

1. COHOMOLOGY OF GRASSMANNIAN.

We will first compute the cohomology ring in the case when  $n = 1$  (this is in the homework)

**Lemma 1.1.** We have the following graded algebra isomorphism

$$H^*(Gr_1(\mathbb{R}^\infty), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = H^*(\mathbb{R}P^\infty; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[a]$$

where  $a \in H^2(\mathbb{R}P^\infty, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  has degree 2.

*Proof.*  $\mathbb{R}P^k$  is constructed as a CW complex by attaching a  $k - 1$  to  $\mathbb{R}P^{k-1}$  via the double covering map  $S^{k-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^{k-1}$ . The cellular cohomology with  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  coefficients is then the vector space. Hence we just need to compute the ring structure. This follows from the following commutative diagram where  $i + j = n$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \cup \\ H^i(\mathbb{R}P^n; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \times H^j(\mathbb{R}P^n; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^n(\mathbb{R}P^n; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \\ \uparrow \cong & & \cup \\ H^i(\mathbb{R}P^n; \mathbb{R}P^n - \mathbb{R}P^j; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \times H^j(\mathbb{R}P^n; \mathbb{R}P^n - \mathbb{R}P^i; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^n(\mathbb{R}P^n; \mathbb{R}P^n - \mathbb{R}P^0; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ H^i(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n - \mathbb{R}^j; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \times H^j(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n - \mathbb{R}^i; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^n(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n - 0; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ H^i(\mathbb{R}^i; \mathbb{R}^i - 0; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \times H^j(\mathbb{R}^j; \mathbb{R}^j - 0; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^n(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n - 0; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \\ & & \times \end{array}$$

□

**Corollary 1.2.**  $H^*((\mathbb{R}P^\infty)^n) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ .

Note that  $(\mathbb{R}P^\infty)^n$  classifies vector bundles of the form  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \gamma_i$  where  $\gamma_i$  is a line bundle up to isomorphism which *preserve* the direct sum decomposition and the ordering of the line bundles  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ .

**Theorem 1.3 (Leray Hirsch Theorem).** Let  $\pi : E \rightarrow B$  be a fiber bundle (all our spaces are CW complexes). Let  $\iota : F \rightarrow E$  be the natural inclusion map of the fiber and suppose that there is a linear map

$$s : H^*(F; \Lambda) \rightarrow H^*(E; \Lambda)$$

satisfying  $\iota^* \circ s = id_{H^*(F)}$ . Then the natural linear map

$$H^*(F; \Lambda) \otimes H^*(B; \Lambda) \rightarrow H^*(E; \Lambda), \quad \alpha \otimes \beta \rightarrow s(\alpha) \cup \pi^* \beta$$

is an isomorphism.

In particular the natural map

$$\pi^* : H^*(B; \Lambda) \rightarrow H^*(E; \Lambda)$$

is injective.

Later on we will also need a proof of a relative version of the Leray-Hirsch theorem.

**Theorem 1.4 (Relative Leray Hirsch Theorem).** Let  $\pi : E \rightarrow B$  be a fiber bundle (all our spaces are CW complexes) and let  $E_0 \subset E$  be a subbundle. Let  $\iota : F \rightarrow E$  be the natural inclusion map of the fiber and let  $F_0 \subset F$  be the fiber of  $E_0$ . Suppose that there is a linear map

$$s : H^*(F, F_0; \Lambda) \rightarrow H^*(E, E_0; \Lambda)$$

satisfying  $\iota^* \circ s = id_{H^*(F, F_0)}$ . Then the natural linear map

$$H^*(F, F_0; \Lambda) \otimes H^*(B; \Lambda) \longrightarrow H^*(E, E_0; \Lambda), \quad \alpha \otimes \beta \longrightarrow s(\alpha) \cup \pi^* \beta$$

is an isomorphism.

