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## Chapter 1

## Introduction

TThe study of time evolution of the systems under consideration plays an important role in many natural sciences. Experiments and simulations in these fields often show very complicated, chaotic behavior. However, a rigorous understanding of chaotic dynamical systems are far from complete.

There are not many real world systems which can be modeled by one dimensional dynamical systems. That is, systems described by iteration of a map of the interval. Nevertheless, during the last forty years an extensive and rather complete theory has been developed to explain their dynamics. The surprising fact is that many of the one dimensional phenomena are observed in nature. Although one dimensional systems are very simple models, they contain mechanisms which are relevant for real world systems. The natural strategy is to explore, how far we can extend the one dimensional theory and get a better understanding of higher dimensional systems.

The central theme of the one-dimensional theory is the geometric rigidity of the attractors. The main technique is renormalization. Renormalization is a method to study the microscopic geometric properties of attractors. It was introduced into dynamics in the late seventies by P. Coullet and C.P. Tresser (Coullet and Tresser 1978) and independently M.J. Feigenbaum (Feigenbaum 1978). Initially the goal was to study the dynamics at the accumulation of period doubling. Systems which are at the accumulation of period doubling have very specific combinatorial behavior. This behavior occurs when a system is at transition to chaos, when it is at the boundary of chaos in the space of systems.

The attractors of the maps at transition to chaos have a special property. They are Cantor sets and on arbitrarily small scale the attractor can be identified with a rescaled version of the attractor of another one-dimensional map. This allows to introduce an operator on the set of one-dimensional maps at transition which assigns to a map, the map which describes its attractor at the smaller scale. This operator acts as a microscope. For maps at the transition we can describe the dynamics at arbitrarily small scale. That is, we can apply the renormalization operator infinitely many times to study the dynamics. It was conjectured in (Coullet and Tresser 1978) and (Feigenbaum 1978), that the maps at transition form exactly the stable manifold of a unique fixed point $f_{*}$ of the renormalization operator. This conjecture explains why the fine scale structure of the attractor is independent of the original map being considered. The microscopic geometry of an attractor at
transition to chaos is universal. The fine scale geometrical structure can not be deformed. The attractors are rigid.

During the following thirty years the renormalization idea was extended and applied to general types of combinatorics of one-dimensional maps. Our understanding of onedimensional dynamics is a consequence of the maturity of one-dimensional renormalization.

A general theory for smooth dynamics is still completely out of reach. There are two natural direction in which one can extend the theory using the results from one-dimensional smooth dynamics. The first one is one-dimensional dynamics with low smoothness and the second is dynamics of Hénon maps.

Models of real world systems are usually very high dimensional or even infinite dimensional as in fluid dynamics. However, there is a phenomenon of dimension reduction, the essence of the dynamics happens on low dimensional attractors. On some cases these attractors can be described, even in terms of one-dimensional systems. This is the reason why one-dimensional dynamical systems are more than just toy models. The theory for one-dimensional systems is well developed in the case when the systems are smooth. Unfortunately, the one-dimensional systems which arise from applications are usually not smooth. In dissipative systems the states are groups in so-called stable manifolds, different states in such a stable manifold have the same future. The packing of the stable manifold usually does not occur in a smooth way. For example, the Lorenz flow is a flow on three dimensional space approximating a convection problem in fluid dynamics. The stable manifolds are two dimensional surfaces packed in a non smooth foliation. This flow can be understood by a map on the interval whose smoothness is usually below $C^{2}$.

The first part of the thesis discusses renormalization of one-dimensional maps with low smoothness. The first group of results deals with maps which are $C^{2}$. All maps under consideration will be maps with a quadratic tip. These maps are unimodal, they have a single maximum at their critical point, it is denoted by $c$ and this maximum is well approximated by a quadratic polynomial. The collection of unimodal maps with quadratic tip and a certain smoothness is denoted by $\mathcal{U}^{r}$.

The main results lead to the fact that renormalization on the space of $C^{2}$ maps is not hyperbolic and the convergence to the analytic fixed point can be arbitrarily slow.

Theorem 1.0.1 Let $d_{n}>0$ be any sequence with $d_{n} \rightarrow 0$. There exists an infinitely renormalizable $C^{2} \operatorname{map} f \in \mathcal{U}^{2}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{dist}_{0}\left(R^{n} f, f_{*}^{\omega}\right) \geq d_{n}
$$

The distance is measured in the $C^{0}$ topology.
Corollary 1.0.2 The analytic unimodal map $f_{*}^{\omega}$ is not a hyperbolic fixed point in the space of $C^{2}$ maps.

We will introduce a type of differentiability of a unimodal map, called $C^{2+|\cdot|}$, which is the minimal needed to be able to apply the classical proofs of a priori bounds for the invariant Cantor sets of infinitely renormalizable maps, see for example (Martens 1994),(Martens et al. 1988),(de Melo and van Strien 1993). This type of differentiability will allow us to represent any $C^{2+|\cdot|}$ unimodal map as

$$
f=\phi \circ q,
$$

where $q$ is a quadratic polynomial and $\phi$ has still enough differentiability to control crossratio distortion.

Theorem 1.0.3 If $f$ is an infinitely renormalizable $C^{2+|\cdot|}$ unimodal map then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{dist}_{0}\left(R^{n} f, f_{*}^{\omega}\right)=0
$$

A construction similar to the one provided for $C^{2}$ unimodal maps leads to the following result:

Theorem 1.0.4 Let $d_{n}>0$ be any sequence with $\sum_{n \geq 1} d_{n}<\infty$. There exists an infinitely renormalizable $C^{2+|\cdot|}$ unimodal map $f$ such that

$$
\operatorname{dist}_{0}\left(R^{n} f, f_{*}^{\omega}\right) \geq d_{n}
$$

The analytic unimodal map $f_{*}^{\omega}$ is not a hyperbolic fixed point in the space of of $C^{2+|\cdot|}$ maps.

Our second set of theorems deals with renormalization of $C^{1+L i p}$ unimodal maps with a quadratic tip.

Theorem 1.0.5 There exists an infinitely renormalizable $C^{1+\text { Lip }}$ unimodal map $f$ which is not $C^{2}$ but

$$
R f=f .
$$

The topological entropy of a system defined on a non-compact space is defined to be the Supremum of the topological entropies contained in compact invariant subsets. As a consequence of a Theorem of Davie (Davie 1999), we get that renormalization on $\mathcal{U}^{2+\alpha}$ has entropy zero, for any $\alpha>0$.

Theorem 1.0.6 The renormalization operator acting on the space of $C^{1+\text { Lip }}$ unimodal maps has infinite entropy.

The last theorem illustrates a specific aspect of the chaotic behavior of the renormalization operator on the space of $C^{1+\text { Lip }}$ maps.

Theorem 1.0.7 There exists an infinitely renormalizable $C^{1+\text { Lip }}$ unimodal map $f$ such that $\left\{c_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ is dense in a Cantor set. Here $c_{n}$ is the critical point of $R^{n} f$.

The second possibility is to use the successful one-dimensional renormalization theory to study two-dimensional dynamics. In the case of dissipative dynamics we should start with the Hénon family. The maps in this family act on a two-dimensional domain and are given by

$$
F_{a, b}(x, y)=\left(f_{a}(x)-b y, x\right)
$$

where $b \geq 0$, is the Jacobian and $f_{a}(x)$ is a unimodal map. This family arises when one creates chaos from a homoclinic bifurcation in a dissipative system. Strongly dissipative Hénon maps, $b \ll 1$, are perturbations of one dimensional dynamics and one-dimensional renormalization theory is a powerful starting point for the development of a theory. The Hénon family has many realistic applications because of its relevance in the creation of chaos.

Rigorous understanding of Hénon map is fragmented. There are three well understood phenomena. The first one is the Newhouse phenomenon [ N$]$, [PT]. There are smooth maps (also in the Hénon family) which have periodic attractors of arbitrarily high period. This behavior is quite different form the chaotic maps constructed by M. Benedicks and L. Carleson (Benedicks and Carleson 1985). They proved that for a set of parameters with positive measure the corresponding Hénon map has a non-trivial attractor with an ergodic invariant measure, describing the statistical long term behavior of typical orbits. This fundamental work from the late eighties was recently refined by L.S. Young and Q.D. Wang to apply higher dimensions, Hénon-like maps, [WY] .

The third part of our knowledge of Hénon maps deals with maps in a neighborhood of the accumulation of period doubling. This is an area in parameter space where chaos is created. The first study of this area was done by P. Collet, J-P. Eckmann and H. Koch, (Coullet et al. 1980). They used analytical tools to extend the one-dimensional renormalization operator to a space of strongly dissipative Hénon-like maps and proved the hyperbolicity of the operator. A. de Carvalho, M.Lyubich, and M. Martens constructed a renormalization operator on the space of strongly dissipative Hénon-like maps using geometric ingredients, (de Carvalho et al. 2005). The specific construction and the hyperbolicity of this renormalization operator allowed to study the geometry of Cantor attractors of Hénon maps at the accumulation of period doubling. It opened a source of surprising phenomena. The results obtained discuss the geometric (non)-rigidity of the Cantor attractors of maps at the accumulation of period doubling, the topology of such maps as well as the bifurcation pattern in a neighborhood of the accumulation of period doubling. The main theme is that the theory for two-dimensional dissipative dynamics is far from a straightforward generalization of the one-dimensional theory, even for maps which the
simplest combinatorial type, period doubling. However, renormalization is again a very powerful tool which is able to describe the dynamics of Hénon maps.

The second part of the thesis discusses renormalization for Hénon maps. It is a numerical study. The present renormalization theory deals with strongly dissipative Hénon maps. These maps form a short curve in parameter space of a generic Hénon family. An important question is whether the observed phenomena of (non-)rigidity and universality can be extended to maps which are (not strongly) dissipative and even up to the conservative maps. Briefly speaking, can we extend the curve of infinitely renormalizable strongly dissipative Hénon maps up to the conservative maps? The first numerical study shows that, indeed, the curve extend that far. More importantly, the study describes the combinatorial changes which occur along this curve. These changes are denoted by "top down breaking of the boxes".

Most of the results for Hénon maps discuss strongly dissipative maps, $b \ll 1$. We do not yet have the tools to study maps which are not strongly dissipative, maps which are not small perturbations of one-dimensional maps. The numerical description of "top down breaking of boxes" indicates how one can proceed to rigorously extend the curve up to the conservative maps.

One-dimensional dynamics is controlled by the critical points of these systems. Infinitely renormalizable Hénon maps also have a topologically defined critical points which plays a crucial role. At the present moment we are at the starting point of developing a renormalization theory for Hénon maps with more general combinatorial types. Part of the problem is to describe the combinatorial type of Hénon map.

History inspires us to consider maps of Fibonacci type. Unfortunately, the situation is far more complex than the period doubling case for Hénon maps. There are infinitely many critical points. However, a numerical study presented in this thesis shows that there is a curve in the Hénon family whose maps have an invariant Cantor set of Fibonacci type. This is strong support for the possibility of constructing a renormalization operator for Hénon maps of Fibonacci type.

Infinitely renormalizable Hénon maps of period doubling type have a Cantor attractor. This Cantor set has geometrical aspects which are exactly the same as the counter part in the Cantor attractors of infinitely renormalizable one-dimensional systems. This phenomenon is called universality. Contrary to the one-dimensional situation, these Hénon Cantor sets are not rigid. There are parts of the Hénon Cantor set where the geometry on asymptotically small scale is different from the one-dimensional situation. By changing the Jacobian $b$ one can change the asymptotic geometry of the Cantor set. The non-rigidity was up to recently an unexpected phenomenon. Strongly dissipative two-dimensional systems are geometrically different from the one-dimensional world. Although, two and onedimensional systems do have some universal geometrical aspects.

The numerically constructed curve of infinitely renormalizable dissipative Hénon maps ends in a conservative map. This conservative map has an invariant Cantor set. The geometry of this Cantor set is not at all similar to the Cantor attractor of the dissipative maps. Our third numerical study on Hénon maps discusses how the one-dimensional Cantor set deforms into the Cantor set of the conservative map. To describe this deformation we studied the invariant line field which is carried on the Cantor set. This line field has zero characteristic exponent. One could think about this line field as if it was aligned along the Cantor set. However, one should be careful. It has been shown that this line field is not continuous for truly two-dimensional Hénon maps (de Carvalho et al. 2005). The Cantor set does not lie on a smooth curve.

Numerically we studied the distribution of the angles of the lines in the line field with respect to a fixed direction. Initially, for strongly dissipative maps, the angles are distributed in a Cantor set. This is not surprising. However, if we consider infinitely renormalizable maps on the curve closer towards the end with the conservative map, the distributions are assigning weight to all angles. These distribution of angles in extreme cases, $b=0$ and $b=0.95$, are illustrated in Figure 1.1.


Figure 1.1: Left: the distribution of angles for $b=0.0 ;$ Right: $b=0.95$

Observe, these Cantor set are always having Hausdorff dimension smaller than one. It is not filling more and more the space. The appearance of more and more angles is a result from the more and more complex geometry of the Cantor set. It gets more and more away from being on a smooth curve.

This refined understanding might play a crucial role in further studies of Hénon maps. Simple questions like the existence of wandering domains is closely related to the geometry of the line field. The non-existence of wandering domains is still open.

The short term goals of this thesis is to contribute to our understanding at the accumulation of period doubling and get a complete understanding of this type of dynamics. The second short term goal is to develop a renormalization theory which can be applied to
more general types of combinatorics, beyond period doubling and study the corresponding dynamics. This will provide fundamental pieces of the larger Hénon puzzle.

The long term goal is to understand two-dimensional dynamics. The conjecture which describes the behavior of smooth dynamics in general was formulated by J. Palis, [P]. It is the central theme of smooth dynamics. The essence of the conjecture is as follows. Almost every map in a generic family has finitely many attractors: almost every orbit accumulates at one of them. Furthermore, each attractor carries an invariant measure which describes the statistical behavior of a typical orbit in its basin. Systems with zero entropy can be understood in purely topological terms. Namely, the Morse-Smale systems are dense among zero entropy systems.

The conjecture has a long history. In particular, it took several decades to observe that, as well topological as measure theoretical ingredients are necessary to understand smooth dynamics. The first context in which the Palis Conjecture was proved is unimodal dynamics on the interval. The main techniques used to prove the Palis Conjecture in one dimension are centered around renormalization. Indeed, the fine geometrical properties of unimodal maps are closely related to the phenomena described in the conjecture.

The Palis Conjecture is the long term goal of smooth dynamics. We are still far from such a general understanding. However, it as been proved in one-dimension.

The natural next step is to go to two-dimensional dynamics, the Hénon family. The results by M. Benedicks and L. Carleson are the first fundamental steps towards the Palis Conjecture for Hénon maps. The renormalization work done at the accumulation of period doubling was used to show that the Morse-Smale maps are dense in the set of strongly dissipative Hénon maps with entropy zero, (Lyubich and Martens 2008). Although, even this result on density of Morse-Smale maps is more involved than the one-dimensional counterpart, renormalization technique are able to deal with the situation.

As in one-dimension, renormalization should become an intrinsic part of a comprehensive picture of two-dimensional dynamics.

Renormalization of $C^{2}$ and $C^{1+L i p}$ Unimodal maps

## Chapter 2

## Chaotic Period Doubling


#### Abstract

The period doubling renormalization operator was introduced by M. Feigenbaum and by P. Coullet and C. Tresser in the nineteen-seventieth to study the asymptotic small scale geometry of the attractor of one-dimensional systems which are at the transition from simple to chaotic dynamics. This geometry turns out to not depend on the choice of the map under rather mild smoothness conditions. The existence of a unique renormalization fixed point which is also hyperbolic among generic smooth enough maps plays a crucial role in the corresponding renormalization theory. The uniqueness and hyperbolicity of the renormalization fixed point were first shown in the holomorphic context, by means that generalize to other renormalization operators. It was then proved that in the space of $C^{2+\alpha}$ unimodal maps, for $\alpha>0$, the period doubling renormalization fixed point is hyperbolic as well. In this work we study what happens when one approaches from below the minimal smoothness thresholds for the uniqueness and for the hyperbolicity of the period doubling renormalization generic fixed point. Indeed, our main results states that in the space of $C^{2}$ unimodal maps the analytic fixed point is not hyperbolic and that the same remains true when adding enough smoothness to get a priori bounds. In this smoother class, called $C^{2+|\cdot|}$ the failure of hyperbolicity is tamer than in $C^{2}$. Things get much worse with just a bit less of smoothness than $C^{2}$ as then even the uniqueness is lost and other asymptotic behavior become possible. We show that the period doubling renormalization operator acting on the space of $C^{1+\text { Lip }}$ unimodal maps has infinite topological entropy.


### 2.1 Introduction

The period doubling renormalization operator was introduced by M. Feigenbaum (Feigenbaum 1978), (Feigenbaum 1979) and by P. Coullet and C. Tresser (Coullet and Tresser 1978), (Tresser and Coullet 1978) to study the asymptotic small scale geometry of the attractor of one-dimensional systems which are at the transition from simple to chaotic dynamics. In 1978, they published certain rigidity properties of such systems, the small scale geometry of the invariant Cantor set of generic smooth maps at the boundary of chaos being independent of the particular map under consideration. Coullet and Tresser treated
this phenomenon as similar to universality that has been observed in critical phenomena for long and explained since the early seventieth by Kenneth Wilson (see, e.g., (Ma 1976)). In an attempt to explain universality at the transition to chaos, both groups formulated the following conjectures that are similar to what was conjectured in statistical mechanics.

Renormalization conjectures: In the proper class of maps, the period doubling renormalization operator has a unique fixed point that is hyperbolic with a one-dimensional unstable manifold and a codimension one stable manifold consisting of the systems at the transition to chaos.

These conjectures were extended to other types of dynamics on the interval and on other manifolds but we will not be concerned here with such generalizations. During the last 30 years many authors have contributed to the development of a rigorous theory proving the renormalization conjectures and explaining the phenomenology. The ultimate goal may still be far since the universality class of smooth maps at the boundary of chaos contains many sorts of dynamical systems, including useful differential models of natural phenomena and there even are predictions about natural phenomena in (Coullet and Tresser 1978), which turned out to be experimentally corroborated. A historical review of the mathematics that have been developed can be found in (de Faria et al. 2006) so that we recall here only a few milestones that will serve to better understand the contribution to the overall picture brought by the present work.

The type of differentiability of the systems under consideration has a crucial influence on the actual small scale geometrical behavior (like it is the case in the related problem of smooth conjugacy of circle diffeomorphisms to rotations: compare (Herman 1979, Yoccoz 1984) to (Katznelson and Ornstein 1989) and (Khanin and Sinai 1987)). The first result dealt with holomorphic systems and were first local (Lanford-III 1984), and later global (Sullivan 1992), (McMullen 1994), (Lyubich 1999) (a progression similar to what had been seen in the problem of smooth conjugacy to rotations: compare (Arnol'd 1965) to (Herman 1979) and (Yoccoz 1984)). With global methods came also means to consider other renormalizations. Indeed, the hyperbolicity of the unique renormalization fixed point has been shown in (Lanford-III 1984) for period doubling, and later in (Lyubich 1999) by means that generalize to other sorts of dynamics. Then it was shown in (Davie 1999) that the renormalization fixed point is also hyperbolic in the space of $C^{2+\alpha}$ unimodal maps with $\alpha>0$ (using (Lanford-III 1984)). These results were later extended in (de Faria et al. 2006) to a more general types of renormalization (using (Lyubich 1999)). After the results of Lanford (Lanford-III 1984), the existence of renormalization fixed points has been proved in more generality. First Epstein (Epstein 1989) constructed period doubling fixed points with arbitrary critical behavior. Renormalization fixed points do exist for any given combinatorics and arbitrary critical behavior, see (Martens 1998).

In this study, we are interested in exploring from below the limit of smoothness that
permits hyperbolicity of the fixed point of renormalization. Our main result concern a new smoothness class, $C^{2+|\cdot|}$, which is bigger than $C^{2+\alpha}$ for any positive $\alpha \leq 1$, and is in fact wider than $C^{2}$ in ways that are rather technical as we shall describe later (this is the bigger class, where the usual method to get a priori bounds for the geometry of the Cantor set works). We are interested here in the part of hyperbolicity that consists in the attraction in the stable manifold made of infinitely renomalizable maps (hence the part covered in (Sullivan 1992), (McMullen 1994) while the expansion along the unstable manifold comes from (Lyubich 1999) as far as the global theory is concerned: see (LanfordIII 1984), (Eckmann and Wittwer 1987) for the local picture). We show that in the space of $C^{2+|\cdot|}$ unimodal maps the analytic fixed point is not hyperbolic for the action of the period doubling renormalization operator. We also show that nevertheless, the renormalization converges to the analytic generic fixed point (here generic means that the second derivative at the critical point is not zero), proving it to be globally unique, a uniqueness that was formerly known in classes smaller than $C^{2+|\cdot|}$ (that is assuming more smoothness). The convergence might only be polynomial as a concrete sign of non-hyperbolicity. The failure of hyperbolicity happens in a more serious way in the space of $C^{2}$ unimodal maps since there the convergence can be arbitrarily slow. The uniqueness of the fixed point in this case, remains an open question. The uniqueness was known to be wrong in a serious way among $C^{1+\text { Lip }}$ unimodal maps since a continuum of fixed points of renormalization could be produced (Tresser 1991). Here we show that the period doubling renormalization operator acting on the space of $C^{1+L i p}$ unimodal maps has infinite topological entropy.

After this informal discussion of what will be done here and how it relates to universality theory, we now give some definitions, which allow us next to turn to the precise formulation of our main results.

A unimodal map $f:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ is a $C^{1}$ mapping with the following properties.

- $f(1)=0$,
- there is a unique point $c \in(0,1)$, the critical point, where $D f(c)=0$,
- $f(c)=1$.

A map is a $C^{r}$ unimodal maps if $f$ is $C^{r}$. We will concentrate on unimodal maps of the type $C^{1+L i p}, C^{2}$, and $C^{2+|\cdot|}$. This last type of differentiability will be introduced in $\S 3.1$.

The critical point $c$ of a $C^{2}$ unimodal map $f$ is called non-flat if $D^{2} f(c) \neq 0$. A critical point $c$ of a unimodal map $f$ has a quadratic tip if there exists a sequence of points $x_{n} \rightarrow c$ and constant $A>0$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)-f(c)}{\left(x_{n}-c\right)^{2}}=-A
$$

The set of $C^{r}$ unimodal maps with a quadratic tip is denoted by $\mathcal{U}^{r}$. We will consider different metrics on this set denoted by dist ${ }_{k}$ with $k=0,1,2$ (in fact the usual $C^{k}$ metrics).

A unimodal map $f:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ with quadratic tip $c$ is renormalizable if

- $c \in\left[f^{2}(c), f^{4}(c)\right] \equiv I_{0}^{1}$,
- $f\left(I_{0}^{1}\right)=\left[f^{3}(c), f(c)\right] \equiv I_{1}^{1}$,
- $I_{0}^{1} \cap I_{1}^{1}=\emptyset$.

