Math 141: Lecture 6 Applications of integrals

Bob Hough

September 19, 2016

Bob Hough

Math 141: Lecture 6

September 19, 2016 1 / 33

< 67 ▶

3

### Theorem (Linearity with respect to integrand)

If f and g are integrable on [a, b], then for every pair of constants  $c_1, c_2$ ,  $c_1f + c_2g$  is integrable on [a, b]. Furthermore,

$$\int_{a}^{b} [c_1 f(x) + c_2 g(x)] dx = c_1 \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx + c_2 \int_{a}^{b} g(x) dx.$$

#### Proof.

Last class we checked the claim for f + g, so we'll just check the claim for cf. For each n = 1, 2, ... choose step functions  $s_n < f < t_n$ ,

$$\int_a^b f(x)dx - \frac{1}{n} < \int_a^b s_n(x)dx < \int_a^b t_n(x)dx < \int_a^b f(x)dx + \frac{1}{n}.$$

3

(日) (同) (三) (三)

#### Proof.

Suppose c > 0. Then

$$\int_{a}^{b} ct_{n}(x)dx - \frac{2c}{n} < \int_{a}^{b} cs_{n}(x)dx$$
$$\leq \int_{a}^{b} cf(x)dx \leq \int_{a}^{b} ct_{n}(x)dx.$$

It follows that  $0 \leq \overline{l}(cf) - \underline{l}(cf) < \frac{2c}{n}$  for every *n*, so the two are both equal to  $\int_a^b cf(x)dx$ . The case c < 0 exchanges the role of lower and upper step functions.

Theorem (Additivity with respect to the interval of integration) If two of the following three integrals exist, the third also exists, and we have

$$\int_a^b f(x)dx + \int_b^c f(x)dx = \int_a^c f(x)dx.$$

#### Proof.

Suppose both integrals on the left exist. Choose step functions s, t on [a, b] with  $s \le f \le t$  and step functions s', t' on [b, c] with  $s' \le f \le t'$  and

$$\int_a^b f(x)dx - \frac{1}{n} \le \int_a^b s(x)dx \le \int_a^b t(x)dx \le \int_a^b f(x)dx + \frac{1}{n}$$

with similar inequalities for s', t' on [b, c].

A B F A B F

#### Proof.

Define s" and t" on [a, c] by setting s''(x) = s(x) on [a, b], s''(x) = s'(x) on (b, c], with the corresponding definition of t". Then  $s'' \le f \le t''$  and

$$\int_a^b f(x)dx + \int_b^c f(x)dx - \frac{2}{n} \le \int_a^c s''(x)dx \le \int_a^c t''(x)dx$$
$$\le \int_a^b f(x)dx + \int_b^c f(x)dx + \frac{2}{n}.$$

This proves that the lower and upper integrals are equal to  $\int_a^b f(x)dx + \int_b^c f(x)dx$ .

#### Proof.

Now suppose that f is integrable on [a, b] and on [a, c]. Let s and t be lower and upper step functions for f on [a, b], which approximate the integral to precision  $\frac{1}{n}$  and similarly s' and t' on [a, c]. Define s'', t'' on [a, b] by s'' = s', t'' = t' on (b, c] and

$$s''(x) = \max(s(x), s'(x)), \ t''(x) = \min(t(x), t'(x)), \qquad x \in [a, b].$$

### Proof.

Then

$$\int_b^c t''(x)dx - \int_b^c s''(x)dx = \int_a^c t''(x)dx - \int_a^c s''(x)dx$$
$$- \left(\int_a^b t''(x)dx - \int_a^b s''(x)dx\right) \le \frac{1}{n}.$$

By taking n arbitrarily large, it follows that the upper and lower integrals of f on [b, c] agree.

3

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

< 4 → <

### Proof.

Observe that

$$\int_{a}^{c} f(x)dx - \int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx - \frac{1}{n} \leq \int_{a}^{c} s''(x)dx - \int_{a}^{b} s''(x)dx$$
$$\leq \int_{a}^{c} f(x)dx - \int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx + \frac{1}{n}$$

to complete the proof.

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

3

### Theorem (Invariance under translation)

If f is integrable on [a, b], then for every real c we have

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = \int_{a+c}^{b+c} f(x-c) dx.$$

#### Proof sketch.

If s and t are lower and upper step function for f on [a, b], then s(x - c) and t(x - c) are lower and upper step functions for f(x - c) on [a + c, b + c] with the same integrals. It follows that the lower and upper integrals match on the two intervals.

