

Weyl asymptotics for perturbed functional difference operators

Cite as: J. Math. Phys. 60, 103505 (2019); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5093401>

Submitted: 20 February 2019 . Accepted: 24 September 2019 . Published Online: 11 October 2019

Ari Laptev, Lukas Schimmer , and Leon A. Takhtajan

COLLECTIONS

Paper published as part of the special topic on [XIXth International Congress on Mathematical Physics](#)

Note: This paper is part of the Special Collection: XIXth International Congress on Mathematical Physics.



View Online



Export Citation



CrossMark

ARTICLES YOU MAY BE INTERESTED IN

[A novel examination of the similarity between a perturbed hydrogen atom and an anharmonic oscillator](#)

Journal of Mathematical Physics **60**, 102102 (2019); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5086981>

[Rationally weighted Hurwitz numbers, Meijer G-functions and matrix integrals](#)

Journal of Mathematical Physics **60**, 103504 (2019); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5099239>

[A soft-photon theorem for the Maxwell-Lorentz system](#)

Journal of Mathematical Physics **60**, 102903 (2019); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5123592>



NEW

AVS Quantum Science

A high impact interdisciplinary journal for **ALL** quantum science

ACCEPTING SUBMISSIONS

AIP Publishing logo and AVS logo are also present.

Weyl asymptotics for perturbed functional difference operators

Cite as: J. Math. Phys. 60, 103505 (2019); doi: 10.1063/1.5093401

Submitted: 20 February 2019 • Accepted: 24 September 2019 •

Published Online: 11 October 2019



View Online



Export Citation



CrossMark

Ari Laptev,^{1,a)} Lukas Schimmer,^{2,b)}  and Leon A. Takhtajan^{3,c)}

AFFILIATIONS

¹ Department of Mathematics, Imperial College London, SW7 2AZ London, United Kingdom and Institut Mittag-Leffler and Saint Petersburg University, Saint Petersburg, Russia

² QMATH, Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 5, DK-2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark

³ Department of Mathematics, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, New York 11794-3651, USA and Euler Mathematical Institute, Saint Petersburg, Russia

Note: This paper is part of the Special Collection: XIXth International Congress on Mathematical Physics.

^{a)} Email: a.laptev@imperial.ac.uk

^{b)} Email: schimmer@math.ku.dk

^{c)} Email: leontak@math.stonybrook.edu

ABSTRACT

We consider the difference operator $H_W = U + U^{-1} + W$, where U is the self-adjoint Weyl operator $U = e^{-bP}$, $b > 0$, and the potential W is of the form $W(x) = x^{2N} + r(x)$ with $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|r(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|^{2N-\epsilon})$ for some $0 < \epsilon \leq 2N - 1$. This class of potentials W includes polynomials of even degree with leading coefficient 1, which have recently been considered in Grassi and Mariño [SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. 15, 025 (2019)]. In this paper, we show that such operators have discrete spectrum and obtain Weyl-type asymptotics for the Riesz means and for the number of eigenvalues. This is an extension of the result previously obtained in Laptev *et al.* [Geom. Funct. Anal. 26, 288–305 (2016)] for $W = V + \zeta V^{-1}$, where $V = e^{2\pi b x}$, $\zeta > 0$.

Published under license by AIP Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5093401>

I. INTRODUCTION

The mirror manifolds of toric Calabi–Yau manifolds can be described by algebraic curves and recently² it was observed that quantization of these curves leads to functional-difference operators. For local del Pezzo Calabi–Yau threefolds, the simplest example yields the operator $H_{CY} = U + U^{-1} + V + \zeta V^{-1}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.⁵ Here, U and V denote the self-adjoint Weyl operators $U = e^{-bP}$ and $V = e^{2\pi b Q}$ for $b > 0$, where $(P\psi)(x) = i\psi'(x)$ and $(Q\psi)(x) = x\psi(x)$ are the quantum mechanical momentum and position operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

In a recent paper,⁸ the authors proved that for $\zeta > 0$, the operator H_{CY} has a self-adjoint extension with purely discrete spectrum consisting of finite multiplicity eigenvalues tending to infinity. In addition, Weyl-type asymptotics for the Riesz means and for the number of eigenvalues were established. These results prove that H_{CY}^{-1} is trace-class, which confirms part of a conjecture in Ref. 2. In this short note, we consider the difference operator

$$H_W = U + U^{-1} + W = H_0 + W,$$

where $W \in C(\mathbb{R})$ is a continuous, real-valued potential satisfying $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} W(x) = \infty$ that is of the form $W(x) = x^{2N} + r(x)$ with $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|r(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|^{2N-\epsilon})$ for some $0 < \epsilon \leq 2N - 1$. This class of potentials includes polynomials of even degree with leading coefficient 1, which have recently been considered in Ref. 3. We will prove that such an operator admits a self-adjoint extension with discrete eigenvalues λ_j of finite multiplicity converging to infinity. Subsequently we will prove Weyl-type asymptotics for the Riesz mean $\sum_{j \geq 1} (\lambda - \lambda_j)_+$ and for the number of eigenvalues below a given value λ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ (note that the Riesz means for the negative spectrum of Schrödinger operators with decaying

potentials are associated with Lieb–Thirring inequalities¹⁰). These results prove that, if H_W is invertible, the inverse H_W^{-1} is trace-class, as claimed in Ref. 3. Our proof method also applies to the previously considered potential $V + \zeta V^{-1}$.

