## LECTURE 6 (FEBRUARY 13)

**Translations.** Our next goal is to prove a more precise version of the Kodaira embedding theorem for abelian varieties. In preparation for that, we first investigate how the group structure on a compact complex torus interacts with holomorphic line bundles.

Let  $X = V/\Gamma$  be a compact complex torus. For every point  $a \in X$ , we have the translation automorphism

$$t_a \colon X \to X, \quad t_a(x) = a + x.$$

It is biholomorphic, with inverse  $t_{-a}$ . If we choose a vector  $w \in V$  such that q(w) = a, where  $q: V \to X$  is the quotient map, then  $t_a$  is induced by the linear translation  $v \mapsto v + w$ .

Let's consider the pullback  $t_a^*L$ , where L is a holomorphic line bundle on X. Write  $L = L(H, \alpha)$ , where  $(H, \alpha)$  is a Appell-Humbert datum. Choose a vector  $v_a \in V$  such that  $q(v_a) = a$ , where  $q: V \to X$  is the quotient map. Then L is represented by the cocycle

$$\gamma \mapsto e_{\gamma}(v) = e^{\pi H(v,\gamma) + \frac{\pi}{2}H(\gamma,\gamma)} \alpha(\gamma)$$

and therefore  $t_a^*L$  is represented by the cocycle

$$\gamma \mapsto e^{\pi H(v+w,\gamma) + \frac{\pi}{2}H(\gamma,\gamma)} \alpha(\gamma) = e^{\pi H(w,\gamma)} \cdot e_{\gamma}(v)$$

Therefore the tensor product  $t_a^*L \otimes L^{-1}$  is represented by the constant cocycle

$$\gamma \mapsto e^{\pi H(w,\gamma)},$$

and is therefore an element of  $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)$ . After modifying it by a coboundary

$$e^{\pi H(w,\gamma)} \cdot \frac{e^{-\pi H(v+\gamma,w)}}{e^{-\pi H(v,w)}} = e^{\pi H(w,\gamma) - \pi H(\gamma,w)} = e^{2\pi i E(w,\gamma)},$$

it becomes an Appell-Humbert datum for a unique line bundle in  $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)$ , because  $\gamma \mapsto e^{2\pi i E(w,\gamma)}$  is a group homomorphism from  $\Gamma$  to the circle group U(1).

*Example* 6.1. If  $c_1(L) = 0$ , then we have H = 0, and therefore  $t_a^*L \cong L$ . So any holomorphic line bundle in  $\operatorname{Pic}^0(X)$  is *translation-invariant*.

We see from these simple formulas that a holomorphic line bundle L determines a holomorphic group homomorphism

(6.2) 
$$\varphi_L \colon X \to \operatorname{Pic}^0(X), \quad a \mapsto t_a^* L \otimes L^{-1}.$$

It is holomorphic because the cocycle  $e^{\pi H(w,\gamma)}$  depends holomorphically on  $w \in V$ ; and it is a group homomorphism because the cocycle is linear in w. Note that when  $w \in \Gamma$ , the cocycle  $e^{2\pi i E(w,\gamma)}$  is trivial because  $E(\Gamma \times \Gamma) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Lemma 6.3.** If the line bundle L is ample, the group homomorphism  $\varphi_L$  is surjective, and its kernel is a subgroup of X isomorphic to  $\Gamma^*/\Gamma$ . In particular, ker  $\varphi_L$  is a finite abelian group of order  $(\dim H^0(X, L))^2$ .

*Proof.* If we again write  $L = L(H, \alpha)$ , then L is ample exactly when H is positive definite (and E = Im H is nondegenerate). This means that

$$V \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\bar{V}, \mathbb{C}), \quad w \mapsto H(w, -),$$

is an isomorphism of complex vector spaces. According to the discussion above, the image of  $\varphi_L$  therefore contains every line bundle in  $\operatorname{Pic}^0(X)$  that can be represented by a cocycle of the form  $e^{f(\gamma)}$ , where  $f \colon \overline{V} \to \mathbb{C}$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear. But we have  $\operatorname{Pic}^0(X) = H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)/H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$  and  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{V}, \mathbb{C})$ , and so this gives all line bundles in  $\operatorname{Pic}^0(X)$ .

$$V_{\mathbb{R}} \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(V_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{R}), \quad w \mapsto E(w, -),$$

is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector spaces. Under this isomorphism, the subgroup  $\Gamma^* = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$  corresponds exactly to those  $w \in V_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that  $E(w, \gamma) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ; the reason is that  $V_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Gamma$ . Therefore

$$\ker \varphi_L = \left\{ w \in V \mid E(w, \gamma) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for every } \gamma \in \Gamma \right\} / \Gamma \cong \Gamma^* / \Gamma.$$

As we saw during the proof of Theorem 4.6, this is a group of order

$$\det E = \left(\dim H^0(X,L)\right)^2$$

and so the proof is complete.

