

# Math 141: Lecture 24

## Equidistribution modulo 1 and related problems

Bob Hough

December 7, 2016

# Fejér's kernel

## Definition

Let  $N \geq 1$ . The function

$$K_N(x) = \frac{D_N(x)^2}{2N+1} = \frac{1}{2N+1} \left( \frac{\sin 2\pi(N + \frac{1}{2})x}{\sin \pi x} \right)^2$$

is called *Fejér's kernel*. It has Fourier coefficients

$$\hat{K}_N(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{2N+1-|n|}{2N+1} & |n| \leq 2N \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} .$$

# Fejér's kernel

## Theorem

*Fejér's kernel satisfies the following properties.*

- 1  $K_N(x) \geq 0$
- 2  $\int_0^1 K_N(x) dx = 1$
- 3 For each fixed  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_\delta^{1-\delta} K_N(x) dx = 0$ .

These properties make  $K_N(x)$  a 'summability kernel'.

# Convergence in $L^1$

## Theorem

Let  $f$  be integrable on  $[0, 1]$ . Then for any  $N \geq 1$ ,

$$\int_0^1 |f * K_N(x)| dx \leq \int_0^1 |f(x)| dx.$$

The quantity  $\|f\|_1 = \int_0^1 |f(x)| dx$  is called the  $L^1$  norm of the function  $f$ .

# Convergence in $L^1$

Proof.

By positivity of  $K_N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 |f * K_N(x)| dx &= \int_0^1 \left| \int_0^1 f(t) K_N(x-t) dt \right| dx \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |f(t)| K_N(x-t) dt dx \\ &= \sum_{n=-2N}^{2N} \frac{2N+1-|n|}{2N+1} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |f(t)| e^{2\pi i n(x-t)} dt dx \\ &= \sum_{n=-2N}^{2N} \frac{2N+1-|n|}{2N+1} \int_0^1 e^{2\pi i n x} \int_0^1 |f(t)| e^{-2\pi i n t} dt dx\end{aligned}$$

The inner integral over  $t$  is a constant which depends on  $n$  but not  $x$ . Treating this as fixed we may integrate in  $x$  to eliminate all but  $n = 0$ , which leaves  $\int_0^1 |f * K_N(x)| dx \leq \int_0^1 |f(t)| dt$ . □

# Convergence in $L^1$

## Theorem

Let  $f$  be integrable on  $[0, 1]$ . Then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 |f(x) - f * K_N(x)| dx = 0.$$

# Convergence in $L^1$

## Proof.

Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , choose continuous  $f_1$  such that  $\int_0^1 |f(x) - f_1(x)| dx < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ .  
Choose  $N$  sufficiently large so that  $|f_1(x) - f_1 * K_N(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ , uniformly in  $x$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 |f(x) - f * K_N(x)| dx \\ &= \int_0^1 |(f - f_1)(x) + (f_1 - f_1 * K_N)(x) + ((f_1 - f) * K_N)(x)| dx \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |(f - f_1)(x)| dx + \int_0^1 |(f_1 - f_1 * K_N)(x)| dx \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 |f - f_1| * K_N(x) dx \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$



# Equidistribution modulo 1

## Definition

A sequence of real numbers  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is said to *equidistribute modulo 1* if for each interval  $[\alpha, \beta) \subset [0, 1)$ ,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{n \leq N : a_n \bmod 1 \in [\alpha, \beta)\}}{N} = \beta - \alpha.$$

The distribution of real numbers modulo 1 and related questions plays an important role in several areas of modern analysis, including analytic number theory, probability, partial differential equations, and quantum mechanics.



# Weyl's criterion

Our discussion of Fourier series permits us to prove the following famous condition of Hermann Weyl regarding equidistribution modulo 1.

## Theorem (Weyl's criterion for equidistribution modulo 1)

*The sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is equidistributed modulo 1 if and only if, for each integer  $m \neq 0$ ,*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\pi i m a_n} = 0.$$

# Hermann Weyl



# Weyl's criterion

## Proof.

First suppose that  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is equidistributed modulo 1. After removing the integer part, assume that  $0 \leq a_n < 1$  for each  $n$ .

- Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , approximate the function  $e^{2\pi imx}$  with a step function

$$s(x) = \sum_{k=1}^M c_k 1_{[\alpha_k, \beta_k)}(x)$$

such that for each  $x \in [0, 1)$ ,  $|s(x) - e^{2\pi imx}| < \epsilon$ .