II. MAIN RESULTS

Since $W \in C(\mathbb{R})$ with $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} W(x) = \infty$, we can conclude that W is bounded from below. As a consequence, the symmetric operator $H = H_0 + W$ is bounded from below on the common domain of H_0 and W . We can thus consider its self-adjoint Friedrichs extension, which we continue to denote by H_W . The following proposition was proved in Ref. 8.

Proposition 1 (Ref. 8, Proposition 2.1). *Let $W(x)$ be a continuous, real-valued, bounded below function such that $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} W(x) = +\infty$. Then, the operator $H_W = H_0 + W$ has purely discrete spectrum consisting of finite multiplicity eigenvalues tending to $+\infty$.*

In Ref. 8, we considered the potential $W(x) = 2 \cosh(2\pi bx)$ and proved the following result.

Theorem 2 (Ref. 8, Theorem 2.2). *For the eigenvalues λ_j of $H_0 + 2 \cosh(2\pi bx)$, it holds that*

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{j \geq 1} (\lambda - \lambda_j)_+}{\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\lambda - 2 \cosh(2\pi bk) - 2 \cosh(2\pi bx))_+ dk dx} = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{j \geq 1} (\lambda - \lambda_j)_+}{\frac{1}{(\pi b)^2} \lambda \log^2 \lambda} = 1$$

with a lower order term of the form $O(\lambda \log \lambda)$.

Here, $a_+ = (a + |a|)/2$ denotes the positive part of a real variable. We also obtained the asymptotics for the number of eigenvalues smaller than λ .

Corollary 3 (Ref. 8, Corollary 2.3). *For the number of eigenvalues $N(\lambda) = \#\{j \geq 1 : \lambda_j < \lambda\}$ of $H_0 + 2 \cosh(2\pi bx)$ smaller than λ it holds that*

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(\lambda)}{\iint_{\lambda - 2 \cosh(2\pi k) - W(x) \geq 0} dk dx} = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(\lambda)}{\frac{1}{(\pi b)^2} \log^2 \lambda} = 1.$$

In this short note, we will prove the following analogous result for potentials growing polynomially.

Theorem 4 *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $r \in C(\mathbb{R})$ be a function such that $|r(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|^{2N - \epsilon})$ for some $C > 0, 2N - 1 \geq \epsilon > 0$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. For the eigenvalues λ_j of $H_0 + x^{2N} + r(x)$, it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{j \geq 1} (\lambda - \lambda_j)_+}{\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\lambda - 2 \cosh(2\pi bk) - x^{2N} - r(x))_+ dk dx} \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{j \geq 1} (\lambda - \lambda_j)_+}{\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\lambda - 2 \cosh(2\pi bk) - x^{2N})_+ dk dx} = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{j \geq 1} (\lambda - \lambda_j)_+}{\frac{2}{\pi b} \frac{2N}{2N+1} \lambda^{\frac{2N+1}{2N}} \log \lambda} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

with a lower order term of the form $O(\lambda^{\frac{2N+1}{2N}})$.

Corollary 5. *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $r \in C(\mathbb{R})$ be a function such that $|r(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|^{2N - \epsilon})$ for some $C > 0, 2N - 1 \geq \epsilon > 0$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. For the number of eigenvalues $N(\lambda) = \#\{j \geq 1 : \lambda_j < \lambda\}$ of $H_0 + x^{2N} + r(x)$ smaller than λ , it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(\lambda)}{\iint_{\lambda - 2 \cosh(2\pi bk) - x^{2N} - r(x) \geq 0} dk dx} \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(\lambda)}{\iint_{\lambda - 2 \cosh(2\pi bk) - x^{2N} \geq 0} dk dx} = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(\lambda)}{\frac{2}{\pi b} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2N}} \log \lambda} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 6. Let $H_0 + x^{2N} + r_N(x)$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 4. If the operator is invertible, as is, for example, the case if $x^{2N} + r_N(x) \geq c > -2$, then we can repeat the argument of Ref. 8 to prove that $(H_0 + x^{2N} + r(x))^{-1}$ is trace-class. Assuming for simplicity that $\lambda_1 > 0$, this follows from

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{1}{|\lambda_j|} = \int_{\lambda_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda} dN(\lambda) = \frac{N(\lambda)}{\lambda} \Big|_{\lambda_1}^{\infty} + \int_{\lambda_1}^{\infty} \frac{N(\lambda)}{\lambda^2} d\lambda < \infty.$$

Remark 7. The total symbol of the operator $H_0 + W$ is given by

$$\sigma(x, k) = 2 \cosh(2\pi bk) + W(x).$$

Theorems 2 and 4 as well as Corollaries 3 and 5 are Weyl-type results that link the asymptotic behavior of quantum mechanical expressions to classical phase space integrals.