The set of renormalizable $C^{r}$ unimodal maps is denoted by $\mathcal{U}_{0}^{r} \subset \mathcal{U}^{r}$. Let $f \in \mathcal{U}_{0}^{r}$ be a renormalizable map. The renormalization of $f$ is defined by

$$
R f(x)=h^{-1} \circ f^{2} \circ h(x),
$$

where $h:[0,1] \rightarrow I_{0}^{1}$ is the orientation reversing affine homeomorphism. This map $R f$ is again a unimodal map. The nonlinear operator $R: \mathcal{U}_{0}^{r} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{r}$ defined by

$$
R: f \mapsto R f
$$

is called the renormalization operator. The set of infinitely renormalizable maps is denoted by

$$
W^{r}=\bigcap_{n \geq 1} R^{-n}\left(\mathcal{U}_{0}^{r}\right)
$$

There are many fundamental steps needed to reach the following result by Davie, see (Davie 1999). For a brief history see (de Faria et al. 2006) and references therein.

Theorem 2.1.1 (Davie) There exists $\alpha<1$ such that the following holds. In the space of $\mathcal{U}^{2+\alpha}$, there exists a unique renormalization fixed point $f_{*}^{\omega}$, with the following properties

- $f_{*}^{\omega}$ is analytic,
- $f_{*}^{\omega}$ is a hyperbolic fixed point of $R: \mathcal{U}_{0}^{2+\alpha} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{2+\alpha}$,
- the codimension one stable manifold of $f_{*}^{\omega}$ coincides with $W^{2+\alpha}$,
- $f_{*}^{\omega}$ has a one dimensional unstable manifold which consists of analytic maps.

In our discussion we only deal with period doubling renormalization. However, there are other renormalization schemes. The hyperbolicity for the corresponding generalized renormalization operator has been established in (de Faria et al. 2006).

Our main results deal with $R: \mathcal{U}_{0}^{r} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{r}$ where $r \in\{1+\operatorname{Lip}, 2,2+|\cdot|\}$.

Theorem 2.1.2 Let $d_{n}>0$ be any sequence with $d_{n} \rightarrow 0$. There exists an infinitely renormalizable $C^{2}$ unimodal map $f$ with quadratic tip such that

$$
\operatorname{dist}_{0}\left(R^{n} f, f_{*}^{\omega}\right) \geq d_{n}
$$

Corollary 2.1.3 The analytic unimodal map $f_{*}^{\omega}$ is not a hyperbolic fixed point of $R: \mathcal{U}_{0}^{2} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{U}^{2}$.

In § 3.1 we will introduce a type of differentiability of a unimodal map, called $C^{2+|\cdot|}$, which is the minimal needed to be able to apply the classical proofs of a priori bounds for the invariant Cantor sets of infinitely renormalizable maps, see for example (Martens 1994),(Martens et al. 1988),(de Melo and van Strien 1993). This type of differentiability will allow us to represent any $C^{2+1 \cdot \mid}$ unimodal map as

$$
f=\phi \circ q,
$$

where $q$ is a quadratic polynomial and $\phi$ has still enough differentiability to control crossratio distortion. The precise description of this decomposition is given in Proposition 3.1.6. For completeness we include the proof of the a priori bounds in § 3.3.

Theorem 2.1.4 If $f$ is an infinitely renormalizable $C^{2+|\cdot|}$ unimodal map then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{dist}_{0}\left(R^{n} f, f_{*}^{\omega}\right)=0
$$

A construction similar to the one provided for $C^{2}$ unimodal maps leads to the following result:

Theorem 2.1.5 Let $d_{n}>0$ be any sequence with $\sum_{n \geq 1} d_{n}<\infty$. There exists an infinitely renormalizable $C^{2+|\cdot|}$ unimodal map $f$ with a quadratic tip such that

$$
\operatorname{dist}_{0}\left(R^{n} f, f_{*}^{\omega}\right) \geq d_{n}
$$

The analytic unimodal map $f_{*}^{\omega}$ is not a hyperbolic fixed point of $R: \mathcal{U}_{0}^{2+|\cdot|} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{2+|\cdot|}$.
Our second set of theorems deals with renormalization of $C^{1+\text { Lip }}$ unimodal maps with a quadratic tip.

Theorem 2.1.6 There exists an infinitely renormalizable $C^{1+L i p}$ unimodal map $f$ with a quadratic tip which is not $C^{2}$ but

$$
R f=f
$$

The topological entropy of a system defined on a non-compact space is defined to be the Supremum of the topological entropies contained in compact invariant subsets: we will always mean topological entropy when the type of entropy is not specified. As a consequence of Theorem 2.1.1 we get that renormalization on $\mathcal{U}_{0}^{2+\alpha}$ has entropy zero.

Theorem 2.1.7 The renormalization operator acting on the space of $C^{1+\text { Lip }}$ unimodal maps with quadratic tip has infinite entropy.

The last theorem illustrates a specific aspect of the chaotic behavior of the renormalization operator on $\mathcal{U}_{0}^{1+L i p}$ :

Theorem 2.1.8 There exists an infinitely renormalizable $C^{1+L i p}$ unimodal map $f$ with quadratic tip such that $\left\{c_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ is dense in a Cantor set. Here $c_{n}$ is the critical point of $R^{n} f$.

The results presented in chapter2 and chapter3 of the thesis work are based on the following article.
V.V.M.S. Chandramouli, M. Martens, W.De Melo, C.P. Tresser, Chaotic Period Doubling, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems (Accepted), doi:10.1017/S0143385708000370.

### 2.2 Notation

Let $I, J \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, with $n \geq 1$. We will use the following notation.

- $c l(I), \operatorname{int}(J), \partial I$, stands for resp. the closure, the interior, and the boundary of $I$.
- $|I|$ stands for the Lebesgue measure of $I$.
- If $n=1$ then $[I, J]$ is smallest interval which contains $I$ and $J$.
- dist $(x, y)$ is the Euclidean distance between $x$ and $y$, and

$$
\operatorname{dist}(I, J)=\inf _{x \in I, y \in J} \operatorname{dist}(x, y) .
$$

- If $F$ is a map between two sets then image $(F)$ stand for the image of $F$.
- Define $\operatorname{Diff}_{+}^{k}([0,1]), k \geq 1$, is the set of orientation preserving $C^{k}$-diffeomorphisms.
- $|.|_{k}, k \geq 0$, stands for the $C^{k}$ norm of the functions under consideration.
- dist $_{k}, k \geq 0$, stands for the $C^{k}$ distance in the function spaces under consideration.
- There is a constant $K>0$, held fixed throughout the context, which lets us write $Q_{1} \asymp Q_{2}$ if and only if

$$
\frac{1}{K} \leq \frac{Q_{1}}{Q_{2}} \leq K
$$

There are two rather independent discussions. One on $C^{1+\text { Lip }}$ maps and the other on $C^{2}$ maps. There is a slight conflict in the notation used for these two discussions. In particular, the notation $I_{1}^{n}$ stands for different intervals in the two parts, but the context will make the meaning of the symbols unambiguous.

### 2.3 Renormalization of $C^{1+L i p}$ unimodal maps

### 2.3.1 Piece-wise affine infinitely renormalizable maps.

Consider the open triangle $\Delta=\{(x, y): x, y>0$ and $x+y<1\}$. A point $\left(\sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}\right) \in \Delta$ is called a scaling bi-factor. A scaling bi-factor induces a pair of affine maps

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{\sigma}_{0}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1], \\
\tilde{\sigma}_{1}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1],
\end{gathered}
$$

defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\sigma}_{0}(t)=-\sigma_{0} t+\sigma_{0}=\sigma_{0}(1-t) \\
& \tilde{\sigma}_{1}(t)=\sigma_{1} t+1-\sigma_{1}=1-\sigma_{1}(1-t)
\end{aligned}
$$

A function $\sigma: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Delta$ is called a scaling data. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $\sigma(n)=\left(\sigma_{0}(n), \sigma_{1}(n)\right)$, so that the point $\left(\sigma_{0}(n), \sigma_{1}(n)\right) \in \Delta$ induces a pair of maps $\left(\tilde{\sigma}_{0}(n), \tilde{\sigma}_{1}(n)\right)$ as we have just described. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can now define the pair of intervals:

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{0}^{n}=\tilde{\sigma}_{0}(1) \circ \tilde{\sigma}_{0}(2) \circ \cdots \circ \tilde{\sigma}_{0}(n)([0,1]), \\
I_{1}^{n}=\tilde{\sigma}_{0}(1) \circ \tilde{\sigma}_{0}(2) \circ \cdots \circ \tilde{\sigma}_{0}(n-1) \circ \tilde{\sigma}_{1}(n)([0,1]) .
\end{gathered}
$$



Figure 2.1:
A scaling data with the property

$$
\operatorname{dist}(\sigma(n), \partial \Delta) \geq \epsilon>0
$$

is called $\epsilon$-proper, and proper if it is $\epsilon$-proper for some $\epsilon>0$. For $\epsilon$-proper scaling data we have

$$
\left|I_{j}^{n}\right| \leq(1-\epsilon)^{n}
$$

with $n \geq 1$ and $j=0,1$. Given proper scaling data define

$$
\{c\}=\cap_{n \geq 1} I_{0}^{n} .
$$

The point $c$, called the critical point, is shown in Figure 2.1. Consider the quadratic map $q_{c}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ defined as:

$$
q_{c}(x)=1-\left(\frac{x-c}{1-c}\right)^{2}
$$



Figure 2.2:
Given a proper scaling data $\sigma: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Delta$ and the set $D_{\sigma}=\cup_{n \geq 1} I_{1}^{n}$ induced by $\sigma$, we define a map

$$
f_{\sigma}: D_{\sigma} \rightarrow[0,1]
$$



Figure 2.3:
by letting $\left.f_{\sigma}\right|_{I_{1}^{n}}$ be the affine extension of $\left.q_{c}\right|_{\partial I_{1}^{n}}$. The graph of $f_{\sigma}$ is shown in Figure 2.2.
Define $x_{0}=0, x_{-1}=1$ and for $n \geq 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{n} & =\partial I_{0}^{n} \backslash \partial I_{0}^{n-1} \\
y_{n} & =\partial I_{1}^{n} \backslash \partial I_{0}^{n-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

These points are illustrated in Figure 2.3.
Definition $1 A$ map $f_{\sigma}$ corresponding to proper scaling data $\sigma: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Delta$ is called infinitely renormalizable if for $n \geq 1$
(i) $\left[f_{\sigma}\left(x_{n-1}\right), 1\right]$ is the maximal domain containing 1 on which $f_{\sigma}^{2^{n}-1}$ is defined affinely.
(ii) $f_{\sigma}^{2^{n}-1}\left(\left[f_{\sigma}\left(x_{n-1}\right), 1\right]\right)=I_{0}^{n}$.

Define $W=\left\{f_{\sigma}: f_{\sigma}\right.$ is infinitely renormalizable $\}$. Let $f \in W$ be given by the proper scaling data $\sigma: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Delta$ and define

$$
\hat{I_{0}^{n}}=\left[q_{c}\left(x_{n-1}\right), 1\right]=\left[f\left(x_{n-1}\right), 1\right] .
$$

Let

$$
h_{\sigma, n}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]
$$

be defined by

$$
h_{\sigma, n}=\sigma_{0}(1) \circ \sigma_{0}(2) \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_{0}(n) .
$$

Furthermore let

$$
\hat{h}_{\sigma, n}:[0,1] \rightarrow \hat{I}_{0}^{n}
$$

be the affine orientation preserving homeomorphism. Then define

$$
\mathrm{R}_{n} f_{\sigma}: h_{\sigma, n}^{-1}\left(D_{\sigma}\right) \rightarrow[0,1]
$$

by

$$
\mathrm{R}_{n} f_{\sigma}=\hat{h}_{\sigma, n}^{-1} \circ f_{\sigma} \circ h_{\sigma, n} .
$$



Figure 2.4:

It is shown in Figure 2.4. Let $s: \Delta^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \Delta^{\mathbb{N}}$ be the shift

$$
s(\sigma)(k)=\sigma(k+1)
$$

The construction implies the following result:
Lemma 2.3.1 Let $\sigma: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Delta$ be proper scaling data such that $f_{\sigma}$ is infinitely renormalizable. Then

$$
\mathrm{R}_{n} f_{\sigma}=f_{s^{n}(\sigma)} .
$$

Let next $f_{\sigma}$ be infinitely renormalizable, then for $n \geq 0$ we have

$$
f_{\sigma}^{2^{n}}: \mathrm{D}_{\sigma} \cap I_{0}^{n} \rightarrow I_{0}^{n}
$$

is well defined. Define the renormalization $\mathrm{R}: W \rightarrow W$ by

$$
\mathrm{R} f_{\sigma}=h_{\sigma, 1}^{-1} \circ f_{\sigma}^{2} \circ h_{\sigma, 1}
$$

The map $f_{\sigma}^{2^{n}-1}: \hat{I}_{0}^{n} \quad \rightarrow \quad I_{0}^{n}$ is an affine homeomorphism whenever $f_{\sigma} \in W$. This implies immediately the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.3.2 $\mathrm{R}^{n} f_{\sigma}: \mathrm{D}_{s^{n}(\sigma)} \rightarrow[0,1]$ and $\mathrm{R}^{n} f_{\sigma}=\mathrm{R}_{n} f_{\sigma}$.
Proposition 2.3.3 $W=\left\{f_{\sigma^{*}}\right\}$ where $\sigma^{*}$ is characterized by $\mathrm{R} f_{\sigma^{*}}=f_{\sigma^{*}}$
Proof: Let $\sigma: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Delta$ be proper scaling data such that $f_{\sigma}$ is infinitely renormalizable. Let $c_{n}$ be the critical point of $f_{s^{n}(\sigma)}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
q_{c_{n}}(0) & =1-\sigma_{1}(n)  \tag{2.1}\\
q_{c_{n}}\left(1-\sigma_{1}(n)\right) & =\sigma_{0}(n)  \tag{2.2}\\
c_{n+1} & =\frac{\sigma_{0}(n)-c_{n}}{\sigma_{0}(n)} . \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

We also have the conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{0}(n), \sigma_{1}(n) & >0  \tag{2.4}\\
\sigma_{0}(n)+\sigma_{1}(n) & <1  \tag{2.5}\\
0<c_{n} & <\frac{1}{2} \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

From conditions (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{0}(n) & =\frac{2 c_{n}^{2}-6 c_{n}^{3}+5 c_{n}^{4}-2 c_{n}^{5}}{\left(c_{n}-1\right)^{6}} \equiv A_{0}\left(c_{n}\right)  \tag{2.7}\\
\sigma_{1}(n) & =\frac{c_{n}^{2}}{\left(c_{n}-1\right)^{2}} \equiv A_{1}\left(c_{n}\right)  \tag{2.8}\\
c_{n+1} & =\frac{c_{n}^{6}-6 c_{n}^{5}+17 c_{n}^{4}-25 c_{n}^{3}+21 c_{n}^{2}-8 c_{n}+1}{2 c_{n}^{4}-5 c_{n}^{3}+6 c_{n}^{2}-2 c_{n}} \equiv R\left(c_{n}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$




Figure 2.5: The graphs of $A_{0}, A_{1}$ and $A_{0}+A_{1}$
The conditions (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) reduces to $c \in(0,1 / 2)$ and $A_{0}(c)+A_{1}(c)<1$. In particular, using Figure 2.5, this defines the feasible domain to be:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C & =\left\{c \in(0,1 / 2): 0 \leq \frac{c^{2}\left(3-10 c+11 c^{2}-6 c^{3}+c^{4}\right)}{(c-1)^{6}}<1\right\} \\
& =[0,0.35 \ldots]
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 2.6: $R: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

Notice that the map $R: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is expanding (see Figure 2.6). It follows readily that only the fixed point $c^{*} \in C$ and $R\left(c^{*}\right)=c^{*}$ corresponds to an infinitely renormalizable $f_{\sigma^{*}}$. Otherwise speaking, consider the scaling data $\sigma^{*}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Delta$ with

$$
\sigma^{*}(n)=\left(q_{c^{*}}^{2}(0), 1-q_{c^{*}}(0)\right), n \geq 1
$$

Then $s\left(\sigma^{*}\right)=\sigma^{*}$ and Lemma 2.3.1 implies

$$
\mathrm{R} f_{\sigma^{*}}=f_{\sigma^{*}} .
$$

Remark 2.3.4 Let $I_{0}^{n}=\left[x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right]$ be the interval corresponding to $\sigma^{*}$ then

$$
f_{\sigma^{*}}\left(x_{n-1}\right)=q_{c^{*}}\left(x_{n-1}\right) .
$$

Hence $f_{\sigma^{*}}$ has a quadratic tip.
Remark 2.3.5 The invariant Cantor set of the map $f_{\sigma^{*}}$ is next in complexity to the well known middle third Cantor set in the following sense:

- like in the middle third Cantor set, on each scale and everywhere the same scaling ratios are used,
- but unlike in the middle third Cantor set, there are now two ratios (a small one and a bigger one) at each scale .
This situation of rather extreme tameness of the scaling data is very different from the geometry of the Cantor attractor of the analytic renormalization fixed point in which there are no two places where the same scaling ratios are used at all scales, and where the closure of the set of ratios is itself a Cantor set (Birkhoff et al. 2003).

Lemma 2.3.6 Let $f_{*}=f_{\sigma^{*}}$ where $\sigma^{*}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Delta$ is the scaling data with $\sigma^{*}(n)\left(\sigma_{0}^{*}, \sigma_{1}^{*}\right)$. Then

$$
\left(\sigma_{0}^{*}\right)^{2}=\sigma_{1}^{*}
$$

Proof: Let $\hat{I}_{0}^{n}=f_{*}\left(I_{0}^{n}\right)=\left[f_{*}\left(x_{n-1}\right)\right.$, 1] and $\hat{I}_{1}^{n+1}=f_{*}\left(I_{1}^{n+1}\right)$. Then $f_{*}^{2^{n}-1}: \hat{I}_{0}^{n} \rightarrow I_{0}^{n}$ is affine, monotone and onto. Further, by construction

$$
f^{2^{n}-1}\left(\hat{I}_{0}^{n+1}\right)=I_{1}^{n+1} .
$$

Hence,

$$
\frac{\left|\hat{I}_{0}^{n+1}\right|}{\left|\hat{I}_{0}^{n}\right|}=\sigma_{1}^{*}
$$

So $\left|I_{0}^{n}\right|=\left(\sigma_{0}^{*}\right)^{n}$ and $\left|\hat{I}_{0}^{n}\right|=\left(\sigma_{1}^{*}\right)^{n}$. Now $f_{\sigma^{*}}$ has a quadratic tip with

$$
f_{\sigma^{*}}\left(x_{n}\right)=q_{c_{*}}\left(x_{n}\right) .
$$

Hence,

$$
\sigma_{1}^{*}=\frac{\left|\hat{I}_{0}^{n+1}\right|}{\left|\hat{I}_{0}^{n}\right|}=\left(\frac{x_{n}-c}{x_{n-1}-c}\right)^{2}=\left(\frac{\left|I_{0}^{n+1}\right|}{\left|I_{0}^{n}\right|}\right)^{2}=\left(\sigma_{0}^{*}\right)^{2} .
$$

This completes the proof.

### 2.3.2 $C^{1+\text { Lip }}$ extension

In this sub-section we will extend the piece-wise affine map $f_{*}$ to a $C^{1+L i p}$ unimodal map. Let $S:[0,1]^{2} \rightarrow[0,1]^{2}$ be the scaling function defined by

$$
S\binom{x}{y}=\binom{-\sigma_{0}^{*} x+\sigma_{0}^{*}}{\sigma_{1}^{*} y+1-\sigma_{1}^{*}} \equiv\binom{S_{1}(x)}{S_{2}(y)}
$$

and let $F$ be the graph of $f_{*}=f_{\sigma^{*}}$, where $f_{\sigma^{*}}: D_{\sigma^{*}} \rightarrow[0,1]$, $D_{\sigma^{*}}=\cup_{n \geq 1} I_{1}^{n}$. Then the idea of how to construct an extension $g$ of $f_{*}$ is contained in the following lemma:

Lemma 2.3.7 $F \cap \operatorname{image}(S)=S(F)$.
Proof: Let $\hat{h}=\hat{h}_{\sigma^{*}, 1}$ and $h=h_{\sigma^{*}, 1}$. Let $(x, y) \in \operatorname{graph}\left(f_{*}\right) \cap \operatorname{image}(S)$. Say $(x, y)=\left(S_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right), S_{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right)$ with $S_{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)=f_{*}\left(S_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Since $S_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=h\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ and $S_{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\hat{h}\left(y^{\prime}\right)$, we can write $y^{\prime}=\hat{h}^{-1} \circ f_{*} \circ h\left(x^{\prime}\right)$. By Lemma 2.3.1

$$
y^{\prime}=R_{1} f_{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=f_{*}\left(x^{\prime}\right),
$$

which gives $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \in \operatorname{graph}\left(f_{*}\right)$. This in turn implies $(x, y) \in S\left(g r a p h f_{*}\right)$. By reading the previous argument backward we prove $S\left(\operatorname{graph} f_{*}\right) \subset F \cap \operatorname{image}(S)$.

Lemma 2.3.8 $S\left(\right.$ graph $\left.q_{c^{*}}\right) \subset \operatorname{graph}\left(q_{c^{*}}\right)$.
Proof: Let $S\left(\operatorname{graph}\left(q_{c^{*}}\right)\right)$ be the graph of the function $q$. Since $S$ is linear and $q_{c}$ is quadratic we get that $q$ is also a quadratic function. Then both $q_{c^{*}}\left(c^{*}\right)=1$ and $q\left(c^{*}\right)=1$, because of $S\left(c^{*}, 1\right)=\left(c^{*}, 1\right)$. Furthermore, by construction

$$
S(1,0)=\left(0, q_{c^{*}}(0)\right)=(0, q(0)) .
$$

Hence $q_{c^{*}}(0)=q(0)$. Differentiate twice $S_{2}(y)=q\left(S_{1}(x)\right)$ and use $\left(\sigma_{0}^{*}\right)^{2}=\sigma_{1}^{*}$ from Lemma 2.3.6, which proves $q^{\prime \prime}\left(c^{*}\right)=q_{c^{*}}^{\prime \prime}\left(c^{*}\right)$. Now we conclude that the quadratic maps $q$ and $q_{c^{*}}$ are equal.

Let $F_{0}$ be the graph of $\left.f_{*}\right|_{I_{1}^{1}}$. Then by Lemma 2.3.7, $F=\cup_{k \geq 0} S^{k}\left(F_{0}\right)$. Let $g$ be a $C^{1+L i p}$ extension of $f_{*}$ on $D_{\sigma_{*}} \cup\left[x_{1}, 1\right]$ and $G_{0}=\operatorname{graph}\left(\left.g\right|_{\left[x_{1}, 1\right]}\right)$. Then $G=\cup_{k \geq 0} S^{k}\left(G_{0}\right)$ is the graph of an extension of $f_{*}$. We prove that $g$ is $C^{1+\text { Lip }}$ and also has a quadratic tip. Let $B^{k}=S^{k}\left([0,1]^{2}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
B^{k}=\left[x_{k-1}, x_{k}\right] \times\left[\hat{x}_{k-1}, 1\right] & \text { for } k=1,3,5, \ldots \\
B^{k}=\left[x_{k}, x_{k-1}\right] \times\left[\hat{x}_{k-1}, 1\right] & \text { for } k=2,4, \ldots
\end{array}
$$

where $\hat{x}_{k-1}=q_{c}\left(x_{k-1}\right)=1-\left(\sigma_{1}^{*}\right)^{k}$. Let $b_{n}=\left(x_{n-1}, \hat{x}_{n-1}\right)=S^{n}(1,0)$.
Remark 2.3.9 Notice that the points $b_{n}$ lie on the graph of $q_{c^{*}}$. This follows from Lemma 2.3.8.