We will only prove the Leray-Hirsch theorem as the relative version of this theorem has exactly the same proof.

*Proof.* This argument would be straightforward if we knew about spectral sequences, but we don't. As a result we will do this a different (but directly related) way. Now  $B$  is a direct limit of compact sets  $K_0 \subset K_1 \subset \dots$ . Therefore is sufficient for us to show that

$$H^*(F; \Lambda) \otimes H^*(K_i; \Lambda) \longrightarrow H^*(E|_{K_i}; \Lambda), \quad \alpha \otimes \beta \longrightarrow s(\alpha) \cup \pi^* \beta$$

is an isomorphism for all  $i$ .

So from now on we will assume that  $B$  is compact. Let  $U_1, \dots, U_m$  be open subsets of  $B$  so that  $E|_{U_i}$  is trivial. Define  $U_{<i} \equiv \cup_{j < i} U_j$ . Suppose (by induction) we have shown that the map

$$F_{<i} : H^*(F; \Lambda) \otimes H^*(U_{<i}; \Lambda) \longrightarrow H^*(E|_{U_{<i}}; \Lambda), \quad F_{<i}(\alpha \otimes \beta) \equiv s(\alpha) \cup \pi^* \beta$$

is an isomorphism for some  $i$ . We now wish to show that the corresponding map  $F_{<i+1}$  is an isomorphism. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ & \alpha \otimes \beta \rightarrow (s(\alpha)|_{U_{<i} \cap U_{i+1}}) \cup \beta & \\ H^*(F; \Lambda) \otimes H^*(U_{<i} \cap U_{i+1}; \Lambda) & \longrightarrow & H^*(E|_{U_{<i} \cap U_{i+1}}; \Lambda) \\ \uparrow & \alpha \otimes \beta \oplus \alpha' \otimes \beta' \rightarrow (s(\alpha)|_{U_{<i}}) \cup \beta \oplus (s(\alpha')|_{U_{<i}}) \cup \beta' & \uparrow \\ H^*(F; \Lambda) \otimes H^*(U_{<i}; \Lambda) \oplus H^*(F; \Lambda) \otimes H^*(U_{i+1}; \Lambda) & \longrightarrow & H^*(E|_{U_{<i}}; \Lambda) \oplus H^*(E|_{U_{i+1}}; \Lambda) \\ \uparrow & \alpha \otimes \beta \rightarrow (s(\alpha)|_{U_{<i+1}}) \cup \beta & \uparrow \\ H^*(F; \Lambda) \otimes H^*(U_{<i+1}; \Lambda) & \longrightarrow & H^*(E|_{U_{<i+1}}; \Lambda) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \end{array}$$

The vertical arrows form a Mayor-Vietoris long exact sequence. Also the horizontal arrows are isomorphisms at the top and the bottom for all  $i$ . Hence by the five lemma we get our isomorphism.  $\square$

We have the following corollary of the Leray-Hirsch theorem:

**Theorem 1.5. Thom Isomorphism Theorem over  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$**  Let  $\pi : E \longrightarrow B$  be a rank  $n$  vector bundle and define  $E_0 \equiv E - B$  where  $B \subset E$  is the zero section. Then there is a class  $\alpha \in H^n(E, E_0; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  so that the map

$$H^*(B; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^{*+n}(E, E_0; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}), \quad \beta \longrightarrow \beta \cup \alpha$$

is an isomorphism.

This theorem is true over any coefficient field if we assumed that  $E$  is an oriented vector bundle.

**Definition 1.6.** The **unoriented Euler class** of a vector bundle  $\pi : E \longrightarrow B$  as above is a class  $e(E; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \in H^n(E; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  given by the image of the class  $\alpha$  under the composition  $H^n(E, E_0; \Lambda) \longrightarrow H^n(E; \Lambda) \longrightarrow H^n(B; \Lambda)$ .

