n} = 2k)$. We prove $\beta_{2k,2n} = u_{2k}u_{2n-2k}$ by induction.
- When $n = 1$,

$$\beta_{0,2} = \beta_{2,2} = \frac{1}{2} = u_0 u_2.$$

- Calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}u_{2n} &= \text{Prob}(S_1 > 0, S_2 > 0, \dots, S_{2n} > 0) \\ &= \text{Prob}(S_1 = 1, S_2 - S_1 \geq 0, \dots, S_{2n} - S_1 \geq 0) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Prob}(S_1 \geq 0, \dots, S_{2n-1} \geq 0) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Prob}(S_1 \geq 0, \dots, S_{2n} \geq 0) = \frac{1}{2}\beta_{2n,2n} = \frac{1}{2}\beta_{0,2n}.\end{aligned}$$



The arcsine law

Proof.

- Let R be the time of the first return to 0, and set $f_{2m} = \text{Prob}(R = 2m)$. We have

$$\beta_{2k,2n} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^k f_{2m} \beta_{2k-2m,2n-2m} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{n-k} f_{2m} \beta_{2k,2n-2m}.$$

- By induction,

$$\beta_{2k,2n} = \frac{1}{2} u_{2n-2k} \sum_{m=1}^k f_{2m} u_{2k-2m} + \frac{1}{2} u_{2k} \sum_{m=1}^{n-k} f_{2m} u_{2n-2k-2m}.$$

The conclusion holds, since $u_{2k} = \sum_{m=1}^k f_{2m} u_{2k-2m}$.



Renewals

Let ξ_1, ξ_2, \dots be i.i.d. positive random variables with distribution F and define a sequence of times by

$$T_0 = 0, \quad T_k = T_{k-1} + \xi_k, \quad k \geq 1.$$

The T_k are referred to as *renewals*. Let $N_t = \inf\{k : T_k > t\}$. Define $U(t) = E[N_t]$.

Theorem

As $t \rightarrow \infty$, $\frac{U(t)}{t} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\mu}$.

Renewals

Proof.

- Pick $\delta > 0$ so that $\text{Prob}(\xi_i > \delta) = \epsilon > 0$. Pick K so that $K\delta \geq t$. Since K consecutive ξ_i 's greater than δ make $T_n > t$,

$$\text{Prob}(N_t > mK) \leq (1 - \epsilon^K)^m.$$

Thus $E[N_t] < \infty$.

- By Wald's equation,

$$\mu E[N_t] = E[T_{N_t}] \geq t,$$

so $U(t) \geq \frac{t}{\mu}$.



Proof.

- If $\text{Prob}(\xi_i \leq c) = 1$ then $\mu E[N_t] = E[\mathcal{T}_{N_t}] \leq t + c$, so the result holds for bounded distributions. If we replace $\bar{\xi}_i = \min(\xi_i, c)$ and define \bar{T}_n and \bar{N}_t then

$$E[N_t] \leq E[\bar{N}_t] \leq \frac{t + c}{E[\bar{\xi}_i]}.$$

Let $t \rightarrow \infty$, then $c \rightarrow \infty$ to obtain $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E[N_t]}{t} \leq \frac{1}{\mu}$.



Renewal measure

Definition

The *renewal measure* of a process T_k is the measure

$$U(A) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{Prob}(T_n \in A).$$

Blackwell's renewal theorem

Theorem (Blackwell's renewal theorem)

If F is nonarithmetic with mean $\mu < \infty$, then $U([t, t + h]) \rightarrow \frac{h}{\mu}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

See Durrett p.211 for the case $\mu = \infty$.

Delayed renewal process

Definition

If $T_0 \geq 0$ is independent of ξ_1, ξ_2, \dots and has distribution G , then $T_k = T_{k-1} + \xi_k$, $k \geq 1$ defines a *delayed renewal process*, and G is the *delay distribution*.

If we let $N_t = \inf\{k : T_k > t\}$ and set $V(t) = E[N_t]$, then

$$V(t) = \int_0^t U(t-s) dG(s).$$

Similarly,

$$U(t) = 1 + \int_0^t U(t-s) dF(s).$$

or $U = \mathbf{1}_{[0, \infty)}(t) + U * F$, and $V = G * U = G + V * F$.

Stationary renewal process

Definition

When $G(t) = \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^t 1 - F(y) dy$ and $V(t) = G(t) + \int_0^t \frac{t-y}{\mu} dF(y) = \frac{t}{\mu}$, the process T_0, T_1, T_2, \dots is called the *stationary renewal process* associated to ξ_j .

