MAT 545 FALL 2025 **HOMEWORK 2 SOLUTIONS**

Problems 1-3 are routine, problems 5 and 6 are multivariable calculus exercises on the use of Stokes' theorem, so here we concentrate on problems **4** and **7**.

1. (i) Let X be a smooth (or complex) manifold with the open covering $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in A}$ and the assignment for each nonempty ordered intersection $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}$ a smooth (holomorphic) map $g_{\alpha\beta} : U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \to$ $\mathrm{GL}(r,\mathbb{R})$ (or $\mathrm{GL}(r,\mathbb{C})$), satisfying

$$g_{\alpha\beta} \cdot g_{\beta\gamma} \cdot g_{\gamma\alpha} = I$$
 on $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \cap U_{\gamma} \neq \emptyset$, and $g_{\alpha\alpha} = I$,

where I is the identity operator on \mathbb{R}^r (or \mathbb{C}^r). Let E be smooth (or holomorphic) rank r real (or complex) vector bundle over X, defined by

$$E = \bigsqcup_{\alpha \in A} U_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{K}^r / \sim (\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{C}),$$

where $(x, u) \in U_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{K}^r$ is equivalent to $(y, v) \in U_{\beta} \times \mathbb{K}^r$ iff x = yand $u = g_{\alpha\beta}(x)v$. Prove that transition functions for E are $g_{\alpha\beta}$.

- (ii) Let X be connected complex manifold and \mathcal{E} be a locally free sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules over X. Prove that there is a holomorphic vector bundle over X whose sheaf of holomorphic sections is \mathcal{E} .
- **2.** Let X be a complex manifold with the holomorphic atlas $\{(U_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha})\}_{\alpha \in A}$, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = n$. Show that the functions $g_{\alpha\beta} = J(\varphi_{\alpha} \circ \varphi_{\beta}^{-1}) \circ \varphi_{\beta}$, where J(f) is the Jacobian matrix of a holomorphic map f of a domain \overline{D} in \mathbb{C}^n to \mathbb{C}^n , are transition functions of the holomorphic tangent bundle \mathcal{T}_X of X.
- **3.** Show that the complex manifold is canonically oriented.
- **4.** Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a polydisk, and suppose that $\omega \in \mathcal{A}^{1,1}(D)$ satisfies $d\omega = 0$ and is real-valued. Prove that there is a smooth function $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$ with the property that $\omega = i\bar{\partial}\partial f$.

Solution By Poincaré lemma, $\omega = d\theta$, where $\theta \in \mathcal{A}^1(D)$. Writing $\theta = \theta^{1,0} + \theta^{0,1}$, where $\theta^{1,0} \in \mathcal{A}^{1,0}(D)$ and $\theta^{0,1} \in \mathcal{A}^{0,1}(D)$. We have

$$\omega = \partial \theta^{0,1} + \bar{\partial} \theta^{1,0} + \partial \theta^{1,0} + \bar{\partial} \theta^{0,1}.$$

Comparing (p,q) degrees, we get $\partial \theta^{1,0} = 0$ and $\bar{\partial} \theta^{0,1} = 0$. Thus by Dolbeault-Grothendieck lemma $\theta^{0,1} = \bar{\partial}g$ and $\theta^{1,0} = \partial h$, so

$$\omega = \partial \bar{\partial}(g - h).$$

Since ω is real, q - h = if, where $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$.

5. Consider the Bochner-Martinelli kernel

$$k_{\mathrm{BM}}(z) = c_n \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k-1} \frac{\bar{z}_k}{|z|^{2n}} dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{z}_k \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{z}_n,$$

where $c_n = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} (n-1)!/(2\pi i)^n$, and $\widehat{dz_k}$ means that the factor $d\bar{z}_k$ is omitted.

- (a) Prove that $dk_{\rm BM} = \bar{\partial}k_{\rm BM} = 0$ on $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$. (b) Let $S^{2n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n} \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$ be the (2n-1)-dimensional unit sphere. Show that

$$\int_{S^{2n-1}} k_{\rm BM} = 1.$$

(c) Let $\pi: \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ be the map $\pi(z,\zeta) = z - \zeta$, and let

$$K(z,\zeta) = \Pi_z^{0,0} \Pi_\zeta^{n,n-1} \pi^* k_{\mathrm{BM}}$$

(note that projector $\Pi_z^{0,0}$ is actually redundant since $k_{\rm BM}$ has type (n, n-1)). Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a bounded domain with a C^1 boundary and let $f \in C^1(\bar{D})$. Prove Bochner-Martinelli

$$f(z) = \int_{\partial D} K(z,\zeta)f(\zeta) + \int_{D} K(z,\zeta) \wedge \bar{\partial}f(\zeta).$$