- Let  $N$  be sufficiently large so that, for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots, M$ ,

$$\left| \frac{\#\{n \leq N : a_n \in [\alpha_k, \beta_k)\}}{N} - (\beta_k - \alpha_k) \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{\sum_{k=1}^M |c_k|}.$$



# Weyl's criterion

## Proof.

- Calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\pi i m a_n} \right| &\leq \epsilon + \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N s(a_n) \right| \\ &= \epsilon + \left| \sum_{k=1}^M c_k \frac{\#\{n \leq N : a_n \in [\alpha_k, \beta_k)\}}{N} \right| \\ &< 2\epsilon + \left| \sum_{k=1}^M c_k (\beta_k - \alpha_k) \right| \end{aligned}$$

- Notice that  $\sum_{k=1}^M c_k (\beta_k - \alpha_k) = \int_0^1 s(x) dx$ , and  $\left| \int_0^1 s(x) dx \right| \leq \left| \int_0^1 e^{2\pi i m x} dx \right| + \epsilon = \epsilon$ . Hence  $\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\pi i m a_n} \right| < 3\epsilon$ .



# Weyl's criterion

## Proof.

Now suppose that Weyl's criterion is satisfied, that is, for each fixed integer  $m \neq 0$ ,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\pi i m a_n} = 0.$$

Given an interval  $[\alpha, \beta) \subset [0, 1)$ , let  $f_{\alpha, \beta}$  be the function

$$f_{\alpha, \beta}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \bmod 1 \in [\alpha, \beta) \\ 0 & x \bmod 1 \notin [\alpha, \beta) \end{cases}.$$

Thus

$$\frac{\#\{n \leq N : a_n \bmod 1 \in [\alpha, \beta)\}}{N} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f_{\alpha, \beta}(a_n).$$



# Weyl's criterion

## Proof.

We claim that, for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist *minorant* and *majorant* trigonometric polynomials  $m_\epsilon(x) = \sum_{k=-K}^K c_k e^{2\pi i k x}$  and  $M_\epsilon(x) = \sum_{k=-K}^K C_k e^{2\pi i k x}$  which take real values, and satisfy

- For each  $x \in [0, 1)$ ,

$$m_\epsilon(x) \leq f_{\alpha,\beta}(x) \leq M_\epsilon(x).$$

- 

$$\epsilon + \int_0^1 m_\epsilon(x) dx = \epsilon + c_0 > C_0 = \int_0^1 M_\epsilon(x) dx.$$



# Weyl's criterion

## Proof.

Assuming the existence of the minorant and majorant, Weyl's proof is concluded as follows. For each  $N$ ,

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N m_{\epsilon}(a_n) \leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f_{\alpha, \beta}(a_n) \leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N M_{\epsilon}(a_n).$$

Expand the left hand side as

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=-K}^K c_k e^{2\pi i k a_n} = \sum_{k=-K}^K c_k \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\pi i k a_n} \right) = c_0 + o(1)$$

as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Similarly, the right hand side is  $C_0 + o(1)$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $c_0 \leq \beta - \alpha \leq C_0$  and  $C_0$  and  $c_0$  differ by at most  $\epsilon$ , letting  $\epsilon \downarrow 0$  it follows that  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f_{\alpha, \beta}(a_n) = \beta - \alpha$  as required. □

# Majorant and minorant

## Theorem

Let  $0 \leq \alpha < \beta < 1$ . For each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist real trigonometric polynomials  $m_\epsilon(x) = \sum_{n=-N}^N c_n e^{2\pi i n x}$  and  $M_\epsilon(x) = \sum_{n=-N}^N C_n e^{2\pi i n x}$  satisfying

- $m_\epsilon(x) \leq f_{\alpha,\beta}(x) \leq M_\epsilon(x)$
- $c_0 + \epsilon > C_0$ .



# Majorant and minorant

## Proof.

First, choose real continuous functions  $g$  and  $h$  which are 1-periodic, such that  $g(x) \leq f_{\alpha,\beta}(x) \leq h(x)$  and

$$\frac{\epsilon}{5} + \int_0^1 g(x) dx > \int_0^1 h(x) dx.$$

Choose  $N$  sufficiently large so that uniformly in  $x$ ,  $|g(x) - g * K_N(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{5}$  and  $|h(x) - h * K_N(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{5}$ . Set

$$m_\epsilon(x) = -\frac{\epsilon}{5} + g * K_N(x), \quad M_\epsilon(x) = \frac{\epsilon}{5} + h * K_N(x).$$



# Majorant and minorant

Proof.

Recall

$$m_\epsilon(x) = -\frac{\epsilon}{5} + g * K_N(x), \quad M_\epsilon(x) = \frac{\epsilon}{5} + h * K_N(x).$$

These are real trigonometric polynomials, since the Fejér kernel is real, and satisfy  $m_\epsilon(x) \leq g(x) \leq f_{\alpha,\beta}(x) \leq h(x) \leq M_\epsilon(x)$  for all  $x$ . Also,

$$\int_0^1 M_\epsilon(x) dx - \int_0^1 m_\epsilon(x) dx < \frac{4\epsilon}{5} + \int_0^1 h(x) - g(x) dx < \epsilon.$$



# Equidistribution modulo 1

## Theorem

*Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  be a real number. The sequence  $\{\alpha n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is equidistributed modulo 1 if and only if  $\alpha$  is irrational.*

# Equidistribution modulo 1

Proof.