Blackwell's renewal theorem

Proof of Blackwell's theorem in case $\mu < \infty$.

- Let T_0, T_1, T_2, \dots be a renewal process, and let T'_0, T'_1, T'_2, \dots be an independent stationary renewal process.
- Given $\epsilon > 0$, we find J and K such that $|T_J - T'_K| < \epsilon$.
- Let η_1, η_2, \dots and η'_1, η'_2, \dots be i.i.d. independent of T_n and T'_n , taking values 0 and 1 with probability $\frac{1}{2}$.
- Let $\nu_n = \eta_1 + \dots + \eta_n$ and $\nu'_n = 1 + \eta'_1 + \dots + \eta'_n$, $S_n = T_{\nu_n}$, and $S'_n = T'_{\nu'_n}$.



Blackwell's renewal theorem

Proof of Blackwell's theorem in case $\mu < \infty$.

- The increments of $S_n - S'_n$ are 0 with probability $\frac{1}{4}$ and are symmetric about 0. Since ξ_k is nonarithmetic, $S_n - S'_n$ is irreducible. Since the increments have mean 0,

$$N = \inf\{n : |S_n - S'_n| < \epsilon\}$$

has $\text{Prob}(N < \infty) = 1$. Set $J = \nu_N$ and $K = \nu'_N$.

- Define *coupling*

$$T''_n = \begin{cases} T_n & n \leq J \\ T_J + T_{K+(n-J)} - T'_K & n > J \end{cases}.$$

Thus $T''_{j+i} - T''_j = T'_{K+i} - T'_K$ for $i \geq 1$.

- By construction, T_n and T''_n have the same distribution.



Blackwell's renewal theorem

Proof of Blackwell's theorem in case $\mu < \infty$.

- Let

$$N'(s, t) = |\{n : T'_n \in [s, t]\}|, \quad N''(s, t) = |\{n : T''_n \in [s, t]\}|.$$

We have

$$N''(t, t+h) = N'(t + T'_K - T_J, t+h + T'_K - T_J).$$

This is sandwiched between $N'(t + \epsilon, t+h - \epsilon)$ and $N'(t - \epsilon, t+h + \epsilon)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{h - 2\epsilon}{\mu} - \text{Prob}(T_J > t)U(h) &\leq U([t, t+h]) \\ &\leq \frac{h + 2\epsilon}{\mu} + \text{Prob}(T_J > t)U(h). \end{aligned}$$

Renewal equation

Definition

A *renewal equation* is an equation $H = h + H * F$.

Examples include $h \equiv 1$ and $U(t) = 1 + \int_0^t U(t-s)dF(s)$ and $h(t) = G(t)$, $V(t) = G(t) + \int_0^t V(t-s)dF(s)$.

Renewal equation

Theorem

If h is bounded then the function

$$H(t) = \int_0^t h(t-s)dU(s)$$

is the unique solution of the renewal equation that is bounded on bounded intervals.

Renewal equation

Proof.

Let $U_n(A) = \sum_{m=0}^n \text{Prob}(T_m \in A)$ and

$$H_n(t) = \int_0^t h(t-s) dU_n(s) = \sum_{m=0}^n (h * F^{*m})(t).$$

Thus $H_{n+1} = h + H_n * F$. Since $U(t) < \infty$, $U_n(t) \uparrow U(t)$. Hence

$$|H(t) - H_n(t)| \leq \|h\|_\infty |U(t) - U_n(t)|$$

so $H_n(t) \rightarrow H(t)$ uniformly on bounded intervals. Also,

$$|H_n * F(t) - H * F(t)| \leq \sup_{s \leq t} |H_n(s) - H(s)| \leq \|h\|_\infty |U(t) - U_n(t)|.$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, H is a solution of the renewal equation. □

Renewal equation

Proof.

To prove the uniqueness, suppose H_1, H_2 are two solutions, and set $K = H_1 - H_2$ and note $K = K * F$. Iterating gives $K = K * F^{*n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. □

Pedestrian delay

Example

- Consider crossing a road with traffic given by Poisson process with rate λ .
- One unit of time is required to cross the road. Thus the transition time is $\inf\{t : \text{no arrivals in } (t, t + 1]\}$.
- By considering the time of the first arrival, $H(t) = \text{Prob}(M \leq t)$ satisfies

$$H(t) = e^{-\lambda} + \int_0^1 H(t - y)\lambda e^{-\lambda y} dy.$$

- Hence, $H(t) = e^{-\lambda} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F^{*n}(t)$.