In Sec. III, we will give a Proofs of Theorems 2 and 4. It follows our arguments in Ref. 8, where the special case of $W(x) = 2\cosh(2\pi bx)$ was considered. In Sec. IV we will prove Corollaries 3 and 5. Before we proceed with the proofs, we compute the explicit asymptotics of the phase space integrals. This will prove equality between the limits in the results above.

A. Leading order terms for hyperbolic cosine potential

In Ref. 8, we computed that for any $C, D > 0$,

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\lambda - 2D \cosh(2\pi bk) - 2C \cosh(2\pi bx))_+ dk dx = \frac{\lambda \log^2 \lambda}{(\pi b)^2} + O(\lambda \log \lambda) \tag{1}$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ and similarly

$$\iint_{\lambda - 2D \cosh(2\pi bk) - 2C \cosh(2\pi bx) \geq 0} dk dx = \frac{\log^2 \lambda}{(\pi b)^2} + o(\log^2 \lambda) \tag{2}$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

B. Leading order terms for polynomial potential

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. By the min-max principle, it is sufficient to consider $r(x) = C(1 + |x|^{2N-\epsilon})$ with $C \in \mathbb{R}$ and prove that the asymptotic behavior of the phase space integrals is independent of C as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. For later reference, we will also include an additional multiplication factor $D > 0$ in front of the term $2 \cosh(2\pi bk)$ and prove that the asymptotic behaviour does not depend on D .

Using the symmetry of the integrand as well as $2 \cosh(2\pi bk) \geq e^{2\pi bk}$ for $k > 0$ together with the substitution $u = De^{2\pi bk}$, we can compute that

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\lambda - 2D \cosh(2\pi bk) - x^{2N} - C(1 + |x|^{2N-\epsilon}))_+ dk dx \\ & \leq 4 \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (\lambda - De^{2\pi bk} - x^{2N} - C(1 + x^{2N-\epsilon}))_+ dk dx \\ & = \frac{2}{\pi b} \int_D^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{(\lambda - u - x^{2N} - C(1 + x^{2N-\epsilon}))_+}{u} dx du. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting $v_1 \lambda = u$ and $v_2 \lambda^{1/(2N)} = x$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\lambda - 2D \cosh(2\pi bk) - x^{2N} - C(1 + |x|^{2N-\epsilon}))_+ dk dx \\ & \leq \frac{2\lambda^{\frac{2N+1}{2N}}}{\pi b} \int_{D/\lambda}^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{(1 - v_1 - v_2^{2N} - C\lambda^{-1} - Cv_2^{2N-\epsilon}\lambda^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2N}})_+}{v_1} dv_2 dv_1. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the domain of integration can be restricted to $v_2 \geq 0$ and $1 - v_1 - v_2^{2N} - C\lambda^{-1} - Cv_2^{2N-\epsilon}\lambda^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2N}} \geq 0$. We now use that $(a - b)_+ \leq a_+ + |b|$ for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ to split the integral into two parts

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\lambda - 2D \cosh(2\pi bk) - x^{2N} - C(1 + |x|^{2N-\epsilon}))_+ dk dx \\ & \leq \frac{2\lambda^{\frac{2N+1}{2N}}}{\pi b} \left(\int_{D/\lambda}^1 \int_0^\infty \frac{(1 - v_1 - v_2^{2N})_+}{v_1} dv_2 dv_1 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + |C| \int_{D/\lambda}^\infty \int_{v_2 \geq 0, 1 - v_1 - v_2^{2N} - C\lambda^{-1} - C\lambda^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2N}} v_2^{2N-\epsilon} \geq 0} \frac{\lambda^{-1} + v_2^{2N-\epsilon}\lambda^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2N}}}{v_1} dv_2 dv_1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

The first integral yields the correct leading order term. To prove this, we first compute the inner integral explicitly

$$\frac{2\lambda^{\frac{2N+1}{2N}}}{\pi b} \int_{D/\lambda}^1 \int_0^\infty \frac{(1-v_1-v_2^{2N})_+}{v_1} dv_2 dv_1 = \frac{2}{\pi b} \frac{2N}{2N+1} \lambda^{\frac{2N+1}{2N}} \int_{D/\lambda}^1 \frac{(1-v_1)^{\frac{2N+1}{2N}}}{v_1} dv_1.$$

Using partial integration, we then observe that

$$\int_{D/\lambda}^1 \frac{(1-v_1)^{\frac{2N+1}{2N}}}{v_1} dv_1 = \log\left(\frac{\lambda}{D}\right) \left(1 - \frac{D}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{2N+1}{2N}} + \frac{2N+1}{2N} \int_{D/\lambda}^1 (1-v_1)^{\frac{1}{2N}} \log v_1 dv_1.$$

