

MAT 536 Problem Set 5

Homework Policy. Please read through all the problems. Please solve 5 of the problems. I will be happy to discuss the solutions during office hours.

Problems.

Problem 1. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be Abelian categories. For every additive functor,

$$F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B},$$

there is an associated additive functor,

$$\text{Ch}(F) : \text{Ch}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Ch}(\mathcal{B}),$$

that associates to every cochain complex in $\text{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$,

$$A^\bullet = ((A^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}, (d_A^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}})$$

the cochain complex in $\text{Ch}(\mathcal{B})$,

$$\text{Ch}(F)(A^\bullet) = ((F(A^n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}, (F(d_A^n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}),$$

and that associates to every morphism of cochain complexes in $\text{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$,

$$u^\bullet : C^\bullet \rightarrow A^\bullet, \quad (u^n : C^n \rightarrow A^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}},$$

the morphism of cochain complexes in $\text{Ch}(\mathcal{B})$,

$$\text{Ch}(F)(u^\bullet) = (F(u^n) : F(C^n) \rightarrow F(A^n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}.$$

In particular, for every homotopy

$$s^\bullet = (s^n : C^n \rightarrow A^{n-1})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}},$$

from u^\bullet to 0, also

$$\text{Ch}(F)(s^\bullet) := (F(s^n) : F(C^n) \rightarrow F(A^{n-1}))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}},$$

is a homotopy from $\text{Ch}(F)(u^\bullet)$ to 0.

(a) For additive functors,

$$F, G : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B},$$

let

$$\alpha : F \Rightarrow G,$$

be a natural transformation. For every cochain complex A^\bullet in $\text{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$, prove that

$$(\alpha_{A^n} : F(A^n) \rightarrow G(A^n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

is a morphism of cochain complexes in $\text{Ch}(\mathcal{B})$,

$$\text{Ch}(\alpha)(A^\bullet) : \text{Ch}(F)(A^\bullet) \rightarrow \text{Ch}(G)(A^\bullet).$$

(b) Prove that the rule $A^\bullet \mapsto \text{Ch}(\alpha)(A^\bullet)$ is a natural transformation

$$\text{Ch}(\alpha) : \text{Ch}(F) \Rightarrow \text{Ch}(G).$$

Moreover, for every morphism $u^\bullet : C^\bullet \rightarrow A^\bullet$ in $\text{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$, and for every homotopy $(s^n : C^n \rightarrow A^{n-1})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ from u^\bullet to 0, prove that also $\text{Ch}(\alpha)(A^\bullet) \circ \text{Ch}(F)(s^\bullet)$ equals $\text{Ch}(G)(s^\bullet) \circ \text{Ch}(\alpha)(C^\bullet)$.

(c) For the identity natural transformation $\text{Id}_F : F \Rightarrow F$, prove that $\text{Ch}(\text{Id}_F)$ is the identity natural transformation $\text{Ch}(F) \Rightarrow \text{Ch}(F)$. Also, for every pair of natural transformations of additive functors $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$,

$$\alpha : F \Rightarrow G, \quad \beta : E \Rightarrow F,$$

for the composite natural transformation $\alpha \circ \beta$, prove that $\text{Ch}(\alpha \circ \beta)$ equals $\text{Ch}(\alpha) \circ \text{Ch}(\beta)$. In this sense, Ch is a “functor” from the “2-category” of Abelian categories to the “2-category” of Abelian categories.

Problem 2. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be Abelian categories. Let $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be an additive functor. Assume that \mathcal{A} has enough injective objects. Thus, every object A admits an injective resolution in $\text{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} A[0] : & \dots & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ \epsilon_A \downarrow & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ I_A^\bullet : & \dots & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & I^0 & \xrightarrow{d_I^0} & I^1 & \xrightarrow{d_I^1} & \dots \end{array},$$

which is functorial up to null homotopies (in particular, any two injective resolutions are homotopy equivalent). Moreover, for every short exact sequence in \mathcal{A} ,

$$\Sigma : 0 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{q} A \xrightarrow{p} Q \longrightarrow 0,$$

