Math 141: Lecture 18 Sequences and infinite series

Bob Hough

November 14, 2016

Bob Hough

Math 141: Lecture 18

November 14, 2016 1 / 44

## Sequences

Recall the definition of a sequence.

### Definition

A sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a function *a* whose domain is the positive integers.

Sequences are often written beginning at either index 0 or 1.

## Examples

- The sequence  $\{a_n = \frac{1}{n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  begins  $1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{5}, \dots$
- The sequence of powers of 2  $\{a_n = 2^n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  begins

 $1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, \ldots$ 

• The sequence  $\{\sin(\pi n/2)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  begins

$$1, 0, -1, 0, 1, 0, -1, 0, 1, 0, -1, \dots$$

- 3

くほと くほと くほと

# Limits

## Definition

A sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  has limit *L* if, for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is  $N \ge 0$  such that n > N implies

$$|a_n-L|<\epsilon.$$

A sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  has limit  $\infty$  if, for each M > 0 there is  $N \ge 0$  such that n > N implies  $a_n > M$ .

# Examples

• If 
$$\alpha > 0$$
,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha}} = 0$ .  
•  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x^n = \begin{cases} 0 & |x| < 1\\ 1 & x = 1\\ \text{Does not exist} & x = -1\\ \infty & x > 1 \end{cases}$   
•  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(1 + \frac{a}{n}\right)^n = e^a$ .

- 2

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

# Monotonic sequences

## Definition

A sequence  ${f(n)}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is *increasing* if for all *n*,

 $f(n+1) \geq f(n),$ 

and decreasing if for all n,

 $f(n+1) \leq f(n).$ 

The sequence is *monotonic* if it is increasing or decreasing.

# Convergence of monotonic sequences

#### Theorem

A monotonic sequence is convergent if and only if it is bounded.

## Proof.

First suppose the sequence  $\{f(n)\}$  is bounded and suppose without loss of generality that it is increasing, otherwise replace f(n) with -f(n).

- Since {f(n)} is bounded it has a sup, α. We'll show that this is the limit.
- Given  $\epsilon > 0$  choose N such that  $\alpha f(N) < \epsilon$ .
- Since f is increasing, and since  $\alpha$  is an upper bound, for n > N,  $\alpha \epsilon < f(n) \le \alpha$ .

(日) (周) (三) (三)

# Convergence of monotonic sequences

### Proof.

Now suppose  $\{f(n)\}$  has limit L. Let N be such that n > N implies |f(n) - L| < 1. Let  $M = \max\{|f(n)| : 0 \le n \le N\}$ . It follows that for all n,

 $|f(n)| \leq \max\{|L|+1, M\}.$ 

Note that in the second part of the proof, monotonicity was not used. A convergent sequence is bounded.

# Cauchy sequences

### Definition

A sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is *Cauchy* if, for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists N > 0 such that m, n > N implies  $|a_n - a_m| < \epsilon$ .

3

## **Examples**

The sequence  $\{a_n = \frac{1}{2^n}\}$  is Cauchy. To prove this, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , choose N such that  $\frac{1}{2^N} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . For m, n > N, by the triangle inequality,

$$\left|\frac{1}{2^m} - \frac{1}{2^n}\right| \le \frac{1}{2^m} + \frac{1}{2^n} < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon.$$

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

# limsup and liminf

## Definition

Let  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be a bounded sequence. The *limit supremum* of  $\{a_n\}$  is

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} a_n = \lim_{N\to\infty} \sup\{a_n : n > N\}.$$

The *limit infimum* of  $\{a_n\}$  is

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} a_n = \lim_{N\to\infty} \inf\{a_n : n > N\}.$$

Both of these limits exist.

3

- 4 週 ト - 4 三 ト - 4 三 ト

# limsup and liminf

### Theorem

Let  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be a bounded sequence. The limits supremum and infimum of  $a_n$  exist.