- 4 週 ト - 4 三 ト - 4 三 ト

Theorem (Expansion or contraction of the interval of integration) If f is integrable on [a, b], then for every real  $k \neq 0$  we have

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx = \frac{1}{k} \int_{ka}^{kb} f\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) dx.$$

#### Proof.

Let k > 0. If s and t are lower and upper step functions for f on [a, b], then  $s\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)$ ,  $t\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)$  are lower and upper step functions for  $f\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)$  on [ka, kb], so the theorem follows from the theorem for step functions. If k < 0, argue the same way, integrating on [kb, ka] instead.

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

### Theorem (Comparison theorem)

If both f and g are integrable on [a, b] and if  $g(x) \le f(x)$  for every x in [a, b], then we have

$$\int_a^b g(x)dx \leq \int_a^b f(x)dx.$$

#### Proof.

Let s be a lower step function for f and s' a lower step function for g. Define  $s'' = \max(s, s')$ , which is still a lower step function for f. Then  $\int_a^b s'(x)dx \le \int_a^b s''(x)dx$ , from which it follows that

$$\int_a^b g(x)dx = \underline{l}(g) \leq \underline{l}(f) = \int_a^b f(x)dx.$$

- 34

・ロン ・四 ・ ・ ヨン ・ ヨン

# The area between two integrable functions

#### Theorem

Assume f and g are integrable and satisfy  $f \le g$  on [a, b]. Then the region S between their graphs is measurable and its area a(S) is given by the integral

$$a(S) = \int_a^b [g(x) - f(x)] dx.$$

The area between two integrable functions

#### Proof.

First suppose that both  $f, g \ge 0$ . Set

$$F = \{(x, y) : a \le x \le b, 0 \le y < f(x)\},\$$
  
$$G = \{(x, y) : a \le x \le b, 0 \le y \le g(x)\}.$$

Then  $S = G \setminus F$ . Thus a(S) = a(G) - a(F). By the theorem proved last lecture regarding the area under the integral of a positive function,

$$a(G) - a(F) = \int_a^b [g(x) - f(x)] dx.$$

Bob Hough

A B A A B A

The area between two integrable functions

#### Proof.

If *f* takes negative values, choose M > 0 such that f > -M. Apply the previous calculation to f + M and g + M and note that this just translates the region *S* by *M* and does not change its area. The same is true of the difference of integrals.

# Area under similarity

#### Theorem

Let  $f \ge 0$  on [a, b] be integrable with ordinate set S of area A. The area of kS is  $k^2A$ .

#### Proof.

Let  $g(x) = kf\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)$  on [ka, kb]. The ordinate set of g is the set kS. By the properties of the integral,

$$a(kS) = \int_{ka}^{kb} g(x) dx = k \int_{ka}^{kb} f\left(\frac{x}{k}\right) dx = k^2 A.$$

# Example integral

#### Theorem

Let 
$$a \ge 0$$
,  $\int_0^a x^{\frac{1}{2}} dx = \frac{2}{3}a^{\frac{3}{2}}$ .

#### Proof.

Since the function  $x^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is increasing, it is non-negative and integrable. Write *S* for its ordinate set on [0, a], with area  $a(S) = \int_0^a x^{\frac{1}{2}} dx$ . Let *R* be the rectangle with corners at (0, 0) and  $(a, a^{\frac{1}{2}})$ . The set  $R \setminus S$  is the ordinate set minus the graph of the function  $x = y^2$  on  $[0, a^{\frac{1}{2}}]$ , with area  $\frac{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}{3}$ . Thus *S* has area  $\frac{2}{3}a^{\frac{3}{2}}$ .

Recall that  $\pi$  is defined to be the area of a circle of unit radius, also, half the circumference of such a circle.

- Angles are measured in radians. The radian measure of an angle is twice the area of the sector subtended.
- The (x, y) coordinates of the subtended angle  $\theta$  are  $(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$ .
- For  $0 < x < \frac{\pi}{2}$ ,  $0 < \cos x < \frac{\sin x}{x} < 1.$

#### Theorem

$$sin \frac{\pi}{2} - x = \cos x$$

$$cos - x = cos x, sin - x = -sin x$$

$$on y - x = \cos y \cos x + \sin y \sin x.$$

#### Proof.

By Euler's formula  $e^{ix} = \cos x + i \sin x$ ,

$$e^{i(\frac{\pi}{2}-x)} = e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}}e^{i(-x)} = \frac{i}{\cos x + i\sin x} = \sin x + i\cos x.$$