there exists a diagram of injective resolutions with rows being short exact sequences in $\text{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} \Sigma[0] : & 0 & \longrightarrow & K[0] & \xrightarrow{q[0]} & A[0] & \xrightarrow{p[0]} & Q[0] & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \epsilon_\Sigma \downarrow & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ I_\Sigma : & 0 & \longrightarrow & I_K^\bullet & \xrightarrow{q^\bullet} & I_A^\bullet & \xrightarrow{p^\bullet} & I_Q^\bullet & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array},$$

whose associated short exact sequences in \mathcal{A} ,

$$I_\Sigma^n : 0 \longrightarrow I_K^n \xrightarrow{q^n} I_A^n \xrightarrow{p^n} I_Q^n \longrightarrow 0,$$

are automatically split. Moreover, this diagram of injective resolutions is functorial up to homotopy, i.e., for every commutative diagram of short exact sequences in \mathcal{A} ,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Sigma : & 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{q} & A & \xrightarrow{p} & Q & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & u \downarrow & & u_K \downarrow & & \downarrow u_A & & \downarrow u_Q & & , \\ \tilde{\Sigma} : & 0 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{K} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{q}} & \tilde{A} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} & \tilde{Q} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

there exists a commutative diagram in $\text{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} I_\Sigma : & 0 & \longrightarrow & I_K & \xrightarrow{q^\bullet} & I_A & \xrightarrow{p^\bullet} & I_Q & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & u^\bullet \downarrow & & u_K^\bullet \downarrow & & \downarrow u_A^\bullet & & \downarrow u_Q^\bullet & & \\ I_{\tilde{\Sigma}} : & 0 & \longrightarrow & I_{\tilde{K}} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{q}^\bullet} & I_{\tilde{A}} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}^\bullet} & I_{\tilde{Q}} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

compatible with the morphisms ϵ_- , and the cochain morphisms u^\bullet making all diagrams commute are unique up to homotopy.

As proved in lecture, there is an associated cohomological δ -functor in degrees ≥ 0 , $R^\bullet F$, with

$$R^n F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}, \quad R^n F(A) = H^n(\text{Ch}(F)(A^\bullet)).$$

For every short exact sequence in \mathcal{A} ,

$$\Sigma : 0 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{q} A \xrightarrow{p} Q \longrightarrow 0,$$

the corresponding complex in \mathcal{B} , $\text{Ch}(\mathcal{B})$,

$$\text{Ch}(F)(I_\Sigma) : 0 \longrightarrow \text{Ch}(F)(I_K^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\text{Ch}(F)(q^\bullet)} \text{Ch}(F)(I_A^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\text{Ch}(F)(p^\bullet)} \text{Ch}(F)(I_Q^\bullet) \longrightarrow 0,$$

has associated complexes in \mathcal{B} ,

$$\text{Ch}(F)(I_\Sigma)^n : 0 \longrightarrow F(I_K^n) \xrightarrow{F(q^n)} F(I_A^n) \xrightarrow{F(p^n)} F(I_Q^n) \longrightarrow 0,$$

being split exact sequences (since the additive functor F preserves split exact sequences), and hence $\text{Ch}(F)(I_\Sigma)$ is a short exact sequence in \mathcal{B} . The maps $\delta_{R^\bullet F, \Sigma}^n$ are the connecting maps determined by the Snake Lemma for this short exact sequence,

$$\delta_{\text{Ch}(F)(I_\Sigma)}^n : H^n(\text{Ch}(F)(I_Q^\bullet)) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(\text{Ch}(F)(I_K^\bullet)).$$

Associated to ϵ , there are morphisms in \mathcal{B}

$$F(\epsilon_A) : F(A) \rightarrow R^0F(A).$$

(a) Let $G : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be an additive functor. Let

$$\alpha : F \Rightarrow G,$$

be a natural transformation. For every object A of \mathcal{A} and for every injective resolution $\epsilon : A[0] \rightarrow I_A^\bullet$, there is an induced morphism in $\text{textCh}(\mathcal{B})$,

$$\text{Ch}(\alpha)(I_A^\bullet) : \text{Ch}(F)(I_A^\bullet) \rightarrow \text{Ch}(G)(I_A^\bullet).$$

