Head to tail compositions

Oleg Viro

November 27, 2014

Theorem. Any isometry of \mathbb{R}^2 is a composition

of ≤ 3 reflections in lines.

Theorem. Any isometry of \mathbb{R}^2 is a composition

of ≤ 3 reflections in lines.

Lemma. A plane isometry is determined by its restriction to any three non-collinear points.

Theorem. Any isometry of \mathbb{R}^2 is a composition

Proof of Theorem. Given an isometry:



of ≤ 3 reflections in lines.

Theorem. Any isometry of \mathbb{R}^2 is a composition

of ≤ 3 reflections in lines.



Theorem. Any isometry of \mathbb{R}^2 is a composition

of ≤ 3 reflections in lines.



Theorem. Any isometry of \mathbb{R}^2 is a composition

of ≤ 3 reflections in lines.



Theorem. Any isometry of \mathbb{R}^2 is a composition

of ≤ 3 reflections in lines.

We are done.











is a translation



is a translation



is a translation



The decomposition is not unique:

is a translation



The decomposition is not unique:

 $R_l \circ R_m = R_{l'} \circ R_{m'}$ iff l', m' can be obtained from l, m by a translation.

is a translation



The decomposition is not unique:

 $R_l \circ R_m = R_{l'} \circ R_{m'}$ iff l', m' can be obtained from l, m by a translation.









is a rotation



is a rotation



Decomposition of rotation is not unique:

is a rotation



Decomposition of rotation is not unique:

 $\begin{array}{l} R_l \circ R_m = R_{l'} \circ R_{m'} \\ \quad \text{iff } l', m' \text{ can be obtained from } l, m \text{ by a rotation} \\ \quad \text{about the intersection point } m \cap l \,. \end{array}$

is a rotation



Decomposition of rotation is not unique:

 $\begin{array}{l} R_l \circ R_m = R_{l'} \circ R_{m'} \\ \quad \text{iff } l', m' \text{ can be obtained from } l, m \text{ by a rotation} \\ \quad \text{about the intersection point } m \cap l \,. \end{array}$

Theorem. Any relation among reflections in lines follow from relations $R_l^2 = 1$ and $R_l \circ R_m = R_{l'} \circ R_{m'}$, where l, m, l', m' are as above.

Theorem. Any relation among reflections in lines follow from relations $R_l^2 = 1$ and $R_l \circ R_m = R_{l'} \circ R_{m'}$, where l, m, l', m' are as above.

Lemma. A composition of any 4 reflections in lines can be transformed by these relations to a composition of 2 reflections in lines.

Theorem. Any relation among reflections in lines follow from relations $R_l^2 = 1$ and $R_l \circ R_m = R_{l'} \circ R_{m'}$, where l, m, l', m' are as above.



Theorem. Any relation among reflections in lines follow from relations $R_l^2 = 1$ and $R_l \circ R_m = R_{l'} \circ R_{m'}$, where l, m, l', m' are as above.



Theorem. Any relation among reflections in lines follow from relations $R_l^2 = 1$ and $R_l \circ R_m = R_{l'} \circ R_{m'}$, where l, m, l', m' are as above.



Theorem. Any relation among reflections in lines follow from relations $R_l^2 = 1$ and $R_l \circ R_m = R_{l'} \circ R_{m'}$, where l, m, l', m' are as above.



Theorem. Any relation among reflections in lines follow from relations $R_l^2 = 1$ and $R_l \circ R_m = R_{l'} \circ R_{m'}$, where l, m, l', m' are as above.

Theorem. Any relation among reflections in lines follow from relations $R_l^2 = 1$ and $R_l \circ R_m = R_{l'} \circ R_{m'}$, where l, m, l', m' are as above.



Theorem. Any relation among reflections in lines follow from relations $R_l^2 = 1$ and $R_l \circ R_m = R_{l'} \circ R_{m'}$, where l, m, l', m' are as above.



Theorem. Any relation among reflections in lines follow from relations $R_l^2 = 1$ and $R_l \circ R_m = R_{l'} \circ R_{m'}$, where l, m, l', m' are as above.



Theorem. Any relation among reflections in lines follow from relations $R_l^2 = 1$ and $R_l \circ R_m = R_{l'} \circ R_{m'}$, where l, m, l', m' are as above.


Theorem. Any relation among reflections in lines follow from relations $R_l^2 = 1$ and $R_l \circ R_m = R_{l'} \circ R_{m'}$, where l, m, l', m' are as above.

Lemma. A composition of any 4 reflections in lines can be transformed by these relations to a composition of 2 reflections in lines. Let either $1 \not\mid 2$ or $3 \not\mid 4$.



