

LECTURE 9: MARCH 4

Local coordinates on algebraic varieties. Let X be an algebraic variety over a field k , with structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_X . More precisely, X is a scheme of finite type over k , meaning that for every affine open subset $U \subseteq X$, the ring of functions $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is a finitely generated k -algebra, or in other words, a quotient of a polynomial ring. We say that X is *nonsingular* of dimension n if, at each closed point $x \in X$, the stalk

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,x} = \lim_{U \ni x} \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

is a regular local ring of dimension n ; in other words, if $\mathfrak{m}_x \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ denotes the maximal ideal, then

$$\dim_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x} \mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x^2 = n = \dim \mathcal{O}_{X,x}.$$

When the field k is perfect (which is always the case in characteristic zero), an equivalent condition is that the sheaf of Kähler differentials $\Omega_{X/k}^1$ is locally free of rank n .

Since we are going to need this in a moment, let me briefly review derivations and Kähler differentials. Let A be a finitely generated k -algebra. A *derivation* from A into an A -module M is a k -linear mapping $D: A \rightarrow M$ such that $\delta(fg) = f\delta(g) + g\delta(f)$ for every $f, g \in A$. We denote by $\text{Der}_k(A, M)$ the set of all such derivations; this is an A -module in the obvious way. In the special case $M = A$, we use the notation $\text{Der}_k(A)$ for the derivations from A to itself. In view of the formula $\delta(fg) = f\delta(g) + g\delta(f)$, such a derivation is the algebraic analogue of a vector field, acting on the set of functions in A . We have $\text{Der}_k(A) \subseteq \text{End}_k(A)$, and one can check that if $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in \text{Der}_k(A)$, then their commutator

$$[\delta_1, \delta_2] = \delta_1 \circ \delta_2 - \delta_2 \circ \delta_1 \in \text{End}_k(A)$$

is again a derivation. It is the analogue of the Lie bracket on complex manifolds.

The module of *Kähler differentials* $\Omega_{A/k}^1$ represents the functor $M \mapsto \text{Der}_k(A, M)$, in the sense that one has a functorial isomorphism

$$\text{Der}_k(A, M) \cong \text{Hom}_A(\Omega_{A/k}^1, M).$$

In other words, $\Omega_{A/k}^1$ is an A -module, together with a derivation $d: A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k}^1$, such that every derivation $\delta \in \text{Der}_k(A, M)$ factors uniquely as $\delta = \tilde{\delta} \circ d$ for a unique A -linear map $\tilde{\delta}: \Omega_{A/k}^1 \rightarrow M$. Concretely, $\Omega_{A/k}^1$ can be constructed by taking the free A -module on the set of generators df , for $f \in A$, and imposing the relations $d(fg) = fdg + gdf$ and $d(f+g) = df + dg$ for every $f, g \in A$, and $df = 0$ for every $f \in k$. By construction, one has

$$\text{Der}_k(A) \cong \text{Hom}_A(\Omega_{A/k}^1, A),$$

which makes the module of Kähler differentials dual to the module of derivations.

Globally, $\Omega_{X/k}^1$ is a coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules, such that for every affine open subset $U \subseteq X$, one has $\Gamma(U, \Omega_{X/k}^1) = \Omega_{A/k}^1$, where $A = \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$. There is again a universal derivation $d: \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \Omega_{X/k}^1$. Think of $\Omega_{X/k}^1$ as an algebraic analogue of the sheaf of holomorphic one-forms on a complex manifold. The *tangent sheaf*

$$\mathcal{T}_X = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Omega_{X/k}^1, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

is defined as the dual of the sheaf of Kähler differentials; on affines, one has $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{T}_X) = \text{Der}_k(A)$, using the notation from above. This is an algebraic analogue of the sheaf of holomorphic tangent vector fields on a complex manifold.