Figure 2.7: extension of $f_{\sigma_{*}}$

Lemma 2.3.10 $G$ is the graph of a $C^{1}$ extension of $f_{*}$.
Proof: Note that $G_{k}=S^{k}\left(G_{0}\right)$ is the graph of a $C^{1}$ function on $\left[x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}\right]$ for $k$ odd and on $\left[x_{k+1}, x_{k-1}\right]$ for $k$ is even. To prove the Lemma we need to show continuous differentiability at the points $b_{n}$, where these graphs intersect (see Figure 2.7). By construction $G_{0}$ is $C^{1}$ at $b_{2}$. Namely, consider a small interval $\left(x_{1}-\delta, x_{1}+\delta\right)$. Then on the interval $\left(x_{1}-\delta, x_{1}\right)$, the slope is given by an affine piece of $f_{*}$ and on $\left(x_{1}, x_{1}+\delta\right)$ the slope is given by the chosen $C^{1+\text { Lip }}$ extension. Let $\Gamma \subset G$ be the graph over this interval $\left(x_{1}-\delta, x_{1}+\delta\right)$. Then locally around $b_{n}$ the graph $G$ equals $S^{n-1}(\Gamma)$. Hence $G$ is $C^{1}$ on $[0,1] \backslash\left\{c^{*}\right\}$. From Lemma 2.3.6, notice that the vertical contraction of $S$ is stronger than the horizontal contraction. This implies that the slope of $G_{n}$ tends to zero. Indeed, $G$ is the graph of a $C^{1}$ function on $[0,1]$.

Proposition 2.3.11 Let $g$ be the function whose graph is $G$ then $g$ is $C^{1+\text { Lip }}$ with $a$ quadratic tip.

Proof: Since $\left.f_{*}\right|_{D_{\sigma}}$ has a quadratic tip, the extension $g$ has a quadratic tip. Because $g$ is $C^{1}$ we only need to show that $G_{n}$ is the graph of a $C^{1+\text { Lip }}$ function

$$
g_{n}:\left[x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}\right] \rightarrow[0,1]
$$

with an uniform Lipschitz bound. That is, for $n \geq 1$

$$
\operatorname{Lip}\left(g_{n+1}^{\prime}\right) \leq \operatorname{Lip}\left(g_{n}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Assume that $g_{n}$ is $C^{1+\text { Lip }}$ with Lipschitz constant $\operatorname{Lip}_{n}$ for its derivative. We prove that $\operatorname{Lip}_{n+1} \leq \operatorname{Lip}_{n}$, and in particular $\operatorname{Lip}_{n} \leq \operatorname{Lip}_{0}$. For, given $(x, y)$ on the graph of $g_{n}$ there is $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=S(x, y)$, on the graph of $g_{n+1}$. Therefore, we can write

$$
g_{n+1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\sigma_{1}^{*} g_{n}(x)+1-\sigma_{1}^{*} .
$$

Since $x=1-\frac{x^{\prime}}{\sigma_{0}^{*}}$, we have

$$
g_{n+1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\sigma_{1}^{*} g_{n}\left(1-\frac{x^{\prime}}{\sigma_{0}^{*}}\right)+1-\sigma_{1}^{*} .
$$

Differentiate,

$$
g_{n+1}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\frac{-\sigma_{1}^{*}}{\sigma_{0}^{*}} g_{n}^{\prime}\left(1-\frac{x^{\prime}}{\sigma_{0}^{*}}\right) .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|g_{n+1}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}\right)-g_{n+1}^{\prime}\left(x_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right| & =\left|\frac{-\sigma_{1}^{*}}{\sigma_{0}^{*}}\right| \cdot\left|g_{n}^{\prime}\left(1-\frac{x_{1}^{\prime}}{\sigma_{0}^{*}}\right)-g_{n}^{\prime}\left(1-\frac{x_{2}^{\prime}}{\sigma_{0}^{*}}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{\sigma_{1}^{*}}{\left(\sigma_{0}^{*}\right)^{2}} \operatorname{Lip}\left(g_{n}^{\prime}\right)\left|x_{1}^{\prime}-x_{2}^{\prime}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

From Lemma 2.3.6 we have $\frac{\sigma_{*}^{*}}{\left(\sigma_{0}^{*}\right)^{2}}=1$. Hence

$$
\operatorname{Lip}\left(g_{n+1}^{\prime}\right) \leq \operatorname{Lip}\left(g_{n}^{\prime}\right) \leq \operatorname{Lip}\left(g_{1}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Which completes the proof.
If $f_{\sigma}$ is infinitely renormalizable then every extension $g$ of $f_{\sigma}$ maps $I_{0}^{1}$ onto $I_{1}^{1}$ and $I_{1}^{1}$ monotonically onto $I_{0}^{1}$. Hence, $g$ is renormalizable in the classical sense. Observe that $R g$ is an extension of $R f_{\sigma}$. Hence, $R g$ is renormalizable in the classical sense. Infact, $g$ is infinitely renormalizable.

Theorem 2.3.12 There exists an infinitely renormalizable $C^{1+L i p}$ unimodal map $f$ with a quadratic tip which is not $C^{2}$ but

$$
R f=f
$$

### 2.3.3 Entropy of renormalization

For all $\phi \in C^{1+L i p}, \phi:\left[x_{1}, 1\right] \rightarrow[0,1]$, which extends $f_{*}$ we constructed $f_{\phi} \in C^{1+\text { Lip }}$ in such a way that
(i) $\mathrm{R} f_{\phi}=f_{\phi}$
(ii) $f_{\phi}$ has a quadratic tip.

Now choose two $C^{1+\text { Lip }}$ functions which extend $f_{*}$, say $\phi_{0}:\left[x_{1}, 1\right] \rightarrow[0,1]$ and $\phi_{1}:\left[x_{1}, 1\right] \rightarrow$ $[0,1]$. For $\omega=\left(\omega_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1} \in\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, define

$$
F_{n}(\omega)=S^{n}\left(\operatorname{graph} \phi_{\omega_{n}}\right)
$$

and

$$
F(\omega)=\cup_{k \geq 1} F_{k}(\omega)
$$

Use the same argument as was given before to show that the set $F(\omega)$ is the graph of a $C^{1+\text { Lip }}$ map with a quadratic tip. Now let

$$
\tau:\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}
$$

be the shift map defined by

$$
\tau(\omega)_{n}=\omega_{n+1}
$$

(so that the map $\tau$ acting on the set $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is the full 2 -shift).
Proposition 2.3.13 For all $\omega \in\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$

$$
f_{\omega}^{2}:\left[0, x_{1}\right] \rightarrow\left[0, x_{1}\right]
$$

is a unimodal map. In particular $f_{\omega}$ is renormalizable and

$$
R f_{\omega}=f_{\tau(\omega)}
$$

Proof: Note that $f_{\omega}:\left[0, x_{1}\right] \rightarrow I_{1}^{1}$ is unimodal and onto. Furthermore, $f_{\omega}: I_{1}^{1} \rightarrow\left[0, x_{1}\right]$ is affine and onto. Hence $f_{\omega}$ is renormalizable. The construction also gives

$$
R f_{\omega}=f_{\tau(\omega)} .
$$

Theorem 2.3.14 Renormalization acting on the space of $C^{1+L i p}$ unimodal maps has positive entropy.

Proof: Note that $\omega \rightarrow f_{\omega} \in C^{1+L i p}$ is injective. Hence the domain of $R$ contains a copy of the full 2 -shift (i.e., contains a subset on which the restriction of $R$ is topologically conjugate to the full 2 -shift).

Remark 2.3.15 We can also embedded a full $k$-shift in the domain of $R$ by choosing $\phi_{0}, \phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{k-1}$ and repeat the construction. The entropy of $R$ on $C^{1+\text { Lip }}$ is actually unbounded.

### 2.4 Chaotic scaling data

In this section we will use a variation on the construction of scaling data as presented in $\S 2.3$ to obtain the following

Theorem 2.4.1 There exists an infinitely renormalizable $C^{1+L i p}$ unimodal map $g$ with quadratic tip such that $\left\{c_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$, where $c_{n}$ is the critical point of $R^{n} g$, is dense in a Cantor set.

The proof needs some preparation. For $\epsilon>0$ we will modify the construction as described in $\S$ 2.3. This modification is illustrated in Figure 2.8. For $c \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1}(c, \epsilon) & =1-q_{c}(0), \\
\sigma_{0}(c, \epsilon) & =\epsilon q_{c}^{2}(0),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\epsilon>0$ and close to 1 . Also let

$$
R(c, \epsilon)=\frac{\sigma_{0}(c, \epsilon)-c}{\sigma_{0}(c, \epsilon)}=1-\frac{c}{q_{c}^{2}(0)} \cdot \frac{1}{\epsilon} .
$$

In $\S 2.3$ we observed that $R(c, 1)$ has a unique fixed point $c^{*} \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ with feasible $\sigma_{0}\left(c^{*}, 1\right)$ and $\sigma_{1}\left(c^{*}, 1\right)$. This fixed point is expanding. Although we will not use this, a numerical computation gives

$$
\frac{\partial R}{\partial c}\left(c^{*}, 1\right)>2
$$

Now choose $\epsilon_{0}>\epsilon_{1}$ close to 1 . Then $R\left(\cdot, \epsilon_{0}\right)$ will have an expanding fixed point $c_{0}^{*}$ and $R\left(\cdot, \epsilon_{1}\right)$ a fixed point $c_{1}^{*}$. In particular, by choosing $\epsilon_{0}>\epsilon_{1}$ close enough to 1 we will
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get the following horseshoe as shown in Figure 2.9; more precisely there exists an interval $A_{0}=\left[c_{0}^{*}, a_{0}\right]$ and $A_{1}=\left[a_{1}, c_{1}^{*}\right]$ such that

$$
R_{0}: A_{0} \rightarrow\left[c_{0}^{*}, c_{1}^{*}\right] \supset A_{0}
$$

and

$$
R_{1}: A_{1} \rightarrow\left[c_{0}^{*}, c_{1}^{*}\right] \supset A_{1}
$$

are expanding diffeomorphisms (with derivative larger than 2, but larger than one would suffice to get a horseshoe). Here

$$
R_{0}(c)=R\left(c, \epsilon_{0}\right)
$$

and

$$
R_{1}(c)=R\left(c, \epsilon_{1}\right)
$$

Use the following coding for the invariant Cantor set of the horseshoe map

$$
c:\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow\left[c_{0}^{*}, c_{1}^{*}\right]
$$

with

$$
c(\tau \omega)=R\left(c(\omega), \epsilon_{\omega_{0}}\right)
$$

where $\tau:\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is the shift. Given $\omega \in\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ define the following scaling data $\sigma: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \Delta$.

$$
\sigma(n)=\left(\sigma_{0}\left(c\left(\tau^{n} \omega\right), \epsilon_{\omega_{n}}\right), \sigma_{1}\left(c\left(\tau^{n} \omega\right), \epsilon_{\omega_{n}}\right)\right) .
$$
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Again, by taking $\epsilon_{0}, \epsilon_{1}$, close enough to 1 , we can assume that $\sigma(n)$ is proper scaling data for any chosen $\omega \in\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$. As in $\S 2.3$ we will define a piece wise affine map

$$
f_{\omega}: D_{\omega}=\cup_{n \geq 1} I_{1}^{n} \rightarrow[0,1] .
$$

The precise definition needs some preparation. Use the notation as illustrated in Figure 2.10 . For $n \geq 0$ let

$$
I_{0}^{n}=\left[x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right]
$$

where $x_{n}=\partial I_{0}^{n} \backslash \partial I_{0}^{n-1}, \quad n \geq 1$ and

$$
I_{1}^{n}=\left[y_{n}, x_{n-2}\right]
$$

where $y_{n}=\partial I_{1}^{n} \backslash \partial I_{0}^{n-1}, \quad n \geq 1$.
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Let

$$
\hat{I}_{0}^{n}=q_{c}\left(\left[x_{n-1}, 1\right]\right)=q_{c}\left(I_{0}^{n}\right)=\left[\hat{x}_{n-1}, 1\right]
$$

where $\hat{x}_{n-1}=q_{c}\left(x_{n-1}\right)$. Finally, let $\hat{I}_{1}^{n+1}=\left[\hat{x}_{n-1}, \hat{y}_{n+1}\right] \subset \hat{I}_{0}^{n}$ such that

$$
\left|\hat{I}_{1}^{n+1}\right|=\sigma_{0}(n) \cdot\left|\hat{I}_{0}^{n}\right| .
$$

Now define $f_{\omega}: I_{1}^{n+1} \rightarrow \hat{I}_{1}^{n+1}$ to be the affine homeomorphism such that

$$
f_{\omega}\left(x_{n-1}\right)=q_{c}\left(x_{n-1}\right)=\hat{x}_{n-1} .
$$

Lemma 2.4.2 There exists $K>0$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{K} \leq \frac{\left|\hat{I}_{0}^{n}\right|}{\left|I_{0}^{n}\right|^{2}} \leq K
$$

Proof: Observe, $c(n)=c\left(\tau^{n} \omega\right) \in\left[c_{0}^{*}, c_{1}^{*}\right]$ which is a small interval around $c^{*}$. This implies that for some $K>0$

$$
\frac{1}{K} \leq \frac{\left|c-x_{n-1}\right|}{\left|I_{0}^{n}\right|} \leq K
$$

Then

$$
\frac{\left|\hat{I}_{0}^{n}\right|}{\left|I_{0}^{n}\right|^{2}}=\frac{\left|q_{c}\left(\left[c, x_{n-1}\right]\right)\right|}{\left|I_{0}^{n}\right|^{2}}=\frac{\left(c-x_{n-1}\right)^{2}}{(1-c)^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\left(I_{0}^{n}\right)^{2}}
$$

which implies the bound.
Let $S_{2}^{n}:[0,1] \rightarrow \hat{I}_{0}^{n}$ be the affine orientation preserving homeomorphism and $S_{1}^{n}:$ $[0,1] \rightarrow I_{0}^{n}$ be the affine homeomorphism with $S_{1}^{n}(1)=x_{n-1}$. Define

$$
S^{n}:[0,1]^{2} \rightarrow[0,1]^{2}
$$

by

$$
S^{n}\binom{x}{y}=\binom{S_{1}^{n}(x)}{S_{2}^{n}(y)}
$$

The image of $S^{n}$ is $B_{n}$.
Let $F_{n}=\left(S^{n}\right)^{-1}\left(\right.$ graph $\left.f_{\omega}\right)$. This is the graph of a function $f_{n}$. We will extend this function (and its graph) on the gap $\left[\sigma_{0}(n), 1-\sigma_{1}(n)\right]$. Notice, that $\sigma_{0}(n), 1-$ $\sigma_{1}(n), D f_{n}\left(\sigma_{0}(n)\right)$, and $D f_{n}\left(1-\sigma_{1}(n)\right)$ vary within a compact family. This allows us to choose from a compact family of $C^{1+\text { Lip }}$ diffeomorphisms an extension

$$
g_{n}:\left[\sigma_{0}(n), 1\right] \rightarrow\left[0, f_{n}\left(\sigma_{0}(n)\right)\right]
$$

of the map $f_{n}$. The Lipschitz constant of $D g_{n}$ is bounded by $K_{0}>0$. Let $G_{n}$ be the graph of $g_{n}$ and

$$
G=\cup_{n \geq 0} S^{n}\left(G_{n}\right)
$$

Then $G$ is the graph of a unimodal map

$$
g:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]
$$
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which extends $f_{\omega}$. Notice, $g$ is $C^{1}$. It has a quadratic tip because $f_{\omega}$ has a quadratic tip. Also notice that $S^{n}\left(G_{n}\right)$ is the graph of a $C^{1+\text { Lip }}$ diffeomorphism. The Lipschitz bound $L_{n}$ of its derivative satisfies, for a similar reason as in § 2.3,

$$
L_{n} \leq \frac{\left|\hat{I}_{0}^{n}\right|}{\left|\left(I_{0}^{n}\right)\right|^{2}} \cdot K_{0}
$$

This is bounded by Lemma 2.4.2. Thus $g_{\omega}$ is a $C^{1+\text { Lip }}$ unimodal map with quadratic tip. The construction implies that $g$ is infinitely renormalizable and

$$
\operatorname{graph}\left(R^{n} g_{\omega}\right) \supset F_{n} .
$$

One can prove Theorem 2.4 .1 by choosing $\omega \in\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that the orbit under the shift $\tau$ is dense in the invariant Cantor set of the horseshoe map.

Remark 2.4.3 Let $\omega=\{0,0, \ldots\}$, then we will get another renormalization fixed point which is a modification of the one constructed in § 2.3.

## Chapter 3

## Renormalization of $C^{2+|\cdot|}$ unimodal maps

## $3.1 C^{2+|\cdot|}$ unimodal maps

Let $f:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a $C^{2}$ unimodal map with critical point $c \in(0,1)$. Say, $D^{2} f(x)=$ $E(1+\varepsilon(x))$, where

$$
\varepsilon:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

is continuous with $\varepsilon(c)=0$ and $E=D^{2} f(c) \neq 0$. Let then

$$
\bar{\varepsilon}:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

be defined by

$$
\bar{\varepsilon}(x)=\frac{1}{x-c} \int_{c}^{x} \varepsilon(t) d t
$$

Notice, $\bar{\varepsilon}$ is continuous with $\bar{\varepsilon}(c)=0$. Furthermore, $1+\bar{\varepsilon}(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in[0,1]$. Since

$$
D f(x)=E(x-c)(1+\bar{\varepsilon}(x))
$$

and $D f(x)$ equals zero only when $x=c$. Let the map

$$
\delta:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

defined by

$$
\delta(x)=\varepsilon(x)-\bar{\varepsilon}(x)
$$

Notice that $\delta$ is continuous and $\delta(c)=0$. Finally, define

$$
\beta:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

by

$$
\beta(x)=\int_{c}^{x} \frac{1}{t-c} \delta(t) d t
$$

Lemma 3.1.1 The function $\beta$ is continuous and $\varepsilon=\delta+\beta$.

Proof: The definition of $\delta$ gives $\bar{\varepsilon}=\varepsilon-\delta$, which is differentiable on $[0,1] \backslash\{c\}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon(x) & =((x-c)(\varepsilon-\delta)(x))^{\prime} \\
& =\varepsilon(x)-\delta(x)+(x-c)(\varepsilon-\delta)^{\prime}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\delta(x)=(x-c)(\varepsilon-\delta)^{\prime}(x) .
$$

This implies

$$
\varepsilon(x)=\delta(x)+\int_{c}^{x} \frac{1}{t-c} \delta(t) d t=\delta(x)+\beta(x)
$$

Definition 2 Let $f:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ be unimodal map with critical point $c \in(0,1)$. We say $f$ is $C^{2+|\cdot|}$ if and only if

$$
\hat{\beta}: x \longmapsto \int_{c}^{x} \frac{1}{|t-c|}|\delta(t)| d t
$$

is continuous.
Remark 3.1.2 Every $C^{2+\alpha}$ Hölder unimodal map, $\alpha>0$, is $C^{2+|\cdot|}$.
Remark 3.1.3 If $D^{2} f$ is monotone, then $\hat{\beta}=\beta$ or $\hat{\beta}=-\beta$. So $\hat{\beta}$ is continuous according to Lemma 3.1.1. Hence, the very weak condition of local monotonicity of $D^{2} f$ is sufficient for $f$ to be $C^{2+1 \cdot 1}$.

Remark 3.1.4 $C^{2+|\cdot|}$ unimodal maps are dense in $C^{2}$.
Remark 3.1.5 There exists $C^{2}$ unimodal maps which are not $C^{2+|\cdot|}$. See also remark 3.7.2.

