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$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{l} \circ R_{m}=R_{l^{\prime}} \circ R_{m^{\prime}} \\
& \text { iff } l^{\prime}, m^{\prime} \text { can be obtained from } l, m \text { by a rotation } \\
& \\
& \quad \text { about the intersection point } m \cap l .
\end{aligned}
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Decomposition of rotation is not unique:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{l} \circ R_{m}=R_{l^{\prime}} \circ R_{m^{\prime}} \\
& \\
& \text { iff } l^{\prime}, m^{\prime} \text { can be obtained from } l, m \text { by a rotation } \\
& \\
& \quad \text { about the intersection point } m \cap l .
\end{aligned}
$$
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Proof of Theorem. By Lemma, any relation can be reduced to a relation of length $\leq 3$.
A composition of odd number of reflections reverses orientation and cannot be id.
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## Relations
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Generalization of Lemma. In $\mathbb{R}^{n}$,
a composition of any $n+2$ reflections in hyperplanes is a composition of $n$ reflections in hyperplanes.

Generalization of Theorem. Any relation among reflections in hyperplanes of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ follow from relations $\mathbb{R}_{l}^{2}=1$ and $R_{l} \circ R_{m}=R_{l^{\prime}} \circ R_{m^{\prime}}$.
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A flip is an isometry which is
an involution (i.e., has period 2 ) and is determined by its fixed point set.

Flipper is the fixed point set of a flip.
Key example: $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}: x \mapsto 2 a-x$, the reflection of $\mathbb{R}$ in a point $a$.
Generalization: a symmetry of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ in a $k$-subspace.
Further examples in hyperbolic spaces, spheres, projective spaces and other symmetric spaces.

Correspondence Flipper $S \longleftrightarrow$ Flip in $S$ is
the shortest connection between
simple static geometric objects - flippers - and isometries.
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\overrightarrow{A B}=\frac{1}{2} \overrightarrow{X R_{B}\left(R_{A}(X)\right.}
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## Symmetry about a point

is a flip. Composition of flips in points is a translation:


$$
\overrightarrow{A B}=\frac{1}{2} \widehat{X R_{B}\left(R_{A}(X)\right.}
$$

$\overrightarrow{A B}$ is half the arrow representing $R_{B} \circ R_{A}$.

## Head to tail
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$$
\text { to }\left(R_{C} \circ R_{B}\right) \circ\left(R_{B} \circ R_{A}\right)=R_{C} \circ R_{B}^{2} \circ R_{A}=R_{C} \circ R_{A} \text {. }
$$
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## Flip-flop decomposition

Which isometries are compositions of two flips?
Any isometry of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Djokovič Theorem. Any isometry of a non-degenerate inner product space over any field can be presented as a composition of two involutions isomteries.
( Product of two involutions, Arch. Math. 18 (1967), 582-584.)
Corollary. Any isometry of an affine space with a non-degenerate bilinear form can be presented as a composition of two flips.

Corollary. Any isometry of a hyperbolic space, sphere, projective space, etc. is a composition of two flips.
A flip-flop decomposition.
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To what extent are the representations non-unique?

## Equivalence relation:

$$
(A, B) \sim\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad R_{B} \circ R_{A}=R_{B^{\prime}} \circ R_{A^{\prime}}
$$

Problem. Find an explicit description for the equivalence.
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Draw an oriented arc from $l$ to $n$ and erase $O$.
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By gliding the biflippers, make the head of the first biflipper
coinciding with the tail of the second.
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This is a rotation!

## Head to tail for glide reflections

Given two glide reflections, present them by biflippers.
The head in the first biflipper and tail in the second one should NOT be lines.
By gliding the biflippers, make the head of the first biflipper
coinciding with the tail of the second.
so that the biflippers are $\overrightarrow{l O}$ and $\overrightarrow{O n}$.
Draw an oriented arc from $l$ to $n$ and erase $O$.


Exercise. Find head to tail rules for rotation ○ glide reflection.
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Everything like on the plane.

## In the 3-space. Rotation



A biflipper formed by two intersecting lines defines a rotation of the 3 -space about the axis $\perp$ to the plane of the lines.
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Rotations of 2-sphere
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## Rotations of 2-sphere

Biflippers:


Head to tail for rotations:


Biflipper vs. angular displacement vector vs. unit quaternion.

## Rotations of 2-sphere

Biflippers:


Head to tail for rotations:


Biflipper vs. angular displacement vector vs. unit quaternion.
The rotation encoded by bilipper $\overrightarrow{w v}$ is defined by quaternion
$v w=v \times w-v \cdot w$.

## Parade of biflippers

On line:
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## Parade of biflippers

On plane:

translations

rotation

glide reflections

reflections
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rotary reflections
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## Parade of biflippers

On plane:

translations

rotation

glide reflections

reflections

On sphere:

rotations

rotary reflections

reflections

On the hyperbolic plane:

rotation

parallel

translation

glide reflections

reflections motion

## Biflippers in the 3-space


translations

central symmetries
-

rotations

symmetries about a line (half-turns)
glide symmetries about a line



reflections

glide reflections

screw

motion

## In hyperbolic 3-space


rotation

parallel motion

translation

screw motion

rotary reflections

parallel reflections

glide reflections
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## Screw displacement



A biflipper presenting a screw displacement is an arrow with two perpendicular lines at the end points skew to each other.

## Screw displacement



## Head to tail for screws

Given two screw displacement, present them by biflippers.
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## Head to tail for screws

Given two screw displacement, present them by biflippers.
Find the common perpendicular for the axes of the biflippers.
By gliding the biflippers along their axes and rotating about the axes, make the arrowhead of the first biflipper coinciding with the tail of the second biflipper.
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By gliding the biflippers along their axes and rotating about the axes, make the arrowhead of the first biflipper coinciding with the tail of the second biflipper.
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Find common perpendicular for the tail of the first biflipper and head of the second biflipper. Draw an arrow along it connecting the flippers which are left.
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## Head to tail for screws

Given two screw displacement, present them by biflippers.
Find the common perpendicular for the axes of the biflippers.
Find common perpendicular for the tail of the first biflipper and head of the second biflipper. Draw an arrow along it connecting the flippers which are left. Erase old arrows and their common flippers.
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