It remains to note that

$$\left| \int_{D/\lambda}^1 (1-v_1)^{\frac{1}{2N}} \log v_1 dv_1 \right| = \int_{D/\lambda}^1 (1-v_1)^{\frac{1}{2N}} \log\left(\frac{1}{v_1}\right) dv_1 \leq \left(1 - \frac{D}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{2N}} \left(1 - \frac{D}{\lambda} - \frac{D}{\lambda} \log\left(\frac{\lambda}{D}\right)\right)$$

to establish the asymptotic behavior

$$\frac{2\lambda^{\frac{2N+1}{2N}}}{\pi b} \int_{D/\lambda}^1 \int_0^\infty \frac{(1-v_1-v_2^{2N})_+}{v_1} dv_2 dv_1 = \frac{2}{\pi b} \frac{2N}{2N+1} \lambda^{\frac{2N+1}{2N}} \log \lambda + O(\lambda^{\frac{2N+1}{2N}})$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. For the second integral, we use that for sufficiently large λ ,

$$1 - v_1 - v_2^{2N} - C\lambda^{-1} - Cv_2^{2N-\epsilon} \lambda^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2N}} \leq 2 - v_1 - \frac{1}{2}v_2^{2N}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D/\lambda}^\infty \int_{v_2 \geq 0, 2-v_1-\frac{1}{2}v_2^{2N} \geq 0} \frac{\lambda^{-1} + v_2^{2N-\epsilon} \lambda^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2N}}}{v_1} dv_2 dv_1 &\leq \lambda^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2N}} \int_{D/\lambda}^2 \int_0^{2^{1/N}} \frac{5}{v_1} dv_2 dv_1 \\ &\leq 10\lambda^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2N}} (\log \lambda - \log D + \log 2) \end{aligned}$$

since $\lambda^{-1+\frac{\epsilon}{2N}} + v_2^{2N-\epsilon} \leq 5$ on the domain of integration for sufficiently large λ . Putting everything together and using that $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda^{\frac{2N+1-\epsilon}{2N}} \log \lambda) / \lambda^{\frac{2N+1}{2N}} = 0$ since $\epsilon > 0$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} &\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\lambda - 2D \cosh(2\pi bk) - x^{2N} - C(1 + |x|^{2N-\epsilon}))_+ dk dx \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\pi b} \frac{2N}{2N+1} \lambda^{\frac{2N+1}{2N}} \log \lambda + O(\lambda^{\frac{2N+1}{2N}}) \end{aligned}$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly, we can use the fact that $2 \cosh(2\pi bk) \leq 2e^{2\pi bk}$ for $k > 0$ together with the substitution $u = 2De^{2\pi bk}$ to obtain the lower bound

$$\begin{aligned} &\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\lambda - 2D \cosh(2\pi bk) - x^{2N} - C(1 + |x|^{2N-\epsilon}))_+ dk dx \\ &\geq 4 \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (\lambda - 2De^{2\pi bk} - x^{2N} - C(1 + x^{2N-\epsilon}))_+ dk dx \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi b} \int_{2D}^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{(\lambda - u - x^{2N} - C(1 + x^{2N-\epsilon}))_+}{u} dx du, \end{aligned}$$

and by similar arguments as above

$$\begin{aligned} &\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\lambda - 2D \cosh(2\pi bk) - x^{2N} - C(1 + |x|^{2N-\epsilon}))_+ dk dx \\ &\geq \frac{2}{\pi b} \frac{2N}{2N+1} \lambda^{\frac{2N+1}{2N}} \log \lambda + O(\lambda^{\frac{2N+1}{2N}}) \end{aligned}$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Together with the upper bound, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\lambda - 2 \cosh(2\pi bk) - x^{2N} - C(1 + |x|^{2N-\epsilon}))_+ dk dx \\ = \frac{2}{\pi b} \frac{2N}{2N+1} \lambda^{\frac{2N+1}{2N}} \log \lambda + O(\lambda^{\frac{2N+1}{2N}}). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Similarly, we can show that as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\iint_{\lambda-2D \cosh(2\pi bk) - x^{2N} - C(1+|x|^{2N-\epsilon}) \geq 0} dk dx = \frac{2}{\pi b} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2N}} \log \lambda + o(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2N}} \log \lambda).$$

III. THE PROOF OF THEOREMS 2 AND 4

To establish upper and lower bounds on the sum of the eigenvalues, we will employ ideas from Ref. 6, with the Fourier transform replaced by the coherent state transform, which we will introduce below.

Let g_β be the Gaussian function $g_\beta(x) = (\beta/\pi)^{1/4} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}x^2}$ with some $\beta > 0$, fixed for the moment. Clearly g_β satisfies $\|g_\beta\|_2 = 1$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. For $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, the classical coherent state transform is given by

$$\tilde{\psi}(k, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\pi i k x} g_\beta(x - y) \psi(x) dx = \langle \psi, e_{k,y} \rangle, \tag{4}$$

where $e_{k,y} = e^{2\pi i k x} g_\beta(x - y)$. Following the computations in Ref. 8, we can show that

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} d_\beta 2 \cosh(2\pi bk) |\tilde{\psi}(k, y)|^2 dk dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (H_0 \psi)(x) \overline{\psi(x)} dx,$$

where $d_\beta = e^{-\beta b^2/4} < 1$. A standard computation furthermore shows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} W(y) |\tilde{\psi}(k, y)|^2 dk dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (W * g_\beta^2)(x) |\psi(x)|^2 dx.$$

For convenience, we set $W_\beta := W * g_\beta^2$. Note that $W_\beta \in C(\mathbb{R})$ with $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} W_\beta(x) \geq \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} W(x)$ since g_β is non-negative and $\|g_\beta\|_2 = 1$. Furthermore, $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} W_\beta(x) = \infty$ and thus the statements above on the self-adjoint extension and discreteness of the spectrum also hold for the operator $H_0 + W_\beta$.