The non-linearity $\eta_{\phi}:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of a $C^{1}$ diffeomorphism $\phi:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ is given by

$$
\eta_{\phi}(x)=D \ln D \phi(x),
$$

wherever it is defined.
Proposition 3.1.6 Let $f$ be a $C^{2+|\cdot|}$ unimodal map with critical point $c \in(0,1)$. There exist diffeomorphisms

$$
\phi_{ \pm}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]
$$

such that

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}\phi_{+}\left(q_{c}(x)\right) & x \in[c, 1] \\ \phi_{-}\left(q_{c}(x)\right) & x \in[0, c]\end{cases}
$$

with

$$
\eta_{\phi_{ \pm}} \in L^{1}([0,1]) .
$$

Proof: It is plain that there exists a $C^{1}$ diffeomorphism

$$
\phi_{+}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]
$$

such that for $x \in[c, 1]$

$$
f(x)=\phi_{+}\left(q_{c}(x)\right) .
$$

We will analyze the nonlinearity of $\phi_{+}$. Observe that:

$$
D f(x)=-2 \frac{(x-c)}{(1-c)^{2}} \cdot D \phi_{+}\left(q_{c}(x)\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
D^{2} f(x) & =4 \frac{(x-c)^{2}}{(1-c)^{4}} \cdot D^{2} \phi_{+}\left(q_{c}(x)\right)-2 \frac{1}{(1-c)^{2}} \cdot D \phi_{+}\left(q_{c}(x)\right) \\
& =E(1+\varepsilon(x)) \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

As we have seen before, we also have

$$
D f(x)=E(x-c) \cdot(1+\bar{\varepsilon}(x)) .
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{\phi_{+}}\left(q_{c}(x)\right)=\frac{-(1-c)^{2}}{2} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon(x)-\bar{\varepsilon}(x)}{1+\bar{\varepsilon}(x)} \cdot \frac{1}{(x-c)^{2}} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by performing the substitution $u=q_{c}(x)$, we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{1}\left|\eta_{\phi}(u)\right| d u & =\int_{1}^{c}-2\left|\eta_{\phi_{+}}\left(q_{c}(x)\right)\right| \frac{x-c}{(1-c)^{2}} d x  \tag{3.3}\\
& =\int_{c}^{1} \frac{|\varepsilon(x)-\bar{\varepsilon}(x)|}{1+\bar{\varepsilon}(x)} \frac{1}{x-c} d x  \tag{3.4}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{\min (1+\bar{\varepsilon})} \int_{c}^{1} \frac{|\delta(x)|}{|x-c|} d x<\infty \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

We have proved $\eta_{\phi_{+}} \in L^{1}([0,1])$. Similarly one can prove the existence of a $C^{1}$ diffeomorphism

$$
\phi_{-}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]
$$

such that for $x \in[0, c]$

$$
f(x)=\phi_{-}\left(q_{c}(x)\right)
$$

and

$$
\eta_{\phi_{-}} \in L^{1}([0,1])
$$

### 3.2 Distortion of cross ratios

Definition 3 Let $J \subset T \subset[0,1]$ be open and bounded intervals such that $T \backslash J$ consists of two components $L$ and $R$. Define the cross ratios of these intervals as

$$
D(T, J)=\frac{|J||T|}{|L||R|}
$$

If $f$ is continuous and monotone on $T$ then define the cross ratio distortion of $f$ as

$$
B(f, T, J)=\frac{D(f(T), f(J))}{D(T, J)}
$$

If $\left.f^{n}\right|_{T}$ is monotone and continuous then

$$
B\left(f^{n}, T, J\right)=\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} B\left(f, f^{i}(T), f^{i}(J)\right)
$$

Definition 4 Let $f:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a unimodal map and $T \subset[0,1]$. We say that

$$
\left\{f^{i}(T): 0 \leq i \leq n\right\}
$$

has intersection multiplicity $m \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if for every $x \in[0,1]$

$$
\#\left\{i \leq n \mid x \in f^{i}(T)\right\} \leq m
$$

and $m$ is minimal with this property.
Theorem 3.2.1 Let $f:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a $C^{2+|\cdot|}$ unimodal map with critical point $c \in$ $(0,1)$. Then there exists $K>0$, such that the following holds. If $T$ is an interval such that $\left.f^{n}\right|_{T}$ is a diffeomorphism then for any interval $J \subset T$ with $\operatorname{cl}(J) \subset \operatorname{int}(T)$ we have,

$$
B\left(f^{n}, T, J\right) \geq \exp \{-K \cdot m\}
$$

where $m$ is the intersection multiplicity of $\left\{f^{i}(T): 0 \leq i \leq n\right\}$.
Proof: Observe that $q_{c}$ expands cross-ratios. Then Proposition 3.1.6 implies

$$
B\left(f, f^{i}(T), f^{i}(J)\right)>\frac{D \phi_{i}\left(j_{i}\right) \cdot D \phi_{i}\left(t_{i}\right)}{D \phi_{i}\left(l_{i}\right) \cdot D \phi_{i}\left(r_{i}\right)}
$$

where $\phi_{i}=\phi_{+}$or $\phi_{-}$depending whether $f^{i}(T) \subset[c, 1]$ or $[0, c]$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
j_{i} & \in q_{c}\left(f^{i}(J)\right), \\
t_{i} & \in q_{c}\left(f^{i}(T)\right), \\
l_{i} & \in q_{c}\left(f^{i}(L)\right), \\
r_{i} & \in q_{c}\left(f^{i}(R)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln B\left(f^{n}, T, J\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \ln B\left(f, f^{i}(T), f^{i}(J)\right) \geq \\
& \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(\ln D \phi_{i}\left(j_{i}\right)-\ln D \phi_{i}\left(l_{i}\right)\right)+\left(\ln D \phi_{i}\left(t_{i}\right)-\ln D \phi_{i}\left(r_{i}\right)\right) \geq \\
- & \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left|\eta_{\phi_{i}}\left(\xi_{i}^{1}\right)\right|\left|j_{i}-l_{i}\right|+\left|\eta_{\phi_{i}}\left(\xi_{i}^{2}\right)\right|\left|t_{i}-r_{i}\right| \geq \\
- & 2 m\left(\int\left|\eta_{\phi_{+}}\right|+\int\left|\eta_{\phi_{-}}\right|\right)=-K \cdot m .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
B\left(f^{n}, T, J\right) \geq \exp \{-K \cdot m\}
$$

The previous Theorem allows us to apply the Real-Koebe-Lemma. See (de Melo and van Strien 1993) for a proof.

Lemma 3.2.2 (Real-Koebe-Lemma) For each $K_{1}>0,0<\tau<1 / 4$, there exists $K<\infty$ with the following property:
Let $g: T \rightarrow g(T) \subset[0,1]$ be a $C^{1}$ diffeomorphism on some interval $T$. Assume that for any intervals $J^{*}$ and $T^{*}$ with $J^{*} \subset T^{*} \subset T$ one has

$$
B\left(g, T^{*}, J^{*}\right) \geq K_{1}>0,
$$

for an interval $M \subset T$ such that $c l(M) \subset \operatorname{int}(T)$. Let $L, R$ be the components of $T \backslash M$. Then, if:

$$
\frac{|g(L)|}{|g(M)|} \geq \tau \quad \text { and } \frac{|g(R)|}{|g(M)|} \geq \tau
$$

we have:

$$
\forall x, y \in M, \quad \frac{1}{K} \leq \frac{\left|g^{\prime}(x)\right|}{\left|g^{\prime}(y)\right|} \leq K
$$

Remark 3.2.3 The conclusion of the Real-Koebe-Lemma is summarized by saying that $\left.g\right|_{M}$ has bounded distortion.

### 3.3 A priori bounds

Let $f$ be an infinitely renormalizable $C^{2+|\cdot|}$ unimodal map with quadratic tip at $c \in(0,1)$. Let $I_{0}^{n}=\left[f^{2^{n}}(c), f^{2^{n+1}}(c)\right]$ be the central interval whose first return map corresponds to the $n^{\text {th }}$-renormalization. Here, we study the geometry of the cycle consisting of the intervals

$$
I_{j}^{n}=f^{j}\left(I_{0}^{n}\right), \quad j=0,1, \ldots, 2^{n}-1
$$

Notice that

$$
I_{j}^{n+1}, I_{j+2^{n}}^{n+1} \subset I_{j}^{n}, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, 2^{n}-1
$$

Let $I_{l}^{n}$ and $I_{r}^{n}$ be the direct neighbors of $I_{j}^{n}$ for $3 \leq j \leq 2^{n}$.
Lemma 3.3.1 For each $1 \leq i<j$, There exists an interval $T$ which contains $I_{i}^{n}$, such that $f^{j-i}: T \rightarrow\left[I_{l}^{n}, I_{r}^{n}\right]$ is monotone and onto.

Proof: Let $T \subset[0,1]$ be the maximal interval which contains $I_{i}^{n}$ such that $\left.f^{j-i}\right|_{T}$ is monotone. Such interval exists because of monotonicity of $\left.f^{j-i}\right|_{I_{i}^{n}}$. The boundary points of $T$ are $a, b \in[0,1]$. Suppose $f^{j-i}(b)$ is to the right of $I_{j}^{n}$. The maximality of $T$ ensures the existence of $k, k<j-i$ such that $f^{k}(b)=c$. Because $i+k<j \leq 2^{n}$, we have $c \notin I_{i+k}^{n}$ and so $f^{k+1}(T) \supset I_{1}^{n}$. Moreover, $\left.f^{j-i-(k+1)}\right|_{f^{k+1}(T)}$ is monotone. Hence $\left.f^{j-i-(k+1)}\right|_{I_{1}^{n}}$ is monotone. So $1+j-i-(k+1) \leq 2^{n}$. This implies that $f^{j-i}(T)$ contains $I_{1+j-i-(k+1)}^{n}$. In particular $f^{j-i}(T)$ contains $I_{r}^{n}$. Similarly we can prove $f^{j-i}(T)$ contains $I_{l}^{n}$.

Lemma 3.3.2 (Intersection multiplicity) Let $f^{j-i}: T \rightarrow\left[I_{l}^{n}, I_{r}^{n}\right]$ be monotone and onto with $T \supset I_{i}^{n}$. Then for all $x \in[0,1]$

$$
\#\left\{k<j-i \mid f^{k}(T) \ni x\right\} \leq 7
$$

Proof: Without loss of generality we may restrict ourselves to estimate the intersection multiplicity at a point $x \in U$, where

$$
U=\left[I_{l}^{n}, I_{r}^{n}\right]=\left[u_{l}, u_{r}\right] .
$$

Let $c_{l} \in I_{l}^{n}$ such that $f^{2^{n}-l}\left(c_{l}\right)=c$ and

$$
C_{l}=\left[u_{l}, c_{l}\right] \subset I_{l}^{n}
$$

Similarly, define

$$
C_{r}=\left[c_{r}, u_{r}\right] \subset I_{r}^{n} .
$$

Let $T_{k}=f^{k}(T), \quad k=0,1, \ldots . j-i$.
Claim: If $i+k \notin\{l, j, r\}$ and $T_{k} \cap U \neq \emptyset$ then
(i) $I_{i+k}^{n} \cap U=\emptyset$
(ii) $U \cap T_{k}=I_{l}^{n}$ or $C_{l}$ or $I_{r}^{n}$ or $C_{r}$.

Let $T \backslash I_{i}^{n}=L \cup R$ and then we may assume $U \cap T_{k}=U \cap L_{k}$ where $L_{k}=f^{k}(L)$. This holds because $I_{i+k}^{n} \cap U=\emptyset$. Consider the situation where

$$
I_{r}^{n} \cap L_{k} \neq \emptyset
$$

The other possibilities can be treated similarly. Notice that $I_{r}^{n}$ cannot be strictly contained in $L_{k}$. Otherwise there would be a third "neighbor" of $I_{j}^{n}$ in $U$. Let $a=\partial L \cap \partial T$. Notice that

$$
f^{k}(a) \in \partial L_{k} \cap I_{r}^{n} .
$$

Furthermore,

$$
f^{j-k}\left(f^{k}(a)\right) \in \partial U
$$

This means $f^{j-k}\left(f^{k}(a)\right)$ is a point in the orbit of $c$. This holds because all boundary points of the interval $I_{j}^{n}$ are in the orbit of $c$. Hence, $f^{k}(a)$ is a point in the orbit of $c$ or $f^{k}(a)$ is a preimage of $c$. The first possibility implies $f^{k}(a) \in \partial I_{r}^{n}$. This implies

$$
U \cap T_{k}=U \cap L_{k}=I_{r}^{n}
$$

The second possibility implies $f^{k}(a)=c_{r}$ which means

$$
U \cap T_{k}=U \cap L_{k}=C_{r} .
$$

This finishes the proof of claim. This claim gives 7 as bound for the intersection multiplicity.
Proposition 3.3.3 For $j<2^{n}$, $f^{2^{n}-j}: I_{j}^{n} \rightarrow I_{0}^{n}$ has uniformly bounded distortion.
Proof: Step1 : Choose $j_{0}<2^{n}$, such that for all $j \leq 2^{n}$, we have $\left|I_{j_{0}}^{n}\right| \leq\left|I_{j}^{n}\right|$. By Lemma 3.3.1 there exists an interval neighborhood $T_{n}=L_{n}^{0} \cup I_{1}^{n} \cup R_{n}^{0}$ such that $f^{j-1}: T_{n} \rightarrow\left[I_{l}^{n}, I_{r}^{n}\right] \supset I_{j_{0}}^{n}$ is monotone and onto. Lemma 3.3.2 together with Theorem 3.2.1 allow us to apply the Koebe Lemma 3.2.2. So, there exists $\tau_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\left|L_{n}^{0}\right|,\left|R_{n}^{0}\right| \geq \tau_{0}\left|I_{1}^{n}\right|
$$

Let $U_{n}=I_{0}^{n}$, $V_{n}=f^{-1}\left(L_{n}^{0} \cup I_{1}^{n} \cup R_{n}^{0}\right)$ and let $L_{n}^{1}, R_{n}^{1}$ be the components of $V_{n} \backslash U_{n}$. From Proposition 3.1.6 we get $\tau_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\left|L_{n}^{1}\right|,\left|R_{n}^{1}\right| \geq \tau_{1}\left|U_{n}\right|
$$

Step2: Suppose $W_{n}=\left[I_{l_{n}}^{n}, I_{r_{n}}^{n}\right]$, where $I_{l_{n}}^{n}, I_{r_{n}}^{n}$ are the direct neighbors of $U_{n}$. We claim that $V_{n} \subset W_{n}$. Suppose it is not. Then, say $I_{r_{n}}^{n} \subset \operatorname{int}\left(V_{n}\right)$ implies that $f\left(I_{r_{n}}^{n}\right) \subset \operatorname{int}\left(L_{n}^{1}\right)$. So, $\left.f^{j_{0}-1}\right|_{f\left(I_{r_{n}}^{n}\right)}$ is monotone, implies that $r_{n}+j_{0} \leq 2^{n}$ and $f^{j_{0}}\left(I_{r_{n}}^{n}\right) \subset \operatorname{int}\left(\left[I_{l}^{n}, I_{r}^{n}\right]\right)$. This contradiction concludes that $V_{n} \subset W_{n}$.
Step3 : Let $L_{n}, R_{n}$ be the components of $W_{n} \backslash U_{n}$. Then

$$
\left|L_{n}\right|,\left|R_{n}\right| \geq \tau_{1}\left|U_{n}\right|
$$

Step4 : For all $j<2^{n}$, there exists an interval neighborhood $T_{j}$ which contains $I_{j}^{n}$ such that $f^{2^{n}-j}: T_{j} \rightarrow W_{n}$ is monotone and onto. Now Proposition 3.3.3 follows from the Lemma 3.3.2 together with Theorem 3.2.1 and the Koebe Lemma 3.2.2.

Corollary 3.3.4 There exists a constant $K$ such that

$$
\left|D f^{2^{n}}\right| I_{0}^{n} \mid \leq K
$$

Proof: Let $x \in I_{1}^{n}$. Then from Proposition 3.3.3 we get $K_{1}>0$ such that for some $x_{0} \in I_{1}^{n}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|D f^{2^{n}-1}(x)\right| & =\frac{\left|I_{0}^{n}\right|}{\left|I_{1}^{n}\right|} \cdot\left\{\frac{D f^{2^{n}-1}(x)}{D f^{2^{n}-1}\left(x_{0}\right)}\right\} \\
& \leq \frac{\left|I_{0}^{n}\right|}{\left|I_{1}^{n}\right|} \cdot K_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 3.1.6 implies that there exists $K_{2}>0$ such that for $x \in I_{0}^{n}$

$$
|D f(x)| \leq K_{2} \cdot|x-c|
$$

and

$$
\left|I_{1}^{n}\right| \geq \frac{1}{K_{2}} \cdot\left|I_{0}^{n}\right|^{2}
$$

Now for $x \in I_{0}^{n}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|D f^{2^{n}}(x)\right| & \leq K_{2} \cdot|x-c| \cdot \frac{\left|I_{0}^{n}\right|}{\left|I_{1}^{n}\right|} \cdot K_{1} \\
& \leq K_{2} \cdot K_{1} \cdot \frac{\left|I_{0}^{n}\right|^{2}}{\left|I_{1}^{n}\right|} \leq K_{2}^{2} \cdot K_{1}=K
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we conclude that $\left|D f^{2^{n}}\right|_{I_{0}^{n}} \mid \leq K$.
Definition 5 (A priori bounds) Let $f$ be infinitely renormalizable. We say $f$ has a priori bounds if there exists $\tau>0$ such that for all $n \geq 1$ and $j \leq 2^{n}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau & <\frac{\left|I_{j}^{n+1}\right|}{\left|I_{j}^{n}\right|}, \frac{\left|I_{j+2^{n}}^{n+1}\right|}{\left|I_{j}^{n}\right|}  \tag{3.6}\\
\tau & <\frac{\left|I_{j}^{n} \backslash\left(I_{j}^{n+1} \cup I_{j+2^{n}}^{n+1}\right)\right|}{\left|I_{j}^{n}\right|} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where, $I_{j}^{n+1}, I_{j+2^{n}}^{n+1}$ are the intervals of next generation contained in $I_{j}^{n}$.
For a general discussion on real a priori bounds, see (de Melo and van Strien 1993) and the references there in. The proof of the following Proposition follows closely the argument in (Martens et al. 1988).

Proposition 3.3.5 Every infinitely renormalizable $C^{2+|\cdot|}$ map has a priori bounds.

Proof: Step1. There exists $\tau_{1}>0$ such that $\frac{\left|I_{0}^{n+1}\right|}{\left|I_{0}^{n}\right|}>\tau_{1}$.
Let $I_{0}^{n}=\left[a_{n}, a_{n-1}\right]$ be the central interval, and so $a_{n}=f^{2^{n}}(c)$. A similar argument as in the proof of Corollary 3.3 .4 gives $K_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\left|f^{2^{n}}\left(\left[a_{n}, c\right]\right)\right| \leq\left(\frac{\left|a_{n}-c\right|}{\left|I_{0}^{n}\right|}\right)^{2} \cdot\left|I_{0}^{n}\right| \cdot K_{1} .
$$

Notice that

$$
f^{2^{n}}\left(\left[a_{n}, c\right]\right)=I_{2^{n}}^{n+1}
$$

Thus

$$
\left|I_{2^{n}}^{n+1}\right| \leq \frac{\left|a_{n}-c\right|^{2}}{\left|I_{0}^{n}\right|} \cdot K_{1} .
$$

Note

$$
f^{2^{n}}\left(I_{2^{n}}^{n+1}\right)=I_{0}^{n+1} \supset\left[a_{n}, c\right] .
$$

Therefore, by Corollary 3.3.4

$$
\left|a_{n}-c\right| \leq\left|f^{2^{n}}\left(I_{2^{n}}^{n+1}\right)\right| \leq K \cdot\left|I_{2^{n}}^{n+1}\right| \leq K \cdot \frac{\left|a_{n}-c\right|^{2}}{\left|I_{0}^{n}\right|} \cdot K_{1} .
$$

This implies

$$
\left|a_{n}-c\right| \geq \frac{1}{K} \cdot\left|I_{0}^{n}\right|
$$

Which proves $\frac{\left|I_{0}^{n+1}\right|}{\left|I_{0}^{n}\right|}>\tau_{1}$.
Step2. There exists $\tau_{2}>0$ such that $\frac{\left|I_{2^{n}}^{n+1}\right|}{\left|I_{0}^{n}\right|} \geq \tau_{2}$.
From above we get

$$
\tau_{1}\left|I_{0}^{n}\right| \leq\left|I_{0}^{n+1}\right|=\left|f^{2^{n}}\left(I_{2^{n}}^{n+1}\right)\right| \leq K \cdot\left|I_{2^{n}}^{n+1}\right|
$$

This proves

$$
\frac{\left|I_{2^{n}}^{n+1}\right|}{\left|I_{0}^{n}\right|} \geq \tau_{2}
$$

Step3. There exists $\tau_{3}>0$ such that the following holds.

$$
\frac{\left|I_{j}^{n+1}\right|}{\left|I_{j}^{n}\right|}, \frac{\left|I_{j+2^{n}}^{n+1}\right|}{\left|I_{j}^{n}\right|} \geq \tau_{3}
$$

Because

$$
f^{2^{n}-j}\left(I_{j}^{n+1}\right)=I_{0}^{n+1}, \quad f^{2^{n}-j}\left(I_{j}^{n}\right)=I_{0}^{n}
$$

and from Proposition 3.3.3 we get a $K>0$ such that

$$
\frac{\left|I_{j}^{n+1}\right|}{\left|I_{j}^{n}\right|} \geq \frac{1}{K} \cdot \frac{\left|I_{0}^{n+1}\right|}{\left|I_{0}^{n}\right|} \geq \frac{\tau_{1}}{K}
$$

Hence, $\frac{\left|I_{j}^{n+1}\right|}{\left|I_{j}^{n}\right|} \geq \tau_{3}$. Similarly we prove $\frac{\left|I_{j+2^{n}}^{n+1}\right|}{\left|I_{j}^{n}\right|} \geq \tau_{3}$. Which completes the proof of (3.6).
Step4. To complete the proof of the Proposition, it remains to show that the gap between the intervals $I_{0}^{n+1}, I_{2^{n}}^{n+1}$ and as well as $I_{j}^{n+1}, I_{j+2^{n}}^{n+1}$ are not too small. Let

$$
G_{n}=I_{0}^{n} \backslash\left(I_{0}^{n+1} \cup I_{2^{n}}^{n+1}\right) .
$$

We claim that there exists $\tau_{4}>0$ such that

$$
\frac{\left|G_{n}\right|}{\left|I_{0}^{n}\right|} \geq \tau_{4}
$$

Let $H_{n}$ be the image of $G_{n}$ under $f^{2^{n}}$. Then $H_{n}=f^{2^{n}}\left(G_{n}\right) \supset I_{3.2^{n}}^{n+2}$. The claim follows by using Corollary 3.3.4 and the bounds we have so far. Namely,

$$
K \cdot\left|G_{n}\right| \geq\left|H_{n}\right| \geq\left|I_{3 \cdot 2^{n}}^{n+2}\right| \geq \tau_{3} \cdot\left|I_{2^{n}}^{n+1}\right| \geq \tau_{3} \cdot \tau_{2} \cdot\left|I_{0}^{n}\right|
$$

This implies

$$
\left|G_{n}\right| \geq \tau_{4} \cdot\left|I_{0}^{n}\right|
$$

Step5. Let $G_{j}^{n}=I_{j}^{n} \backslash\left(I_{j}^{n+1} \cup I_{j+2^{n}}^{n+1}\right)$, then there exists $\tau_{5}>0$ such that

$$
\frac{\left|G_{j}^{n}\right|}{\left|I_{j}^{n}\right|} \geq \tau_{5}
$$

We have $f^{2^{n}-j}\left(G_{j}^{n}\right)=G_{n}$ and $f^{2^{n}-j}\left(I_{j}^{n}\right)=I_{0}^{n}$. Since $f^{2^{n}-j}$ has bounded distortion, we immediately get a constant $K>0$ such that

$$
\frac{\left|G_{j}^{n}\right|}{\left|I_{j}^{n}\right|} \geq \frac{1}{K} \cdot \frac{\left|G_{n}\right|}{\left|I_{0}^{n}\right|} \geq \frac{\tau_{4}}{K} .
$$

This implies

$$
\left|G_{j}^{n}\right| \geq \tau_{5} \cdot\left|I_{j}^{n}\right|
$$

This completes the proof of (3.7).