## Proof.

- To check that the limit supremum exists, let |a<sub>n</sub>| ≤ M and define sequence {b<sub>N</sub>}<sup>∞</sup><sub>N=0</sub> by b<sub>N</sub> = sup{a<sub>n</sub> : n > N}.
- Observe that  $|b_N| \le M$  for all N, since M is an upper bound for  $\{|a_n|\}$ .
- The sequence  $\{b_N\}$  is decreasing, since if N < M, the sup in  $b_M$  is taken over a subset of the set in the sup of  $b_N$ .
- Since  $\{b_N\}_{N=0}^{\infty}$  is bounded and monotonic, it converges.

The argument for the limit infimum follows on replacing  $a_n$  with  $-a_n$ .

(日) (同) (三) (三)

#### Theorem

Let  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of real numbers. The sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  has a limit if and only if it is Cauchy.

#### Proof.

First suppose  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  converges to a limit *L*. Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , choose N > 0 such that n > N implies  $|a_n - L| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Then for m, n > N, by the triangle inequality,

$$|a_m-a_n|=|(a_m-L)+(L-a_n)|\leq |a_m-L|+|L-a_n|<\epsilon,$$

so the sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is Cauchy.

(人間) トイヨト イヨト ニヨ

### Proof.

Next suppose that  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a Cauchy sequence. We show that it has a limit. Observe that the Cauchy property implies that  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is bounded.

- Thus  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is bounded by the maximum of  $|a_{N+1}| + 1$  and the size of all terms preceding  $|a_{N+1}|$ , of which there are only finitely many.

## Proof.

- Since {a<sub>n</sub>}<sup>∞</sup><sub>n=0</sub> is bounded, its limit supremum exists, call it α. We show that the limit of {a<sub>n</sub>}<sup>∞</sup><sub>n=0</sub> is α, also.
- Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , use the Cauchy property to choose N such that n, m > N implies  $|a_n a_m| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ .
- Since the sequence  $b_M = \sup\{a_n : n > M\}$  decreases to limit  $\alpha$ , we can choose  $N_1 > N$  such that  $M > N_1$  implies that  $\alpha \le \sup\{a_n : n > M\} < \alpha + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ .
- Choose  $m > N_1$  such that  $\alpha \frac{\epsilon}{2} < a_m < \alpha + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ .
- It follows that, for n > N,

$$|\mathbf{a}_n - \alpha| \le |(\mathbf{a}_n - \mathbf{a}_m) + (\mathbf{a}_m - \alpha)| \le |\mathbf{a}_n - \mathbf{a}_m| + |\mathbf{a}_m - \alpha| < \epsilon$$

(日) (同) (三) (三)

### Definition

A metric space in which every Cauchy sequence converges to a limit is called *complete*.

## Infinite series

#### Definition

Given a sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , its sequence of partial sums is the sequence  $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  defined by

$$s_n=\sum_{k=1}^n a_k.$$

The sequence  $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is also called an *infinite series* or *series* and is denoted  $\infty$ 

$$\sum_{k=1}a_k.$$

ecture 18

Bob Hough	Math 141: L
DOD HOUGH	

# Convergence

### Definition

Given a sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , the infinite series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  converges to the limit *L* if the sequence of partial sums  $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  has limit *L*,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}s_n=L.$$

In this case we write  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k = L$ .

If  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  does not converge to a finite limit, it is said to *diverge*. If  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = \infty$  we write  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k = \infty$  and say that the infinite series *diverges to infinity*.

# Properties of convergent series

#### Theorem

Let  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be sequences of complex numbers with convergent infinite series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k$ . Then for any complex numbers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , the sequence  $\{\alpha a_n + \beta b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  has a convergent infinite series, and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\alpha a_k + \beta b_k) = \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k + \beta \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k.$$

### Proof.

Denote  $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  the sequences of partial sums of  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\{\alpha a_n + \beta b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . Then for each n,  $u_n = \alpha s_n + \beta t_n$ . By the linearity property of limits,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} u_n = \alpha \lim_{n\to\infty} s_n + \beta \lim_{n\to\infty} t_n.$$

This is the stated result.

