

# Math 532 - Fall 2019

## Solutions to Second Examination

Instructor: **Dror Varolin**

1. Let  $p > 0$  and let  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

- (a) Prove that if  $\|f\|_p \leq 1$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $E_\varepsilon(f) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n ; |f(x)| > \varepsilon\}$  then  $m(E_\varepsilon(f)) \leq \varepsilon^{-p}$ .
- (b) Suppose now that  $\{f_n\} \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Show that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in measure.

**Solution:**

(a) For any  $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we have

$$m(E_\varepsilon(g)) = \int_{E_\varepsilon(g)} dm \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^p} \int_{E_\varepsilon(g)} |g|^p dm \leq \frac{\|g\|_p^p}{\varepsilon^p}.$$

Since  $\|f\|_p = 1$ , taking  $g = f$  yields the desired estimate.

(b) According to the proof of part (a), for any  $N > 0$  one has  $m(\{|f_n - f| > \varepsilon\}) \leq \varepsilon^{-p} \|f_n - f\|_p^p$ . Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(\{|f_n - f| > \varepsilon\}) = 0$$

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , as desired.

2. Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be positive measures on  $(X, \mathcal{M})$  such that  $\nu \ll \mu$ , and write  $\lambda = \mu + \nu$ .

- (a) Show that  $0 \leq \frac{d\nu}{d\lambda} < 1$   $\mu$ -a.e.
- (b) Show that  $\frac{d\nu}{d\mu} = \frac{\frac{d\nu}{d\lambda}}{1 - \frac{d\nu}{d\lambda}}$ .

**Solution:**

(a) If  $\lambda(E) = 0$  then  $0 \leq \mu(E) + \nu(E) = \lambda(E) = 0$ , so  $\nu \ll \lambda$  and  $\mu \ll \lambda$ . By the Lebesgue-Radon-Nikodym Theorem there are non-negative functions  $\frac{d\mu}{d\lambda}$  and  $\frac{d\nu}{d\lambda}$  such that

$$d\nu = \frac{d\nu}{d\lambda} d\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad d\mu = \frac{d\mu}{d\lambda} d\lambda.$$

Moreover, any two such functions agree on the complement of a  $\lambda$ -null set. Hence

$$d\lambda = d\mu + d\nu = \left( \frac{d\nu}{d\lambda} + \frac{d\mu}{d\lambda} \right) d\lambda,$$

and  $\frac{d\nu}{d\lambda} + \frac{d\mu}{d\lambda} = 1$   $\lambda$ -almost everywhere. This shows  $0 \leq \frac{d\nu}{d\lambda} \leq 1$   $\lambda$ -almost everywhere, and since  $\mu \ll \lambda$ ,  $\mu$ -almost everywhere. It remains to show that the set  $F = \{\frac{d\nu}{d\lambda} = 1\}$  is  $\mu$ -null. But we know that  $\frac{d\mu}{d\lambda} = 0$   $\lambda$ -almost everywhere on  $F$ , so

$$0 = \int_F \frac{d\mu}{d\lambda} d\lambda = \int_F d\mu = \mu(F).$$

(b) By part (a) and Lebesgue-Radon-Nikodym we know that  $d\mu = \frac{d\mu}{d\lambda} d\lambda$ ,  $d\nu = \frac{d\nu}{d\mu} d\mu$  and  $\frac{d\mu}{d\lambda} + \frac{d\nu}{d\lambda} = 1$ . By uniqueness of Lebesgue-Radon-Nikodym we must have  $\frac{d\nu}{d\mu} \frac{d\mu}{d\lambda} = \frac{d\nu}{d\lambda}$ , and therefore

$$\frac{d\nu}{d\mu} = \frac{\frac{d\nu}{d\lambda}}{\frac{d\mu}{d\lambda}} = \frac{\frac{d\nu}{d\lambda}}{1 - \frac{d\nu}{d\lambda}},$$

as required.

3. Show that if  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $f$  is continuous at  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  then  $x$  is in the Lebesgue set of  $f$ , i.e.,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{m(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} |f(y) - f(x)| dm(y) = 0.$$

**Solution:** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We are asked to show that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$0 < r < \delta \Rightarrow \frac{1}{m(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} |f(y) - f(x)| dm(y) < \varepsilon.$$

To establish the latter statement, note that since  $f$  is continuous at  $x$ , for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $y \in B_\delta(x) \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$ . We choose this  $\delta$ . If  $0 < r < \delta$  then  $B_r(x) \subset B_\delta(x)$ , and hence

$$\frac{1}{m(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} |f(y) - f(x)| dm(y) < \frac{\varepsilon}{m(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} dm(y) = \varepsilon,$$

which is what we wanted to show.

4. Let  $K_\alpha : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by  $K_\alpha(x, y) = \frac{1}{(1+|x-y|)^\alpha}$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $\alpha > 0$  is a real number. For which values of  $\alpha$  is the operator  $T_\alpha : L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  defined by

$$T_\alpha f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K_\alpha(x, y) f(y) dm(y)$$

well-defined and bounded? Justify your answer.

**Solution:** First observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_\alpha f\|_1 &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(y)}{(1+|x-y|)^\alpha} dm(y) \right| dm(x) \right) \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(y)|}{(1+|x-y|)^\alpha} dm(y) \right) dm(x) \right) \\ &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)| \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(1+|x-y|)^\alpha} dm(x) \right) dm(y) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is by Fubini-Tonelli. Moreover, if  $f$  is a non-negative function then the inequality is an equality.

By translation-invariance of Lebesgue measure

$$C_\alpha := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(1 + |x - y|)^\alpha} dm(y)$$

is independent of  $x$ , and by using polar coordinates centered at  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  one has

$$C_\alpha = \sigma_n \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{n-1} dr}{(1 + r)^\alpha} \in (0, +\infty].$$

Since

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{r^{n-1} dr}{(1 + r)^\alpha} = \int_0^1 \frac{r^{n-1} dr}{(1 + r)^\alpha} + \int_1^\infty \frac{r^{n-1} dr}{(1 + r)^\alpha} \leq 1 + \int_1^\infty r^{n-\alpha-1} dr$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{r^{n-1} dr}{(1 + r)^\alpha} \geq \int_1^\infty \frac{r^{n-1} dr}{(1 + r)^\alpha} = \int_1^\infty \frac{r^{n-1-\alpha} dr}{(1 + r^{-1})^\alpha} \geq \frac{1}{2^\alpha} \int_1^\infty r^{n-1-\alpha} dr,$$

we see that  $C_\alpha$  is finite if and only if  $\alpha > n$ . Therefore if  $\alpha > n$  then

$$\|T_\alpha f\|_1 \leq C_\alpha \|f\|_1.$$

On the other hand, if  $0 < \alpha \leq n$  then  $\|T_\alpha f\|_1 = +\infty$  for any nonnegative  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . (For example, if  $f$  is the characteristic function of a set of finite Lebesgue measure.)