### 3.4 Approximation of $\left.f\right|_{I_{j}^{n}}$ by a quadratic map

Let $\phi:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ be an orientation preserving $C^{2}$ diffeomorphism with non-linearity $\eta_{\phi}:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We identify a $C^{2}$ diffeomorphism with its non-linearity, which is a continuous function. Hence, we identify the set of $C^{2}$ diffeomorphisms with the vector space of continuous functions equipped with the $C^{0}-$ norm. In this context

$$
|\phi|=\left|\eta_{\phi}\right|_{0}
$$

becomes a norm (nonlinearity norm), see (Martens 1998). Let $[a, b] \subset[0,1]$ and $f:[a, b] \rightarrow$ $f([a, b])$ be a diffeomorphism. Let

$$
1_{[a b]}:[0,1] \rightarrow[a, b]
$$

and

$$
1_{f([a, b])}:[0,1] \rightarrow f([a, b])
$$

be the affine homeomorphisms with $1_{[a, b]}(0)=a$ and $1_{f([a, b])}(0)=f(a)$. The rescaling $f_{[a, b]}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ is the diffeomorphism

$$
f_{[a, b]}=\left(1_{f([a, b])}\right)^{-1} \circ f \circ 1_{[a, b]} .
$$

We say that $0 \in[0,1]$ corresponds to $a \in[a, b]$.
Proposition 3.4.1 Let $f$ be an infinitely renormalizable $C^{2+|\cdot|}$ map with critical point $c \in(0,1)$. For $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq j<2^{n}$ we have

$$
f_{I_{j}^{n}}=\phi_{j}^{n} \circ q_{j}^{n}
$$

where

$$
q_{j}^{n}=\left(q_{c}\right)_{I_{j}^{n}}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]
$$

such that 0 corresponds to $f^{j}(c) \in I_{j}^{n}$ and $\phi_{j}^{n}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ a $C^{2}$ diffeomorphism. Moreover

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{n}-1}\left|\phi_{j}^{n}\right|=0
$$

Proof: If $I_{j}^{n} \subset[c, 1]$ then use Proposition 3.1.6 and define

$$
\phi_{j}^{n}=\left(\phi_{+}\right)_{q_{c}\left(I_{j}^{n}\right)}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]
$$

such that $0 \in[0,1]$ corresponds to $q_{c}\left(f^{j}(c)\right) \in q_{c}\left(I_{j}^{n}\right)$. In case $I_{j}^{n} \in[0, c]$ then let

$$
\phi_{j}^{n}=\left(\phi_{-}\right)_{q_{c}\left(I_{j}^{n}\right)}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]
$$

where again $0 \in[0,1]$ corresponds to $q_{c}\left(f^{j}(c)\right) \in q_{c}\left(I_{j}^{n}\right)$. Let $\eta_{j}^{n}$ be the non-linearity of $\phi_{j}^{n}$. Then the chain rule for non-linearities (Martens 1998) gives

$$
\left|\eta_{j}^{n}(x)\right|=\left|q_{c}\left(I_{j}^{n}\right)\right| \cdot\left|\eta_{\phi_{ \pm}}\left(1_{j}^{n}(x)\right)\right|
$$

where $1_{j}^{n}:[0,1] \rightarrow q_{c}\left(I_{j}^{n}\right)$ is the affine homeomorphism such that $1_{j}^{n}(0)=q_{c}\left(f^{j}(c)\right)$. Now use (3.2) to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\eta_{j}^{n}\right|_{0} & \leq\left|q_{c}\left(I_{j}^{n}\right)\right| \cdot \frac{(1-c)^{2}}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\min _{x \in I_{j}^{n}}(1+\bar{\epsilon}(x))} \cdot \sup _{x \in I_{j}^{n}} \frac{|\delta(x)|}{(x-c)^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\min _{x \in[0,1]}(1+\bar{\epsilon}(x))} \cdot\left|\zeta_{j}^{n}-c\right| \cdot\left|I_{j}^{n}\right| \cdot \sup _{x \in I_{j}^{n}} \frac{|\delta(x)|}{|x-c|^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\left|D q_{c}\left(\xi_{j}^{n}\right)\right|=\frac{\left|q_{c}\left(I_{j}^{n}\right)\right|}{\left|I_{j}^{n}\right|}
$$

and $\xi_{j}^{n} \in I_{j}^{n}$. The a priori bounds gives $K_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(c, I_{j}^{n}\right) \geq \frac{1}{K_{1}} \cdot\left|I_{j}^{n}\right|
$$

This implies that for some $K>0$

$$
\left|\eta_{j}^{n}\right| \leq K \cdot \sup _{x \in I_{j}^{n}} \frac{|\delta(x)|}{|x-c|} \cdot\left|I_{j}^{n}\right| .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{2^{n}-1}\left|\phi_{I_{j}^{n}}\right| & \leq K \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{2^{n}-1} \sup _{x \in I_{j}^{n}} \frac{|\delta(x)|}{|x-c|} \cdot\left|I_{j}^{n}\right| \\
& =K \cdot Z_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\Lambda_{n}=\cup_{j=0}^{2^{n}-1} I_{j}^{n}$. The a priori bounds imply that there exists $\tau>0$ such that

$$
\left|\Lambda_{n}\right| \leq(1-\tau)\left|\Lambda_{n-1}\right|
$$

In particular $|\Lambda|=0$ where $\Lambda \cap \Lambda_{n}$ is the Cantor attractor. Now we go back to our estimate and notice that $Z_{n}$ is a Riemann sum for

$$
\int_{\Lambda_{n}} \frac{|\delta(x)|}{|x-c|} d x
$$

Suppose that $\limsup Z_{n}=Z>0$. Let $n \geq 1$ and $m>n$. Then we can find a Riemann $\operatorname{sum} \Sigma_{m, n}$ for

$$
\int_{\Lambda_{n}} \frac{|\delta(x)|}{|x-c|} d x
$$

by adding positive terms to $Z_{m}$. Then

$$
\int_{\Lambda_{n}} \frac{|\delta(x)|}{|x-c|} d x=\limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty} \Sigma_{m, n} \geq \limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty} Z_{m} \geq Z>0
$$

Hence,

$$
\int_{\Lambda} \frac{|\delta(x)|}{|x-c|} d x \geq Z>0
$$

This is impossible because $|\Lambda|=0$. Thus we proved

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{2^{n}-1}\left|\phi_{I_{j}^{n}}\right| \longrightarrow 0
$$

### 3.5 Approximation of $R^{n} f$ by a polynomial map

The following Lemma is a variation on Sandwich Lemma from (Martens 1998).
Lemma 3.5.1 (Sandwich) For every $K>0$ there exists constant $B>0$ such that the following holds. Let $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}$ be the compositions of finitely many $\left.\phi, \phi_{j} \in \operatorname{Diff}\right)_{+}^{2}([0,1]), 1 \leq$ $j \leq n$;

$$
\psi_{1}=\phi_{n} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{t} \circ \ldots \phi_{1}
$$

and

$$
\psi_{2}=\phi_{n} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{t+1} \circ \phi \circ \phi_{t} \circ \ldots \phi_{1} .
$$

If

$$
\sum_{j}\left|\phi_{j}\right|+|\phi| \leq K
$$

then

$$
\left|\psi_{1}-\psi_{2}\right|_{1} \leq B|\phi| .
$$

Proof: Let $x \in[0,1]$. For $1 \leq j \leq n$ let

$$
x_{j}=\phi_{j-1} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{2} \circ \phi_{1}(x)
$$

and

$$
D_{j}=\left(\phi_{j-1} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{2} \circ \phi_{1}\right)^{\prime}(x) .
$$

Furthermore, for $t+1 \leq j \leq n$, let

$$
x_{j}^{\prime}=\phi_{j-1} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{t+1}\left(\phi\left(x_{t+1}\right)\right)
$$

and

$$
D_{j}^{\prime}=\left(\phi_{j-1} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{t+1}\right)^{\prime}\left(x_{t+1}^{\prime}\right) \phi^{\prime}\left(x_{t+1}\right) D_{t+1}
$$

Now we estimate the difference of the derivatives of $\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}$. Namely,

$$
\frac{D \psi_{2}(x)}{D \psi_{1}(x)}=D \phi\left(x_{t+1}\right) \cdot \prod_{j \geq t+1} \frac{D \phi_{j}\left(x_{j}^{\prime}\right)}{D \phi_{j}\left(x_{j}\right)}
$$

In the following estimates we will repeatedly apply Lemma 10.3 from (Martens 1998) which says,

$$
e^{-|\psi|} \leq|D \psi|_{0} \leq e^{|\psi|}
$$

This allows us to get an estimate on $\left|D \psi_{1}-D \psi_{2}\right|_{0}$ in terms of $\frac{D \psi_{2}}{D \psi_{1}}$. Now

$$
D \phi_{j}\left(x_{j}^{\prime}\right)=D \phi_{j}\left(x_{j}\right)+D^{2} \phi_{j}\left(\zeta_{j}\right)\left(x_{j}^{\prime}-x_{j}\right) .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{D \phi_{j}\left(x_{j}^{\prime}\right)}{D \phi_{j}\left(x_{j}\right)} & \leq 1+\frac{\left|D^{2} \phi_{j}\right|_{0}}{D \phi_{j}\left(x_{j}\right)} \cdot\left|x_{j}^{\prime}-x_{j}\right| \\
& =1+O\left(\phi_{j}\right) \cdot\left|x_{j}^{\prime}-x_{j}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

To continue, we have to estimate $\left|x_{j}^{\prime}-x_{j}\right|$. Apply Lemma 10.2 from (Martens 1998) to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x_{j}^{\prime}-x_{j}\right| & =O\left(\left|x_{t+1}^{\prime}-x_{t+1}\right|\right) \\
& =O(|\phi|)
\end{aligned}
$$

Because $\sum\left|\phi_{j}\right|+|\phi| \leq K$ there exists $K_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{D \psi_{2}(x)}{D \psi_{1}(x)} & \leq e^{|\phi|} \prod_{j \geq t+1}\left(1+O\left(\left|\phi_{j}\right||\phi|\right)\right) \\
& \leq e^{|\phi|} e^{K_{1} \cdot \sum\left|\phi_{j}\right||\phi|}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\frac{D \psi_{2}}{D \psi_{1}} \leq e^{|\phi|\left(1+K_{1} \cdot K\right)}
$$

We get a lower bound in similar way. So there exists $K_{2}>0$ such that

$$
e^{-K_{2} \cdot|\phi|} \leq \frac{\left|D \psi_{2}\right|}{\left|D \psi_{1}\right|} \leq e^{K_{2} \cdot|\phi|}
$$

Finally, there exists $B>0$ such that

$$
\left|D \psi_{2}(x)-D \psi_{1}(x)\right| \leq B|\phi| .
$$

Let $f$ be an infinitely renormalizable $C^{2+|\cdot|}$ unimodal map.
Lemma 3.5.2 There exists $K>0$ such that for all $n \geq 1$ the following holds

$$
\sum_{1 \leq j \leq 2^{n}-1}\left|q_{j}^{n}\right| \leq K
$$

Proof: The non-linearity norm of $q_{j}^{n}, j=1, \ldots, 2^{n}-1$, is

$$
\left|q_{j}^{n}\right|=\frac{\left|I_{j}^{n}\right|}{\operatorname{dist}\left(I_{j}^{n}, c\right)}
$$

Let

$$
Q_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{2^{n}-1}\left|q_{j}^{n}\right|
$$

Observe that there exists $\tau>0$ such that for $j=1,2, \ldots, 2^{n}-1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|q_{j}^{n+1}\right|+\left|q_{j+2^{n}}^{n+1}\right| & \leq \frac{\left|I_{j}^{n+1}\right|+\left|I_{j+2^{2}}^{n+1}\right|}{\operatorname{dist}\left(I_{j}^{n}, c\right)} \\
& =\left|q_{j}^{n}\right| \frac{\left|I_{j}^{n+1}\right|+\left|I_{j+2^{n}}^{n+1}\right|}{\left|I_{j}^{n}\right|} \\
& =\left|q_{j}^{n}\right| \frac{\left|I_{j}^{n}-G_{j}^{n}\right|}{\left|I_{j}^{n}\right|} \leq\left|q_{j}^{n}\right|(1-\tau)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
Q_{n+1} \leq(1-\tau) Q_{n}+\left|q_{2^{n}}^{n+1}\right|
$$

From the a priori bounds we get a constant $K_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\left|q_{2^{n}}^{n+1}\right| \leq \frac{\left|I_{2^{n}}^{n+1}\right|}{\left|G_{2^{n}}^{n}\right|} \leq K_{1}
$$

Thus

$$
Q_{n+1} \leq(1-\tau) Q_{n}+K_{1}
$$

This implies the Lemma.
Consider the map $f: I_{0}^{n} \rightarrow I_{1}^{n}$, and rescaled affinely range and domain to obtain the unimodal map

$$
\hat{f}_{n}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1] .
$$

Apply Proposition 3.1.6 to obtain the following representation of $\hat{f}_{n}$. There exists $c_{n} \in(0,1)$ and diffeomorphisms $\phi_{ \pm}^{n}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that

$$
\hat{f}_{n}(x)=\phi_{+}^{n} \circ q_{c_{n}}(x), \quad x \in\left[c_{n}, 1\right]
$$

and

$$
\hat{f}_{n}(x)=\phi_{-}^{n} \circ q_{c_{n}}(x), \quad x \in\left[0, c_{n}\right] .
$$

Furthermore

$$
\left|\phi_{ \pm}^{n}\right| \rightarrow 0
$$

when $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $q_{0}^{n}=q_{c_{n}}$. Use Proposition 3.4.1 to obtain the following representation for the $n^{t h}$ renormalization of $f$.

$$
R^{n} f=\left(\phi_{2^{n}-1}^{n} \circ q_{2^{n}-1}^{n}\right) \circ \cdots \circ\left(\phi_{j}^{n} \circ q_{j}^{n}\right) \circ \cdots \circ\left(\phi_{1}^{n} \circ q_{1}^{n}\right) \circ \phi_{ \pm}^{n} \circ q_{0}^{n} .
$$

Inspired by (Avila et al. 2001) we introduce the unimodal map

$$
f_{n}=q_{2^{n}-1}^{n} \circ \cdots \circ q_{j}^{n} \circ \cdots \circ q_{1}^{n} \circ q_{0}^{n} .
$$

Proposition 3.5.3 If $f$ is an infinitely renormalizable $C^{2+|\cdot|}$ map then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|R^{n} f-f_{n}\right|_{1}=0
$$

Proof: Define the diffeomorphisms

$$
\psi_{j}^{ \pm}=q_{2^{n}-1}^{n} \circ \cdots \circ q_{j}^{n} \circ\left(\phi_{j-1}^{n} \circ q_{j-1}^{n}\right) \circ \cdots \circ\left(\phi_{1}^{n} \circ q_{1}^{n}\right) \circ \phi_{ \pm}^{n}
$$

with $j=0,1,2, \ldots 2^{n}$. Notice that

$$
R^{n} f(x)=\psi_{2^{n}}^{ \pm} \circ q_{0}^{n}(x)
$$

and that

$$
f_{n}(x)=\psi_{0}^{ \pm} \circ q_{0}^{n}(x)
$$

where we use again the $\pm$ distinction for points $x \in\left[0, c_{n}\right]$ and $x \in\left[c_{n}, 1\right]$. Apply the Sandwich Lemma 3.5.1 to get a constant $B>0$ such that

$$
\left|\psi_{j+1}^{ \pm}-\psi_{j}^{ \pm}\right|_{1} \leq B \cdot\left|\phi_{j}^{n}\right|
$$

for $j \geq 1$, and also notice that

$$
\left|\psi_{1}^{ \pm}-\psi_{0}^{ \pm}\right|_{1} \leq B \cdot\left|\phi_{ \pm}^{n}\right| \longrightarrow 0
$$

We can now apply Proposition 3.4.1 to get

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\psi_{2^{n}}^{ \pm}-\psi_{0}^{ \pm}\right|_{1} \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} B \cdot \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 2^{n}-1}\left|\phi_{j}^{n}\right|+\left|\phi_{ \pm}^{n}\right|=0
$$

which implies that:

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|R^{n} f-f_{n}\right|_{1}=0
$$

### 3.6 Convergence

Fix an infinitely renormalizable $C^{2+|\cdot|}$ map $f$.
Lemma 3.6.1 For every $N_{0} \geq 1$, there exists $n_{1} \geq 1$ such that $f_{n}$ is $N_{0}$ times renormalizable whenever $n \geq n_{1}$.

Proof: The a priori bounds from Proposition 3.3.5 gives $d>0$ such that for $n \geq 1$

$$
\left|\left(R^{n} f\right)^{i}(c)-\left(R^{n} f\right)^{j}(c)\right| \geq d
$$

for all $i, j \leq 2^{N_{0}+1}$ and $i \neq j$. Now by taking $n$ large enough and using Proposition 3.5.3 we find

$$
\left|f_{n}^{i}(c)-f_{n}^{j}(c)\right| \geq \frac{1}{2} d
$$

for $i \neq j$ and $i, j \leq 2^{N_{0}+1}$. The kneading sequence of $f_{n}$ (i.e., the sequence of signs of the derivatives of that function) coincides with the kneading sequence of $R^{n} f$ for at least $2^{N_{0}+1}$ positions. We proved that $f_{n}$ is $N_{0}$ times renormalizable because $R^{n} f$ is $N_{0}$ times renormalizable.

The polynomial unimodal maps $f_{n}$ are in a compact family of quadratic like maps. This follows from Lemma 3.5.2. The unimodal renormalization theory presented in (Lyubich 1999) gives us the following.

Proposition 3.6.2 There exists $N_{0} \geq 1$ and $n_{0} \geq 1$ such that $f_{n}$ is $N_{0}$ renormalizable and

$$
\operatorname{dist}_{1}\left(R^{N_{0}} f_{n}, W^{u}\right) \leq \frac{1}{3} \cdot \operatorname{dist}_{1}\left(f_{n}, W^{u}\right)
$$

Here, $W^{u}$ is the unstable manifold of the renormalization fixed point contained in the space of quadratic like maps (Lyubich 1999). Recall that dist ${ }_{1}$ stands for the $C^{1}$ distance.

Lemma 3.6.3 There exists $K>0$ such that for $n \geq 1$

$$
\operatorname{dist}_{1}\left(R^{n} f, W^{u}\right) \leq K
$$

Proof: This follows from Lemma 3.5.2 and Proposition 3.5.3.
Let $f_{*}^{\omega} \in W^{u}$ be the analytic renormalization fixed point.
Theorem 3.6.4 If $f$ is an infinitely renormalizable $C^{2+|\cdot|}$ unimodal map. Then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{dist}_{0}\left(R^{n} f, f_{*}^{\omega}\right)=0
$$

Proof: For every $K>0$, there exists $A>0$ such that the following holds. Let $f, g$ be renormalizable unimodal maps with

$$
|D f|_{0},|D g|_{0} \leq K
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dist}_{0}(R f, R g) \leq A \cdot \operatorname{dist}_{0}(f, g) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $N_{0} \geq 1$ be as in Proposition 3.6.2. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dist}_{0}\left(R^{n+N_{0}} f, W^{u}\right) & \leq \operatorname{dist}_{0}\left(R^{N_{0}}\left(R^{n} f\right), R^{N_{0}} f_{n}\right)+\operatorname{dist}_{0}\left(R^{N_{0}} f_{n}, W^{u}\right) \\
& \leq A^{N_{0}} \cdot \operatorname{dist}_{0}\left(R^{n} f, f_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{3} \operatorname{dist}_{0}\left(f_{n}, W^{u}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice,

$$
\operatorname{dist}_{0}\left(f_{n}, W^{u}\right) \leq \operatorname{dist}_{0}\left(f_{n}, R^{n} f\right)+\operatorname{dist}_{0}\left(R^{n} f, W^{u}\right)
$$

Thus there exists $K>0$,

$$
\operatorname{dist}_{0}\left(R^{n+N_{0}} f, W^{u}\right) \leq \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{dist}_{0}\left(R^{n} f, W^{u}\right)+K \cdot \operatorname{dist}_{0}\left(R^{n} f, f_{n}\right)
$$

Let

$$
z_{n}=\operatorname{dist}_{0}\left(R^{n \cdot N_{0}} f, W^{u}\right)
$$

and

$$
\delta_{n}=\operatorname{dist}_{0}\left(R^{n} f, f_{n}\right)
$$

Then

$$
z_{n+1} \leq \frac{1}{3} z_{n}+K \cdot \delta_{n \cdot N_{0}}
$$

This implies

$$
z_{n} \leq \sum_{j<n} K \cdot \delta_{j \cdot N_{0}} \cdot\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{n-j}
$$

Now we use that $\delta_{n} \rightarrow 0$, see Proposition 3.5.3, to get $z_{n} \rightarrow 0$. So we proved that $R^{n \cdot N_{0}} f$ converges to $W^{u}$. Use (3.8) and $R\left(W^{u}\right) \subset W^{u}$ to get that $R^{n} f$ converges to $W^{u}$ in $C^{0}$ sense. Notice that any limit of $R^{n} f$ is infinitely renormalizable. The only infinitely renormalizable map in $W^{u}$ is the fixed point $f_{*}^{\omega}$. Thus

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{dist}_{0}\left(R^{n} f, f_{*}^{\omega}\right)=0
$$

### 3.7 Slow convergence

Theorem 3.7.1 Let $d_{n}>0$ be any sequence with $d_{n} \rightarrow 0$. There exists an infinitely renormalizable $C^{2}$ map $f$ with quadratic tip such that

$$
\operatorname{dist}_{0}\left(R^{n} f, f_{*}^{\omega}\right) \geq d_{n}
$$

The proof needs some preparation. Use the representation

$$
f_{*}^{\omega}=\phi \circ q_{c}
$$

where $\phi$ is an analytic diffeomorphism. The renormalization domains are denoted by $I_{0}^{n}$ with

$$
c=\cap_{n \geq 1} I_{0}^{n} .
$$

Each $I_{0}^{n}$ contains two intervals of the $(n+1)^{\text {th }}$ generation. Namely $I_{0}^{n+1}$ and $I_{2^{n}}^{n+1}$. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
G_{n}=I_{0}^{n} \backslash\left(I_{0}^{n+1} \cup I_{2^{n}}^{n+1}\right), \\
\hat{G}_{n}=q_{c}\left(G_{n}\right) \subset \hat{I}_{0}^{n}=q_{c}\left(I_{0}^{n}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and $\hat{I}_{2^{n}}^{n+1}=q_{c}\left(I_{2^{n}}^{n+1}\right)$. The invariant Cantor set of $f_{*}^{\omega}$ is denoted by $\Lambda$. Notice,

$$
q_{c}(\Lambda) \cap \hat{I}_{0}^{n} \subset\left(\hat{I}_{0}^{n+1} \cup \hat{I}_{2^{n}}^{n+1}\right)
$$

The gap $\hat{G}_{n}$ in $\hat{I}_{0}^{n}$ does not intersect with $\Lambda$. Choose a family of $C^{2}$ diffeomorphisms

$$
\phi_{t}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]
$$

with
(i) $D \phi_{t}(0)=D \phi_{t}(1)=1$.
(ii) $D^{2} \phi_{t}(0)=D^{2} \phi(1)=0$.
(iii) For some $C_{1}>0$

$$
\operatorname{dist}_{0}\left(\phi_{t}, i d\right) \geq C_{1} \cdot t
$$

(iv) For some $C_{2}>0$

$$
\left|\eta_{\phi_{t}}\right|_{0} \leq C_{2} \cdot t
$$

Let $m=\min D \phi$ and $t_{n}=\frac{1}{m C_{1}\left|\hat{G}_{1}\right|} d_{n}$. Now we will introduce a perturbation $\tilde{\phi}$ of $\phi$. Let

$$
1_{n}:[0,1] \rightarrow \hat{G}_{n}
$$

be the affine orientation preserving homeomorphism. Define

$$
\psi:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]
$$

as follows

$$
\psi(x)= \begin{cases}x & x \notin \cup_{n \geq 0} \hat{G}_{n} \\ 1_{n} \circ \phi_{t_{n}} \circ 1_{n}^{-1}(x) & x \in \hat{G}_{n}\end{cases}
$$

