

MAT 322/523 MIDTERM I

WEDNESDAY FEBRUARY 28, 2024
2:30–3:20PM

Name: _____ ID: _____

Instructions.

- (1) Fill in your name and Stony Brook ID number and circle your lecture number at the top of this cover sheet.
- (2) This exam is closed-book and closed-notes; no electronic devices.
- (3) You have 80 minutes to complete this exam.
- (4) You must justify all your answers and show all your work (unless the problem says otherwise). Even a correct answer without any justification will result in no credit.

1. Let A be an $(m \times n)$ -matrix with the element a_{ij} at position (i, j) . Define $\|A\| := \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2}$. Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

- (a) (10 pts) Show $\|A\mathbf{x}\| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \langle \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{x} \rangle^2}$, where $\mathbf{a}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the i -th row of A , and where $\langle -, - \rangle$ denotes the standard inner product on \mathbb{R}^n , and where $\|-\|$ denotes the standard Euclidean norm on \mathbb{R}^n .

Solution. By definition $A\mathbf{x}$ is the vector with i -th component equal to $\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j$. Therefore $\|A\mathbf{x}\| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m (\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j)^2}$. The i -th row of A is $\mathbf{a}_i = (a_{i1} \ \cdots \ a_{in})$, and we note that $\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j = \langle \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{x} \rangle$ by definition. Therefore $\|A\mathbf{x}\| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \langle \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{x} \rangle^2}$. \square

- (b) (10 pts) Show that $\|A\mathbf{x}\| \leq \|A\|\|\mathbf{x}\|$. [*Hint: Use Cauchy–Schwarz inequality.*]

Solution. By part (a) we have $\|A\mathbf{x}\| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \langle \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{x} \rangle^2}$. Applying the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality $\langle \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{x} \rangle^2 \leq \|\mathbf{a}_i\|^2 \|\mathbf{x}\|^2$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\mathbf{x}\| &= \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \langle \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{x} \rangle^2} \leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \|\mathbf{a}_i\|^2 \|\mathbf{x}\|^2} \\ &= \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \|\mathbf{a}_i\|^2} \|\mathbf{x}\| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2 \right)} \|\mathbf{x}\| = \|A\|\|\mathbf{x}\|. \end{aligned}$$

\square

2. (a) (5 pts) Write down the definition of what it means for a metric space (X, d) to be connected.

Solution. A metric space X is connected if and only if $X = A \cup B$ for non-empty $A, B \overset{\text{open}}{\subset} X$ implies that $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$. \square

- (b) (15 pts) Suppose that (X, d) is a connected metric space. Show that if $A \subset X$ is both open and closed, then either $A = \emptyset$ or $A = X$.

Solution. By hypothesis $A \overset{\text{open}}{\subset} X$. Since A is closed in X , it means by definition that $X \setminus A \overset{\text{open}}{\subset} X$. By construction $A \cap (X \setminus A) = \emptyset$. Therefore $X = A \cup (X \setminus A)$ is a separation of X , which by definition means that X is disconnected. This is a contradiction and therefore we must either have $A = \emptyset$ or $X \setminus A = \emptyset$. \square

3. (a) (5 pts) Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a function. Consider the following hypotheses on f :

- (1) f is of class C^1
- (2) all directional derivatives of f exist
- (3) all partial derivatives of f exist
- (4) f is differentiable
- (5) f is of class C^∞

Without justification, rank the hypotheses by strength by filling in the blanks:

$$\underline{\hspace{1cm}} \implies \underline{\hspace{1cm}} \implies \underline{\hspace{1cm}} \implies \underline{\hspace{1cm}} \implies \underline{\hspace{1cm}}$$

Furthermore, which hypotheses implies that f is continuous? No justification needed.

Solution. The correct answer is

$$(5) \implies (1) \implies (4) \implies (2) \implies (3).$$

The hypotheses (4) (and hence (1) and (5)) implies that f is continuous. We have seen an example in the lectures of a discontinuous function f such that all directional derivatives exist. \square

(b) (15 pts) A function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called *Lipschitz continuous* if there exists a constant $K \geq 0$ such that for all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$|f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{y})| \leq K\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|.$$

- (i) Show that if f is Lipschitz continuous, then f is continuous.
- (ii) Show that if f is differentiable such that Df is bounded, then it is Lipschitz continuous [*Hint: Use the mean value theorem and the result of Problem 1(b).*]

Solution. (i) Continuity means that by definition $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$ such that $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{y})| < \varepsilon$. Letting $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary and assuming $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| < \delta$ we have by the assumption of Lipschitz continuity that $|f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{y})| \leq K\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| < K\delta$, which means that we can choose $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{K}$ to achieve $|f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{y})| < \varepsilon$.

(ii) The mean value theorem says that if $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then there is some point \mathbf{c} that lies on the straight line connecting \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} , such that $f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{y}) = Df(\mathbf{c}) \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$. Taking norms on both sides gives

$$|f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{y})| = |Df(\mathbf{c}) \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})| \stackrel{\text{Problem 1(b)}}{\leq} \|Df(\mathbf{c})\| \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| \leq M\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|,$$

which shows that f is Lipschitz continuous with $K = M$, which is the bound on the derivative of f . \square

4. Consider the function $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(0, y) = 0$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $f(x, 0) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$f(x, y) = \frac{x}{y} + \frac{y}{x} \text{ for } xy \neq 0.$$

- (a) (5 pts) Compute the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0, 0)$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(0, 0)$.