Note that if  $E$  is an oriented vector bundle then we can define the Euler class  $e(E; \Lambda)$  over any coefficient ring  $\Lambda$ . Usually when people talk about the Euler class, they are talking about  $e(E; \mathbb{Z})$  (we will call this the **Euler class**) and we will write  $e(E)$ .

*Proof.* We will only prove our theorem when the coefficient field is  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . The proof is exactly the same if we have oriented vector bundles and another coefficient ring.

Our fiber is  $F = \mathbb{R}^n$  and the fiber of  $\pi|_{E_0}$  is  $F_0 = \mathbb{R}^n - 0$ . By the relative Leray-Hirsch theorem it is sufficient to show that there is a class  $\alpha \in H^n(E, E_0; \Lambda)$  whose restriction to  $H^*(\mathbb{R}^n, 0; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  is the unit  $1 \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . We assume that  $B$  is connected.

Let  $(U_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an open cover by relatively compact sets where  $E|_{U_i}$  is trivial. Define  $U_{<i} \equiv \cup_{j < i} U_j$ . We'll suppose that  $U_{<i}$  and  $U_i$  is connected for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and that  $F = \mathbb{R}^n \subset E|_{U_0}$ . Suppose (by induction) there is a class  $\alpha_i \in H^n(E|_{U_{<i}}; E_0|_{U_{<i}}; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  whose restriction to  $H^n(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n - 0; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  is 1. Consider the Mayer-Vietoris sequence:

$$\longrightarrow H^n(E|_{U_{<i+1}}; E_0|_{U_{<i+1}}; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{a}$$

$$H^n(E|_{U_{<i}}; E_0|_{U_{<i}}; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^n(E|_{U_{i+1}}; E_0|_{U_{i+1}}; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{b} H^n(E|_{U_{<i} \cap U_{i+1}}; E_0|_{U_{<i} \cap U_{i+1}}; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}).$$

Since

$$H^*(E|_{U_{i+1}}; E_0|_{U_{i+1}}; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = H^*(U_{i+1}; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^*(\mathbb{R}^n, 0; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{*-n}(U_{i+1}; \mathbb{Z}/2) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

we get a class  $\alpha' \in H^*(E|_{U_{i+1}}; E_0|_{U_{i+1}}; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  mapping to 1 under the above isomorphism and hence whose restriction to  $H^*(\mathbb{R}^n, 0; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  is 1. Also since  $E|_{U_{<i} \cap U_{i+1}}$  is trivial, we get using similar reasoning that the images of  $\alpha_n$  and  $\alpha'$  in  $H^*(E|_{U_{<i} \cap U_{i+1}})$  are equal. Hence  $b(\alpha_i \oplus \alpha') = 0$ . Hence there is a class  $\alpha_{i+1} \in H^n(E|_{U_{<i+1}}; E_0|_{U_{<i+1}}; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  so that  $a(\alpha_i \oplus \alpha')$ . This class maps to 1 in  $H^*(\mathbb{R}^n, 0; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ .  $\square$

The Euler class satisfies the following properties:

- (1) (**Functoriality**) If  $\pi : E \longrightarrow B$  is isomorphic to  $f^*E'$  for some other bundle  $\pi' : E' \longrightarrow B'$  and function  $f : B \longrightarrow B'$  then  $e(E; \Lambda) = f^*(e(E'; \Lambda))$ .
- (2) (**Whitney Sum Formula**) If  $\pi : E \longrightarrow B$  and  $\pi' : E' \longrightarrow B$  are two vector bundles over the same base then  $e(E \oplus E'; \Lambda) = e(E; \Lambda) \cup e(E'; \Lambda)$ .
- (3) (**Normalization**) If  $E$  admits a nowhere zero section then  $e(E; \Lambda) = 0$ .
- (4) (**Orientation**) If  $E$  is an oriented vector bundle and  $\bar{E}$  is the same bundle with the opposite orientation then  $e(E) = -e(\bar{E})$ .