Theorem. Any relation among reflections in lines follow from relations $R_l^2 = 1$ and $R_l \circ R_m = R_{l'} \circ R_{m'}$, where l, m, l', m' are as above.

Theorem. Any relation among reflections in lines follow from relations $R_l^2 = 1$ and $R_l \circ R_m = R_{l'} \circ R_{m'}$, where l, m, l', m' are as above.



Theorem. Any relation among reflections in lines follow from relations $R_l^2 = 1$ and $R_l \circ R_m = R_{l'} \circ R_{m'}$, where l, m, l', m' are as above.



Theorem. Any relation among reflections in lines follow from relations $R_l^2 = 1$ and $R_l \circ R_m = R_{l'} \circ R_{m'}$, where l, m, l', m' are as above.



Theorem. Any relation among reflections in lines follow from relations $R_l^2 = 1$ and $R_l \circ R_m = R_{l'} \circ R_{m'}$, where l, m, l', m' are as above.



Theorem. Any relation among reflections in lines follow from relations $R_l^2 = 1$ and $R_l \circ R_m = R_{l'} \circ R_{m'}$, where l, m, l', m' are as above.



Theorem. Any relation among reflections in lines follow from relations $R_l^2 = 1$ and $R_l \circ R_m = R_{l'} \circ R_{m'}$, where l, m, l', m' are as above.



Theorem. Any relation among reflections in lines follow from relations $R_l^2 = 1$ and $R_l \circ R_m = R_{l'} \circ R_{m'}$, where l, m, l', m' are as above.



Theorem. Any relation among reflections in lines follow from relations $R_l^2 = 1$ and $R_l \circ R_m = R_{l'} \circ R_{m'}$, where l, m, l', m' are as above.



Theorem. Any relation among reflections in lines follow from relations $R_l^2 = 1$ and $R_l \circ R_m = R_{l'} \circ R_{m'}$, where l, m, l', m' are as above.

Lemma. A composition of any 4 reflections in lines can be transformed by these relations to a composition of 2 reflections in lines.

Theorem. Any relation among reflections in lines follow from relations $R_l^2 = 1$ and $R_l \circ R_m = R_{l'} \circ R_{m'}$, where l, m, l', m' are as above.

Lemma. A composition of any 4 reflections in lines can be transformed by these relations to a composition of 2 reflections in lines.

Proof of Theorem. By Lemma, any relation can be reduced to a relation of length ≤ 3 .

Theorem. Any relation among reflections in lines follow from relations $R_l^2 = 1$ and $R_l \circ R_m = R_{l'} \circ R_{m'}$, where l, m, l', m' are as above.

Lemma. A composition of any 4 reflections in lines can be transformed by these relations to a composition of 2 reflections in lines.

Proof of Theorem. By Lemma, any relation can be reduced to a relation of length ≤ 3 .

A composition of odd number of reflections reverses orientation

and cannot be id.

Theorem. Any relation among reflections in lines follow from relations $R_l^2 = 1$ and $R_l \circ R_m = R_{l'} \circ R_{m'}$, where l, m, l', m' are as above.

Lemma. A composition of any 4 reflections in lines can be transformed by these relations to a composition of 2 reflections in lines.

Proof of Theorem. By Lemma, any relation can be reduced to a relation of length ≤ 3 .

A composition of odd number of reflections reverses orientation

and cannot be id.

A composition of two different reflections is not identity.

Theorem. Any relation among reflections in lines follow from relations $R_l^2 = 1$ and $R_l \circ R_m = R_{l'} \circ R_{m'}$, where l, m, l', m' are as above.

Lemma. A composition of any 4 reflections in lines can be transformed by these relations to a composition of 2 reflections in lines.

Generalization of Lemma. In \mathbb{R}^n ,

a composition of any n+2 reflections in hyperplanes is a composition of n reflections in hyperplanes.

Theorem. Any relation among reflections in lines follow from relations $R_l^2 = 1$ and $R_l \circ R_m = R_{l'} \circ R_{m'}$, where l, m, l', m' are as above.

Lemma. A composition of any 4 reflections in lines can be transformed by these relations to a composition of 2 reflections in lines.

Generalization of Lemma. In \mathbb{R}^n ,

a composition of any n+2 reflections in hyperplanes is a composition of n reflections in hyperplanes.

Generalization of Theorem. Any relation among reflections in hyperplanes of \mathbb{R}^n follow from relations $\mathbb{R}^2_l = 1$ and $R_l \circ R_m = R_{l'} \circ R_{m'}$.

Generalize reflections!

Generalize reflections!