*Example* 6.4. When L is a principal polarization (det E = 1), the group  $\Gamma^*/\Gamma$  is trivial; in that case, our homomorphism

$$\varphi_L \colon X \to \operatorname{Pic}^0(X)$$

is an isomorphism of abelian varieties. Later on, when we treat abelian varieties using algebraic methods, we are going to use this kind of result in order to *define* the Picard variety  $\text{Pic}^{0}(X)$ .

The fact that  $\varphi_L$  is a group homomorphism means that

$$t_{a+b}^*L \otimes L^{-1} \cong t_a^*L \otimes L^{-1} \otimes t_b^*L \otimes L^{-1}.$$

If we clean this up a bit, it becomes

$$t_{a+b}^*L \otimes L \cong t_a^*L \otimes t_b^*L$$

for any two points  $a, b \in X$ . This result is known as the "theorem of the square".

The Lefschetz theorem. We are now going to prove a sharp version of the Kodaira embedding theorem.

**Theorem 6.5** (Lefschetz). Let  $L = L(H, \alpha)$  be a holomorphic line bundle such that the hermitian form H is positive definite.

(a) The line bundle  $L^2$  is base-point free, and its global sections give a holomorphic mapping

$$\varphi_2 \colon X \to \mathbb{P}(H^0(X, L^2)).$$

(b) The line bundle  $L^3$  is very ample, and its global sections give an embedding

$$\varphi_3 \colon X \to \mathbb{P}(H^0(X, L^3)).$$

The numbers 2 and 3 are exactly as in the case of elliptic curves: any elliptic curve has a 2:1 map to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , and can be embedded into  $\mathbb{P}^2$  as a cubic curve. In general, by Corollary 5.3, we have

dim 
$$H^0(X, L^k) = \frac{1}{n!} c_1(L^k)^n = k^n \dim H^0(X, L),$$

and so the projective spaces in question are fairly big once n gets larger.

Let's start by proving (a). According to Theorem 4.6, we have

$$\dim H^0(X,L) = \sqrt{\det E} \ge 1$$

because H is positive definite. Let  $s_0 \in H^0(X, L)$  be any nontrivial section. The idea is to use translations in order to generate additional sections of  $L^2$ . Recall from above that

$$t_a^*L \otimes t_{-a}^*L \cong L^2$$

for any  $a \in X$ . This shows that  $t_a^* s_0 \otimes t_{-a}^* s_0$  is a global section of  $L^2$ . The proof of (a) is now very easy. To show that  $L^2$  is base-point free, we need to find, at any given point  $x \in X$ , a global section of  $L^2$  that does not vanish at x. For that, we only have to choose  $a \in X$  so that the two points  $x \pm a$  do not lie on the zero locus of  $s_0$ ; then  $t_a^* s_0 \otimes t_{-a}^* s_0$  does the job.

It remains to prove (b). The argument that I gave in class was incomplete – as Spencer pointed out, I did not really prove that  $\varphi_3$  is injective. So I am going to deviate from what I said in class, and use the notes to present Mumford's argument. Before doing that, let's briefly review a bit of general theory. Suppose that X is a compact complex manifold, and L a holomorphic line bundle that is base-point free. If we set  $d = \dim H^0(X, L) - 1$ , and choose a basis  $s_0, \ldots, s_d \in H^0(X, L)$ , then we get a holomorphic mapping

$$\varphi \colon X \to \mathbb{P}^d, \quad x \mapsto (s_0(x), s_1(x), \dots, s_d(x)).$$

It is proper because X is compact. To show that  $\varphi$  is an embedding, we have to prove two things:

- (1)  $\varphi$  is injective. By compactness, this ensures that  $\varphi$  is a homeomorphism between X and  $\varphi(X)$ .
- (2)  $\varphi$  is an immersion. Concretely, this means that for every  $x \in X$ , the map on tangent spaces  $d\varphi_x \colon T_x X \to T_{\varphi(x)} \mathbb{P}^d$  is injective. This ensures that  $\varphi(X)$  is a complex manifold and  $\varphi$  is biholomorphic.