Now suppose that X is nonsingular of dimension n , or equivalently, that $\Omega_{X/k}^1$ is locally free of rank n . At every closed point $x \in X$, one can choose *local coordinates*

in the following way: there is an affine open neighborhood U of x , together with n regular functions $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$, such that

$$\Omega_{X/k}^1|_U \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_X|_U \cdot dx_i.$$

Dually, we have derivations $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n \in \text{Der}_k(\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X))$, such that

$$\mathcal{T}_X|_U \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_X|_U \cdot \partial_i.$$

This says that $df = \partial_1(f) \cdot dx_1 + \dots + \partial_n(f) \cdot dx_n$ for every $f \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$, and so the derivation ∂_i plays the role of the partial derivative operator $\partial/\partial x_i$. One can choose the functions $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$ in such a way that they generate the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_x \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$. Keep in mind that the morphism $U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^n$ defined by the local coordinates is étale, but not usually an embedding (because open sets in the Zariski topology are too big).

The sheaf of differential operators. Let X be a nonsingular algebraic variety. Our goal is to define the sheaf of differential operators \mathcal{D}_X , which is a global analogue of the Weyl algebra $A_n(k)$. This will be a quasi-coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules \mathcal{D}_X , together with an increasing filtration $F_\bullet \mathcal{D}_X$ by coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules, where $F_j \mathcal{D}_X$ consists of differential operators of order $\leq j$.

We start by considering the affine case. So let $U \subseteq X$ be an affine open subset, and set $A = \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$, which is a finitely generated k -algebra. We are going to define an A -module $D(A) \subseteq \text{End}_k(A)$, whose elements are the algebraic differential operators of finite order on A . It will satisfy

$$D(A) = \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} F_j D(A),$$

where $F_j D(A)$ is the submodule of operators of order $\leq j$. The idea is that operators of order 0 should be multiplication by elements in A , and that if $P \in F_i D(A)$ and $Q \in F_j D(A)$, then their commutator $[P, Q] = P \circ Q - Q \circ P \in \text{End}_k(A)$ should belong to $F_{i+j-1} D(A)$. This is consistent with what happens for the Weyl algebra.

For an element $f \in A$, we also use the symbol $f \in \text{End}_k(A)$ to denote the operator of multiplication by f . Observe that $P \in \text{End}_k(A)$ is multiplication by the element $P(1) \in A$ if and only if P is A -linear if and only if $[P, f] = 0$ for every $f \in A$. We can therefore define

$$F_0 D(A) = \{ P \in \text{End}_k(A) \mid [P, f] = 0 \text{ for every } f \in A \} \cong A.$$

We then define $F_j D(A)$ recursively by saying that

$$F_j D(A) = \{ P \in \text{End}_k(A) \mid [P, f] \in F_{j-1} D(A) \text{ for every } f \in A \}.$$

This construction of differential operators is due to Grothendieck.

Example 9.1. Let us work out the relationship between $F_1 D(A)$ and $\text{Der}_k(A)$. Every derivation $\delta \in \text{Der}_k(A)$ is also a differential operator of order 1, because

$$[\delta, f](g) = \delta(fg) - f\delta(g) = \delta(f) \cdot g$$

for every $f, g \in A$, which shows that $[\delta, f] = \delta(f) \in F_0 D(A)$. Conversely, suppose that we have some $P \in F_1 D(A)$. By definition, for every $f \in A$, there exists some $p_f \in A$ such that $[P, f] = p_f$. Concretely, this means that

$$P(fg) - fP(g) = p_f \cdot g$$

for every $f, g \in A$. Taking $g = 1$, we get $p_f = P(f) - fP(1)$, and so

$$P(fg) - fP(g) - gP(f) + fgP(1) = 0.$$

It is then easy to check that $P - P(1)$ is a derivation. The conclusion is that

$$F_1 D(A) \cong A \oplus \text{Der}_k(A)$$

with $P \in F_1 D(A)$ corresponding to the pair $(P(1), P - P(1))$.