A flip is an isometry which is

an involution (i.e., has period 2) and is determined by its fixed point set.

Generalize reflections!

A flip is an isometry which is

an involution (i.e., has period 2) and is determined by its fixed point set.

Flipper is the fixed point set of a flip.

Generalize reflections!

A flip is an isometry which is

an involution (i.e., has period 2) and is determined by its fixed point set.

Flipper is the fixed point set of a flip.

Key example: $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} : x \mapsto 2a - x$, the reflection of \mathbb{R} in a point a.

Generalize reflections!

A flip is an isometry which is

an involution (i.e., has period 2) and is determined by its fixed point set.

Flipper is the fixed point set of a flip.

Key example: $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} : x \mapsto 2a - x$, the reflection of \mathbb{R} in a point a. $a \mapsto 2a - a = a$

Generalize reflections!

A flip is an isometry which is

an involution (i.e., has period 2) and is determined by its fixed point set.

Flipper is the fixed point set of a flip.

Key example: $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} : x \mapsto 2a - x$, the reflection of \mathbb{R} in a point a.

Generalization: a symmetry of \mathbb{R}^n in a k-subspace.

Generalize reflections!

A flip is an isometry which is

an involution (i.e., has period 2) and is determined by its fixed point set.

Flipper is the fixed point set of a flip.

Key example: $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} : x \mapsto 2a - x$, the reflection of \mathbb{R} in a point a.

Generalization: a symmetry of \mathbb{R}^n in a k-subspace.

Further examples in hyperbolic spaces, spheres, projective spaces and other symmetric spaces.

Generalize reflections!

A flip is an isometry which is

an involution (i.e., has period 2) and is determined by its fixed point set.

Flipper is the fixed point set of a flip.

Key example: $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} : x \mapsto 2a - x$, the reflection of \mathbb{R} in a point a.

Generalization: a symmetry of \mathbb{R}^n in a k-subspace.

Further examples in hyperbolic spaces, spheres, projective spaces and other symmetric spaces.

Correspondence Flipper $S \leftrightarrow Flip$ in S is the shortest connection between simple static geometric objects - flippers - and isometries.

is a flip.









is a flip. Composition of flips in points is a translation:



is a flip. Composition of flips in points is a translation:



$$\overrightarrow{AB} = \frac{1}{2} \overrightarrow{X} \overrightarrow{R_B(R_A(X))}$$

is a flip. Composition of flips in points is a translation:



Head to tail

Compare the head to tail addition $\overrightarrow{AB} + \overrightarrow{BC} = \overrightarrow{AC}$

to $(R_C \circ R_B) \circ (R_B \circ R_A) = R_C \circ R_B^2 \circ R_A = R_C \circ R_A$.

Flip-flop decomposition

Which isometries are compositions of two flips?

Flip-flop decomposition

Which isometries are compositions of two flips?

Any isometry of \mathbb{R}^n .

Flip-flop decomposition

Which isometries are compositions of two flips?

Any isometry of \mathbb{R}^n .

Djokovič Theorem. Any isometry of a non-degenerate inner product space over any field can be presented as a composition of two involutions isomteries.

(Product of two involutions, Arch. Math. 18 (1967), 582-584.)
Flip-flop decomposition

Which isometries are compositions of two flips?

Any isometry of \mathbb{R}^n .

Djokovič Theorem. Any isometry of a non-degenerate inner product space over any field can be presented as a composition of two involutions isomteries.

(Product of two involutions, Arch. Math. 18 (1967), 582-584.)

Corollary. Any isometry of an affine space with a non-degenerate bilinear form can be presented as a composition of two flips.

Flip-flop decomposition

Which isometries are compositions of two flips?

Any isometry of \mathbb{R}^n .

Djokovič Theorem. Any isometry of a non-degenerate inner product space over any field can be presented as a composition of two involutions isomteries.

(Product of two involutions, Arch. Math. 18 (1967), 582-584.)

Corollary. Any isometry of an affine space with a non-degenerate bilinear form can be presented as a composition of two flips.

Corollary. Any isometry of a hyperbolic space, sphere, projective space, etc. is a composition of two flips.

A flip-flop decomposition.

An ordered pair of flippers (A, B) is a biflipper,

an analogue for an arrow representing a translation.

An ordered pair of flippers (A, B) is a biflipper,

an analogue for an arrow representing a translation.

If $A \cap B = \emptyset$, then we connect A and B with the shortest arrow.

An ordered pair of flippers (A, B) is a biflipper,

an analogue for an arrow representing a translation.

If $A \cap B = \emptyset$, then we connect A and B with the shortest arrow.