If  $\alpha = \frac{p}{q}$  is rational, then choosing  $m = q$  in Weyl's criterion obtains

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\pi i q n \alpha} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\pi i n p} = 1$$

for all  $N$ . Hence the sequence fails to be equidistributed modulo 1.

Now suppose that  $\alpha$  is irrational. For each  $m \neq 0$ ,

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\pi i m n \alpha} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{N} \frac{e^{2\pi i m \alpha} - e^{2\pi i m (N+1) \alpha}}{1 - e^{2\pi i m \alpha}} \right| \leq \frac{2}{N} \frac{1}{|1 - e^{2\pi i m \alpha}|}.$$

Since this tends to 0 as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  the sequence is asymptotically equidistributed by Weyl's criterion. □

# Finite differencing

## Theorem

*The sequence  $\{n^\alpha\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a sequence of integers if and only if  $\alpha$  is a non-negative integer.*

# Finite differencing

## Proof.

- The integrality in the case  $\alpha$  is a non-negative integer is obvious.
- If  $\alpha < 0$  then  $n^\alpha$  decreases to 0, so is not a sequence of integers.
- Define the finite difference operator on sequences by
$$\Delta a_n = a_{n+1} - a_n.$$
- Let  $m \geq 0$  satisfy  $m < \alpha < m + 1$ . Consider the sequence

$$\{b_n = \Delta^{m+1}(n^\alpha)\}_{n=1}^\infty.$$

If  $\{n^\alpha\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a sequence of integers, then so is  $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ , so it suffices to show that this is not the case.



# Finite differencing

## Proof.

- Given  $f(x) = x^\beta$ , use Taylor's formula with remainder to write, for any  $n \geq 1$  and  $x \geq 0$ ,

$$f(x+1) - f(x) = \beta x^{\beta-1} + \binom{\beta}{2} x^{\beta-2} + \dots + \binom{\beta}{n} x^{\beta-n} + \binom{\beta}{n} (\beta-n) \int_0^1 (1-t)^n (x+t)^{\beta-n-1} dt.$$

As  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , the error integral is  $O(x^{\beta-n-1})$ .

- Iterating this formula  $m+1$  times, we find that, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$b_n = (\alpha)(\alpha-1) \cdots (\alpha-m) n^{\alpha-m-1} + O(n^{\alpha-m-2}).$$

- Since  $m < \alpha < m+1$ , for  $n$  sufficiently large  $0 < b_n < 1$ .



# Finite differencing

In fact, using differencing methods and Weyl's criterion it is possible to show that the sequence  $\{n^\alpha\}_{n=1}^\infty$  becomes equidistributed modulo 1 if  $\alpha$  is a positive non-integer real number.



# Generating functions

## Problem

*When two standard dice are rolled, the probability distribution of the sum is distributed like the Fejér kernel:*

$$p(n) = \frac{6 - |7 - n|}{36}, \quad 2 \leq n \leq 12.$$

*Find two six-sided dice A and B with positive numbers other than 1–6, which, when rolled, give the same probability distribution for the sum.*

# Generating functions

## Solution

Represent the two standard dice with the polynomial generating function  $x + x^2 + x^3 + x^4 + x^5 + x^6$ , where the coefficient on  $x^i$  represents the number of faces numbered  $i$ . Hence the counts for outcomes when the two dice are rolled is represented by

$$\begin{aligned} &(x + x^2 + x^3 + x^4 + x^5 + x^6)^2 \\ &= x^2 + 2x^3 + 3x^4 + 4x^5 + 5x^6 + 6x^7 + 5x^8 + 4x^9 + 3x^{10} + 2x^{11} + x^{12}. \end{aligned}$$

Factor

$$x + x^2 + x^3 + x^4 + x^5 + x^6 = x(1 + x)(1 + x + x^2)(1 - x + x^2).$$

# Generating functions

## Solution

*Since the dice are six sided, the coefficients should be non-negative and sum to 6. This fixes the value of the generating function at  $x = 1$ . Thus each die must contain a factor of  $(1 + x)$  and  $(1 + x + x^2)$ . To make all the numbers positive, each die must contain a factor of  $x$ . The only possibility is (up to exchanging their order),*

$$A(x) = x(1 + x)(1 + x + x^2)(1 - x + x^2)^2 = x + x^3 + x^4 + x^5 + x^6 + x^8,$$

$$B(x) = x(1 + x)(1 + x + x^2) = x + 2x^2 + 2x^3 + x^4.$$

*Thus, one die has numbers 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8 and the other has 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4.*