This induces morphisms,

$$R^n\alpha(A) : R^nF(A) \rightarrow R^nG(A),$$

given by,

$$H^n(\text{Ch}(\alpha)(I_A^\bullet)) : H^n(\text{Ch}(F)(I_A^\bullet)) \rightarrow H^n(\text{Ch}(G)(I_A^\bullet)).$$

For every n , prove that $A \mapsto R^n\alpha(A)$ defines a natural transformation

$$R^n\alpha : R^nF \Rightarrow R^nG.$$

Moreover, prove that this natural transformation is a morphism of δ -functors, i.e., for every short exact sequence,

$$\Sigma : 0 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{q} A \xrightarrow{p} Q \longrightarrow 0,$$

for every integer n , the following diagram commutes,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^nF(Q) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{R^\bullet F, \Sigma}^n} & R^{n+1}F(K) \\ R^n\alpha(Q) \downarrow & & \downarrow R^{n+1}\alpha(K) \\ R^nG(Q) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{R^\bullet G, \Sigma}^n} & R^{n+1}G(K) \end{array}$$

(b) Prove that the morphisms $F(\epsilon_A)$ form a natural transformation, $\rho_F : F \rightarrow R^0F$.

(c) Prove that R^0F is a left-exact functor. Assuming that F is left-exact, prove that ρ_F is a natural equivalence of functors. In particular, conclude that $\rho_{R^0F} : R^0F \rightarrow R^0(R^0F)$ is a natural equivalence of functors.

(d) For every half-exact functor,

$$G : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B},$$

and for every natural transformation,

$$\gamma : F \Rightarrow G,$$

prove that the two natural transformations,

$$R^0\gamma \circ \rho_F, \rho_G \circ \gamma : F \Rightarrow R^0G,$$

are equal. In particular, if G is left-exact, so that ρ_G is a natural equivalence, conclude that there exists a unique natural transformation,

$$\tilde{\gamma} : R^0F \Rightarrow G,$$

such that γ equals $\tilde{\gamma} \circ \rho_F$.

(e) Now assume that \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are small Abelian categories. Thus, functors from \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{B} are well-defined in the usual axiomatization of set theory. Let $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ be the category whose objects are functors from \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{B} and whose morphisms are natural transformations of functors. Let $\text{AddFun}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ be the full subcategory of additive functors. Let

$$e : \text{LExactFun}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \text{AddFun}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}),$$

be the full subcategory whose objects are left-exact additive functors from \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{B} . Prove that the rule associating to F the left-exact functor R^0F and associating to every natural transformation $\alpha : F \Rightarrow G$ the natural transformation $R^0\alpha : R^0F \Rightarrow R^0G$ is a left adjoint to e .

(f) With the same hypotheses as above, denote by $\text{Fun}_{\delta}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ the category whose objects are cohomological δ -functors from \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{B} concentrated in degrees ≥ 0 ,

$$T^{\bullet} = ((T^n : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\delta_T^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}),$$

and whose morphisms are natural transformations of δ -functors,

$$\alpha^{\bullet} : S^{\bullet} \rightarrow T^{\bullet}, \quad (\alpha^n : S^n \Rightarrow T^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}.$$

Denote by

$$(-)^0 : \text{Fun}_{\delta}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \text{LExactFun}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}),$$

the functor that associates to every cohomological δ -functor, T^{\bullet} , the functor, T^0 , and that associates to every natural transformation of cohomological δ -functors, $u^{\bullet} : S^{\bullet} \rightarrow T^{\bullet}$, the natural transformation $u^0 : S^0 \rightarrow T^0$. Denote by

$$R : \text{LExactFun}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\delta}^{\geq 0}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}),$$

the functor that associates to every left-exact functor, F , the cohomological δ -functor, $R^{\bullet}F$, and that associates to the natural transformation, $\alpha : F \Rightarrow G$, the natural transformation of cohomological δ -functors, $R^{\bullet}\alpha : R^{\bullet}F \Rightarrow R^{\bullet}G$. Prove that R is left adjoint to $(-)^0$.