# Properties of convergent series

#### Theorem

Let  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be sequences such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  converges, but  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$  diverges. Then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n + b_n)$  diverges. If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n = \infty$  then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n + b_n) = \infty$ .

### Proof.

The first statement follows immediately from the previous theorem, since if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n + b_n)$  were convergent, this would imply the convergence of  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$ . The second statement is straightforward.

# Properties of convergent series

#### Theorem

Let  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence and suppose that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  converges. Then  $a_n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

### Proof.

Let  $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be the sequence of partial sums. Since this sum converges, it is Cauchy. Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , let N be such that n > m > N implies  $|s_n - s_m| < \epsilon$ . In particular,  $|a_{m+1}| = |s_{m+1} - s_m| < \epsilon$  so  $a_m \to 0$ .

# Examples

•  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} = 1$ . To prove this, let m < n and note that the sequence of partial sums satisfies

$$s_n - s_m = \sum_{k=m+1}^n \frac{1}{2^k} = \frac{1}{2^m} - \frac{1}{2^n}.$$

Since this tends to 0 as a function of *m* the sequence is Cauchy, hence converges to a limit *s*. Notice that s = 2s - s = 1.

•  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} = \infty$ . To check this, note that the partial sums  $s_n$  satisfy

$$s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} = \int_1^{n+1} \frac{dx}{\lfloor x \rfloor} > \int_1^{n+1} \frac{dx}{x} = \log(n+1).$$

Thus the sequence of partial sums tends to infinity.

•  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} + \frac{1}{k} = \infty$ . This now follows from the previous theorem.

# Telescoping series

Let  $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence. The sequence  $\{a_n = b_n - b_{n+1}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  has sequence of partial sums  $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  given by

$$s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k = b_1 - b_{n+1}.$$

This series is called a telescoping series.

# Telescoping series

#### Theorem

Let  $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\{a_n = b_n - b_{n+1}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be two sequences of complex numbers. The series  $\sum a_n$  converges if and only if the sequence  $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges, in which case we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}a_n=b_1-\lim_{n\to\infty}b_n.$$

### Proof.

This follows from the basic properties of limits.

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

# Example

•  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n+1)} = 1$ . To check this, note that the sequence  $\{a_n = \frac{1}{n(n+1)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  satisfies

$$a_n = rac{1}{n} - rac{1}{n+1}.$$

The sum now follows from the telescoping property via sequence  $\{b_n = \frac{1}{n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ .

• For x a complex number other than a negative integer,

 $\frac{1}{(n+x)(n+x+1)(n+x+2)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{(n+x)(n+x+1)} - \frac{1}{(n+x+1)(n+x+2)} \right).$  Thus, by the telescoping property, since  $\frac{1}{(n+x)(n+x+1)}$  tends to 0 as  $n \to \infty$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+x)(n+x+1)(n+x+2)} = \frac{1}{2(x+1)(x+2)}.$$

# Example

• The series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log \frac{n}{n+1}$  diverges to negative infinity, since  $\log \frac{n}{n+1} = \log n - \log(n+1)$ , and the series telescopes.

3

A B F A B F

< 67 ▶

## Geometric series

### Theorem

If x is complex and |x| < 1, the geometric series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n$  converges and

$$1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + \dots = \frac{1}{1 - x}.$$

If  $|x| \ge 1$  the series diverges.

3

## Geometric series

## Proof.

The sequence of partial sums  $s_n$  satisfies

$$s_n = 1 + x + x^2 + \dots + x^n = \begin{cases} \frac{1 - x^{n+1}}{1 - x} & x \neq 1\\ n & x = 1 \end{cases}$$

This converges, with value  $\frac{1}{1-x}$ , if and only if |x| < 1.

3

- 4 目 ト - 4 日 ト - 4 日 ト

.