We now establish results for the special case of a hyperbolic cosine potential as well as monomial potentials. In Ref. 8, Sec. 2.1, we proved the following.

Proposition 8. Let $b > 0$. Then,

$$(2 \cosh(2\pi bx) * g_\beta^2)(x) = \frac{1}{c_\beta} 2 \cosh(2\pi bx),$$

where $c_\beta = e^{-(\pi b)^2/\beta}$. Conversely,

$$2 \cosh(2\pi bx) = (c_\beta 2 \cosh(2\pi bx) * g_\beta^2)(x).$$

An analogous result holds for monomials.

Proposition 9. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$(x^{2N} * g_\beta^2)(x) = x^{2N} + p_N(x),$$

where $p_N(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{\beta^{N-j}} a_{N,j} x^{2j}$ is an even polynomial of order $2N - 2$ with coefficients $a_{N,j} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ independent of β . Conversely,

$$x^{2N} = ((x^{2N} + q_N) * g_\beta^2)(x),$$

where $q_N(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{\beta^{N-j}} b_{N,j} x^{2j}$ is an even polynomial of order $2N - 2$ with coefficients $b_{N,j}$ independent of β .

Furthermore, for $0 < \epsilon \leq 2N - 1$, it holds that

$$(|x|^{2N-\epsilon} * g_\beta^2)(x) \leq c_{\epsilon,N} (1 + |x|^{2N-\epsilon})$$

with some constant $c_{\epsilon, N} \geq 0$ depending on β and conversely

$$|x|^{2N-\epsilon} \leq (|x|^{2N-\epsilon} * g_\beta^2)(x).$$

Proof. Writing

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (x-y)^{2N} g_\beta(y)^2 dy = \sum_{k=0}^{2N} \binom{2N}{k} x^k \int_{\mathbb{R}} y^{2N-k} g_\beta(y)^2 dy$$

and noting that the integral vanishes for odd k , we compute that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (x-y)^{2N} g_\beta(y)^2 dy = \sum_{j=0}^N \binom{2N}{2j} x^{2j} \int_{\mathbb{R}} y^{2N-2j} g_\beta(y)^2 dy = x^{2N} + \frac{(2N)!}{2^{2N} \beta^N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{4^j \beta^j}{(N-j)!(2j)!} x^{2j},$$

which yields the first result. The second statement then follows by induction from the observations that by the first identity

$$x^2 = (x^2 * g_\beta^2)(x) - \frac{1}{2\beta} = ((x^2 - 1/(2\beta)) * g_\beta^2)(x)$$

as well as

$$x^{2N} = (x^{2N} * g_\beta^2)(x) - p_N(x) = (x^{2N} * g_\beta^2)(x) - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{\beta^{N-j}} a_{N,j} x^{2j}.$$

Since $g_\beta^2 \geq 0$ and

$$|x-y|^{2N-\epsilon} \leq \max(2|x|, 2|y|)^{2N-\epsilon} \leq 2^{2N-\epsilon} (|x|^{2N-\epsilon} + |y|^{2N-\epsilon}),$$

we obtain the bound

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |x-y|^{2N-\epsilon} g_\beta(y)^2 dx \leq 2^{2N-\epsilon} \left(|x|^{2N-\epsilon} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |y|^{2N-\epsilon} g_\beta(y)^2 dy \right)$$

which yields the claimed inequality. Finally, since $x \mapsto |x|^{2N-\epsilon}$ is a convex function for $0 < \epsilon \leq 2N-1$ and $\|g_\beta\|_2 = 1$, we can apply Jensen's inequality to obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |x-y|^{2N-\epsilon} g_\beta(y)^2 dy \geq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (x-y) g_\beta(y)^2 dy \right|^{2N-\epsilon} = |x|^{2N-\epsilon}.$$

□

A. Lower bound on the Riesz mean

In Ref. 6, a lower bound on the eigenvalues of a general class of operators on sets of finite measure with Neumann boundary condition was proved by means of an argument that relied on the Fourier transform. Here, we use a similar approach, with the coherent state transform replacing the Fourier transform.