An ordered pair of flippers (A, B) is a biflipper,

an analogue for an arrow representing a translation.

If $A \cap B = \emptyset$, then we connect A and B with the shortest arrow.

A

If $A \cap B \neq \varnothing$,



An ordered pair of flippers (A, B) is a biflipper,

an analogue for an arrow representing a translation.

If $A \cap B = \emptyset$, then we connect A and B with the shortest arrow.

A

If $A \cap B \neq \varnothing$,



An ordered pair of flippers (A, B) is a biflipper,

an analogue for an arrow representing a translation. If $A \cap B = \emptyset$, then we connect A and B with the shortest arrow. A = 0 A = 0 A = 0 A = 0 A = 0 B = 0 A = 0 B = 0 A = 0A =

To what extent are the representations non-unique?

An ordered pair of flippers (A, B) is a biflipper,

an analogue for an arrow representing a translation.

If $A \cap B = \emptyset$, then we connect A and B with the shortest arrow.



To what extent are the representations non-unique? **Equivalence relation:**

 $(A,B)\sim (A',B') \quad \text{if} \quad R_B\circ R_A=R_{B'}\circ R_{A'}\,.$

An ordered pair of flippers (A, B) is a biflipper,

an analogue for an arrow representing a translation.

If $A \cap B = \emptyset$, then we connect A and B with the shortest arrow.



To what extent are the representations non-unique? **Equivalence relation:**

 $(A,B)\sim (A',B') \quad \text{if} \quad R_B\circ R_A=R_{B'}\circ R_{A'}\,.$

Problem. Find an explicit description for the equivalence.

an ordered pair of lines.



an ordered pair of lines.

The lines intersect at the center of rotation.

an ordered pair of lines.

The lines intersect at the center of rotation.

The angle between the lines is **half** the rotation angle.

an ordered pair of lines.

The lines intersect at the center of rotation.

The angle between the lines is **half** the rotation angle.

On a picture the order of lines is shown by an oriented arc.

an ordered pair of lines.

The lines intersect at the center of rotation.

The angle between the lines is **half** the rotation angle.

On a picture the order of lines is shown by an oriented arc.

an ordered pair of lines.

The lines intersect at the center of rotation.

The angle between the lines is **half** the rotation angle.

On a picture the order of lines is shown by an oriented arc.

an ordered pair of lines.

The lines intersect at the center of rotation.

The angle between the lines is **half** the rotation angle.

On a picture the order of lines is shown by an oriented arc.

an ordered pair of lines.

The lines intersect at the center of rotation.

The angle between the lines is **half** the rotation angle.

On a picture the order of lines is shown by an oriented arc.

Given two rotations, present them by biflippers.

Given two rotations, present them by biflippers.

By rotating the biflippers, make the second line in the first biflipper

to coincide with the first line in the second,

so that the biflippers are (l, m) and (m, n).

Given two rotations, present them by biflippers.

By rotating the biflippers, make the second line in the first biflipper

to coincide with the first line in the second,

so that the biflippers are (l, m) and (m, n).

Given two rotations, present them by biflippers.

By rotating the biflippers, make the second line in the first biflipper to coincide with the first line in the second, so that the biflippers are (l, m) and (m, n).

Erase m and draw an oriented arc from l to n,

i.e., form the ordered angle (l, n).

Given two rotations, present them by biflippers.

By rotating the biflippers, make the second line in the first biflipper to coincide with the first line in the second, so that the biflippers are (l, m) and (m, n).

Erase m and draw an oriented arc from l to n,

i.e., form the ordered angle (l, n).

This is a glide reflection!

This is a glide reflection!

Indeed!

m

This is a glide reflection!

Indeed!

This is a glide reflection!

Biflippers for a glide reflection

Biflippers for a glide reflection

Biflippers for a glide reflection













A biflipper for a glide reflection may glide along itself.



A biflipper for a glide reflection may glide along itself.



A biflipper for a glide reflection may glide along itself.

Given two glide reflections, present them by biflippers.

Given two glide reflections, present them by biflippers. The head in the first biflipper and tail in the second one

should **NOT** be lines.



Given two glide reflections, present them by biflippers. The head in the first biflipper and tail in the second one

should **NOT** be lines.

By gliding the biflippers, make the head of the first biflipper

coinciding with the tail of the second. so that the biflippers are \overrightarrow{lO} and \overrightarrow{On} .



Given two glide reflections, present them by biflippers. The head in the first biflipper and tail in the second one

should **NOT** be lines.

By gliding the biflippers, make the head of the first biflipper

coinciding with the tail of the second. so that the biflippers are \overrightarrow{lO} and \overrightarrow{On} .