We have  $e^{-ix} = \cos(-x) + i \sin - x = \frac{1}{e^{ix}} = \cos x - i \sin x$ . By Euler again,

$$\cos y - x = \Re e^{i(y-x)} = \Re(\cos y + i \sin y)(\cos x - i \sin x)$$
$$= \cos y \cos x + \sin y \sin x.$$

#### Theorem

•  $\cos a - \cos b = -2\sin \frac{a-b}{2}\sin \frac{a+b}{2}; \cos x \text{ decreases, } \sin x \text{ increases on } [0, \frac{\pi}{2}].$ 

 $\sin x + y = \sin x \cos y + \cos x \sin y.$ 

#### Proof.

Use 
$$\sin x = \frac{e^{ix} - e^{-ix}}{2i}$$
 to write  
 $-2\sin\frac{a-b}{2}\sin\frac{a+b}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\left(e^{\frac{i(a-b)}{2}} - e^{-\frac{i(a-b)}{2}}\right)\left(e^{\frac{i(a+b)}{2}} - e^{-\frac{i(a+b)}{2}}\right)$   
 $= \frac{e^{ia} + e^{-ia}}{2} - \frac{e^{ib} + e^{-ib}}{2} = \cos a - \cos b.$ 

 $\sin x + y = \Im e^{i(x+y)} = \Im \left( (\cos x + i \sin x) (\cos x + i \sin y) \right)$  $= \cos x \sin y + \sin x \cos y.$ 

#### Theorem

For each  $n = 1, 2, ..., and x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$2\sin\frac{x}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\cos kx\right)=\sin\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)x.$$

### Proof.

Use  $\cos x = \frac{e^{ix} + e^{-ix}}{2}$ ,  $\sin x = \frac{e^{ix} - e^{-ix}}{2i}$ . The identity rearranges to

$$\left(e^{i\frac{x}{2}}-e^{-i\frac{x}{2}}\right)\sum_{k=-n}^{n}e^{ikx}=\left(e^{i(n+\frac{1}{2})x}-e^{-i(n+\frac{1}{2})x}\right).$$

This may be verified by induction on *n*.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

#### Theorem

If  $0 < a < \frac{\pi}{2}$  and n is sufficiently large, we have

$$\frac{a}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\cos\frac{ka}{n} < \sin a < \frac{a}{2n} + \frac{a}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\cos\frac{ka}{n}.$$

Since cos is decreasing on  $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$  it is integrable, with equal subdivision lower integrals given by

$$\frac{a}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\cos\frac{ka}{n}$$

Since the error from this lower integral is bounded by a constant times  $\frac{1}{n}$ , it follows that  $\int_0^a \cos\theta d\theta = \sin a$ .

One obtains

$$\int_0^a \sin \theta d\theta = \int_0^a \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta\right) d\theta = \int_{\frac{\pi}{2} - a}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos \theta d\theta = 1 - \cos a.$$
(1)

Bob	

3

3 × 4 3 ×

### Proof of inequality with sum of cosines.

By the formula two slides previous,

$$\frac{a}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\cos\frac{ka}{n} = \frac{\sin\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{a}{n} - \sin\frac{a}{2n}}{\frac{2n}{a}\sin\frac{a}{2n}}$$

Set  $\theta = \frac{a}{2n}$ . By the angle addition formula,

$$\sin(2n+1)\theta = \sin 2n\theta \cos \theta + \cos 2n\theta \sin \theta < \sin 2n\theta \frac{\sin \theta}{\theta} + \sin \theta.$$

This rearranges to the first claimed inequality

$$\frac{\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})\frac{a}{n}-\sin\frac{a}{2n}}{\frac{2n}{a}\sin\frac{a}{2n}} < \sin a.$$

Bob Hough

(日)

#### Proof.

To prove the second inequality, write

$$\frac{a}{2n} + \frac{a}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \cos \frac{ka}{n} = \frac{\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})\frac{a}{n}}{\frac{2n}{a} \sin \frac{a}{2n}}.$$

Thus the second inequality reduces to

$$\frac{2n}{a}\sin\frac{a}{2n}\sin a < \sin a < \sin(n+\frac{1}{2})\frac{a}{n}.$$

This holds for all *n* large enough so that  $\frac{n+\frac{1}{2}}{n}a \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ , since  $\frac{\sin\theta}{\theta} < 1$ , and  $\sin\theta$  is increasing on  $[0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ .

(本理) (本語) (本語) (二語

### Polar coordinates

Let  $f \ge 0$  on an interval [a, b], where  $0 \le b - a \le 2\pi$ .

- The *radial set* of f over [a, b] is the set of points in polar coordinates
   {(r, θ) : a ≤ θ ≤ b, 0 ≤ r ≤ f(θ)}.
- If f is a constant s on interval [a, b], the area of the corresponding sector is  $\frac{1}{2}(b-a)s^2$ .

# Polar coordinates

#### Theorem

Let R denote the radial set of a nonnegative function f over an interval [a, b], where  $0 \le b - a \le 2\pi$ , and assume that R is measurable. If  $f^2$  is integrable on [a, b] the area of R is given by the integral

$$a(R)=rac{1}{2}\int_{a}^{b}f^{2}( heta)d heta.$$

#### Proof.

Let s and t be step functions with radial sets S, T and satisfying  $s \le f \le t$ . Then  $S \subset R \subset T$ . Hence

$$\int_a^b s^2( heta) d heta \leq 2a(R) \leq \int_a^b t^2( heta) d heta.$$

Since  $s^2$  and  $t^2$  are arbitrary lower and upper step functions for  $f^2$ , the claim follows.

Bob Hough

Math 141: Lecture 6

# Average value of a function

Given *n* numbers  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ , their arithmetic mean, or average, is

$$\overline{a} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k.$$

### Definition

If f is integrable on an interval [a, b], we define A(f), the average value of f on [a, b], by the formula

$$A(f) = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx.$$

DI	1.1
BOD	Hough

### Average value of a function

Let  $w_1, w_2, ..., w_n$  be non-negative numbers, not all zero. The weighted mean of  $a_1, ..., a_n$ 

$$\overline{a} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_k a_k}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_k}.$$

Let w be a non-negative integrable function  $\int_a^b w(x) > 0$ . The weighted mean of f(x) on [a, b]

$$A(f) = \frac{\int_a^b f(x)w(x)dx}{\int_a^b w(x)dx}$$

# Metal rod example

A straight rod of length *a* and positive mass is positioned on the *x*-axis interval [0, a] with integrable mass-density function  $\rho(x)$ . This means that the mass of the rod between *b* and *c* is  $\int_{b}^{c} \rho(x) dx$ .

• The center of mass is

$$\overline{x} = \frac{\int_0^a x \rho(x) dx}{\int_0^a \rho(x)}.$$

- The moment of inertia is  $\int_0^a x^2 \rho(x) dx$ .
- The radius of gyration is

$$r^{2} = \frac{\int_{0}^{a} x^{2} \rho(x) dx}{\int_{0}^{a} \rho(x) dx}.$$

# Properties of indefinite integrals

Let f be a function on [a, b] such that the integral  $\int_a^x f(t)dt$  exists for each  $x \in [a, b]$ . The function

$$F(x) = \int_a^x f(t) dt, \qquad a \le x \le b$$

is an indefinite integral of f.

One has

$$\int_c^d f(t)dt = F(x)|_c^d = F(d) - F(c).$$

# Convexity

### Definition

A function f on [a, b] is *convex*, resp. *concave* if, for all  $x, y \in [a, b]$  and all  $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ ,

$$g(\alpha y + (1 - \alpha)x) \le \alpha g(y) + (1 - \alpha)g(x)$$

resp.

$$g(\alpha y + (1 - \alpha)x) \ge \alpha g(y) + (1 - \alpha)g(x).$$

Hough

- 32

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

# Properties of indefinite integrals

#### Theorem

Let  $A(x) = \int_{a}^{x} f(t)dt$ . Then A is convex on every interval on which f is increasing, and concave on every interval on which f is decreasing.

# Properties of indefinite integrals

#### Proof.

Let  $x, y \in [a, b]$  with x < y. Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and set  $z = \alpha y + (1 - \alpha)x$ . Write  $A(z) = (1 - \alpha)A(z) + \alpha A(z)$ . It suffices to show

$$(1-\alpha)[A(z)-A(x)] \leq \alpha[A(y)-A(z)],$$

or, writing  $z - x = \alpha(y - x)$ ,  $y - z = (1 - \alpha)(y - x)$ ,

$$\frac{A(z)-A(x)}{z-x} \leq \frac{A(y)-A(z)}{y-z}.$$

This follows from the mean property

LHS = 
$$\frac{1}{z-x}\int_x^z f(t)dt \leq f(z) \leq \frac{1}{y-z}\int_y^z f(t)dt =$$
RHS.

- 3