Let ψ_j^W denote the orthonormal eigenfunctions corresponding to the eigenvalues λ_j^W of the operator $H_0 + W$ satisfying the assumptions in Proposition 1. They form a complete set of the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and by Plancherel's theorem, it holds that

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\tilde{\psi}_j^{W_\beta}(k, y)|^2 dk dy = \|\psi_j^{W_\beta}\|_2^2 = 1. \tag{5}$$

We can thus write

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} (\lambda - \lambda_j^W)_+ = \sum_{j \geq 1} (\lambda - \lambda_j^W)_+ \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\tilde{\psi}_j(k, y)|^2 dk dy,$$

and inserting the definition (4)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \geq 1} (\lambda - \lambda_j^W)_+ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sum_{j \geq 1} (\lambda - \lambda_j^W)_+ \langle \psi_j^W, e_{k,y} \rangle \overline{\langle \psi_j^W, e_{k,y} \rangle} dk dy \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sum_{j \geq 1} (\lambda - \lambda_j^W)_+ \langle e_{k,y}, \psi_j^W \rangle \overline{\langle \psi_j^W, e_{k,y} \rangle} dk dy. \end{aligned}$$

We can replace the sum by an integral with respect to the projection-valued measure dE_μ^W for $H_0 + W$ on \mathbb{R} as

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} (\lambda - \lambda_j^W)_+ = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\lambda - \mu)_+ \langle dE_\mu^W e_{k,y}, e_{k,y} \rangle dk dy.$$

By the spectral theorem,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle dE_\mu^W e_{k,y}, e_{k,y} \rangle = \langle e_{k,y}, e_{k,y} \rangle = \|g\|_2^2 = 1,$$

and thus we can apply Jensen's inequality with the convex function $x \mapsto (\lambda - x)_+$ to obtain the lower bound

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} (\lambda - \lambda_j^W)_+ \geq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\lambda - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu \langle dE_\mu^W e_{k,y}, e_{k,y} \rangle \right)_+ dk dy. \tag{6}$$

Finally, by the spectral theorem, the inner integral is

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu \langle dE_\mu^W e_{k,y}, e_{k,y} \rangle = \langle H_0 e_{k,y}, e_{k,y} \rangle + \langle W e_{k,y}, e_{k,y} \rangle.$$

Following Ref. 8, we know

$$\langle H_0 e_{k,y}, e_{k,y} \rangle = \frac{1}{d_\beta} 2 \cosh(2\pi bk)$$

and we compute

$$\langle W e_{k,y}, e_{k,y} \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} W(x) g_\beta(x - y)^2 dx = W_\beta(y).$$

Combining these two results with (6), we arrive at

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} (\lambda - \lambda_j^W)_+ \geq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{d_\beta} 2 \cosh(2\pi bk) - W_\beta(y) \right)_+ dk dy.$$

B. Lower bound for hyperbolic cosine potential

Let λ_j be the eigenvalues of $H_0 + 2 \cosh(2\pi bx)$. In this special case, which was considered in Ref. 8, one obtains from the computations above with $W(x) = 2 \cosh(2\pi bx)$ and from Proposition 8 that

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} (\lambda - \lambda_j)_+ \geq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{d_\beta} 2 \cosh(2\pi bk) - \frac{1}{c_\beta} 2 \cosh(2\pi by) \right)_+ dk dy.$$

By (2), the asymptotic behavior of this lower bound does not depend on c_β, d_β and is of the desired form.

C. Lower bound for polynomial potential

Let λ_j be the eigenvalues of $H_0 + x^{2N} + r(x)$. By the min-max principle, we obtain a lower bound on the Riesz mean if we replace $x^{2N} + r(x)$ by the larger potential $x^{2N} + C(1 + |x|^{2N-\epsilon})$. Using the computations above with $W(x) = x^{2N} + C(1 + |x|^{2N-\epsilon})$ together with Proposition 9 and the fact that for some $0 < \delta \leq \epsilon$ both $|p_N(y)|/(1 + |y|^{2N-\delta})$ and $(1 + |y|^{2N-\epsilon})/(1 + |y|^{2N-\delta})$ are bounded yields

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} (\lambda - \lambda_j)_+ \geq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\lambda - \frac{1}{d_\beta} 2 \cosh(2\pi bk) - y^{2N} - C_N(1 + |y|^{2N-\delta}) \right)_+ dk dy$$

for some constant C_N depending on β . By (3) the asymptotic behavior of this lower bound does not depend on C_N, d_β and is of the desired form.

D. Upper bound on the Riesz mean

Again, we will use a similar approach to Ref. 6, where an upper bound on the eigenvalues of a general class of operators on sets of finite measure with Dirichlet boundary condition was proved.

Let W be a potential that satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 1. Consider the eigenvalues $\lambda_j^{W_\beta}$ of $H_0 + W_\beta$ where $W_\beta = W * g_\beta^2$ and let $\psi_j^{W_\beta}$ be the corresponding orthonormal eigenfunctions. Using the coherent state representations established at the beginning of this section, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \geq 1} (\lambda - \lambda_j^{W_\beta})_+ &= \sum_{j \geq 1} (\lambda - \langle (H_0 + W_\beta) \psi_j^{W_\beta}, \psi_j^{W_\beta} \rangle)_+ \\ &= \sum_{j \geq 1} \left(\lambda - \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} (d_\beta 2 \cosh(2\pi bk) + W(y)) |\tilde{\psi}_j^{W_\beta}(k, y)|^2 dk dy \right)_+ \end{aligned}$$

By (5), we can apply Jensen's inequality with the convex function $x \mapsto (\lambda - x)_+$ to obtain

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} (\lambda - \lambda_j^{W_\beta})_+ \leq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\lambda - d_\beta 2 \cosh(2\pi bk) - W(y))_+ \sum_{j \geq 1} |\tilde{\psi}_j^{W_\beta}(k, y)|^2 dk dy.$$