Given two glide reflections, present them by biflippers. The head in the first biflipper and tail in the second one

should **NOT** be lines.

By gliding the biflippers, make the head of the first biflipper

coinciding with the tail of the second.

so that the biflippers are \overrightarrow{lO} and \overrightarrow{On} . Draw an oriented arc from l to n

Given two glide reflections, present them by biflippers. The head in the first biflipper and tail in the second one

should **NOT** be lines.

By gliding the biflippers, make the head of the first biflipper

coinciding with the tail of the second.

so that the biflippers are \overrightarrow{lO} and \overrightarrow{On} . Draw an oriented arc from l to n

Given two glide reflections, present them by biflippers. The head in the first biflipper and tail in the second one

should **NOT** be lines.

By gliding the biflippers, make the head of the first biflipper

coinciding with the tail of the second.

so that the biflippers are \overrightarrow{lO} and \overrightarrow{On} .

Draw an oriented arc from l to n and erase O.



Given two glide reflections, present them by biflippers. The head in the first biflipper and tail in the second one should **NOT** be lines. By gliding the biflippers, make the head of the first biflipper coinciding with the tail of the second. so that the biflippers are \overrightarrow{lO} and \overrightarrow{On} . Draw an oriented arc from l to n and erase O.

Given two glide reflections, present them by biflippers. The head in the first biflipper and tail in the second one should **NOT** be lines. By gliding the biflippers, make the head of the first biflipper coinciding with the tail of the second. so that the biflippers are \overrightarrow{lO} and \overrightarrow{On} . Draw an oriented arc from l to n and erase O.

This is a rotation!

Given two glide reflections, present them by biflippers. The head in the first biflipper and tail in the second one should **NOT** be lines. By gliding the biflippers, make the head of the first biflipper coinciding with the tail of the second. so that the biflippers are \overrightarrow{lO} and \overrightarrow{On} . Draw an oriented arc from l to n and erase O.

Exercise. Find head to tail rules for rotation o glide reflection.















Everything like on the plane.



A biflipper formed by two intersecting lines defines a rotation of the 3-space about the axis \perp to the plane of the lines.



A biflipper formed by two intersecting lines defines a rotation of the 3-space about the axis \perp to the plane of the lines.



Biflippers:



Biflippers:





Biflippers:





Biflippers:





Biflippers:





Biflippers:



Head to tail for rotations:



Biflipper vs. angular displacement vector vs. unit quaternion.
Rotations of 2-sphere

Biflippers:



Head to tail for rotations:



Biflipper vs. angular displacement vector vs. unit quaternion. The rotation encoded by bilipper \overrightarrow{wv} is defined by quaternion $vw = v \times w - v \cdot w$.



Parade of biflippers



Parade of biflippers

On plane:









reflections

translations On sphere:



glide reflections



rotations



rotary reflections



reflections

Parade of biflippers



Biflippers in the 3-space



In hyperbolic 3-space

















A biflipper presenting a screw displacement is an arrow with two perpendicular lines at the end points skew to each other.



Given two screw displacement, present them by biflippers.

Given two screw displacement, present them by biflippers.

Find the common perpendicular for the axes of the biflippers.

Given two screw displacement, present them by biflippers.

Find the common perpendicular for the axes of the biflippers.

By gliding the biflippers along their axes and rotating about the axes, make the arrowhead of the first biflipper coinciding with the tail of the second biflipper.

Given two screw displacement, present them by biflippers.

Find the common perpendicular for the axes of the biflippers.

By gliding the biflippers along their axes and rotating about the axes, make the arrowhead of the first biflipper coinciding with the tail of the second biflipper.



Given two screw displacement, present them by biflippers.

Find the common perpendicular for the axes of the biflippers.

Find common perpendicular for the tail of the first biflipper and head of the second biflipper. Draw an arrow along it connecting the flippers which are left.



Given two screw displacement, present them by biflippers.

Find the common perpendicular for the axes of the biflippers.

Find common perpendicular for the tail of the first biflipper and head of the second biflipper. Draw an arrow along it connecting the flippers which are left.



Given two screw displacement, present them by biflippers.

Find the common perpendicular for the axes of the biflippers.

Find common perpendicular for the tail of the first biflipper and head of the second biflipper. Draw an arrow along it connecting the flippers which are left. Erase old arrows and their common flippers.



Given two screw displacement, present them by biflippers.

Find the common perpendicular for the axes of the biflippers.

Find common perpendicular for the tail of the first biflipper and head of the second biflipper. Draw an arrow along it connecting the flippers which are left. Erase old arrows and their common flippers.











┢





┢





┢





⊢





t