Let

$$
f=\phi \circ \psi \circ q_{c}=\tilde{\phi} \circ q_{c} .
$$

Then $f$ is unimodal map with quadratic tip which is infinitely renormalizable and still has $\Lambda$ as its invariant Cantor set. This follows from the fact that the perturbation did not affect the critical orbit and it is located in the complement of the Cantor set. In particular the invariant Cantor set of $R^{n} f$ is again $\Lambda \subset I_{0}^{1} \cup I_{1}^{1}$ and $G_{1}$ is the gap of $R^{n} f$. Notice, by using that $f_{*}^{\omega}$ is the fixed point of renormalization that for $x \in G_{1}$

$$
R^{n} f(x)=\phi \circ 1_{1} \circ \phi_{t_{n}} \circ 1_{1}^{-1} \circ q_{c}(x)
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|R^{n} f-f_{*}^{\omega}\right|_{0} & \geq \max _{x \in \hat{G}_{1}}\left|R^{n} f(x)-f_{*}^{\omega}(x)\right| \\
& \geq \max _{x \in \hat{G}_{1}} m \cdot\left|\left(1_{1} \circ \phi_{t_{n}} \circ 1_{1}^{-1}\right) q_{c}(x)-q_{c}(x)\right| \\
& \geq m \cdot \max _{x \in \hat{G}_{1}}\left|\left(1_{1} \circ \phi_{t_{n}} \circ 1_{1}^{-1}\right)(x)-x\right| \\
& =m \cdot\left|\hat{G}_{1}\right| \cdot\left|\phi_{t_{n}}-i d\right|_{0} \\
& \geq m \cdot\left|\hat{G}_{1}\right| \cdot C_{1} \cdot t_{n}=d_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It remains to prove that $f$ is $C^{2}$. The map $f$ is $C^{2}$ on $[0,1] \backslash\{c\}$ because $f=\tilde{\phi} \circ q_{c}$ with $\tilde{\phi}=\phi \circ \psi$, where $\phi$ is analytic diffeomorphism and $\psi$ is by construction $C^{2}$ on $[0,1)$. Notice that, from (3.1) we have,

$$
\begin{align*}
D^{2} f(x) & =4 \cdot \frac{(x-c)^{2}}{(1-c)^{4}} \cdot D^{2} \tilde{\phi}\left(q_{c}(x)\right)  \tag{3.9}\\
& -2 \cdot \frac{1}{(1-c)^{2}} \cdot D \tilde{\phi}\left(q_{c}(x)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

We will analyze the above two terms separately. Observe

$$
D \psi(x)= \begin{cases}1, & x \notin \cup_{n \geq 0} \hat{G}_{n} \\ \left|D \phi_{t_{n}}\left(1_{n}^{-1}(x)\right)\right|, & x \in \hat{G}_{n}\end{cases}
$$

This implies for $x \in G_{n}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
D \tilde{\phi}\left(q_{c}(x)\right) & =D \phi\left(\psi \circ q_{c}\right) \cdot D \psi\left(q_{c}(x)\right) \\
& =D \phi(1) \cdot\left(1+O\left(\hat{I}_{0}^{n}\right)\right) \cdot\left(1+O\left(t_{n}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $x \notin \cup_{n \geq 1} G_{n}$ we have

$$
D \tilde{\phi}\left(q_{c}(x)\right)=D \phi\left(q_{c}(x)\right)
$$

This implies that the term

$$
x \longmapsto-2 \cdot \frac{1}{(1-c)^{2}} \cdot D \tilde{\phi}\left(q_{c}(x)\right)
$$

extends continuously to the whole domain. The first term in (3.9) needs more care. Observe, for $u \in \hat{G}_{n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{2} \tilde{\phi}(u)= & D^{2} \phi(\psi(u)) \cdot(D \psi(u))^{2}+D \phi(\psi(u)) \cdot D^{2} \psi(u) \\
= & D^{2} \phi(1) \cdot\left(1+O\left(\hat{I}_{0}^{n}\right)\right) \cdot\left(1+O\left(t_{n}\right)\right)+ \\
& D \phi(1) \cdot\left(1+O\left(\hat{I}_{0}^{n}\right)\right) \cdot\left(1+O\left(t_{n}\right)\right) \cdot D^{2} \psi(u) \\
= & D^{2} \phi(1) \cdot\left(1+O\left(\hat{I}_{0}^{n}\right)\right) \cdot\left(1+O\left(t_{n}\right)\right)+ \\
& D \phi(1) \cdot\left(1+O\left(\hat{I}_{0}^{n}\right)\right) \cdot\left(1+O\left(t_{n}\right)\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\left|\hat{G}_{n}\right|} \cdot O\left(t_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
4 \frac{(x-c)^{2}}{(1-c)^{4}} \cdot D^{2} \tilde{\phi}\left(q_{c}(x)\right)= \begin{cases}O\left((x-c)^{2}\right)+O\left(t_{n}\right), & x \in \hat{G}_{n} \\ O\left((x-c)^{2}\right), & x \notin \cup_{n \geq 0} \hat{G}_{n}\end{cases}
$$

In particular, the first term of $D^{2} f$

$$
x \longmapsto 4 \frac{(x-c)^{2}}{(1-c)^{4}} \cdot D^{2} \tilde{\phi}\left(q_{c}(x)\right)
$$

also extends to a continuous function on $[0,1]$. Indeed, $f$ is $C^{2}$.
Remark 3.7.2 If the sequence $d_{n}$ is not summable (and in particular not exponential decaying) then the example constructed above is not $C^{2+|\cdot|}$. This follows from

$$
\int_{\hat{G}_{n}}\left|\eta_{\tilde{\phi}}(x)\right| d x \asymp t_{n} .
$$

Thus

$$
\int\left|\eta_{\tilde{\phi}}\right| \asymp \sum d_{n}=\infty .
$$

Now, Proposition 3.1.6 implies that $f$ is not $C^{2+|\cdot|}$. If the sequence $d_{n}$ is summable, the previous construction will give an example of a $C^{2+|\cdot|}$ unimodal map whose renormalizations converges only polynomially. Any reasonable metric used on $C^{2+|\cdot|}$ will be stronger than the $C^{0}$ distance in which the polynomial convergence occurs. Hence the renormalization fixed point cannot be hyperbolic in any space of $C^{2+|\cdot|}$ unimodal maps.

Hénon Renormalization

## Chapter 4

## Hénon Renormalization


#### Abstract

There is a short analytic curve in the Hénon-family $$
F_{a, b}:(x, y) \mapsto\left(a-x^{2}-b y, x\right)
$$ which consists of infinitely renormalizable Hénon-maps of period doubling type. In this work we study numerically, the extension of this curve in the parameter space up to the conservative map. In particular, we describe the combinatorial changes which occurs along this curve and it is called by "top-down break-up process" of Hénon renormalization. The second part of our study is to describe, how the onedimensional Cantor set deforms into the Cantor set of conservative map. To describe this, we study the invariant line fields aligned along the Cantor set and computed the distribution of angles of the lines in the direction of line fields. It is known that for highly dissipative maps, the geometry of the Cantor set is different from the corresponding unimodal Cantor attractor. We describe here with the help of distribution of line field, how this geometry would become more complicated for the maps closer to the conservative maps.


### 4.1 Introduction

The Renormalization theory for the Hénon family was initiated in the work of Collet, Eckmann and Koch (Coullet et al. 1980). It was shown in their work that the one-dimensional renormalization fixed point $f_{*}$ is also a hyperbolic fixed point for nearby dissipative twodimensional maps. Later, a subsequent article by Gambaudo, van Strien and Tresser (Gambaudo et al. 1989) demonstrate that, similar to the one-dimensional situation, the infinitely renormalizable two-dimensional maps which are close to $f_{*}$ have an attracting Cantor sets $\mathcal{O}$ on which the map acts as an adding machine. However, the geometry of the Cantor sets and global topological properties of these maps are very interesting to study. Recently, de Carvalho, Lyubich and Martens, (de Carvalho et al. 2005), discovered that for these maps universality features can coexist with unbounded geometry. This happens due to the lack of rigidity, which makes it quite different from the familiar one-dimensional
theory. In this work, we study numerically the approximations of the stable manifold of the renormalization operator in parameter space and explain numerical computations related to the geometry of the invariant Cantor set of these maps. The precise statement of the results are formulated below.

Structure of the problem and Numerical results: This study is organized in the following way.

In § 4.3, we explain the construction of the locus of period $2^{n}$ points such that the trace $\operatorname{Tr}$ of the first derivative of the $2^{n}$ th iterate of Hénon map satisfies $\operatorname{Tr}=0$. This locus consists of all $(x, y, a, b)$ such that $(x, y)$ is an attracting period $2^{n}$ point for the Hénon map with parameters $(a, b)$. This is a smooth surface which projects to the $(a, b)$ plane by a local diffeomorphism. We conjecture that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, this locus of period $2^{n}$ points, will converge to the space of infinitely renormalizable maps. Furthermore, we show that graphically this locus of parameters, $\Gamma_{2^{n}}=\{(a(b), b) \mid 0 \leq b \leq 1\}$, will be a curve (smooth) in the $(a, b)$ parameter plane.

In next section, we discuss the flow of periodic orbits for different period and explain the crossing of these parameter curves, which are related to the geometry of the Cantor set of infinitely renormalizable maps. Notice that, the Cantor set will survive all the way up to the conservative map. These figures are presented in section 4.4.

In § 4.5, we describe the possible extension of renormalization theory for globally in the parameter space, up to the boundary, where the map become conservative. To describe this, we use the topological definition of renormalizability, which was introduced in the work (de Carvalho et al. 2005). In particular, we describe the top-down break-up process of Hénon renormalization on the curve $\Gamma_{2^{n}}$. To show this, we compute numerically the heteroclinic tangencies for the fixed points and for the periodic points up to the period $2^{n-1}$, and explain their asymptotic behavior. Finally, we conjecture that these heteroclinic tangencies are satisfy the following relation

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_{n}^{2}}{b_{n-1}}=1
$$

In the second part of this work we focus on the geometry of the Cantor set of infinitely renormalizable Hénon-like maps. We notice that, for high $b$ values, the corresponding Cantor set has a complicated geometry, compare to the situation of the degenerate map, where the Cantor set lie on a smooth curve. It was shown that, in the work (de Carvalho et al. 2005), for highly dissipatitive maps, the corresponding Cantor set is not contained in a smooth curve. We notice that for the maps with high $b$ value, the geometry of Cantor set turns out to be, more away from the degenerate case. To describe this, we compute the distribution of angles of line field for various $b$ values on the curve $\Gamma_{2^{n}}$ and compare
these distributions with the distribution of degenerate map. These results are presented with more details in section 4.6.

Finally, in last section 4.7, we construct the renormalization of Hénon boxes around the point $l_{p}$, the extreme right most point in the orbit, and compute the average angles versus $b$ value, in each of zooming level around the point $l_{p}$. These pictures are illustrated in Figure 4.35. It has been proved in the work (Lyubich and Martens 2008), that the average Jacobian $b$ is topologically invariant. This gives, if we take any of other Hénon family and compute the average angles by constructing the renomalization boxes around the point $l_{p}$, one can see the same kind of graph (piece-wise affine graph) as Figure 4.35. From this, we conjecture that there exists a universal angles on the Cantor set around the point $l_{p}$. We call this phenomenon Distributional universality.

### 4.2 Notation

Let $\Omega_{h}, \Omega_{v} \subset \mathbb{C}$ be neighborhoods of $[-1,1] \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $\Omega=\Omega_{h} \times \Omega_{v}$. Let $B=[-1,1] \times[-1,1]$ and $\epsilon>0$. Consider the class $\mathcal{H}_{\Omega}(\epsilon)$, consists of maps $F: B \rightarrow B$ of the following form.

$$
F(x, y)=\left(f_{a}(x)-\epsilon y, x\right)
$$

where $f_{a}:[-1,1] \rightarrow[-1,1]$ is a unimodal map which admits a holomorphic extension to $\Omega_{h}$ and $\epsilon: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ admits a holomorphic extension to $\Omega$. The critical point $c$ of $f$ is non-degenerate, if $D f(c)<0$. A map $F_{(a, b)} \in \mathcal{H}_{\Omega}(\epsilon)$ is said to be Hénon-like map, if $F_{(a, b)}$ maps vertical lines to horizontal lines.

According to the topological construction, a Hénon map is said to be renormalizable if there exists a domain $D \subset B$ such that $F^{2}: D \rightarrow D$. The construction of the domain $D$ is inspired by renormalization of unimodal maps. In particular it is a topological construction. The precise analytical definition of renormalization can be found in (de Carvalho et al. 2005). Let $F(x, y)=(f(x)-\epsilon(x y), x)$ and $\epsilon>0$. Then the domain, $D \subset B$, is essentially a vertical strip which is bounded by two curves of the form

$$
f(x)-\epsilon(x y)=\text { Const. }
$$

The domain $D$ satisfies similar combinatorial properties as the domain of renormalization of a unimodal map. Namely,

$$
F(D) \cap D=\emptyset
$$

and

$$
F^{2}(D) \subset D
$$

However, the restriction $\left.F^{2}\right|_{D}$ is not a Hénon-like map as it does not map vertical lines into horizontal lines. Therefore, in (de Carvalho et al. 2005), they introduced a non-linear change of variables to define the renormalization of $F$. This is given by

$$
R F=\phi^{-1} \circ\left(\left.F^{2}\right|_{U}\right) \circ \phi,
$$

where $U$ is a certain neighborhood of the "critical value" $v=(f(0), 0)$ and $\phi$ is an explicit non-linear change of variables. The set of $n$-times renormalizable maps is denoted by $\mathcal{H}_{\Omega}^{n}(b) \subset \mathcal{H}_{\Omega}(b)$. The set of infinitely renormalizable maps is denoted by

$$
W_{\Omega}(b)=\bigcap_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{H}_{\Omega}^{n}(b)
$$

It was shown that the degenerate map $F_{*}(x, y):=\left(f_{*}(x), x\right)$, where $f_{*}$ is the fixed point of the one-dimensional renormalization operator, is a hyperbolic fixed point for $R$ with a one-dimensional unstable manifold. It was also shown that the renormalizations $R^{n} F$ of infinitely renormalizable maps converge at a super-exponential rate towards the space of unimodal maps. For any infinitely renormalizable map $F$, there exists a hierarchical family of boxes $B_{\sigma}^{n}, 2^{n}$ on each level, organized by the inclusion in the dyadic tree, such that

$$
\mathcal{O}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}=\bigcap_{n} \bigcup_{\sigma} B_{\sigma}^{n}
$$

is the Cantor set on which $F$ acts as an adding machine. Furthermore, the diameters of the boxes $B_{\sigma}^{n}$ shrink at least exponentially with rate $O\left(\lambda^{-n}\right)$, where $\lambda=2.6 \ldots$ is the universal scaling factor of the one-dimensional renormalization theory. This means that the Hausdorff dimension of the Cantor set is less than one. This makes it possible to control the distortion of the renormalizations. Ultimately, this leads to the following asymptotic formula for the renormalizations.

$$
R^{n} F(x, y)=\left(f_{n}(x)-b^{2^{n}} a(x) y\left(1+O\left(\rho^{n}\right)\right), x\right),
$$

where $f_{n} \rightarrow f_{*}$ exponentially fast and

$$
b=b_{F}=\exp \int_{\mathcal{O}} \log J a c F d \mu
$$

is the average Jacobian of $F$. Here $\mu$ is the unique invariant measure on $\mathcal{O}$ and the Jacobian is the absolute value of the determinant, $\rho \in(0,1)$ and $a(x)$ is a universal function. This is a new universality feature of two-dimensional dynamics: as $f_{*}$ controls the zeroth order shape of the renormalization and $a(x)$ gives the first order control. And also in (de Carvalho et al. 2005), they had noticed striking differences between the one- and two-dimensional situations. Namely, the Cantor set $\mathcal{O}$ is not rigid. That means that if $F$ and $G$ are
two infinitely renormalizable maps with $b_{F}<b_{G}$, then a conjugacy $h: \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}$, does not admit a smooth extension to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Thus, in dimension two, universality and rigidity phenomena do not necessarily coexist. This non-rigidity phenomenon is also observed in one-dim unimodal maps, there the influence of the smoothness of the space have been considered, played a vital role for the non-rigidity, see for more details in (Chandramouli et al. 2008), where as here in the Hénon-maps, the dimension two.

### 4.3 Hénon cycles

### 4.3.1 Construction of period $2^{n}$ points

Consider the Hénon family

$$
F_{a, b}(x, y) \mapsto\left(f_{a}(x)-b y, x\right)
$$

where $0 \leq b \leq 1$ and $f_{a}(x)$ is a unimodal map. For these maps the Jacobian $b_{F_{a, b}}=b$, is constant. In the case of degenerate map (for $b=0$ ), there is an unique $a^{*}$ for which the map $F_{a^{*}, 0}$ is infinitely renormalizable. This is the accumulation point of period doubling bifurcations. Here, our numerical computations shows that there is a curve

$$
b \mapsto(a(b), b) \text { for } b \in[0,1],
$$

which is attached to the point $\left(a^{*}, 0\right)$, in the parameter plane, consisting of infinitely renormalizable Hénon-like maps. To show this, we constructed the "attracting period $2^{n}$ locus", consisting of all $(x, y, a, b)$ such that the trace $T r$ of the first derivative of the $2^{n}$ th iterate of the Hénon map satisfies $\operatorname{Tr}=0$. This means, start with the sequence of one dimensional quadratic maps which have the critical orbit of period $2^{n}$ and converge to the Feigenbaum map. For each of these maps, we extend to a curve in the Hénon parameter plane which has the most attracting period $2^{n}$ orbit. We explain this construction in the following.

Algorithm: Consider the Hénon map

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{a, b}(x, y)=\left(a-x^{2}-b y, x\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leq b \leq 1$. For $b=0$, we can easily compute the sequence of parameters

$$
\left\{a_{0}^{2^{1}}, a_{0}^{2^{2}}, a_{0}^{2^{3}}, \cdots, a_{0}^{2^{n}} \cdots\right\},
$$

for the quadratic map $f_{a}(x)=a-x^{2}$, as strongly contracting periodic points. This means, those parameters for which the periodic orbit of period $2^{n}$ satisfies the "trace" condition, is that trace of the first derivative of $f^{2^{n}}(x)=0$. We obtain this sequence $\left\{a_{0}^{2^{n}}\right\}$, by solving the following polynomial

$$
f_{a}^{2^{n}}(0)=0,
$$

for each $n=1,2, \cdots, 15$.
The next step is to increment $b$ as $b_{i}$, where $b_{i}=b_{i-1}+\delta$, with $\delta=10^{-10}$ and we compute the sequence of parameters $\left\{a_{i}^{2^{n}}\right\}$, corresponding to the sequence of strongly contracting periodic points. This means, for each $b_{i}$ we need to find a vector $v_{i}^{2^{n}}=\left(x_{i}^{2^{n}}, y_{i}^{2^{n}}, a_{i}^{2^{n}}\right)$ in
such away that $\left(x_{i}^{2^{n}}, y_{i}^{2^{n}}\right)$ is a periodic point of period $2^{n}$ at the parameter $\left(a_{i}^{2^{n}}, b_{i}\right)$, and also the trace of the first derivative of $2^{n}$ th map is equals to 0 . This leads to the following equations.

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{(a, b)}^{2^{n}}\binom{x}{y}-\binom{x}{y}=0  \tag{4.2}\\
& \operatorname{Tr} D\left(F_{(a, b)}^{2^{n}}\binom{x}{y}\right)=0 \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $X^{0}=x, Y^{0}=y$ and $F_{a, b}^{i}(x, y)=\left(X^{i}, Y^{i}\right)$. Note that all of the

$$
\left(X^{k+1}, Y^{k+1}\right)=\left(a-\left(X^{k}\right)^{2}-b Y^{k}, X^{k}\right)
$$

for $k \geq 0$, can be expressed explicitly as functions of $x, y$, and $a$. Use subscripts to indicate the partial derivatives,

$$
X_{x}^{k}=\frac{\partial X^{k}}{\partial x}, \quad X_{y}^{k}=\frac{\partial X^{k}}{\partial y}, \quad X_{a}^{k}=\frac{\partial X^{k}}{\partial a}
$$

and the second derivatives as

$$
X_{x x}^{k}, X_{x y}^{k}, X_{x a}^{k}, X_{y x}^{k+1}, X_{y y}^{k+1}, X_{y a}^{k+1} .
$$

Rewrite the Eqns (4.2), (4.3) as,

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{1} & \equiv X^{2^{n}}-X^{0}=0  \tag{4.4}\\
\phi_{2} & \equiv Y^{2^{n}}-Y^{0}=0  \tag{4.5}\\
\phi_{3} & \equiv X_{x}^{2^{n}}+Y_{y}^{2^{n}}=0 \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

We employ the Newton algorithm to solve the above equations. Let $u_{i}^{2^{n}}(t)=\left(x_{i}^{2^{n}}, y_{i}^{2^{n}}, a_{i}^{2^{n}}\right)$ be the initial point such that $\left(x_{i}^{2^{n}}, y_{i}^{2^{n}}\right)$ is a periodic point of period $2^{n}$ with parameter $a_{i}^{2^{n}}$. Then the updated vector $u_{i}^{2^{n}}(t+1)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}^{2^{n}}(t+1)=u_{i}^{2^{n}}(t)-(D \phi)^{-1} \cdot \phi\left(u_{i}^{2^{n}}(t)\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\phi=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\phi_{1} \\
\phi_{2} \\
\phi_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
D \phi=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\phi_{1_{x}} & \phi_{1_{y}} & \phi_{1_{a}} \\
\phi_{2_{x}} & \phi_{2_{y}} & \phi_{2_{a}} \\
\phi_{3_{x}} & \phi_{3_{y}} & \phi_{3_{a}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Computation of $D \phi$ will involve not only the first partial derivative but also the second derivatives of $\left(X^{2^{n}}, Y^{2^{n}}\right)$. We calculate these derivatives recursively. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{1_{x}} & =-2 X^{2^{n}} X_{x}^{2^{n}}-b Y_{x}^{2^{n}}-1 \\
\phi_{1_{y}} & =-2 X^{2^{n}} X_{y}^{2^{n}}-b Y_{y}^{2^{n}} \\
\phi_{1_{a}} & =-2 X^{2^{n}} X_{a}^{2^{n}}-b Y_{a}^{2^{n}}+1 \\
\phi_{2_{x}} & =X_{x}^{2^{n}} ; \phi_{2_{y}}=X_{y}^{2^{n}} ; \phi_{2_{a}}=X_{a}^{2^{n}} \\
\phi_{3_{x}} & =-2\left(X_{x}^{2^{n}}\right)^{2}-2 X^{2^{n}} X_{x x}^{2^{n}}-b Y_{x x}^{2^{n}}+X_{x y}^{2^{n}} \\
\phi_{3_{y}} & =-2 X_{x}^{2^{n}} X_{y}^{2^{n}}-2 X^{2^{n}} X_{x y}^{2^{n}}-b Y_{y x}^{2^{n}}+Y_{y y}^{2^{n}} \\
\phi_{3_{a}} & =-2 X_{a}^{2^{n}} X_{x}^{2^{n}}-2 X^{2^{n}} X_{a x}^{2^{n}}-b Y_{a x}^{2^{n}}+X_{a y}^{2^{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Once we have these derivatives, it is straightforward to obtain the updated vector $u_{i}^{2^{n}}(t+1)$, using the Eqn. (4.7). We continue this process until the error term $e_{i}^{2^{n}}=u_{i}^{2^{n}}(t+1)-$ $u_{i}^{2^{n}}(t) \leq 10^{-13}$, then the algorithm will stop. Let $v_{i}^{2^{n}}=u_{i+1}^{2^{n}}$ be the final updated vector obtained from Newton process and it will act as initial vector for the next increment of $b_{i+1}$. Suppose that, we start in the attracting basin of period $2^{n}$ orbit, then one can easily find the orbit, simply by repeated iteration. Then slowly change $b$ from $=b_{i}$ to $b_{i}+\delta b$, and compute the corresponding parameter $a_{i}^{2^{n}}$, by repeating the above Newton algorithm, so as to plot the corresponding "most-attracting" curve in the ( $a, b$ ) - parameter plane. We call this curve as parameter curve, with period $2^{n}$ and it is denoted by $\Gamma_{2^{n}}$. For $n=1, \cdots, 15$, it is shown in Figure 4.1.