It is easy to see that each $F_j D(A)$ is a finitely generated A -module, and that composition in $\text{End}_k(A)$ has the following effect: if $P \in F_i D(A)$ and $Q \in F_j D(A)$, then $P \circ Q \in F_{i+j} D(A)$ and $[P, Q] \in F_{i+j-1} D(A)$. With some more work, one can prove the following result.

Proposition 9.2. *Let A be a finitely generated k -algebra. If A is nonsingular of dimension n , then the following is true:*

- (a) *As an A -algebra, $D(A) \subseteq \text{End}_k(A)$ is generated by $\text{Der}_k(A)$, subject to the relations $[\delta, f] = \delta(f)$ for every $\delta \in \text{Der}_k(A)$ and every $f \in A$.*
- (b) *One has $F_j D(A)/F_{j-1} D(A) \cong \text{Sym}^j \text{Der}_k(A)$ for $j \geq 0$.*
- (c) *One has an isomorphism of graded A -algebras*

$$\text{gr}^F D(A) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} F_j D(A)/F_{j-1} D(A) \cong \text{Sym} \text{Der}_k(A)$$

between the associated graded algebra of $D(A)$ and the symmetric algebra on $\text{Der}_k(A)$.

Here, for any A -module M , the j -th symmetric power $\text{Sym}^j M$ is the A -module obtained by quotienting $M \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A M$ by the submodule generated by elements of the form $m_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes m_j - m_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes m_{\sigma(j)}$, for all permutations $\sigma \in S_j$. The symmetric algebra on M is the graded A -algebra

$$\text{Sym} M = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} \text{Sym}^j M.$$

It has the following universal property: if B is any A -algebra, then every morphism of A -modules $M \rightarrow B$ extends uniquely to a morphism of A -algebras $\text{Sym} M \rightarrow B$. For example, one has $\text{Sym} A^{\oplus r} \cong A[x_1, \dots, x_r]$.

Let us give a concrete description of differential operators in local coordinates. Let $U \subseteq X$ be an affine open, with local coordinates x_1, \dots, x_n , and set $A = \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$. The A -module $\text{Der}_k(A)$ is free of rank n , generated by the derivations $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$, and so $D(A)$ is freely generated over A by products of these. In other words, every $P \in F_j D(A)$ can be written uniquely in the form

$$P = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq j} f_{\alpha} \partial^{\alpha},$$

where $\partial^{\alpha} = \partial_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_n^{\alpha_n}$ and where $f_{\alpha} \in A$. The only difference with the case of the Weyl algebra is that the coefficients now belong to the ring A , instead of to the polynomial ring.

Example 9.3. In the case $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, we have $D(A) = A_n(k)$, and the filtration $F_{\bullet} D(A)$ agrees with the order filtration.

Now we would like to say that \mathcal{D}_X is the unique sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules with the property that $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{D}_X) = D(\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X))$ for every affine open $U \subseteq X$. For this to work, one needs the following compatibility result.

Proposition 9.4. *Let A be a finitely generated k -algebra that is nonsingular of dimension n . For nonzero $f \in A$, set $A_f = A[f^{-1}]$. Then one has isomorphisms*

$$D(A_f) \cong A_f \otimes_A D(A) \quad \text{and} \quad F_j D(A_f) \cong A_f \otimes_A F_j D(A).$$

The content of this is that every differential operator on A_f extends, after multiplication by a sufficiently large power of f , to a differential operator on A . (The analogous result for Kähler differentials is that $\Omega_{A_f/k}^1 \cong A_f \otimes_A \Omega_{A/k}^1$; you can find this in Hartshorne, who quotes Matsumura for the proof.)

Note. Unless X is affine, $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}_X)$ does not embed into the k -linear endomorphisms of $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. For example, we shall see below that there are many algebraic differential operators on \mathbb{P}_k^n , but since \mathbb{P}_k^n is proper, every regular function on \mathbb{P}_k^n is constant. This is why differential operators are defined locally.