6. Let

$$K^{p,q}(z,\zeta) = \Pi_z^{p,q} \Pi_\zeta^{n-p,n-q-1} \pi^* k_{\text{BM}}$$

(the projector $\Pi_z^{p,q}$ is actually redundant), and let $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_c^{p,q}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be a differential form of type (p,q) with compact support. Prove Koppelman formula:

$$\varphi(z) = \bar{\partial}_z \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} K^{p,q-1}(z,\zeta) \wedge \varphi(\zeta) + \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} K^{p,q}(z,\zeta) \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi(\zeta).$$

(*Hint*: Use relation $\bar{\partial}_{\zeta}K^{p,q} = -\bar{\partial}_{z}K^{p,q-1}$, which is derived from part (a) of problem 5).

7. Let $B = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z| < 1\}$ be the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n centered at 0, $S^{2n-1} = \partial B$ and let $f \in \mathcal{O}(\bar{B})$. Using the following steps, prove Leray-Fantappiè formula

$$f(z) = \int_{S^{2n-1}} f(\zeta)S(z,\zeta),$$

where

$$S(z,\zeta) = \frac{(n-1)!}{(2\pi i)^n} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \frac{\bar{\zeta}_k}{(1-\bar{\zeta}\cdot z)^n} d\bar{\zeta}_1 \wedge \cdots d\bar{\zeta}_k \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar{\zeta}_n \wedge d\zeta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\zeta_n$$

and $\bar{\zeta}\cdot z = \bar{\zeta}_1 z_1 + \cdots + \bar{\zeta}_n z_n$.

(a) Put (as in class)

$$\mathcal{K}(z,w,\zeta) = c_n \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \frac{(w_k - \bar{\zeta}_k)}{((z-\zeta)\cdot(w-\bar{\zeta}))^n} (dz_1 - d\zeta_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (dz_n - d\zeta_n) \wedge (dw_1 - d\bar{\zeta}_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (dw_{k-1} - d\bar{\zeta}_{k-1}) \wedge (dw_{k+1} - d\bar{\zeta}_{k+1}) \wedge \cdots \wedge (dw_n - d\bar{\zeta}_n)$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}_0(z, w, \zeta) = \Pi_z^{0,0} \Pi_w^{0,0} \Pi_\zeta^{n,n-1} \mathcal{K}(z, w, \zeta),$$

so that

$$K(z,\zeta) = \mathcal{K}_0(z,\bar{z},\zeta)$$

— a pullback of \mathcal{K}_0 under the map $\pi: \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$ given by $(z,\zeta) \mapsto (z,\bar{z},\zeta)$. For $f \in \mathcal{O}(\bar{B})$ and $z,w \in B$ put

$$g(z, w) = \int_{\partial B} \mathcal{K}_0(z, w, \zeta) f(\zeta).$$

Show that $g \in \mathcal{O}(B \times B)$.

Solution. Just observe that $\mathcal{K}_0(z, w, \zeta)$ is holomorphic in z and w.

(b) Using Bochner-Martinelli formula, for $f \in \mathcal{O}(\bar{B})$ and $z \in B$ show that $f(z) = g(z, w)|_{w=\bar{z}}$.

Solution. Follows from 5 part (c) since $\bar{\partial} f = 0$.

(c) Prove that $\partial_w g(z, w) = 0$, so g(z, w) does not actually depend on w. Get Leray-Fantappiè formula by putting w = 0 in the integral formula in part (a).

Solution. It follows from part (a) that for small enough z and w we have the power series expansion

$$g(z, w) = \sum_{I,I} a_{IJ} z^I w^J$$
 and $f(z) = \sum_{K} b_K z^K$

where we are using multi-indiex notation. By part (b) we get

$$\sum_{I,I} a_{IJ} z^I \bar{z}^J = \sum_K b_K z^K.$$

Replacing z by tz, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and differentiating with respect to t at t = 0, we obtain identities

$$\sum_{|I|+|J|=k} a_{IJ} z^{I} \bar{z}^{J} = \sum_{|K|=k} b_{K} z^{K},$$

which show that $a_{IJ} = 0$ unless J = 0. Thus g(z, w) does not depend on w for small w and, therefore, for all $w \in B$.

8. Prove that $f \in \mathcal{O}(B)$ admits a power series expansion in B, which converges absolutely and uniformly for z in every ball centered at 0 of radius r < 1.