For $b$ close to 0 , it is shown that these curves $\Gamma_{2^{n}}$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ will converge to a fixed $\Gamma_{2^{\infty}}$, which consists of infinitely renormalizable maps (de Carvalho et al. 2005). Figure 4.2, illustrates the fact that these smooth curves, $\Gamma_{2^{n}}$, will not intersect each other, for $n \geq 1$. It is difficult to see that these curves $\Gamma_{2^{n}}$, for $n \geq 7$, are separated each other in the $(a, b)$ parameter plane. To emphasize this fact, we calculated the ratios of successive period doubling strongly contracting points of these one dimensional quadratic maps, and observe that these ratios will converge to the Feigenbaum constant $\delta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for all $a_{i}^{2^{n}}$ corresponding to each $b_{i}$, where $0 \leq b_{i} \leq 1$.

$$
\frac{\left(a_{i}^{2^{n-1}}-a_{i}^{2^{n}}\right)}{\left(a_{i}^{2^{n}}-a_{i}^{2^{n+1}}\right)} \rightarrow 4.69920160910299 \ldots .
$$

It is very interesting to study the geometry as well as the topological properties of these maps on this curve $\Gamma_{2 \infty}$ and also the bifurcation pattern that occurs. We discuss these issues in the next section.


Figure 4.1: most attraction curve $\Gamma_{2^{n}}$ in the $(a, b)$ parameter plane


Figure 4.2: zoomed part of periodic curves

### 4.3.2 Construction of period $k$ points with Fibonacci combinatorics

In this section we construct the parameter curves of period $k$, with Fibonacci combinatorics. Consider the Hénon map

$$
F_{a . b}(x, y)=\left(f_{a}(x)-b y, x\right)
$$

with $b \geq 0$ and $f_{a}(x)$ is a unimodal map. The kneading sequence of the uniquely defined quadratic map $f_{a}(x)=a-x^{2}$ can be consider as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
1,10,1001,1001110,100111011001,10011101100101001110 \\
100111011001010011100100111011001, \cdots
\end{array}
$$

First we compute the sequence of parameters $a_{0}^{2^{n}}$ for the quadratic map $f_{a}(x)=a-x^{2}$, as strongly contracting periodic points which satisfy the Fibonacci combinatorics. We start with this known sequence of Fibonacci periodic points of period $k$ and slowly change $b$ value and we compute the corresponding sequence of period $k$ points, such that the periodic orbit will follow the above kneading sequence. This is a similar construction, as described in section § 4.3.1, but here the condition we imposed is that the periodic orbit should satisfy the Fibonacci combinatorics. We illustrated these curves in Figure 4.3, Figure 4.4, Figure 4.5. We notice that the parameter curves corresponding to the periods $3,8,21,55, \cdots$, will move in the backward direction, where as the other alternative periods $2,5,13,34, \cdots$ will move in the forward direction. We call these curves, good parameter curves. Furthermore, we conjecture that the sequence of these good parameter curves will converge exponentially fast to a particular curve, namely, $\Gamma_{F i b}$, which consists of infinitely renormalizable maps with Fibonacci combinatorics.


Figure 4.3: Fibonacci parameter curves of periods 2 and 3


Figure 4.4: Fibonacci parameter curves of periods 5 and 8


Figure 4.5: Fibonacci parameter curves of periods 13 and 21


Figure 4.6: The sequence of good parameter curves $2,5,13,34, \ldots$


Figure 4.7: Left: period 5, 13 and 34; Right: Magnification of period 13 and 34

### 4.4 Flow of periodic orbits

We describe how the periodic orbits move along the curve $\Gamma_{2^{n}}$ as we vary the parameter from $b=0$ to $b=1$. For a Hénon map $F_{a, b}$ with parameters on this curve $\Gamma_{2^{n}}$, we compute the attracting periodic orbit of length $2^{n}$, and project this orbit onto the $x$ - axis, plotting these points against to the corresponding $b$ values. We call this a flow of periodic orbits. We illustrated this flow for different periods, in Figure 4.8 and Figure 4.9.

It is known that, for $b$ close to zero, crossings in the periodic flow will happen. This is shown in Figure 4.8(b). This is because of the occurrence of Hénon renormalization boxes on top each other. This will lead to the destruction of the geometry of the Cantor set and so it produces non-rigidity. This was explained more in (de Carvalho et al. 2005).

We notice that, for higher values of $b$, the same phenomenon will occurs, with even more crossings happening everywhere in the periodic orbit. This means that the corresponding renormalization boxes will overlap, in many places in the orbit. This appears to destroy the geometry of the corresponding Cantor set and produce non-rigidity.


Figure 4.8: projection of periodic orbit $2^{6}$ on $x-$ plane


Figure 4.9: projection of periodic orbit $2^{10}$ on $x$ - plane

We repeated the same experiment for the Hénon maps with Fibonacci combinatorics. The projected flow of these periodic orbits are shown in Figure 4.10. These flow of periodic orbits along on the curve $\Gamma_{\text {Fib }}$ indicates that there will still be a Cantor set. At this point, we do not have a renormalization theory for Hénon maps with Fibonacci combinatorics (maps on $\Gamma_{F i b}$ ). Further research is needed to develop a renormalization theory for the Hénon maps with Fibonacci combinatorics. This experiments motivates the conjecture that such a theory can be developed.


Figure 4.10: projection of periodic orbit 13 and 21 with Fibonacci combinatorics

### 4.5 Break-up process of Hénon renormalization boxes

In an attempt to describe a global renormalization theory, we focused on the heteroclinic web and we use the topological definition of renormalizability, as was introduced in (de Carvalho et al. 2005), and considered extending this globally in the parameter domain. In particular, in this section we discuss the breaking procedure of renormalizability, along on this curve $\Gamma_{2^{n}}$.

Definition 6 A Hénon-like map is said to be 2-renormalizable if it has two saddle fixed points. One is a regular saddle $\beta_{0}$, with positive eigen values and the other is a flip saddle $\beta_{1}$ with negative eigen values, such that the unstable manifold $W^{u}\left(\beta_{0}\right)$ intersects the stable manifold $W^{s}\left(\beta_{1}\right)$ in a single orbit. It is illustrated in Figure 4.11.

If $F$ is 2 -renormalizable then there exists a disc $D$ which is bounded by the local unstable manifold of the point $\beta_{0}$ and local stable manifold of the point $\beta_{1}$, such that $\left.F^{2}\right|_{D}$ is invariant.


Figure 4.11: A renormalizable Hénon-like map
When the unstable manifold $W^{u}\left(\beta_{0}\right)$, touches or crosses the stable manifold $W^{s}\left(\beta_{1}\right)$, then it it not 2 -renormalizable. In this case, there is no disk of period 2, hence no period 2 cycle exists. This is illustrated in Figure 4.12 and Figure 4.13.

Definition 7 First bifurcation moment: The unstable manifold $W^{u}\left(\beta_{0}\right)$ touches the stable manifold $W^{s}\left(\beta_{1}\right)$ at a point $p_{0}$. This is the point where the first bifurcation moment happens. It is illustrated in Figure 4.12.



Figure 4.12: Left:first bifurcation moment at $b_{1}$ on $\Gamma_{2^{n}}$;Right:Magnification around the point, where the unstable manifold touches the stable manifold


Figure 4.13: Non 2- renormalizable Hénon-like map

In the previous section 4.3.1 we explained the construction of the periodic curves of period $2^{n}$. Let $\Gamma_{2^{n}}$ be the fixed periodic curve, as shown in Figure 4.14. For each point on this curve we have the parameters $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$ of a Hénon map with strongly attracting periodic point $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ of period $2^{n}$. We slowly change the parameter $b$ along on this curve and compute the first bifurcation moment. This will happen at some point $b_{1}$ on $\Gamma_{2^{n}}$, such that at this point the corresponding Hénon map has heteroclinic tangency. This is shown in the Figure 4.15.

Let $\Gamma_{2^{n}}^{1}$ be a piece of curve on $\Gamma_{2^{n}}$ in such a way that it is the graph over $\left[0, b_{1}\right]$. We call it as the first window on $\Gamma_{2^{n}}^{1}$. In this window, for any map $F \in \Gamma_{2^{n}}^{1}$, then $F$ is infinitely


Figure 4.14: the curve $\Gamma_{2^{n}}$

2-renormalizable. In particular, it has a Cantor attractor $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}$ and a collection of disks

$$
D_{1} \supset D_{2} \supset D_{3} \supset \cdots \supset D_{n}
$$

such that
(i) $\quad F^{2^{k}}\left(D_{k}\right) \subset D_{k}$
(ii) $F^{i}\left(D_{n}\right) \cap F^{j}\left(D_{n}\right)=\emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, and $i, j \leq 2^{k}$.
(iii) These disks are bounded by the pieces of $W_{l o c}^{u}\left(\beta_{n-1}\right)$ and $W_{l o c}^{s}\left(\beta_{n}\right)$.

The orbit of $D_{n}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{C}_{n}$, where

$$
\mathcal{C}_{n}=\left\{D_{n}, F\left(D_{n}\right), F^{2}\left(D_{n}\right), \cdots . F^{2^{n}-1}\left(D_{n}\right)\right\} .
$$

This is called a cycle. Therefore, the Cantor set $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ is

$$
\mathcal{O}_{F}=\bigcap_{n \geq 1} \bigcup_{i=0}^{2^{n}-1} F^{i}\left(D_{n}\right)
$$

Note that in this first window $\Gamma_{2^{n}}^{1}$, all maps are infinitely many 2-renormalizable with the Cantor set $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ satisfying

$$
C_{1} \supset C_{2} \supset C_{3} \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{O}_{F}
$$

This means that all cycles will survive in this window $\Gamma_{2^{n}}^{1}$.
Let $\Gamma_{2^{n}}^{2}$ be a piece of curve on $\Gamma_{2^{n}}$ such that it is a graph over $\left[b_{1}, b_{2}\right]$, we call it the second window on $\Gamma_{2^{n}}$. Here, $b_{2}$ is the point where the second heteroclinic tangency occurs. This means that, the unstable manifold $W^{u}\left(\beta_{1}\right)$ touches the stable manifold $W^{s}\left(\beta_{2}\right)$ in a single orbit, where $\beta_{1}$ is a saddle fixed point and $\beta_{2}$ is a period -2 point. This is shown in Figure 4.16. Notice that, if we flip the second picture in Figure 4.16, it looks like the first Figure 4.15.


Figure 4.15: first heteroclinic tangency at $b_{1} ; \beta_{0}, \beta_{1}$ are fixed points; $W^{u}\left(\beta_{0}\right)$ is unstable manifold of $\beta_{0}$ and $W^{s}\left(\beta_{1}\right)$ is the stable manifold of $\beta_{1}$.



Figure 4.16: second heteroclinic tangency at $b_{2} ; \beta_{1}$ is a fixed point and $\beta_{2}$ is period 2 point; $W^{u}\left(\beta_{1}\right)$ is unstable manifold of $\beta_{1}$ and $W^{s}\left(\beta_{2}\right)$ is the stable manifold of $\beta_{2}$.

Let $F$ be any map in $\Gamma_{2^{n}}^{2}$, then $F$ is not 2 -renormalizable but it is 4 -renormalizable. This means that, there exists an invariant disk $D_{4}$ and a non-affine rescaling $\phi$ sucht that

$$
R_{4} F=\left.\phi^{-1} F^{4}\right|_{D_{4}} \phi
$$

Furthermore, $R_{4} F$ is infinitely $2-$ renormalizable.

Observe that, in this window $\Gamma_{2^{n}}^{2}$, there is no cycle of period 2 and therefore, the invariance of the disk $D_{1}$ disappears because of the heteroclinic tangency of $W^{u}\left(\beta_{0}\right)$ and $W^{s}\left(\beta_{1}\right)$. This we call breaking of cycle. However, all the other cycles will survive. Therefore,

$$
C_{2} \supset C_{3} \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{O}_{F}
$$

In particular, the Cantor set is

$$
\mathcal{O}_{F}=\bigcap_{n \geq 2} \bigcup_{i=0}^{2^{n}-1} F^{i}\left(D_{n}\right)
$$

will survive.
Similarly, there exist $b_{3}$ on $\Gamma_{2^{n}}$, such that the third window $\Gamma_{2^{n}}^{3}$, is the graph over [ $b_{2}, b_{3}$ ]. For any map $F \in \Gamma_{2^{n}}^{3}, F$ is not 2 -renormalizable and not 4 - renormalizable, but it is 8 -renormalizable. Therefore, there exists a non-affine rescaling

$$
\left.F^{8}\right|_{D_{8}}=R_{8} F
$$

such that $R_{8} F$ is infinitely 2 -renormalizable. At this point $b_{3}$, the unstable manifold $W^{u}\left(\beta_{2}\right)$ intersect with the stable manifold $W^{s}\left(\beta_{3}\right)$ in a single orbit, where $\beta_{3}$ is periodic point of period $2^{3}$. This is illustrated in Figure 4.17.

Similarly, as before, observe that there is no period 2 and period 4 cycle. This is because of the heteroclinic tangency at $b_{3}$. But the other cycles

$$
C_{3} \supset C_{4} \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{O}_{F}
$$

will survive with the corresponding Cantor set

$$
\mathcal{O}_{F}=\bigcap_{n \geq 3} \bigcup_{i=0}^{2^{n}-1} F^{i}\left(D_{n}\right)
$$

Definition 8 A Hénon like map is said to be $2^{n}$-renormalizable if there exists $\beta_{n}$, a saddle of period $2^{n-1}$, and there exists $\beta_{n-1}$, a saddle of period $2^{n-2}$, such that the following holds:

- The unstable manifold $W^{u}\left(\beta_{n-1}\right)$ intersects the stable manifold $W^{s}\left(\beta_{n}\right)$ in a single orbit.


Figure 4.17: third heteroclinic tangency at $b_{3} ; \beta_{2}$ is period 2 point and $\beta_{3}$ is period 4 point; $W^{u}\left(\beta_{2}\right)$ is unstable manifold of $\beta_{2}$ and $W^{s}\left(\beta_{3}\right)$ is the stable manifold of $\beta_{3}$.

- A piece of local stable manifold of $\beta_{n}$ and a piece of local unstable manifold of $\beta_{n-1}$ bound a disk $D_{n}$, which is invariant under $F^{2^{n}}$.
- $\operatorname{int}\left(F^{i}\left(D_{n}\right)\right)$ are piecewise disjoint, for $i=0,1, \cdots, 2^{n-1}$.

Using the above definition, we continue the process of computing the heteroclinic tangencies $\left\{b_{k}\right\}$, such that for each $b_{k}$ there exists a window $\Gamma_{2^{n}}^{k}$, is a piece of curves on $\Gamma_{2^{n}}$ and moreover it is a graph over $\left[b_{k-1}, b_{k}\right]$. Notice that, in each of these windows, the cycles $\mathcal{C}_{n}$, for $n=1, \cdots, k$ will breaks. This process of breaking the cycles corresponding to the heteroclinic tangency positions are called the top-down break-up process of Hénon renormalization.

The breaking of these cycles will happen as we continue the process of constructing the pieces of windows on this curve $\Gamma_{2^{n}}$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, in such a way that

$$
\Gamma_{2^{n}}=\bigcup_{k=1}^{n} \Gamma_{2^{n}}^{k} \bigcup\left\{a_{b=1}^{*}\right\}
$$

where $\Gamma_{2^{n}}^{k}$ is the graph over $\left[b_{k-1}, b_{k}\right]$ and $a_{b=1}^{*}$ is the parameter, with strongly contracting periodic orbit of period $2^{n}$, for the corresponding Hénon map with $b=1$.

This means that, if any map $F$ is in $\Gamma_{2^{n}}^{k}$, then $F$ is $2^{k}$-renormalizable. In particular the Cantor set

$$
\mathcal{O}_{F}=\bigcap_{n \geq k} \bigcup_{i=0}^{2^{n}-1} F^{i}\left(D_{n}\right)
$$

will survive.
We present, these computations up to the 8th heteroclinic tangency position on the curve $\Gamma_{2^{n}}$ and illustrated in Figures 4.18; 4.19; 4.20; 4.21 and 4.22. In these pictures the unstable manifold $W^{u}\left(\beta_{n-1}\right)$ is plotted by constructing the manifold around the periodic point $\beta_{n-1}$ of period $2^{n-2}$, by taking 25000 points on each side with in radius of $10^{-9}$ on the line segment in the direction of unstable eigen-vector and extend this manifold by iterating the Hénon system up to 30 times. To get the stable manifold $W^{s}\left(\beta_{n}\right)$ of the periodic point $\beta_{n}$ of period $2^{n-1}$, we just computed the unstable manifold of the inverse map by taking with same measurements as above, but the number of times the manifold extended was reduced to only two, as the stable manifold grows a lot faster than the unstable manifold.
(a)

(b)


Figure 4.18: fourth heteroclinic tangency at $b_{4} ; \beta_{4}$ is period 8 point and $\beta_{5}$ is period 16 point; $W^{u}\left(\beta_{4}\right)$ is unstable manifold of $\beta_{4}$ and $W^{s}\left(\beta_{5}\right)$ is the stable manifold of $\beta_{5}$.

Note that the degenerate map $F_{a^{*}, 0}$ on $\Gamma_{2 \infty}$ has the collection of disks

$$
D_{1} \supset D_{2} \supset D_{4} \supset D_{8} \cdots \supset D_{n} \supset \cdots
$$

with $F^{2^{n}}\left(D_{n}\right) \subset D_{n}$ and the cycle $C_{n}=\operatorname{Orb}\left(D_{n}\right)$. For small perturbation of the parameter $\left(a^{*}, 0\right)$ to $(a, 0)$, (it is still a degenerate map), then the map has a period $m$ of disks such that

$$
D_{1} \supset D_{2} \supset \cdots \supset D_{m} .
$$

But there is no domain of period $2^{k}, k \geq m+1$. This means that the higher boxes will break first at deep levels for the deformation of degenerate map. This will give the following observation.


Figure 4.19: fifth heteroclinic tangency at $b_{5} ; \beta_{5}$ is period $2^{4}$ point and $\beta_{4}$ is period $2^{3}$ point; $W^{u}\left(\beta_{5}\right)$ is unstable manifold of $\beta_{5}$ and $W^{s}\left(\beta_{4}\right)$ is the stable manifold of $\beta_{4}$.


Figure 4.20: sixth heteroclinic tangency at $b_{6} ; \beta_{6}$ is period $2^{5}$ point and $\beta_{5}$ is period $2^{4}$ point; $W^{u}\left(\beta_{6}\right)$ is unstable manifold of $\beta_{6}$ and $W^{s}\left(\beta_{5}\right)$ is the stable manifold of $\beta_{5}$.

Observation: This bifurcation process on $\Gamma_{2 \infty}$ is exactly opposite to the bifurcation process in the case of the degenerate map, where the cycles of higher period breaks first on deep levels.

Conjecture The $2^{n}$-Renormalization stable manifold of the Hénon map family is an analytic curve which is attached to the Feigenbaum fixed point ( $a_{*}, 0$ ), and that can be extended all the way up to the boundary of the parameter domain, where the maps become


Figure 4.21: seventh heteroclinic tangency at $b_{7} ; \beta_{7}$ is period $2^{6}$ point and $\beta_{6}$ is period $2^{5}$ point; $W^{u}\left(\beta_{7}\right)$ is unstable manifold of $\beta_{7}$ and $W^{s}\left(\beta_{6}\right)$ is the stable manifold of $\beta_{6}$.


Figure 4.22: eighth heteroclinic tangency at $b_{8} ; \beta_{8}$ is period $2^{7}$ point and $\beta_{7}$ is period $2^{6}$ point; $W^{u}\left(\beta_{8}\right)$ is unstable manifold of $\beta_{8}$ and $W^{s}\left(\beta_{7}\right)$ is the stable manifold of $\beta_{7}$.
conservative ( $b=1$ ).

We are interested in computing the heteroclinic tangencies for fixed points as well as for the periodic points on the curve $\Gamma_{2^{n}}$ (using Definition 8). These numerical values are presented in Table 4.1.

On the curve $\Gamma_{2^{n}}, n \leq 9$, we noticed the top-down breaking procedure of the cycles. This happen at a specific bifurcation moments $b_{i}(n) \in \Gamma_{2^{n}}$, these are illustrated in the

| $b_{i}$ | per $2^{5}$ | per $2^{6}$ | per $2^{7}$ | per $2^{8}$ | per $2^{9}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b_{1}$ | 0.0311405 | 0.03099086 | 0.03095879 | 0.03095192 | 0.03095045 |
| $b_{2}$ | 0.1715389 | 0.16961800 | 0.16920269 | 0.16911365 | 0.16909453 |
| $b_{3}$ | 0.4255566 | 0.41529040 | 0.41295748 | 0.41245413 | 0.41234636 |
| $b_{4}$ | 0.6814848 | 0.65226240 | 0.64433498 | 0.64249999 | 0.64213923 |
| $b_{5}$ | 0.8669798 | 0.82551980 | 0.80765004 | 0.80270981 | 0.80158498 |
| $b_{6}$ | - | 0.93085480 | 0.90799999 | 0.89849999 | 0.89589998 |
| $b_{7}$ | - | - | 0.96499998 | 0.95309998 | - |
| $b_{8}$ | - | - | - | 0.98224989 | - |
| $b_{9}$ | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 4.1:

Table 4.1, it indicates a convergence

$$
b_{i}(n) \in \Gamma_{2^{n}} \rightarrow b_{i} \in \Gamma_{2^{\infty}}
$$

The breaking of the boxes from top-down seems to be the combinatorial explanation for the stable manifold of renormalization, which extend up to the conservative map.