The proposition implies that \mathcal{D}_X is a quasi-coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules, and that each $F_j \mathcal{D}_X$ is coherent. Indeed, recall that a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules \mathcal{F} is called *quasi-coherent* if, for every affine open subset $U \subseteq X$, the restriction of \mathcal{F} to U is the sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules associated with the $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$ -module $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{F})$. On an affine scheme $\text{Spec } A$, a necessary and sufficient condition for \mathcal{F} to be quasi-coherent is that

$$\Gamma(D(f), \mathcal{F}) \cong A_f \otimes_A \Gamma(\text{Spec } A, \mathcal{F})$$

for every $f \in A$, where $D(f) \subseteq \text{Spec } A$ denotes the principal affine open defined by f . When X is noetherian, which is the case for schemes of finite type over a field, \mathcal{F} is *coherent* if each $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{F})$ is finitely generated over $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$. So the proposition says exactly that \mathcal{D}_X is quasi-coherent and that each $F_j \mathcal{D}_X$ is coherent.

The isomorphisms in [Proposition 9.2](#) globalize as follows. One has $F_0 \mathcal{D}_X = \mathcal{O}_X$, and for every $j \geq 0$, one has

$$\text{gr}_j^F \mathcal{D}_X = F_j \mathcal{D}_X / F_{j-1} \mathcal{D}_X \cong \text{Sym}^j \mathcal{T}_X,$$

where \mathcal{T}_X is the tangent sheaf. One also has an isomorphism of graded \mathcal{O}_X -algebras

$$\text{gr}^F \mathcal{D}_X \cong \text{Sym } \mathcal{T}_X,$$

and so the associated graded algebra of \mathcal{D}_X is again commutative, as in the case of the Weyl algebra. Since X is nonsingular, \mathcal{T}_X is locally free of rank n , and the symmetric algebra on \mathcal{T}_X can be interpreted as the sheaf of algebraic functions on the cotangent bundle. Let us denote by $p: T^*X \rightarrow X$ the cotangent bundle of X , with its natural projection to X . This is again a nonsingular algebraic variety, now of dimension $2n$, locally isomorphic to the product of X and affine space \mathbb{A}_k^n . By the correspondence between vector bundles and locally free sheaves (from Hartshorne's book), one has an isomorphism

$$T^*X \cong \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{T}_X) = \mathbf{Spec}_X \text{Sym } \mathcal{T}_X,$$

and therefore $p_* \mathcal{O}_{T^*X} \cong \text{Sym } \mathcal{T}_X$ as \mathcal{O}_X -algebras. This is why people sometimes refer to \mathcal{D}_X as a “noncommutative deformation” of the cotangent bundle.

Example 9.5. Let us consider the example $X = \mathbb{P}_k^n$. The k -vector space $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}_X)$ of global differential operators on projective space is infinite-dimensional. There are several ways to see this. One way is by diagram chasing. We have $F_0 \mathcal{D}_X = \mathcal{O}_X$, and therefore $\Gamma(X, F_0 \mathcal{D}_X) = k$. For each $j \geq 1$, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F_{j-1} \mathcal{D}_X \rightarrow F_j \mathcal{D}_X \rightarrow \text{Sym}^j \mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow 0.$$

One can show by induction that $H^1(X, F_j \mathcal{D}_X) = 0$ for $j \geq 0$, and so

$$H^0(X, F_j \mathcal{D}_X) / H^0(X, F_{j-1} \mathcal{D}_X) \cong H^0(X, \text{Sym}^j \mathcal{T}_X).$$

These vector spaces can then be computed using the Euler sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(1)^{\oplus(n+1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow 0.$$

For example, $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{T}_X) = (n+1)^2 - 1$, and so $\dim H^0(X, F_1 \mathcal{D}_X) = (n+1)^2$.