Proof that  $\varphi_3$  is injective. Let's now prove (1) for the line bundle  $L^3$ . Recall that global sections of  $L = L(H, \alpha)$  are theta functions for  $(H, \alpha)$ ; these are holomorphic functions  $\theta: V \to \mathbb{C}$  that satisfy the functional equation

(6.6) 
$$\theta(v+\gamma) = e^{\pi H(v,\gamma) + \frac{\pi}{2}H(\gamma,\gamma)} \alpha(\gamma) \cdot \theta(v).$$

For any two vectors  $u, w \in V$ , the product

$$\theta(v-u)\theta(v-w)\theta(v+u+w)$$

is a theta function for  $(3H, \alpha^3)$ , and therefore a global section of  $L^3$ . Suppose that there are two points  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  with  $\varphi_3(x_1) = \varphi_3(x_2)$ . If we lift  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  to vectors  $v_1, v_2 \in V$ , then it follows that there is a constant  $C \neq 0$  such that

$$\phi(v_1) = C\phi(v_2)$$

for every theta function  $\phi$  for the Appell-Humbert datum  $(3H, \alpha^3)$ . In particular, for every pair of vectors  $v, w \in V$ , we will have

(6.7) 
$$\theta(v_1 - v)\theta(v_1 - w)\theta(v_1 + v + w) = C\theta(v_2 - v)\theta(v_2 - w)\theta(v_2 + v + w)$$

for all theta function for  $(H, \alpha)$ . We are going to deduce from this condition that  $v_2 - v_1 \in \Gamma$ , and hence that  $x_1 = x_2$ .

Consider (6.7) as a function of  $v \in V$ . To eliminate the constant C, we take logarithmic derivatives. Let  $\omega = (d\theta)/\theta$ , which is a meromorphic 1-form on V. After differentiating (6.7), we obtain

$$\omega(v_1 + v + w) - \omega(v_1 - v) = \omega(v_2 + v + w) - \omega(v_2 - v),$$

and so the meromorphic 1-form  $\omega(v_2+v) - \omega(v_1+v)$  is invariant under translation by arbitrary elements of V, hence constant. We can therefore write it as df(v), where  $f: V \to \mathbb{C}$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear. Since  $\omega(v_2+v) - \omega(v_1+v)$  is the logarithmic derivative of  $\theta(v_2+v)/\theta(v_1+v)$ , it follows that there is a constant  $A \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\theta(v+v_2) = Ae^{f(v)}\theta(v+v_1)$$

for every  $v \in V$ . Set  $w = v_2 - v_1$ , and replace v by  $v - v_1$  to put this into the form  $\theta(v + w) = Be^{f(v)}\theta(v).$  where  $B \in \mathbb{C}$  is some other constant.

If we now substitute into the functional equation in (6.6) and cancel terms that appear on both sides, we get  $e^{\pi H(w,\gamma)} = e^{f(\gamma)}$  for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . This means that

$$\pi H(w,\gamma) - f(\gamma) \in 2\pi i \cdot \mathbb{Z}.$$

Recalling that  $E = \operatorname{Im} H$ , we have

$$\pi H(w,\gamma) - f(\gamma) = \pi H(\gamma,w) - f(\gamma) + 2\pi i E(w,\gamma) \in 2\pi i \cdot \mathbb{Z},$$

and so  $\pi H(\gamma, w) - f(\gamma) \in i \cdot \mathbb{R}$ . Because it is also  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear in the first argument, it follows that

(6.8) 
$$\pi H(v, w) = f(v)$$
 for every  $v \in V$ .

We conclude that  $E(w, \gamma) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for every  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and so our vector  $w = v_2 - v_1$  belongs to the larger lattice

$$\hat{\Gamma} = \left\{ v \in V \mid E(v, \gamma) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for every } \gamma \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Recall that  $\hat{\Gamma} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ , and that  $\hat{\Gamma}/\Gamma$  is a finite group of order det *E*. This already shows that some integer multiple of *w* lies in  $\Gamma$ .