The following is a geometric interpretation of the Euler class when the base is a compact manifold. We need a definition first.

**Definition 1.7.** Let  $M_1, M_2$  be submanifolds of a manifold  $X$ . Then  $M_1$  is **transverse** to  $M_2$  if for every point  $x \in M_1 \cap M_2$ , we have that

$$\text{codim}(T_x M_1 \cap T_x M_2 \subset T_x X) = \text{codim}(T_x M_1 \subset T_x X) + \text{codim}(T_x M_2 \subset T_x X).$$

Let  $\pi : E \longrightarrow B$  be a smooth vector bundle over a smooth compact manifold  $B$ . A smooth section  $s : B \longrightarrow E$  is **transverse to 0** if the submanifold  $s(B) \subset E$  is transverse to the zero section  $B \subset E$ .

Note that if  $M_1$  intersects  $M_2$  transversely then  $M_1 \cap M_2$  is a manifold. Also if  $X, M_1$  and  $M_2$  are oriented (in other words  $TX, TM_1$  and  $TM_2$  are oriented) then  $M_1 \cap M_2$  has an

orientation defined as follows: Let  $N(M_1 \cap M_2)$ ,  $NM_1$  and  $NM_2$  be the normal bundles of  $M_1 \cap M_2$ ,  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  inside  $X$ . Then we have isomorphisms

$$TM_1 \oplus NM_1 \cong TX|_{M_1}, \quad TM_2 \oplus NM_2 \cong TX|_{M_2},$$

$$T(M_1 \cap M_2) \oplus N(M_1 \cap M_2) \cong TX|_{M_1 \cap M_2},$$

$$N(M_1) \oplus N(M_2) \cong NM_1|_{M_1 \cap M_2} \oplus NM_2|_{M_1 \cap M_2}.$$

The first two isomorphisms give us an orientation on  $NM_1$  and  $NM_2$  and the last one gives us an orientation on  $N(M_1 \cap M_2)$ . The third isomorphism then gives us an orientation on  $T(M_1 \cap M_2)$  called the **intersection orientation**.

Also note that any compact manifold  $M$  (whether oriented or not) has a fundamental class  $[M] \in H^n(M; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  over  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

It turns out that a ‘generic’ section is transverse (‘generic’ will be defined precisely later in the course). I won’t prove this for the moment (maybe later).

**Lemma 1.8.** Let  $\pi : E \rightarrow B$  be a smooth vector bundle over a smooth compact manifold  $B$  with a smooth section  $s$  transverse to 0. Then  $e(E; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  is Poincaré dual to  $[s^{-1}(0)] \in H^*(B; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ .

If  $E$  and  $B$  are oriented then  $s^{-1}(0)$  has the intersection orientation and the above lemma makes sense in this case over any coefficient field  $\Lambda$ .

Our goal is to compute the cohomology of  $Gr_n(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$  and so we must continue....

**Definition 1.9.** Let  $\pi : E \rightarrow B$  be a rank  $n$  vector bundle. The **projective bundle**  $\mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow B$  is the fiber bundle whose fiber at a point  $b \in B$  is  $\mathbb{P}(\pi^{-1}(b))$  (I.e. the set of lines inside  $\pi^{-1}(b)$ ).

**Lemma 1.10.** Let  $\pi : E \rightarrow B$  be a rank  $n$  vector bundle. The natural map  $H^*(B) \rightarrow H^*(\mathbb{P}(E))$  is injective. In fact  $H^*(\mathbb{P}(E)) \cong H^*(\mathbb{R}P^{n-1}) \otimes H^*(B)$  and the natural map  $H^*(B) \rightarrow H^*(\mathbb{P}(E))$  is the inclusion map into the first factor.