(g) In particular, for $n > 0$, prove that $R^0(R^n F)$ is the zero functor. Thus, for every $m \geq n$, $R^m(R^n F)$ is the zero functor.

Problem 3.(Enough Projective and Injective Objects) Recall that for a category \mathcal{C} , for every object X of \mathcal{C} , there is a covariant Yoneda functor,

$$h^X : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}, \quad B \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, B),$$

and for every object Y of \mathcal{C} , there is a contravariant Yoneda functor,

$$h_Y : \mathcal{C}^{\text{opp}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}, \quad A \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, Y).$$

An object X of \mathcal{C} is **projective** if the Yoneda functor h^X sends epimorphisms to epimorphisms. An object Y of \mathcal{C} is **injective** if the Yoneda functor h_Y sends monomorphisms to epimorphisms. The category has **enough projectives** if for every object B there exists a projective object X and an epimorphism $X \rightarrow B$. The category has **enough injectives** if for every object A there exists an injective object Y and a monomorphism from A to Y .

(a) Check that this notion agrees with the usual definition of projective and injective for objects in an Abelian category.

(b) For the category **Sets**, assuming the Axioms of Choice, prove that every object is both projective and injective. Deduce the same for the opposite category, **Sets**^{opp}.

(c) Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be categories. Let (L, R, θ, η) be an adjoint pair of covariant functors,

$$L : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}, \quad R : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}.$$

For every object d of \mathcal{D} , prove that

$$\eta(d) : L(R(d)) \rightarrow d,$$

is an epimorphism. For every object c of \mathcal{C} , prove that

$$\theta : c \rightarrow R(L(c)),$$

is a monomorphism. Thus, if every $L(R(d))$ is a projective object, then \mathcal{C} has enough projective objects. Similarly, if every $R(L(c))$ is an injective object, then \mathcal{C} has enough injective objects.

(d) Assuming that R sends epimorphisms to epimorphisms, prove that L sends projective objects of \mathcal{C} to projective objects of \mathcal{D} . Thus, if every object of \mathcal{C} is projective, conclude that \mathcal{D} has enough projective objects. More generally, assume further that R is **faithful**, i.e., R sends distinct morphisms to distinct morphisms. Then conclude for every epimorphism $X \rightarrow R(D)$ in \mathcal{C} , the associated morphism $L(X) \rightarrow D$ in \mathcal{D} is an epimorphism. Thus, if \mathcal{C} has enough projective objects, also \mathcal{D} has enough projective objects.

Similarly, assuming that L sends monomorphisms to monomorphisms, prove that R sends injective objects of \mathcal{D} to injective objects of \mathcal{C} . Thus, if every object of \mathcal{D} is injective, conclude that there are enough injective objects of \mathcal{C} . More generally, assume further that L is faithful. Then

conclude for every monomorphism $L(C) \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{D} , the associated morphism $C \rightarrow R(Y)$ in \mathcal{C} is a monomorphism. Thus, if \mathcal{D} has enough injective objects, also \mathcal{C} has enough injective objects.

(e) Let S and T be associative, unital algebras. Let \mathcal{C} be the category **Sets**. Let \mathcal{D} be the category $S - T - \text{mod}$ of $S - T$ -bimodules. Let

$$R : S - T - \text{mod} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$$

be the forgetful functor that sends every $S - T$ -bimodule to the underlying set of elements of the bimodule. Prove that R sends epimorphisms to epimorphisms and R is faithful. Prove that there exists a left adjoint functor,

$$L : \mathbf{Sets} \rightarrow S - T - \text{mod},$$

that sends every set Σ to the corresponding $S - T$ -bimodule, $L(\Sigma)$ of functions $f : \Sigma \rightarrow S \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} T$ that are zero except on finitely many elements of Σ . Since **Sets** has enough projective objects (in fact every object is projective), conclude that $S - T - \text{mod}$ has enough projective objects.

