

MAT 364 Topology

**Problem Set 5**

due Wednesday, October 6

**Problem 1.** Determine whether the following sets are connected or not. Prove your answers.

(a)  $X =$  the union of the  $x$ -axis and the  $y$ -axis in the  $(x, y)$ -plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$

(b)  $Y =$  the union of the  $z$ -axis and the circle  $\{x^2 + y^2 = 1, z = 0\}$  in the 3-space  $\mathbb{R}^3$  (with coordinates  $(x, y, z)$ )

(c)  $Z = \{x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1\}$ , ie a sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

**Solution.** (a) and (c) are connected. To prove this, use a theorem we discussed in class: a union of connected sets will be connected if all the sets share a point. For (a), we have the union of two intersecting lines (each is connected as we proved in class). For (c), the sphere can be represented as a union of meridians (each of which is homeomorphic to a closed interval and therefore connected); the meridians all go through the North pole (and the South pole), thus the union is connected.

(b)  $Y$  is disconnected, which is easy to see informally, because we have two disjoint pieces, the line and the circle. To make a proof out of this observation, check that each of the pieces is open in  $Y$ . (Note that they are relatively open, but not open in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .)

**Problem 2.** Suppose  $X$  is connected.

(a) Does  $\text{Int}X$  have to be connected?

(b) Does  $\text{Cl}X$  have to be connected?

Prove or give counterexamples.

**Solution.** (a)  $\text{Int}X$  can be disconnected. Example: take two disjoint open disks in the plane and connect them by a line segment. (For instance, take disks of radius 1 centered at  $(0,0)$  and  $(3,0)$ , and connect by a segment of the  $x$ -axis.) The interior will be just the two disjoint disks since the line segment has no interior (check!), and is thus disconnected (check!).

(b) If  $X$  is connected,  $\text{Cl}X$  is connected. Indeed, suppose not – suppose that  $\text{Cl}X = A \cup B$ , where  $A, B$  are disjoint non-empty open sets. Since  $X \cap A$  and  $X \cap B$  would then be relatively open on  $X$  (why?), they are disjoint, and we have  $X = (X \cap A) \cup (X \cap B)$  because  $X \subset \text{Cl}X$ , we have to conclude that  $X \cap A$  or  $X \cap B$  is empty (otherwise  $X$  would not be connected). Suppose  $X \cap B = \emptyset$ , then  $X \subset A$ . Now, pick any point  $b \in B$ . Since  $b \in \text{Cl}X$  is a limit point for  $X$ , every neighborhood of  $b$  contains points of  $X$ , and thus points of  $A$ . However, since  $B$  is open,  $b$  has a neighborhood that's contained entirely in  $B$  (and has no points from  $A$  since  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint). Contradiction.

**Problem 3.** (a) Show that  $\mathbb{R}$  is homeomorphic to  $(0, +\infty)$ .

(b) Show that  $\mathbb{R}$  is *not* homeomorphic to  $[0, +\infty)$ .

**Solution.** (a) A homeomorphism is given by the continuous bijection  $f(x) = e^x$  (whose inverse  $\ln x$  is also continuous).

(b) This is similar to proving that  $[a, b]$  and  $(a, b)$  are not homeomorphic (we did this in class). By contradiction, suppose that  $f : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a homeomorphism. Let  $c = f(0)$ . Since  $f$  is one-to-one, nothing else maps to  $c$ , and if we take 0 out of  $(0, \infty)$  and  $c$  out of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $f : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (-\infty, c) \cup (c, +\infty)$  will be a homeomorphism. But this is impossible, since  $(0, +\infty)$  is connected and  $(-\infty, c) \cup (c, +\infty)$  is not.

**Problem 4.** Prove that a circle is not homeomorphic (a) to an open interval, (b) to a closed interval. This uses the same idea as Problem 3b above.

(a) is almost literally the same: if there were a homeomorphism from circle to an open interval, taking out one point we'd get a homeomorphism between a circle without a point and an open interval without a point. Circle without a point is homeomorphic to an open interval and is therefore connected; an interval without a point breaks into two disjoint open intervals and is disconnected.

(b) USING the same idea, if there were such a homeomorphism  $f$ , we'd get a homeomorphism between a circle without some point  $a$  and a closed interval without the corresponding point  $f(a)$ . Now unless  $f(a)$  happens to be an endpoint of the closed interval, the interval becomes disconnected after  $f(a)$  is taken out, and we can argue as before. If  $f(a)$  is the endpoint, we'll just have to put  $a$  back and pick a different point in the circle (the one that doesn't go to any of the endpoints).