*Proof.* Now  $\mathbb{P}(E)$  as a canonical line bundle  $\gamma_E$  whose fiber at a point  $x \in E$  is the line  $l$  passing through  $x$  inside  $\pi^{-1}(\pi(x))$ . Let  $f : \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^\infty$  be the classifying map for this line bundle. Recall that  $H^*(\mathbb{R}P^\infty; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[a]$  where  $a \in H^2(\mathbb{R}P^\infty) - 0$ . We will also write  $H^*(\mathbb{R}P^{n-1}) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[b]/(b^n)$ . Recall that our fiber  $F$  is equal to  $\mathbb{R}P^{n-1}$ . Since  $\gamma$  restricted to each fiber is  $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ , we get that  $f^*a$  restricted to the fiber  $F = \mathbb{R}P^{n-1}$  is  $b$ . Hence  $f^*(a^m)|_F = b^m$  which implies that that map  $H^*(E) \rightarrow H^*(F)$  is surjective. Hence by the Leray-Hirsch theorem,  $H^*(\mathbb{P}(E)) \cong H^*(\mathbb{R}P^{n-1}) \otimes H^*(B)$  and the natural map  $H^*(B) \rightarrow H^*(\mathbb{P}(E))$  is the inclusion map into the first factor.  $\square$

**Definition 1.11.** Let  $\pi : E \rightarrow B$  be a real vector bundle. A **splitting map** for  $E$  is a map  $f : B' \rightarrow B$  so that  $f^*E \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \gamma_i$  where  $\gamma_i$  are line bundles over  $B'$  and where  $f^* : H^*(B) \rightarrow H^*(B')$  is injective.

**Lemma 1.12.** Let  $\pi : E \rightarrow B$  be a vector bundle. Let  $P : \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow B$  be the associated projective bundle. Then there is a line subbundle  $\gamma \subset P^*E$ .

*Proof.* Here  $\gamma$  is defined to be the line in  $P^*E$  which sends a point  $x \in \mathbb{P}(E)$  to the corresponding line in  $E$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.13.** Every real vector bundle  $\pi : E \rightarrow B$  of rank  $n$  has a splitting map.

*Proof.* Suppose (inductively) we have constructed a map  $P_k : B_k \rightarrow B$  for some  $0 \leq k < n$  so that  $P_k^*(E) = V \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \gamma_i$  where  $V$  is a vector bundle and  $\gamma_i$  are line bundles and so that  $P_k^* : H^*(B) \rightarrow H^*(B_k)$  is injective. Define  $B_{k+1} \equiv \mathbb{P}(V)$  and let  $p : \mathbb{P}(V) \rightarrow B'$  be the natural map. Then by Lemma 1.12 we have that  $p^*(V) \cong V' \oplus \gamma_{k+1}$  where  $\gamma_{k+1}$  is a line subbundle of  $V$ . Define

$$P_{k+1} : B_{k+1} \rightarrow B, \quad P_{k+1} \equiv P_k \circ p.$$

Then  $P_{k+1}^*E = V' \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k+1} \gamma_i$ . Also  $p^* : H^*(B_k) \rightarrow H^*(B_{k+1})$  is injective by Lemma 1.10. Hence  $P_{k+1}^* : H^*(B) \rightarrow H^*(B_{k+1})$  is injective. Therefore we are done by induction.  $\square$

**Definition 1.14.** A polynomial  $p(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[a_1, \dots, a_n]$  is **symmetric** if  $p(a_1, \dots, a_n) = p(a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(n)})$  for any permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ .

The  $n$ th **symmetric function**  $\sigma_i \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[a_1, \dots, a_n]$  is the polynomial

$$\sum_{0 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_i \leq n} \prod_{k=1}^i a_{j_k}.$$

We have the following lemma (which we won't prove):

**Lemma 1.15.** The subring  $R^\sigma \subset R \equiv (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[a_1, \dots, a_n]$  of symmetric polynomials is freely generated by elementary symmetric functions  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ . Hence

$$R \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n] \subset (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[a_1, \dots, a_n].$$

**Theorem 1.16.** Let

$$h_n : (\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^\infty)^n \rightarrow Gr_n(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$$

be the classifying map for the rank  $n$  bundle  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \gamma_1^\infty$ . Then

$$h_n^* : H^*(Gr_n(\mathbb{R}^\infty)) \rightarrow H^*((\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^\infty)^n) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[a_1, \dots, a_n]$$

is injective and its image is the free algebra generated by the elementary symmetric functions  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ .

Hence

$$H^*(Gr_n(\mathbb{R}^\infty)) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n]$$

for natural classes

$$\sigma_1 \in H^1(Gr_n(\mathbb{R}^\infty)), \dots, \sigma_n \in H^n(Gr_n(\mathbb{R}^\infty)).$$

*Proof.* First of all the natural map  $h_n^* : H^*(Gr_n(\mathbb{R}^\infty)) \rightarrow H^*((\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^\infty)^n)$  is injective for the following reason:

Let  $f : B \rightarrow Gr_n(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$  be the splitting map. Let  $g : B \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^\infty)^n$  be the corresponding classifying map for  $f^*\gamma_n^\infty$ . Then since  $(g \circ h_n)^*\gamma_n^\infty \cong f^*\gamma_n^\infty$  and since  $Gr_n(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$  is a classifying space, we can homotope  $f$  so that  $f = g \circ h_n$ . Since  $f^* : H^*(Gr_n(\mathbb{R}^\infty)) \rightarrow H^*(B)$  is injective, we get that  $h_n^* : H^*(Gr_n(\mathbb{R}^\infty)) \rightarrow H^*((\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^\infty)^n)$  is injective.

The image of the map must be contained inside  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n]$  since permuting linear bundles does not change the isomorphism type of their direct sum decomposition. This means that if we compose  $h_n$  with a map permuting the factors inside  $(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^\infty)^n$ , we get a map which is homotopic to  $h_n$ .

Hence it is sufficient for us to show that  $\sigma_i$  is in the image of  $h_n^*$  for all  $i$ . This is done in the following way: We have that  $h_n^*(e(\gamma_n^\infty)) = e(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \gamma_1^\infty) = \prod_{i=1}^n a_i = \sigma_n$ . Hence  $\sigma_n \in \text{Im}(h_n^*)$ .

We have:  $H^*((\mathbb{R}P^{n-1})^{n-1}) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[a'_1, \dots, a'_{n-1}]$ . Let  $\sigma'_k \in H^*((\mathbb{R}P^{n-1})^{n-1})$  be the  $k$ th symmetric function in  $a'_1, \dots, a'_{n-1}$ .

Now suppose (by induction) that the image of

$$h_{n-1} : H^*(Gr_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^\infty)) \longrightarrow H^*(\mathbb{R}P^\infty)^{n-1}$$

contains  $\sigma'_k$  for all  $k$ .

Consider the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*((\mathbb{R}P^\infty)^{n-1}) & \xleftarrow{h_{n-1}^*} & H^*(Gr_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^\infty)) \\ \uparrow \iota_{n-1}^* & & \uparrow \\ H^*((\mathbb{R}P^\infty)^n) & \xleftarrow{h_n^*} & H^*(Gr_n(\mathbb{R}^\infty)) \end{array}$$

Consider the restricted map:

$$A' \equiv \iota_{n-1}^*|_{(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}]} : (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}] \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[\sigma'_1, \dots, \sigma'_{n-1}].$$

This is an isomorphism since  $\iota_{n-1}^*(a_n) = 0$ . Since  $\sigma'_k \in \text{Im}(h_{n-1}^*)$  we then get that  $\sigma_k \in \text{Im}(h_n^*)$  by looking at the above commutative diagram and the fact that  $A'$  is an isomorphism. Hence by induction we have that  $\text{Im}(h_n^*) = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_n]$ . □