Solution. We use the definition. Namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0, 0) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t, 0) - f(0, 0)}{t} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(0, 0) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(0, t) - f(0, 0)}{t} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

since the numerators are constantly equal to zero. □

- (b) (15 pts) Compute the directional derivative $f'((0, 0); (1, 1))$. Is f differentiable at the origin?

Solution. We use the definition. Namely,

$$f'((0, 0); (1, 1)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t, t) - f(0, 0)}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{t}{t} + \frac{t}{t}}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{2}{t} = \infty.$$

Since this limit does not exist, we conclude that the directional derivative $f'((0, 0); (1, 1))$ does not exist. It follows that f can not be differentiable at the origin, because differentiability implies existence of every directional derivative. □

5. Let $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a rectangle.

(a) (5 pts) For any $\mathbf{a} \in Q$, show that $\{\mathbf{a}\} \subset Q$ is a bounded set of measure zero.

Solution. By definition $\{\mathbf{a}\}$ is bounded because it is contained in a ball of any (finite) radius centered at \mathbf{a} . Let $\varepsilon > 0$. We cover $\{\mathbf{a}\}$ by a single rectangle $Q_\varepsilon := \prod_{j=1}^n \left[a_j - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt[n]{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}, a_j + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt[n]{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \right]$ where a_j is the j -th component of $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. This rectangle clearly contains $\{\mathbf{a}\}$ and it has volume

$$\text{vol } Q_\varepsilon = \prod_{j=1}^n \left(a_j + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt[n]{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \right) - \left(a_j - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt[n]{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \right) = \prod_{j=1}^n \sqrt[n]{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon,$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Consequently $\{\mathbf{a}\}$ has measure zero. \square

(b) (15 pts) Let $f: Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an integrable function. For any $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, show that f is integrable over $\{\mathbf{a}\}$ and $\int_{\{\mathbf{a}\}} f = 0$. [*Hint: Pick a partition of Q that has $\prod_{i=1}^n [a_i - \varepsilon, a_i + \varepsilon]$ as a subrectangle.*]

Solution. By part (a), $\{\mathbf{a}\} \subset Q$ is a bounded subset of Q of measure zero. We show that f is integrable (and we compute the integral) by using the definition. We define

$$f_{\{\mathbf{a}\}}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} f(\mathbf{a}), & \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{a} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Then by definition $\int_{\{\mathbf{a}\}} f = \int_Q f_{\{\mathbf{a}\}}$ if it exists. We therefore need to check that $f_{\{\mathbf{a}\}}$ is integrable on Q , provided that f is. We will show that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a partition P of Q such that $U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \varepsilon$. To that end let P be any partition of Q that has Q_ε as in part (a) as a subrectangle. For any subrectangle R determined by P that is different from Q_ε we have $f_{\{\mathbf{a}\}}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in R$ so $m_R(f_{\{\mathbf{a}\}}) = M_R(f_{\{\mathbf{a}\}})$. For $R = Q_\varepsilon$ we have

$$M_{Q_\varepsilon}(f_{\{\mathbf{a}\}}) - m_{Q_\varepsilon}(f_{\{\mathbf{a}\}}) = |f(\mathbf{a})|,$$

(this we see by looking at two cases: we conclude the above in either of the two cases $f(\mathbf{a}) \geq 0$ and $f(\mathbf{a}) \leq 0$) and consequently

$$U(f_{\{\mathbf{a}\}}, P) - L(f_{\{\mathbf{a}\}}, P) = \sum_R (M_R(f_{\{\mathbf{a}\}}) - m_R(f_{\{\mathbf{a}\}})) \operatorname{vol} R = |f(\mathbf{a})| \operatorname{vol} Q_\varepsilon < |f(\mathbf{a})| \varepsilon.$$

This shows that f is integrable on $\{\mathbf{a}\}$ (more precisely, repeat the above with $Q_{\frac{\varepsilon}{|f(\mathbf{a})|}}$).

Finally, because f is integrable we have $\int_{\{\mathbf{a}\}} f = \bar{\int}_{\{\mathbf{a}\}} f = \underline{\int}_{\{\mathbf{a}\}} f$. Let P be a partition as above. We have two cases:

$f(\mathbf{a}) \geq 0$: Then $m_R(f_{\{\mathbf{a}\}}) = 0$ for any R determined by P (if R does not contain \mathbf{a} the value of $f_{\{\mathbf{a}\}}$ is constantly equal to zero and if R contains \mathbf{a} , the minimum of $f_{\{\mathbf{a}\}}$ over R is 0 since $f(\mathbf{a}) \geq 0$).

In this case we obtain $\int_{\{\mathbf{a}\}} f = \underline{\int}_{\{\mathbf{a}\}} f = 0$.

$f(\mathbf{a}) \leq 0$: Similar to the above we have $M_R(f_{\{\mathbf{a}\}}) = 0$ for any R determined by P . Therefore we obtain $\int_{\{\mathbf{a}\}} f = \bar{\int}_{\{\mathbf{a}\}} f = 0$.

□