Another way is to use the standard open covering $X = U_0 \cup U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_n$. Since each U_i is isomorphic to \mathbb{A}_k^n , one has $\Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{D}_X) \cong A_n(k)$, and so an element of $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}_X)$ can be described by $(n+1)$ elements of the Weyl algebra that are related to each other by the coordinate transformations among the U_i . (See the exercises.)

The third way is to use the presentation of X as a quotient of \mathbb{A}_k^{n+1} minus the origin, by identifying points of \mathbb{P}_k^n with lines in \mathbb{A}_k^{n+1} . Recall how this works in the case of the Euler sequence. Once $n \geq 1$, a vector field on \mathbb{A}_k^{n+1} minus the origin is the same thing as a vector field on \mathbb{A}_k^{n+1} , hence of the form

$$f_0 \partial_0 + f_1 \partial_1 + \cdots + f_n \partial_n,$$

for polynomials $f_0, \dots, f_n \in k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$. Such a vector field descends to X if and only if it is homogeneous of degree 0, where $\deg x_j = 1$ and $\deg \partial_j = -1$. At the same time, the Euler vector field

$$x_0 \partial_0 + x_1 \partial_1 + \cdots + x_n \partial_n$$

is tangent to the lines through the origin, and therefore descends to the zero vector field. This shows that $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}_X)$ is generated by the $(n+1)^2$ vector fields $x_i \partial_j$, subject to the single relation $x_0 \partial_0 + \cdots + x_n \partial_n = 0$. In the same way, one can show that $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}_X)$ is isomorphic to the space of differential operators on \mathbb{A}_k^{n+1} that are homogeneous of degree 0, modulo the ideal generated by the Euler vector field. Concretely, an element $P \in \Gamma(X, F_j \mathcal{D}_X)$ can be written in the form

$$P = \sum_{|\alpha| = |\beta| \leq j} c_\alpha x_0^{\alpha_0} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} \partial_0^{\beta_0} \cdots \partial_n^{\beta_n}$$

and this expression is unique modulo multiples of $x_0 \partial_0 + \cdots + x_n \partial_n$. The restriction of P to the standard affine open U_0 is obtained by setting $x_0 = 1$ and using the relation $\partial_0 = -(x_1 \partial_1 + \cdots + x_n \partial_n)$.

Algebraic \mathcal{D}_X -modules. Let me end with the following definition. An *algebraic \mathcal{D} -module* on a nonsingular algebraic variety X is a quasi-coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules \mathcal{M} , together with a (left or right) action by the sheaf of differential operators \mathcal{D}_X . In other words, for every affine open subset $U \subseteq X$, with $A = \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$, we get an A -module M , together with a (left or right) action by the module of differential operators $D(A)$.

Exercises.

Exercise 9.1. Show that one has $\text{Der}_k(A_f) \cong A_f \otimes_A \text{Der}_k(A)$ for every $f \in A$.

Exercise 9.2. For $X = \mathbb{P}_k^n$, compute $\dim_k \Gamma(X, F_j \mathcal{D}_X)$ as a function of $j \geq 0$.

Exercise 9.3. Consider the example $X = \mathbb{P}_k^1$. If we use the symbol x_0 for the coordinate on $U_0 = \mathbb{A}_k^1$, and x_1 for the coordinate on $U_1 = \mathbb{A}_k^1$, then $\Gamma(U_0, \mathcal{D}_X)$ is the Weyl algebra on x_0 and ∂_0 , and $\Gamma(U_1, \mathcal{D}_X)$ is the Weyl algebra on x_1 and ∂_1 . Using the coordinate change $x_1 = x_0^{-1}$, decide when two differential operators

$$P = \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} x_0^i \partial_0^j \quad \text{and} \quad Q = \sum_{i,j} b_{i,j} x_1^i \partial_1^j$$

have the same restriction to $U_0 \cap U_1$. Use this to describe the space $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{D}_X)$ of global differential operators on \mathbb{P}_k^1 .