

## Math 319/320 Worksheet 4 solutions

**Problem 1.** Find all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  that satisfy the following inequality:

(a)  $|x| + |x + 1| < 2$

Method 1: draw a graph.

Method 2: Divide into cases, depending on the signs of  $x$  and  $x + 1$ .

Suppose  $x \geq 0$ . Then we have  $2x + 1 < 2$ , i.e.  $x < 1/2$ .

Suppose  $-1 \leq x \leq 0$ . Then we have  $-x + x + 1 < 2$  – always true.

Suppose  $x < -1$ . Then we have  $-x - x - 1 < 2$ , i.e.  $x > -3/2$ .

So answer:  $x \in (-3/2, 1/2)$ .

(b)  $4 < |x + 2| + |x - 1| < 5$

Case 1:  $x > 1$ . Then we have  $4 < x + 2 + x - 1 = 2x + 1 < 5$ . That is,  $3 < 2x < 4$  or  $x \in (3/2, 2)$ .

Case 2:  $-2 \leq x \leq 1$ . Then we have  $4 < x + 2 + 1 - x = 3 < 5$ . This is impossible.

Case 3:  $x < -2$ . Then we have  $4 < -x - 2 - x + 1 = -2x - 1 < 5$  or  $5 < -2x < 6$  i.e.  $-3 < x < -5/2$ . So  $x \in (-3, -5/2)$ .

Thus the answer:  $x \in (-3, -5/2) \cup (3/2, 2)$ .

**Problem 2.** Assume that  $A$  and  $B$  are bounded subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(a) Show that  $A \cup B$  is a bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Since  $A$  is bounded there is  $K \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|x| \leq K$  for all  $x \in A$ . Similarly there is  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|y| \leq M$  for all  $y \in B$ . Therefore if  $z \in A \cup B$  we have

$$|z| \leq K \leq \max(K, M), \text{ if } z \in A, \quad \text{and } |z| \leq M \leq \max(K, M), \text{ if } z \in B.$$

Thus  $\max(K, M)$  is a bound for  $A \cup B$ .

(b) Show that  $\sup(A \cup B) = \sup\{\sup A, \sup B\}$ .

Let  $K := \sup A$  and  $M := \sup B$ . The argument in (a) shows that  $\max(K, M)$  (which is precisely the same thing as  $\sup\{\sup A, \sup B\}$ ) is an upper bound for  $A \cup B$ .

To see that  $\max(K, M)$  is the supremum of  $A \cup B$  it suffices to show that no number  $p$  strictly less than  $\max(K, M)$  is an upper bound for  $A \cup B$ .

Without loss of generality we may assume that  $K \leq M$ . (If not, we can simply rename the sets  $A, B$  to make this true.) Then  $\max(K, M) = M$ . Hence if  $p < \max(K, M)$ ,  $p < M$  and so  $p$  is NOT an upper bound for  $B$ . Thus there is  $b \in B$  such that  $b > p$ . Since  $b \in A \cup B$  this means that  $p$  is not an upper bound for  $A \cup B$ . Thus  $\max(K, M)$  is the supremum of  $A \cup B$ .

**Problem 3.** Show that nonempty finite subset  $S$  always has a supremum and that it contains it. (Hint: Use Mathematical Induction.)

We will prove this by induction of  $n := |S|$ , the number of elements in  $S$ .

Base case:  $n = 1$ . Then  $S = \{a\}$ . Hence  $a = \sup S$ . Also  $a \in S$ . Thus the statement holds.

Now suppose that  $|S| = k + 1$  and that the statement holds for all sets with  $k$  elements. Choose any  $x \in S$  and let  $T := S \setminus \{x\}$ . Then  $|T| = k$ . Therefore, by the inductive hypothesis,  $\sup T$  exists and equals some element  $y \in T$ .

Case (i):  $y \geq x$ . Then  $y$  is an upper bound for  $S$ . And no number smaller than  $y$  can be an upper bound for  $S$ , since it would also have to be an upper bound for  $T \subset S$  and  $y = \sup T$ . Hence  $y = \sup S$ . Since  $y \in T \subset S$ , the statement holds for  $S$ .

Case (ii):  $y < x$ . In this case,  $x$  is an upper bound for  $S$  since by transitivity of order it is  $>$  all the elements in  $S \setminus \{x\}$ . Any other upper bound  $u$  for  $S$  must satisfy  $u \geq x$  (since  $x \in S$ .) Hence  $x = \sup S$ . Thus the statement holds in this case too.

Thus the inductive step is proven. Hence the statement holds by Mathematical Induction.

**Problem 4.** Let  $S$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  that is bounded below. Show that  $\inf S = -\sup\{-s \mid s \in S\}$ .

Define  $T := -S := \{-s : s \in S\}$ .

Note that

$$(*) \quad x \leq s, \forall s \in S \iff -x \geq -s := t, \forall t \in T.$$

Thus  $x$  is a lower bound for  $S$  iff  $-x$  is an upper bound for  $T$ .

Suppose now that  $x := \inf S$ . Then,  $-x$  is an upper bound for  $T$  and we just need to see that it is the least upper bound. But if not, there is an upper bound  $u$  for  $T$  such that  $u < -x$ . Then  $-u > x$  and, by (\*),  $-u$  is a lower bound for  $S$ . But this is impossible; because  $x := \inf S$ ,  $x$  must be  $\geq$  every lower bound for  $S$ , in particular we must have  $x \geq -u$ .