# Examples

- For |x| < 1,
  - Replacing x with  $x^2$  obtains

$$1 + x^{2} + x^{4} + \dots + x^{2n} + \dots = \frac{1}{1 - x^{2}}.$$

• Multiplying by x,

$$x + x^{3} + x^{5} + \dots + x^{2n+1} + \dots = \frac{x}{1 - x^{2}}.$$

• Replacing x with -x obtains

$$1 - x + x^{2} - x^{3} + \dots + (-1)^{n} x^{n} + \dots = \frac{1}{1 + x}.$$

• Replacing x by  $x^2$  obtains

$$1 - x^{2} + x^{4} - \dots + (-1)^{n} x^{2n} + \dots = \frac{1}{1 + x^{2}}.$$

3

# Alternating series

### Definition

A sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is said to be *alternating* if, for all  $n \ge 1$ ,  $a_n a_{n+1} \le 0$ .

#### Theorem

Let  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be an alternating sequence and suppose that  $\{|a_n|\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is decreasing and tends to 0. Then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = L$  converges to a finite limit, and, for each  $N \ge 1$ ,

$$\left|L-\sum_{n=1}^N a_n\right|\leq |a_{N+1}|.$$

# Alternating series

### Proof.

Without loss of generality, assume that  $a_1 \ge 0$ . Otherwise, multiply the sequence by -1.

• Since  $|a_{2n}| \ge |a_{2n+1}| \ge |a_{2n+2}|$  and  $a_{2n}, a_{2n+2} \le 0$  while  $a_{2n+1} \ge 0$ , it follows that the partial sums satisfy

$$s_1 \geq s_3 \geq s_5 \geq \dots, \qquad s_2 \leq s_4 \leq s_6 \leq \dots,$$

and, for all  $n \ge 1$ ,  $s_{2n-1} \ge s_{2n}$  and  $s_{2n} \le s_{2n+1}$ .

• It follows that, for all N > 2n,  $s_{2n} \le s_N \le s_{2n-1}$ . Since the odd partial sums are decreasing and bounded below, they converge to a limit *o*. Since the even partial sums are increasing and bounded above they converge to a limit *e*.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

# Alternating series

#### Proof.

- One has, for any  $n, s_{2n} \le e \le o \le s_{2n-1}$ . Since  $|s_{2n-1} s_{2n}| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty, o = e$ .
- These inequalities show that  $|s_n o| \le |s_{n+1} s_n| = |a_{n+1}|$  as required.

3

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

## Definition

Let  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence. The series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  is said to converge absolutely if the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|$  converges.

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

#### Theorem

Let  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of complex numbers. If the series converges absolutely then it converges. The converse is false.

### Proof.

- Let  $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  denote the sequence of partial sums of  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\{|a_n|\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , that is,  $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$ ,  $t_n = \sum_{k=1}^n |a_k|$ .
- Since the sequence  $\{t_n\}$  converges, it is Cauchy.
- Given € > 0, choose N sufficiently large so that m > n > N implies that |t<sub>m</sub> − t<sub>n</sub>| < €. By the triangle inequality,</li>

$$|s_m-s_n|=\left|\sum_{k=m+1}^n a_k\right|\leq \sum_{k=m+1}^n |a_k|=|t_m-t_n|<\epsilon.$$

This proves that  $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is Cauchy, and hence converges.

#### Proof.

To prove that the converse does not hold, note that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k}$  converges by the alternating series criteria, but does not converge absolutely, since we've already checked that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} = \infty$ .

## Rearrangement

### Definition

A rearrangement of the natural numbers is a bijective map  $\pi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ . A rearrangement of the sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a sequence  $\{a_{\pi(n)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  where  $\pi$  is a rearrangement of the natural numbers.

#### Theorem

Let  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be a sequence, and suppose that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = L$  converges absolutely to a finite limit. Then for any rearrangement  $\pi$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}a_{\pi(n)}=L.$$

-	
Lab	u ar b
בוסכו.	וועוו
	-0

## Proof.

- Let  $\tau(n)$  denote the first number m such that  $\{0, 1, 2, ..., n\} \subset \{\pi(0), \pi(1), ..., \pi(m)\}.$
- Let σ(n) denote the maximum number among {π(0), π(1), ..., π(n)}.
  Given ε > 0.
  - Since the sequence of partial sums of  $|a_n|$  is Cauchy, choose  $N_1$  such that  $N_1 \le m < n$  implies  $\sum_{k=m+1}^n |a_k| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$
  - Choose  $N_2$  such that  $m \ge N_2$  implies  $\left|L \sum_{k=1}^m a_k\right| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Let  $N = \max(N_1, N_2)$ .
- For  $n > \tau(N)$ , by the triangle inequality,

$$\left|\sum_{k=0}^n a_{\pi(k)} - L\right| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \left|\sum_{k=0}^n a_{\pi(k)} - \sum_{k=0}^N a_k\right|$$

3

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Proof.