(e) Let S, T and U be associative, unital rings. Let B be a $T - U$ -bimodule. Let \mathcal{C} be the Abelian category of $S - T$ -bimodules, let \mathcal{D} be the Abelian category of $S - U$ -bimodules, let L be the exact, additive functor,

$$L : S - T - \text{mod} \rightarrow S - U - \text{mod}, \quad L(A) = A \otimes_T B,$$

and let R be the right adjoint functor,

$$R : S - U - \text{mod} \rightarrow S - T - \text{mod}, \quad R(C) = \text{Hom}_{\text{mod-}U}(B, C).$$

Prove that if B is a flat (left) T -module, resp. a faithfully flat (left) T -module, then L sends monomorphisms to monomorphisms, resp. L sends monomorphism to monomorphisms and is faithful. Conclude, then, that R sends injective objects of $S - U - \text{mod}$ to injective objects of $S - T - \text{mod}$, resp. if $S - U - \text{mod}$ has enough injective objects then also $S - T - \text{mod}$ has enough injective objects.

(f) Continuing as above, for every ring homomorphism $U \rightarrow T$, prove that the induced $T - U$ -module structure on T is faithfully flat as a left T -module. Thus, given rings Λ and Π , define $S = \Lambda$, define $T = \Pi$, and define U to be \mathbb{Z} with its unique ring homomorphism to T . Conclude that if there exist enough injective objects in $\Lambda - \text{mod}$, then there exist enough injective objects in $\Lambda - \Pi - \text{mod}$.

(g) For the next step, define T and U to be Λ , define B to be Λ as a left-right T -module, and define S to be \mathbb{Z} . Conclude that if there are enough injective \mathbb{Z} -modules, then there are enough injective Λ -modules, and hence there are enough injective $\Lambda - \Pi$ -bimodules. Thus, to prove that there are enough $\Lambda - \Pi$ -bimodules, it is enough to prove that there are enough \mathbb{Z} -modules.

Problem 4.(Enough Abelian Groups.) Let \mathcal{A} be an Abelian category that has all small products. An object Y of \mathcal{A} is an **injective cogenerator** if Y is injective and for every pair of distinct morphisms,

$$u, v : A' \rightarrow A,$$

in \mathcal{A} , there exists a morphism $w : A \rightarrow Y$ such that $w \circ u$ and $w \circ v$ are also distinct.

(a) Let \mathcal{C} be the category $\mathbf{Sets}^{\text{opp}}$. For an object Y of \mathcal{A} , define L to be the Yoneda functor

$$h_Y : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}^{\text{opp}}, \quad h_Y(A) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, Y).$$

Similarly, define the functor,

$$R : \mathbf{Sets}^{\text{opp}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}, \quad R(\Sigma) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Sets}}(\Sigma, Y),$$

that sends every set Σ to the object $R(\Sigma)$ in \mathcal{A} that is the direct product of copies of Y indexed by elements of Σ . Prove that L and R are an adjoint pair of functors.

(b) Assuming that \mathcal{A} has an injective cogenerator Y , prove that L sends monomorphisms to monomorphisms, and prove that L is faithful. Conclude that \mathcal{A} has enough injective objects.

(c) Now let S be an associative, unital ring (it suffices to consider the special case that S is \mathbb{Z}). Let \mathcal{A} be $\text{mod-}S$. Use the Axiom of Choice to prove Baer's criterion: a right S -module Y is injective if and only if for every right ideal J of S , the induced set map

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{mod-}S}(S, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{mod-}S}(J, Y)$$

is surjective. In particular, if S is a principal ideal domain, conclude that Y is injective if and only if Y is divisible.

(d) Finally, defining S to be \mathbb{Z} , conclude that $Y = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is injective, since it is divisible. Finally, for every Abelian group A and for every nonzero element a of A , conclude that there is a nonzero \mathbb{Z} -module homomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \cdot a \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. Thus, for every pair of elements $a', a'' \in A$ such that $a = a' - a''$ is nonzero, conclude that there exists a \mathbb{Z} -module homomorphism $w : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ such that $w(a') - w(a'')$ is nonzero. Conclude that \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} is an injective cogenerator of \mathbb{Z} . Thus $\text{mod-}\mathbb{Z}$ has enough injective objects. Thus, for every pair of associative, unital rings Λ, Π , the Abelian category $\Lambda - \Pi - \text{mod}$ has enough injective objects.