We are going to finish the proof of (1) by showing that  $w \in \Gamma$ . Observe that  $\theta$  is actually a theta function for the larger lattice  $\Gamma' = \Gamma + \mathbb{Z}w$ . The reason is that, because of (6.8), we have

$$\theta(v+w) = Be^{\pi H(v,w)}\theta(v) = Be^{-\frac{\pi}{2}H(w,w)} \cdot e^{\pi H(v,w) + \frac{\pi}{2}H(w,w)}\theta(v).$$

Because an integer multiple of w lies in  $\Gamma$ , the constant  $Be^{-\frac{\pi}{2}H(w,w)}$  must be of absolute value 1, and so we can extend  $\alpha \colon \Gamma \to U(1)$  uniquely to  $\alpha' \colon \Gamma' \to U(1)$ by requiring that  $\alpha'(w) = Be^{-\frac{\pi}{2}H(w,w)}$  and  $\alpha(\gamma + \delta) = \alpha(\gamma)\alpha(\delta)e^{i\pi E(\gamma,\delta)}$  for all  $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma'$ . With this choice, every theta function  $\theta$  for the pair  $(H, \alpha)$  and the lattice  $\Gamma$  is then also a theta function for the pair  $(H, \alpha')$  and the bigger lattice  $\Gamma'$ .

The dimension of the space of theta functions for  $(H, \alpha)$  and  $\Gamma$  is, according to Theorem 4.6, equal to the square root of the order of the group  $\Gamma^*/\Gamma$ . If  $\Gamma' \neq \Gamma$ , then this is strictly larger than the order of the group  $\Gamma'^*/\Gamma'$ , and so for dimension reasons, it is not possible for every theta function for  $\Gamma$  to also be a theta function for  $\Gamma'$ . The conclusion is that  $\Gamma' = \Gamma$ , and hence that  $w \in \Gamma$ . This proves that  $\varphi_3$ is injective.

Proof that  $\varphi_3$  is an immersion. Next, we prove (2) for  $\varphi_3$ . Suppose there is a point  $x_0 \in X$  and a tangent vector  $\xi \in T_{x_0}X$  that is mapped to zero under the differential of  $\varphi_3$ . Choose a basis  $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in V$  and let  $z_1, \ldots, z_n \in V^*$  be the dual basis; as usual, we view  $z_1, \ldots, z_n$  as coordinates on V, and hence as local coordinates on X. Write  $\xi = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \partial/\partial_j$ . Choose a lifting of  $x_0 \in X$  to a vector  $v_0 \in V$ . After computing the derivatives in an affine coordinate chart on projective space, we find that there is a constant  $c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z_j}(v_0) = c_0 \phi(v_0)$$

for every theta function  $\phi$  for the pair  $(3H, \alpha^3)$ . As before, we apply this to functions of the form  $\phi(v) = \theta(v - u)\theta(v - w)\theta(v + u + w)$  with  $u, w \in V$ , where  $\theta$  is any theta function for the pair  $(H, \alpha)$ . For given  $\theta$ , consider the meromorphic function

$$f = \theta^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z_j}.$$

After substituting into the relation above, we get

$$f(v_0 - u) + f(v_0 - w) + f(v_0 + u + w) = c_0$$

for all  $u, w \in V$ . By the usual argument with first derivatives, it follows that  $f(v) = \ell(v) + f(0)$  for a linear functional  $\ell \colon V \to \mathbb{C}$ . Define  $c = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j v_j \in V$ . We compute that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\theta(v+tc) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z_j} (v+tc) = \left(t\ell(c) + f(v)\right) \cdot \theta(v+tc)$$

After integration, this leads to the identity

$$\theta(v+tc) = e^{\frac{1}{2}t^2\ell(c) + tf(v)}\theta(v)$$

for every  $v \in V$  and every  $t \in \mathbb{C}$ . If we now plug this into the functional equation in (6.6) and cancel terms that appear on both sides, we find that

$$e^{\pi H(tc,\gamma)} = e^{\frac{1}{2}t^2\ell(c) + tf(v)}.$$

By varying  $v \in V$ , we conclude that f = 0, and hence that  $\ell = 0$ . By varying  $t \in \mathbb{C}$ , it follows that  $H(c, \gamma) = 0$  for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Because H is nondegenerate, this finally gives c = 0. We conclude that  $\xi = 0$ , and hence that  $\varphi_3$  is indeed an immersion.