The eigenfunctions $\psi_j^{W_\beta}$ form an orthonormal basis in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and thus for all $k, y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} |\tilde{\psi}_j^{W_\beta}(k, y)|^2 = \sum_{j \geq 1} |\langle e_{k,y}, \psi_j^{W_\beta} \rangle|^2 = \|e_{k,y}\|^2 = 1,$$

which yields the upper bound

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} (\lambda - \lambda_j^{W_\beta})_+ \leq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\lambda - d_\beta 2 \cosh(2\pi bk) - W(y))_+ dk dy.$$

E. Upper bound for hyperbolic cosine potential

Let λ_j be the eigenvalues of $H_0 + 2 \cosh(2\pi bx)$. In this special case, which was considered in Ref. 8, we can choose $W(x) = c_\beta 2 \cosh(2\pi bx)$ such that $W_\beta(x) = 2 \cosh(2\pi bx)$ by Proposition 8. The computation above then yields

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} (\lambda - \lambda_j)_+ \leq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\lambda - d_\beta 2 \cosh(2\pi bk) - c_\beta 2 \cosh(2\pi by))_+ dk dy.$$

By (2), the asymptotic behavior of this upper bound does not depend on c_β, d_β and is of the desired form.

F. Upper bound for polynomial potential

Let λ_j be the eigenvalues of $H_0 + x^{2N} + r(x)$. By the min-max principle, we obtain an upper bound on the Riesz mean if we replace $x^{2N} + r(x)$ by the smaller potential $x^{2N} - C(1 + |x|^{2N-\epsilon})$. Applying again the min-max principle together with the last statement in Proposition 9, we may further decrease this potential to $x^{2N} - C((1 + |x|^{2N-\epsilon}) * g_\beta^2)(x)$. By Proposition 9, this potential coincides with W_β for the choice $W(x) = x^{2N} + q_N(x) - C(1 + |x|^{2N-\epsilon})$. The computation above and the fact that for some $0 < \delta \leq \epsilon$ both $|q_N(y)|/(1 + |y|^{2N-\delta})$ and $(1 + |y|^{2N-\epsilon})/(1 + |y|^{2N-\delta})$ are bounded yields

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} (\lambda - \lambda_j)_+ \leq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\lambda - d_\beta 2 \cosh(2\pi bk) - y^{2N} + C_N(1 + |y|^{2N-\delta}))_+ dk dy$$

with a constant C_N depending on β . By (3), the asymptotic behavior of this upper bound does not depend on C_N, d_β and is of the desired form.

IV. THE PROOF OF COROLLARIES 3 AND 5

In Ref. 8, we provided two proofs of Corollary 3. The first one made use of an observation in Ref. 7 that allows us to obtain asymptotics of the traces of convex functions of self-adjoint operators from the behavior of their Riesz means. The result is then a consequence of the Karamata–Tauberian theorem (see, e.g., Ref. 12, Theorem 10.3) in a version that allows for logarithmic factors (see, e.g., Ref. 11). The second proof used a more direct approach in estimating the number of eigenvalues below a given value by Riesz means. Here, we present a proof that is in spirit very close to the latter argument, but emphasises the role of convexity. The proof method has been used in Ref. 1 in a similar context to our work, but has also been applied previously in a nonlinear setting by Lieb and Simon,⁹ who give reference to Griffiths⁴ for emphasising its use in mathematical physics.

Again, assume that W satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 1 and let λ_j^W denote the discrete eigenvalues of $H_0 + W$. Note that for any $h > 0$,

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} (\lambda - \lambda_j^W)_+ \leq \sum_{j \geq 1} (\lambda + h - \lambda_j^W)_+ - hN^W(\lambda),$$

where $N^W(\lambda)$ denotes the number of eigenvalues λ_j^W below λ . As a consequence, we obtain the upper bound

$$N^W(\lambda) \leq \frac{1}{h} \left(\sum_{j \geq 1} (\lambda + h - \lambda_j^W)_+ - \sum_{j \geq 1} (\lambda - \lambda_j^W)_+ \right). \tag{7}$$

To obtain a lower bound, we observe that similarly

$$N^W(\lambda) \geq \frac{1}{h} \left(\sum_{j \geq 1} (\lambda - \lambda_j^W)_+ - \sum_{j \geq 1} (\lambda - h - \lambda_j^W)_+ \right). \tag{8}$$

A. Proof for hyperbolic cosine potential

The result can be proved analogously to the case of polynomial potentials, for which details are presented below.

