MAT 203 LECTURE OUTLINE 8/30

- First, two examples to illustrate the ideas from last class:
- Example 1. Consider the points P(0,0) and Q(8,2), with the line segment from P to Q representing the surface of a ramp. (This is like Figure 11.28 in the textbook but with different numbers.) We place a box weighing 1000 lb. on this ramp that is acted on by gravity; assume that friction is negligible.
 - (a) What is force of the ramp on the box?
- (b) What is the acceleration of the box? (The acceleration of an object in free fall is 32.17 ft./s^2)
- Side remark for those interested: this problem is complicated slightly since (following the textbook) we are using imperial units. In the metric system, there is a clear distinction between the kilogram as a unit of mass and the Newton as a unit of force. In the imperial system, a "pound" traditionally can represent either a mass or a weight (i.e., the force of gravity) since in past times these two quantities were not distinguished. There is a relatively new imperial unit called the "slug" that is meant to be the mass unit corresponding to a pound, but it is relatively obscure.
- In this problem we have two vectors: $\mathbf{u} = \langle 0, -1000 \rangle$ representing the force of gravity and $\mathbf{v} = \langle 8, 2 \rangle$ from the ramp.
- Let \mathbf{w}_1 be the projection of \mathbf{u} onto \mathbf{v} and $\mathbf{w}_2 = \mathbf{u} \mathbf{w}_1$ the orthogonal complement. Then the answer to (a) is $-\mathbf{w}_2$ since the ramp must counteract the force of gravity orthogonal to \mathbf{v} . The answer to (b) is closely related to \mathbf{w}_1 ; we just have to obtain the acceleration corresponding to the force \mathbf{w}_1 .
- Use the formula $\mathbf{w}_1 = \mathbf{proj}_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{u}$ to get $\mathbf{w}_1 = \langle -4000/17, -1000/17 \rangle$. Subtract to get $\mathbf{w}_2 = \langle 4000/17, -16000/17 \rangle$. So the answer to (a) is $\langle -4000/17, 16000/17 \rangle \approx \langle -235.3, 941.2 \rangle$.
- To get (b), first we use the relation "force equals mass times acceleration" for an object in free-fall to get that the mass of the box is $1000/32.17 \approx 31.08$ slugs. Using the relation "force equals mass times acceleration" but applied to motion on the ramp, we have that the acceleration of the box is

$$\frac{\mathbf{w}_1}{1000/32.17} = \frac{32.17}{1000} \langle \frac{-4000}{17}, \frac{-1000}{17} \rangle \approx \langle -7.57, -1.89 \rangle.$$

If we're considered with the magnitude of the acceleration, this is approximately 7.8 ft./s².

- The second example relates to *torque*, or rotational force. Consider a rod with initial point P and terminal point Q; this rod rotates at the point P by a force \mathbf{F} applied at point Q. Thus we have a position vector $\mathbf{u} = \overrightarrow{PQ}$ and a force vector \mathbf{N} .
- Torque has a magnitude and a direction. The magnitude satisfies the principle of the lever: force times the distance from the center of rotation. (This is an interesting physical principle: in theory, you can move the sun with your own arm strength simply by having a lever which is long enough. So what gives? The distance that you move the sun would be incredibly small.) The direction of the torque, **by convention**, is the direction orthogonal to the plane of rotation.
- The magnitude of torque also depends on how close the force \mathbf{F} is to being orthogonal to \mathbf{u} . If \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{u} are nearly orthogonal, then the torque will be larger. In fact, the magnitude of the torque is the same as the area of the parallelogram formed by \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{F} (positioned with the same initial point). For these reasons, torque (denoted by τ) is defined using the cross product:

$$\tau = \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{F}.$$

Note the order of **u** and **F**, since this determines the direction of τ according to the right-hand rule. Example 2. (See Example 11.3.4) Consider a rod of length 3 ft. at an angle of 60° from the horizontal

- plane being rotated by a downward force of 50 lbs. What is the resulting torque?
- Represent the rod by the vector \mathbf{u} and the force by the vector \mathbf{F} . We'll fix a coordinate system. Let's say that the rod points in the direction of \mathbf{j} , so that $\mathbf{u} = 3\cos(60^\circ)\mathbf{j} + 3\sin(60^\circ)\mathbf{k} = \frac{3}{2}\mathbf{j} + \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2}\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{F} = -50\mathbf{k}$. Computing the cross product $\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{F}$, we have $\tau = -75\mathbf{i}$
- The main topic for today is lines and planes in \mathbb{R}^3 .
- Let's start with planes. Consider the equation ax + by + cz + d = 0, where $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is the unknown and a, b, c, d are constants with a, b, c not all zero. This is a single linear equation in three variables, hence the set of solutions has dimension 2 = 3 1, hence a plane.

- A convenient way to sketch a plane (in the case where $d \neq 0$) is by finding its intersection with each coordinate axis (where possible), then connecting these points to form a triangle. See Figure 11.49 in the textbook. If there is no point of intersection with, for example, the x-axis, then the plane is parallel to the x-axis.
- A plane is uniquely determined by a point that is passes through and its normal vector **n**. So how does **n** relate to the equation ax + by + cy + d = 0? The answer turns out to be quite simple: we may take $\mathbf{n} = \langle a, b, c \rangle$. (Of course, any non-zero scalar multiple of $\langle a, b, c \rangle$ is also a normal vector for the plane.) The proof of this is quite nice and may be found above Figure 11.45 in the textbook.
- Next, we look at lines. A line is uniquely determined by a point it passes through and a vector parallel to it. Let $P = P(x_0, y_0, z_0)$ and $\mathbf{v} = \langle a, b, c \rangle$ be a vector. Then the corresponding line is given by the equation

$$(x, y, z) = (x_0 + at, y_0 + bt, z_0 + ct),$$

where $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is a variable, called the *parameter*. These can also be written separately as

$$x = x_0 + at, y = y_0 + bt, z = z_0 + ct.$$

• By solving each equation for t, we can represent the line by the system of equations

$$\frac{x - x_0}{a} = \frac{y - y_0}{b} = \frac{z - z_0}{c}$$

(if $a, b, c \neq 0$). This is called *eliminating the parameter*. Essentially, this expresses the line as the solution to a system of 2 linear equations in 3 variables.

- One typical problem is to find the distance between a given point P and a given plane W. This means to find the shortest possible distance between P and some point in W. There is a simple method to solve this problem using the dot product. First, pick *any* point in W, ideally something as convenient as possible. Call it Q. Then find $\|\mathbf{proj_n} \ \overline{QP}\|$, the magnitude of the projection of \overline{QP} onto the normal vector \mathbf{n} for the plane. Recall that this is simply $|\overline{QP} \cdot \mathbf{n}|/||\mathbf{n}||$.
- Class problem 1. Find an equation for the plane containing the points P(1, 0, 0), Q(0, 2, 0), R(0, 0, -2). [Note that this problem has multiple sets, including taking a cross product.]