• Recall that  $\{0, 1, 2, ..., N\} \subset \{\pi(0), \pi(1), ..., \pi(\tau(N))\}$  and  $\sigma(n) = \max\{\pi(0), ..., \pi(n)\}$ . Hence, by the triangle inequality and the Cauchy property,

$$\begin{vmatrix} \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{\pi(k)} - \sum_{k=0}^{N} a_k \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \sum_{0 \le k \le n, \pi(k) > N} a_{\pi(k)} \end{vmatrix}$$
$$\leq \sum_{0 \le k \le n, \pi(k) > N} |a_{\pi(k)}|$$
$$\leq \sum_{k=N+1}^{\sigma(n)} |a_k| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \end{vmatrix}$$

It follows that  $\left|\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{\pi(k)} - L\right| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$ .

## Theorem (Riemann)

Let  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be a real sequence and suppose that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is convergent, but not absolutely convergent. For any real number  $\alpha$  there is a rearrangement of the natural numbers  $\pi$ , such that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{\pi(n)} = \alpha.$$

Pob	u or h
000	<u> – – –</u>

## Proof.

- Denote a<sup>+</sup><sub>n</sub> = max{a<sub>n</sub>,0} and a<sup>-</sup><sub>n</sub> = min{a<sub>n</sub>,0}. Thus {a<sup>+</sup><sub>n</sub>} is a sequence of non-negative terms and {a<sup>-</sup><sub>n</sub>} is a sequence of non-positive terms.
- We have that  $\sum_{n} a_{n}^{+}$  and  $\sum_{n} a_{n}^{-}$  both diverge. To check this, note that it is impossible that one diverges and the other converges, since  $\sum a_{n} = \sum (a_{n}^{+} + a_{n}^{-})$  converges. It is also impossible that both converge, since  $\sum_{n} |a_{n}| = \sum_{n} (a_{n}^{+} a_{n}^{-})$  diverges.

## Proof.

- Determine the rearrangement π as follows. If α > 0, let π(0) be the index of the first non-negative term in {a<sub>n</sub>}, otherwise the index of the first negative term. For n > 0, if ∑<sub>k=0</sub><sup>n-1</sup> a<sub>π(k)</sub> < α then let π(n) be the first unused index of a non-negative term, otherwise the first unused index of a negative term.</li>
- Since both the sum of the non-negative and negative terms diverge, the function  $\pi$  alternates between taking non-negative and negative terms infinitely often, and in particular takes on all natural numbers, hence is genuinely a rearrangement.

### Proof.

- Let  $s_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{\pi(k)}$  denote the sequence of partial sums. If  $s_n \alpha$ and  $s_{n+1} - \alpha$  have the same sign, then  $|s_{n+1} - \alpha| \le |s_n - \alpha|$ . If they have opposite signs, then  $|s_{n+1} - \alpha| < |s_{n+1} - s_n| = |a_{\pi(n+1)}|$ . In particular, for all n,  $|s_n - \alpha| \le |a_{\pi(\sigma(n))}|$  where  $\sigma(n)$  is the last index before n where the sign of  $s_m - \alpha$  changed.
- We have  $\pi(n) \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$  since  $\pi$  is a bijection, and  $\sigma(n) \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ , since there are infinitely many sign changes. Hence  $\pi(\sigma(n)) \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ .
- Since  $a_n \to 0$  by convergence of  $\sum a_n$ , and  $\pi(\sigma(n)) \to \infty$ , the convergence to  $\alpha$  follows.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日