B. Proof for polynomial potential

Let λ_j be the eigenvalues of $H_0 + x^{2N} + r(x)$. By Theorem 4, there are constants C_1, C_2 such that

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} (\lambda - \lambda_j)_+ \leq \frac{2}{\pi b} \left(\frac{2N}{2N+1} \lambda^{\frac{2N+1}{2N}} \log \lambda + C_1 \lambda^{\frac{2N+1}{2N}} \right)$$

and

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} (\lambda - \lambda_j)_+ \geq \frac{2}{\pi b} \left(\frac{2N}{2N+1} \lambda^{\frac{2N+1}{2N}} \log \lambda + C_2 \lambda^{\frac{2N+1}{2N}} \right)$$

for all sufficiently large λ . Inserting these bounds into (7) and noting that due to the convexity of the function $f(x) = x^{\frac{2N+1}{2N}} \log x$ for $x > 1$ necessarily $f(\lambda + h) - f(\lambda) \geq hf'(\lambda + h)$, we obtain the upper bound

$$\frac{N(\lambda)}{\frac{2}{\pi b} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2N}} \log \lambda} \leq \frac{(\lambda + h)^{\frac{1}{2N}} \log(\lambda + h) + \frac{2N}{2N+1} (\lambda + h)^{\frac{1}{2N}}}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2N}} \log \lambda} + \frac{C_1(\lambda + h)^{\frac{2N+1}{2N}} - C_2 \lambda^{\frac{2N+1}{2N}}}{h \lambda^{\frac{1}{2N}} \log \lambda}.$$

Choosing $h = (\rho - 1)\lambda$ with $\rho > 1$ and letting $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ yields

$$\limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(\lambda)}{\frac{2}{\pi b} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2N}} \log \lambda} \leq \rho^{\frac{1}{2N}}$$

and since $\rho > 1$ was arbitrary

$$\limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(\lambda)}{\frac{2}{\pi b} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2N}} \log \lambda} \leq 1.$$

Similarly, we can use the convexity of $f(x) = x^{\frac{2N+1}{2N}} \log x$ for $x > 1$ to conclude that $f(\lambda) - f(\lambda - h) \geq hf'(\lambda - h)$ and thus obtain the lower bound

$$\frac{N(\lambda)}{\frac{2}{\pi b} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2N}} \log \lambda} \geq \frac{(\lambda - h)^{\frac{1}{2N}} \log(\lambda - h) + \frac{2N}{2N+1} (\lambda - h)^{\frac{1}{2N}}}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2N}} \log \lambda} + \frac{C_2 \lambda^{\frac{2N+1}{2N}} - C_1 (\lambda - h)^{\frac{2N+1}{2N}}}{h \lambda^{\frac{1}{2N}} \log \lambda}$$

from (8). Choosing $h = (1 - \rho)\lambda$ with $\rho < 1$ and letting first $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ and subsequently $\rho \rightarrow 1$ yields

$$\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(\lambda)}{\frac{2}{\pi b} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2N}} \log \lambda} \geq 1.$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

L.S. was supported by VILLUM FONDEN through the QMATH Centre of Excellence (Grant No. 10059). L.S. would like to thank the organizers of the program *Spectral Methods in Mathematical Physics* for their hospitality at the Institut Mittag-Leffler where this manuscript was being completed. A.L. was supported by RSF Grant No. 18-11-00032.

REFERENCES

- ¹Evans, W. D., Lewis, R. T., Siedentop, H., and Solovej, J. P., “Counting eigenvalues using coherent states with an application to Dirac and Schrödinger operators in the semi-classical limit,” *Ark. Mat.* **34**(2), 265–283 (1996).
- ²Grassi, A., Hatsuda, Y., and Mariño, M., “Topological strings from quantum mechanics,” *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **17**(11), 3177–3235 (2016).
- ³Grassi, A. and Mariño, M., “A solvable deformation of quantum mechanics,” *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.* **15**, 025 (2019).
- ⁴Griffiths, R. B., “A proof that the free energy of a spin system is extensive,” *J. Math. Phys.* **5**, 1215–1222 (1964).
- ⁵Kashaev, R. and Mariño, M., “Operators from mirror curves and the quantum dilogarithm,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **346**(3), 967–994 (2016).
- ⁶Laptev, A., “Dirichlet and Neumann eigenvalue problems on domains in Euclidean spaces,” *J. Funct. Anal.* **151**(2), 531–545 (1997).
- ⁷Laptev, A., “On the Lieb-Thirring conjecture for a class of potentials,” in *The Maz’ya Anniversary Collection: Volume 2* (Rostock, 1998), Operator Theory: Advances and Applications Vol. 110 (Birkhäuser, Basel, 1999), pp. 227–234.
- ⁸Laptev, A., Schimmer, L., and Takhtajan, L. A., “Weyl type asymptotics and bounds for the eigenvalues of functional-difference operators for mirror curves,” *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **26**(1), 288–305 (2016).
- ⁹Lieb, E. H. and Simon, B., “The Thomas-Fermi theory of atoms, molecules and solids,” *Adv. Math.* **23**(1), 22–116 (1977).
- ¹⁰Lieb, E. H. and Thirring, W. E., “Inequalities for the moments of the eigenvalues of the Schrödinger Hamiltonian and their relation to Sobolev inequalities,” in *Studies in Mathematical Physics* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1976), pp. 269–303.
- ¹¹Simon, B., “Nonclassical eigenvalue asymptotics,” *J. Funct. Anal.* **53**(1), 84–98 (1983).
- ¹²Simon, B., *Functional Integration and Quantum Physics*, 2nd ed. (AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2005).