Conjecture The points $b_{n}$, of the heteroclinic tangencies on $\Gamma_{2^{n}}$ satisfy the following relation

$$
\frac{b_{n}^{2}}{b_{n-1}} \approx 1
$$

Remark 4.5.1 The above conjecture has been verified numerically, for another family of Hénon-map

$$
F_{a, b}:(x, y) \mapsto\left(a-x^{4}-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}-b y, x\right)
$$

### 4.6 Line fields on the Cantor set

In this section we describe the distribution of the angle of the lines in the invariant line field of the Cantor attractor. It is known that for the degenerate map, the Cantor attractor $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ lies along a smooth curve. Let $F \in \Gamma_{2^{\infty}}^{1}$, be an infinite 2 -renormalizable non-degenerate Hénon map. From the work of (de Carvalho et al. 2005), it possesses the Cantor attractor $\mathcal{O}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}$ on which it acts as adding machine. Furthermore, they showed that $F$ does not have a continuous invariant line fields on $\mathcal{O}$. This leads to an interesting consequences that the attractor $\mathcal{O}$ does not lie on a smooth curve, which is contrary to one dimensional situation. The question raised here is, for high $b$ values (increasingly $b$ close to 1 ), does this Cantor set become further a way from the degenerate case? To answer this question, we describe the line fields on the Cantor set and analyze the distribution of angles of the line fields on the Cantor set.

Let $F$ be a Hénon map with fixed parameters $(a, b)$ on the curve $\Gamma_{2^{\infty}}$ and so it is infinitely 2 -renormalizable. Therefore, it has a sequence of invariant disks

$$
D_{1} \supset D_{2} \supset D_{3}, \supset \cdots D_{n} \cdots
$$

where $F^{2^{n}}\left(D_{n}\right) \subset D_{n}$. Let $\beta_{n}=\left(x_{0}^{2^{n}}, y_{0}^{2^{n}}\right) \in D_{n}$ be the periodic point of period $2^{n}$. One can easily find the complete orbit of $\beta_{n}$, simply by repeated iteration. This orbit is denoted by

$$
\operatorname{Orb}_{2^{n}}\left(\beta_{n}\right)=\bigcup_{k \geq 0}^{2^{n}} F_{a, b}^{k}\left(x_{0}^{2^{n}}, y_{0}^{2^{n}}\right)
$$

Use the algorithm, which is described in section 4.3, one can compute the periodic point $\alpha_{n}=\left(x_{0}^{2^{n-1}}, y_{0}^{2^{n-1}}\right) \in D_{n-1}$ of period $2^{n-1}$, which is an immediate neighbor of $\beta_{n}$ in combinatorial sense.

We now approximate the line field around the point $\beta_{n}$, by constructing a line segment $l\left(\beta_{n}, \alpha_{n}\right)$, passing through the two periodic points $\beta_{n}$ and $\alpha_{n}$. Let $\theta$ be the angle between the line $l\left(\beta_{n}, \alpha_{n}\right)$ with the vertical axes (which is asymptotically equivalent to the local stable manifold of $W^{s}\left(\beta_{n}\right)$ ). We measure this angle by

$$
\sin \theta=\frac{\left(x_{0}^{2^{n}}-x_{0}^{2^{n-1}}\right)}{\operatorname{dist}\left(\beta_{n}, \alpha_{n}\right)}
$$

where $\operatorname{dist}\left(\beta_{n}, \alpha_{n}\right)$ stands for the distance between the two periodic points $\beta_{n}$ and $\alpha_{n}$. Next step is to find the image of this pair $\left(\beta_{n}, \alpha_{n}\right)$ under the Hénon map $F_{a, b}$ and approximate the line field around the point $F_{a, b}\left(\beta_{n}\right)$, compute the corresponding vertical angle. Repeat this process of approximation of line fields at each point in the orbit $\operatorname{Orb}_{2^{n}}\left(\beta_{n}\right)$, with their corresponding line segments and make a list of these angles $\theta_{i}$ for $i=1$, to $2^{n}$. We plot the histogram for the list of these angles, considering $2^{9}$ subintervals on $[-1,1]$ and the number
of angles present in each sub interval on vertical axes. We call this, distribution of angles. We compute these distributions for various parameters $(a, b)$ on the curve $\Gamma_{2^{n}}, n=11$, starting with $b=0$ to the maps close to the conservative case. These distributions are presented in the following Figure 4.23, Figure 4.24, Figure 4.25, Figure 4.26 and Figure 4.27.


Figure 4.23: distribution of line fields for the Hénon map $F_{a, b}$ with the parameters $(a, b)=$ $\{(1.401155102022464,0.0),(1.561508978886665,0.1)\}$


Figure 4.24: for the parameters $(a, b)=\{(1.744828106932014,0.2),(1.951646371711716,0.3)\}$


Figure 4.25: for the parameters $(a, b)=\{(2.182768010790645,0.4),(2.439153110310706,0.5)\}$


Figure 4.26: for the parameters $(a, b)=\{(2.721829067829454,0.6),(3.031843160671423,0.7)\}$


Figure 4.27: for the parameters $(a, b)=\{(3.370236565105158,0.8),(3.933243998542534,0.95)\}$
Notice that from these distributions, as the parameter $b$ changes, the distribution becoming wider and wider. In case of degenerate map, these angles are distributed in a a Cantor set. As b increases, the other angles are generated slowly. Finally, for the maps
close to the conservative case the distribution is weighted with all angles. This means that more angles are generated compare to the situation of degenerate map. This illustrates the complexity of the geometry of corresponding Cantor set, indicates that it is not smooth any more. This type of Cantor set we call it as weighted Cantor set. It is illustrated in Figure 4.28.


Figure 4.28: Top: distribution of line fields for the degenerate map; Below: for the map with $b=0.95$

The same phenomenon is also described by plotting the list of angles taking time on horizontal axes and corresponding angles on vertical axes. In these pictures observe that the dispersion of angles are slowly started, see Figure 4.29 and become more when the maps are away from the degenerate case, see Figure 4.30 and Figure 4.31. Finally, the comparisons of the list of angles for degenerate map and the map with high $b$ value are presented in the Figure 4.32 .


Figure 4.29: time versus angle for $b=0.0$ to $b=0.3$


Figure 4.30: time versus angle for $b=0.4$ to $b=0.7$


Figure 4.31: time versus angle for $b=0.8$ and $b=0.95$


Figure 4.32: comparision of angles; Top: $b=0.0$; Below: $b=0.95$

### 4.7 Distributional Universality

It was discovered that in the work of (de Carvalho et al. 2005), the Cantor set $\mathcal{O}$ does not have bounded geometry and so it is not quasiconformally equivalent to the standard Cantor set of one-dimensional unimodal map. Moreover, the cantor set $\mathcal{O}$ cannot be embedded into a smooth curve. These properties are so different from their one-dimensional counterparts, come from a tilting and bending phenomenon: near the "tip" of Hénonlike maps renormalization boxes are not rectangles but rather slightly tilted and bent as parallelograms. This tilt significantly affects the $b$-scale geometry of $\mathcal{O}$. For highly dissipative maps, the Jacobian $b$ is replaced with $b^{2^{n}}$ under the $n$th renormalization, the geometry gets affected at arbitrarily small scales.

We calculate the "tilting" of the Hénon renormalization boxes, zooming in the deep levels around the point $\beta_{n}$, which is an approximation of the "tip". From Definition 8, the existence of the invariant disk $D_{n}$ is called Hénon renormalization box. The line fields constructed in the previous section, are aligned in the direction of these Hénon renormalization boxes. For each of these boxes, we have the line field distributions. Now at this point, we separate each distribution as two different distributions, one with the angles pointing upward direction and other one with the angles pointing downward direction. The first one we call the distribution with upward angles and the second one as distribution with downward angles. In each of these distributions we compute the average of the angles. This average angle gives us, the quantity of tilting of the corresponding boxes. We illustrate this "tilting phenomenon" by plotting the $b$ value on horizontal axes and corresponding average angle of the distributions on vertical axes. It is shown in the Figure 4.33. Here, $n$. lev indicates that the zoom level of the boxes around the point $\beta_{n}$. Notice that, from these pictures as the $b$ value increases the average angle is also increases, this can be observed only after the 4 th zoom level of the boxes. This emphasize the fact that for high $b$ value the "tilt" will happen more.

Similar phenomenon is also observed, if we construct the renormalization boxes around the point $l_{p}$. It is illustrated in Figure 4.34. Here, the zooming of the boxes considered around the point $l_{p}$, which is the right most periodic point of the projected orbit on $x$-axes. Figure 4.34, is magnified for the period $2^{13}$ and illustrated in Figure 4.35 and Figure 4.36.


Figure 4.33: $b$ versus average for downward angles; " $n$ lev" indicates the $n$th zoom level around the point $\beta_{n}$

4. lev
5. lev

6. lev
7. lev

8. lev


Figure 4.34: $b$ versus average for downward angles; " $n$ lev" indicates the $n$th zoom level around the point $l_{p}$;


Figure 4.35: $b$ versus average for downward angles


Figure 4.36: $b$ versus average for upward angle

It has been proved that in the work of (Lyubich and Martens 2008), the average Jacobian $b$ is topologically invariant. So, from the above Figure 4.35, 4.36, one can conclude that, if we take any of other Hénon family and compute the average angles by constructing the distributions in the corresponding renormalization boxes around the point $l_{p}$, which is the right most periodic point in the orbit then we get a similar graph "piece-wise affine", as above. This means that, these angles are universal. We call this phenomenon Distributional universality.

## Chapter 5

## Summary

One-dimensional smooth dynamics has become a refined theory. The central theme of this theory is the geometric rigidity of the attractors. The main technique is renormalization. A general theory for smooth dynamics is still completely out of reach. There are two natural direction in which one can extend the theory using the results from one-dimensional smooth dynamics. The first one is one-dimensional dynamics with low smoothness and the second is dynamics of Hénon maps.

Renormalization is a method to study microscopic geometrical properties of attractors. This microscope is an operator on some space of one-dimensional systems. Given a onedimensional systems its renormalization is a similar system which describes the dynamics at a smaller scale. Infinitely renormalizable systems are the ones for which you can repeatedly apply the renormalization operator and study the dynamics at arbitrarily small scales.

The most important property of the renormalization operator is that it is hyperbolic. In particular, the fine scale geometry of maps of the simplest non trivial combinatorial type, the so-called period doubling type, is described completely in terms of one single hyperbolic fixed point of the renormalization operator. If you zoom in to a spot in the attractor the geometry will converge to geometry of the equivalent spot in the attractor of the renormalization fixed point. In particular, these fine scale geometrical properties are independent of the original system. This phenomenon is called Universality. The attractors can not be deformed on small scale. Their microscopic geometry is rigid.

These universality and rigidity phenomena are rigorously understood for smooth systems. Smooth means $C^{2+\alpha}$. The thesis discusses renormalization for one-dimensional systems whose smoothness is still $C^{2}$ and systems whose smoothness is $C^{1+\text { Lip }}$, just below $C^{2}$. The main results is that hyperbolicity of renormalization in $C^{2}$ breaks down although there is still slow convergence to the renormalization fixed point. In $C^{1+\text { Lip }}$ the situation changes completely. Even one can study renormalization for period doubling, the simplest combinatorial type, and can see the chaotic behavior of the geometry on smaller and smaller scale. One more interesting result is that, the period doubling renormalization is chaotic, even it has infinite entropy. There is no universality and rigidity when the smoothness gets below $C^{2}$.

The second possibility to use the successful one-dimensional renormalization theory is to study two-dimensional dynamics. In the case of dissipative dynamics we should start with
the Hénon family. Strongly dissipative Hénon maps are perturbations of one-dimensional dynamics and one-dimensional renormalization theory is a powerful starting point for the development of a theory. The Hénon family has many realistic applications because of its relevance in the creation of chaos.

Our rigorous understanding of Hénon maps is fragmented. There are three well understood phenomena. The first is the Newhouse phenomenon. Secondly, there are the chaotic maps constructed by M. Benedicks and L. Carleson. The third part of our knowledge of Hénon maps deals with maps in a neighborhood of the accumulation of period doubling. This is an area in parameter space where chaos is created. A. de Carvalho, M.Lyubich, and M. Martens constructed a renormalization operator on the space of strongly dissipative Hénon-like maps using geometric ingredients. The specific construction and the hyperbolicity of this renormalization operator allowed to study the geometry of Cantor attractors of Hénon maps at the accumulation of period doubling. It opened a source of surprising phenomena. The main theme is that the theory for two-dimensional dissipative dynamics is far from a straightforward generalization of the one-dimensional theory, even for maps which the simplest combinatorial type, period doubling. However, renormalization is again a very powerful tool which is able to describe the dynamics of Hénon maps.

The second part of the thesis is devoted to the renormalization for Hénon maps. It is mainly a numerical study. The present renormalization theory deals with strongly dissipative Hénon maps. These maps form a short curve in parameter space of a generic Hénon family. The first numerical study shows that the curve actually extends up to the conservative systems. More importantly, the study describes the combinatorial changes which occur along this curve. These changes are denoted by "top down breaking of the boxes".

One-dimensional dynamics is controlled by the critical points of these systems. Infinitely renormalizable Hénon maps also have a topologically defined critical points which plays a crucial role. At the present moment we are at the starting point of developing a renormalization theory for Hénon maps with more general combinatorial types. History inspires us to consider maps of Fibonacci type. Unfortunately, the situation is far more complex than the period doubling case for Hénon maps. There are infinitely many critical points. However, a numerical study presented in this thesis shows that there is a curve in the Hénon family whose maps have an invariant Cantor set of Fibonacci type. This is strong support for the possibility of constructing a renormalization operator for Hénon maps of Fibonacci type.

Infinitely renormalizable Hénon maps of period doubling type have a Cantor attractor. This Cantor set has geometrical aspects which are exactly the same as the counter part in the Cantor attractors of infinitely renormalizable one-dimensional systems. This phenomenon is called universality. Contrary to the one-dimensional situation, these Hénon Cantor sets are not rigid. There are parts of the Hénon Cantor set where the geometry on asymptotically small scale is different form the one-dimensional situation. The non-rigidity
was up to recently an unexpected phenomenon. Even, strongly dissipative two-dimensional systems are geometrically different from the one-dimensional world. Although, two and one-dimensional systems do have some common universal geometrical aspects.

The numerically constructed curve of infinitely renormalizable dissipative Hénon maps ends in a conservative map. This conservative map has an invariant Cantor set. The geometry of this Cantor set is not at all similar to the Cantor attractor of the dissipative maps. Our third numerical study on Hénon maps describe that, how the one-dimensional Cantor set deforms into the Cantor set of the conservative map. To describe this deformation we studied the invariant line field which is carried on the Cantor set. This line field has zero characteristic exponent. One could think about this line field as if it was aligned along the Cantor set. However, one should be careful. It has been shown that this line field is not continuous for truly two-dimensional Hénon maps. The Cantor set does not lie on a smooth curve.

Numerically we studied the distribution of the angles of the lines in the line field with respect to a fixed direction. Initially, for strongly dissipative maps, the angles seem to be distributed in a Cantor set. This is not surprising. However, if we consider infinitely renormalizable maps on the curve closer towards the end with the conservative map, the distributions are assigning weight to all angles. It gets more and more away from being on a smooth curve.

This refined understanding might play a crucial role in further studies of Hénon maps. Simple questions like the existence of wandering domains is closely related to the geometry of the line field. The non-existence of wandering domains is still open.

## Chapter 6

## Samenvatting

## Renormalizatie en non-rigiditeit

Een-dimensionale dynamica van differentieerbare systemen is een ver ontwikkelde theorie. Het centrale thema in deze theorie is de rigiditeit van attractoren. De belangrijkste techniek is renormalizatie. Dynamica in hogere dimensies is lang niet zover ontwikkeld. Men kan zeggen, vergeleken met een-dimensionale dynamica, dat het nog in de kinderschoenen staat. Er zijn twee mogelijken richtingen waarin we de een-dimensional theorie kunnen gebruiken om hoger dimensionale systemen te begrijpen. De eerste is een-dimensionale dynamica met lage differentieerbaarheid. Het komt voor dat hoog dimensionale system beschreven kunnen worden met behulp van een-dimensionale systemen. Helaas zijn die dan vaak niet erg glad. Een tweede mogelijke richting is twee-dimensionale dissipatieve dynamica, in het bizonder afbeeldingen in de Hénon familie.

Renormalizatie is een methode om miscroscopische meetkundige eigenschappen van attractoren te bestuderen. Deze miscroscoop is een operator op een bepaalde ruimte van een-dimensionale systemen. De renormalizatie van een gegeven systeem is een nieuw vergelijkbaar systeem dat de meetkunde op een kleinere schaal van het oorspronkelijke systeem beschrijft. Oneindig renormalizeerbare systemen zijn die waar je dieper en dieper kan inzoemen door de renormalizatie operator herhaaldelijk toe te passen.

De belangrijkste eigenschap van de renormalizatie operator is zijn hyperboliciteit. Dit heeft als gevolg dat de microscopische meetkunde van systemen met de simpelste combinatorische eigenschappen volledig beschreven kan worden door het enige dekpunt van de renormalizatie operator. In het bizonder zijn deze microscopische meetkundige eigenschappen onafhangkelijk van het oorspronkelijke systeem. Dit verschijnsel heet meetkundige universaliteit. De attractoren kunnen niet vervormd worden, ze zijn rigide.

Universaliteit en rigiditeit zijn goed begrepen voor systemen die voldoende glad zijn, $C^{2+\alpha}$. Dit proefschrift bestudeert het gedrag van de renormalizatie operator als die wordt toegepast op systemen die minder glad zijn. De belangrijkste resultaten zijn dat in $C^{2}$ er nog steeds convergentie van de meetkunde op miscroscopische schaal is. In $C^{1+\text { Lip }}$ is de situatie heel anders. Er zijn voorbeelden van afbeeldingen waar de meetkunde zich chaotisch gedraagt als men inzoemt. Renormalizatie heeft zelfs oneindige entropy op de ruimte van $C^{1+\text { Lip }}$ systemen. Men kan zeker niet meer van universaliteit en rigiditeit
spreken.
De tweede richting om een-dimensionale renormalizatie toe te passen in hoger dimensionale systemen is in de context van dissipatieve systemen in dimensie twee. In het bizonder verdient de Hénon family aandacht. Sterk dissipatieve Hénon afbeeldingen zijn twee-dimensionale perturbaties van een-dimensionale systemen. Er is daarom een mogelijkheid om de een-dimensionale theorie uit te breiden. De Hénon familie heeft een grotere kring van toepassingen. Ze speelt een grote rol op momenten dat chaos onstaat.

De theorie voor Hénon systemen is nog niet erg ver ontwikkeld en is nog fragmentarisch. Er zijn drie stukken theorie. Het eerste is het Verschijnsel van Newhouse. Het tweede zijn de resultaten van Benedics en Carleson die daadwerkelijk chaotisch gedrag beschrijven in de Hénon familie. Het derde stuk is een studie rond het onstaan van chaos voor zeer dissipatieve Hénon afbeeldingen. Deze afbeeldingen zijn van het periode verdubbelings type. A. de Carvalho, M. Lyubich en M. Martens hebben een renormalizatie operator geintroduceerd die in staat is om de meetkundige verschijnselen van deze systemen te beschrijven. Het is een bron van nieuwe verschijnselen. Het centrale thema is dat zelfs sterk dissipatieve twee dimensionale systemen van het periode verdubbelings type niet direct kunnnen worden begrepen als generalisaties van een dimensionale systemen. Ondanks dat is renormalizatie een sterk genoeg stuk gereedschap dat toch instaat is om de nieuwe verschijnselen te beschrijven.

Het tweede gedeelte van het proefschrift bestudeert renormalizatie van Hénon afbeeldingen. Het is voornamelijk een numerieke studie. De hedendaagse theorie voor oneindig renormalizeerbare Hénon systemen is toepasbaar wanneer de systemen erg dissipatief zijn. Zulke systemen vormen korte krommen in het parameter domein van generieke Hénon families. Het eerste deel van de numerieke studie laat zien dat deze kromme zich laat uitbreiden en eindigt met een conservatieve Hénon afbeelding. Belangrijker is dat de studie de combinatorische veranderen beschrijft die langs deze krommen plaatsvinden. Deze veranderingen worden beschreven met "top down breaking of the boxes".

Een-dimensionale systemen worden bestuurd door de critieke punten van zulke systemen. Oneindig renormalizeerbare Hénon afbeeldingen hebben ook goed gedefinieerde critieke punten die de dynamica sterk beinvloeden. We staan op het punt om een renormalizatie theorie te formuleren voor Hénon afbeeldingen met algemenere combinatorische eigenschappen. Niet alleen periode verdubbeling. De geschiedenis verteld ons dat systemen met Fibonnacci type aandacht verdienen. De situatie is dan ineens veel complexer. Hénon afbeeldingen met algemenere combinatorische eigenschappen hebben oneidig veel critieke punten. Het tweede deel van de numerieke studie laat zien dat men daadwerkelijk kan spreken van Hénon afbeeldingen van Fibonnacci type. Zij schijnen ook een kromme te vormen in de Hénon familie die eindigt met een conservatieve afbeelding. Deze Fibonnacci Hénon afbeeldigen hebben ook een invariante Cantor verzameling van Fibonnacci type. Dit resultaat is een zeer sterke aanwijzing dat een renromalizatie theorie mogelijk is voor

Hénon afbeeldingen van Fibonnacci type.
Oneindig renormalizeerbare Hénon afbeeldingen van periode verdubbelings type hebben een Cantor attractor. Deze attractor heeft delen die er op microscopische schaal precies zo uit zien als de een-dimensionale equivalenten. Dit is de universaliteit die men in toepassingen opmerkt. Helaas is de Cantor attractor niet rigide. Er zijn delen waar de microscopische meetkunde erg verschilt van de een-dimensionale equivalenten. Deze niet rigiditeit was een onverwacht verschijnsel.

De numeriek geconstrueerde kromme van dissipatieve oneindig renormalizeerbare systemen eindigt in een conservatief systeem. Dit conservatieve systeem heeft ook een invariante Cantor verzameling. De meetkunde van deze verzameling is anders dan de meetkunde van de dissipatieve Cantor attractoren. Het derde deel van deze numerieke studie beschrijft hoe de meetkunde van de dissipatieve afbeeldingen verandert in die van de conservatieve situatie.

De studie beschrijft het invariante lijnen veld op de Cantor verzamelingen. Men kan zich dit lijnen veld voorstellen als de raak lijnen aan een kromme waarop de Cantor verzameling ligt. Helaas is de meetkunde van deze Cantor verzameling anders dan die in de eendimensionale situatie. Deze Cantor verzamelingen kunnen niet op een gladde kromme liggen.

De numerieke studie van dit lijnen veld beschrijft de statistische verdelingen van de hoeken van de lijnen met een vaste richting. Voor sterk dissipatieve systemen ligt de verdeling dicht bij een Cantor verzameling. Dit is niet verassend, het zijn lijnen op een Cantor verzameling die dicht zou moeten liggen bij de een-dimensionale Cantor verzameling. Als we de verdelingen beschouwen van afbeeldingen dichter bij de conservatieve situatie zien we dat de verdelingen gewicht geven aan alle hoeken. De Cantor verzameling lijkt minder en minder op een Cantor verzameling die binnen een gladde kromme kan liggen.

Deze fijne meetkundige studie is van belang voor verdere Hénon ontwikkelingen. We staan nog maar aan het begin. Net als in een-dimensionale dynamica verwacht men dat wandelende domeinen niet bestaan. De eigenschappen van het lijnen veld spelen een belangrijke rol bij de studie van bijvoorbeeld wandelende domeinen.
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