Problem 5. Let S be an associative, unital ring. Prove that $\text{Ch}^{\geq 0}(S)$ has enough injective objects, and prove that $\text{Ch}^{\leq 0}(S)$ has enough projective objects.

Problem 6. Let R be an associative, unital ring, and let $J \subset R$ be a right ideal. For every left R -module M , prove that there is a natural isomorphism,

$$\text{Tor}_1^R(R/J, M) \cong \text{Ker}(J \otimes_R M \rightarrow M),$$

and for every $q > 0$, there are isomorphisms,

$$\text{Tor}_q^R(J, M) \cong \text{Tor}_{q+1}^R(R/J, M).$$

In particular, if J is a principal ideal generated by a nonzerodivisor, say $J = sR$ for some nonzerodivisor s of R , conclude that

$$\text{Tor}_1^R(R/sR, M) \cong \{m \in M : sm = 0\},$$

and $\text{Tor}_{q+1}(R/sR, M)$ is zero for all $q > 0$. In every case, conclude that for every left ideal I of R , $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/J, R/I)$ is the same whether R/I is held fixed or whether R/J is held fixed.

Problem 7. Let R be a commutative, unital ring that is a principal ideal domain. Review the structure theorem of finitely generated modules over a principal ideal domain. Prove that for all finitely generated R -modules M and N , $\text{Tor}_q^R(M, N)$ is zero for all $q \geq 2$. By realizing every R -module as a colimit of finitely generated R -modules, conclude that for every pair M, N of R -modules (whether or not finitely generated), $\text{Tor}_q^R(M, N)$ is zero for all $q \geq 2$. Finally, for every pair s, t of nonzerodivisors in R , compute that $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/sR, R/tR)$ is R/uR , where $sR + tR$ equals uR as a principal ideal in R .

Problem 8. Let R and T be commutative, unital rings. Let $f : R \rightarrow T$ be a ring homomorphism such that T is flat as an R -module. Prove that for every R -module M and for every T -module N , there are natural isomorphisms,

$$\text{Tor}_q^R(M, N) \otimes_R T \rightarrow \text{Tor}_q^T(M \otimes_R T, N).$$

In particular, if T is the ring of fractions $T = S^{-1}R$ for a multiplicatively closed subset S of R , prove that for every pair of R -modules M and N , the induced $S^{-1}R$ -module homomorphism,

$$S^{-1}\text{Tor}_q^R(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_q^T(S^{-1}M, S^{-1}N),$$

is an isomorphism.

Problem 9. Let R and T be commutative, unital rings. Let $f : R \rightarrow T$ be a ring homomorphism. For every R -module M and for every T -module N , there is a binatural isomorphism,

$$\text{Hom}_{R\text{-mod}}(M, N) \cong \text{Hom}_{T\text{-mod}}(M \otimes_R T, N).$$

If M is a finitely presented R -module, conclude that also $M \otimes_R T$ is a finitely presented T -module. If also T is a flat R -module, conclude that for every R -module L ,

$$\text{Hom}_{R\text{-mod}}(M, L) \otimes_R T \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R\text{-mod}}(M, L \otimes_R T),$$

is an isomorphism. Finally, conclude that the natural map

$$\text{Hom}_{R\text{-mod}}(M, L) \otimes_R T \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{T\text{-mod}}(M \otimes_R T, L \otimes_R T)$$

is an isomorphism. Give a counterexamples when M is not finitely presented.

Problem 10. Continuing the previous problem, if M is a finitely presented R -module and if T is R -flat, prove that for every $q \geq 0$, the natural map

$$\text{Ext}_R^q(M, L) \otimes_R T \rightarrow \text{Ext}_T^q(M \otimes_R T, L \otimes_R T)$$

is an isomorphism.