

LECTURE 25: MAY 8

Meromorphic connections. Before the full Riemann-Hilbert correspondence was proved, Deligne established an important special case. It has to do with the relationship between locally constant sheaves and vector bundles with integrable connection. Suppose that X is a nonsingular and proper algebraic variety over the complex numbers. If we are given a vector bundle of rank r with integrable connection, then the subsheaf of flat sections is a locally constant sheaf of rank m (with respect to the analytic topology). Conversely, given a locally constant sheaf of rank m , say E , we can form the holomorphic vector bundle $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} E$, which has the same (locally constant) transition functions as E . The formula

$$\nabla(f \otimes s) = df \otimes s$$

defines an integrable connection on \mathcal{E} , and the subsheaf of ∇ -flat sections is of course isomorphic to E . Lastly, X is proper, and so the pair (\mathcal{E}, ∇) actually comes from an *algebraic* vector bundle with integrable connection (by a version of Serre's GAGA theorem). The conclusion is that the (a priori topological) object E is actually algebraic.

Deligne's version of the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence generalizes this to not necessarily proper varieties. It goes through an intermediate class of objects, called meromorphic connections. Here is the definition. Let X be a complex manifold, and $D \subseteq X$ a divisor. For simplicity, we are only going to consider the case where D has simple normal crossing singularities: D is a union of nonsingular hypersurfaces meeting transversely. In suitable local coordinates x_1, \dots, x_n , the equation defining D is of the form $x_1 \cdots x_r = 0$. We let

$$\mathcal{O}_X(*D)$$

be the sheaf of meromorphic functions on X that are holomorphic on $X \setminus D$; it is naturally a subsheaf of $j_* \mathcal{O}_{X \setminus D}$, where $j: X \setminus D \hookrightarrow X$ is the inclusion of the complement. The notation $*D$ is supposed to remind you of the pole order along D . Locally, $\mathcal{O}_X(*D)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_X[t]/(ht - 1)$, where h is a local equation for D ; it follows that $\mathcal{O}_X(*D)$ is still a coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -algebras.

Definition 25.1. A *meromorphic connection* is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_X(*D)$ -module M , together with an integrable connection

$$\nabla: M \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} M$$

that satisfies the Leibniz rule $\nabla(fs) = df \otimes s + f\nabla s$ and the integrability condition $[\nabla_{\theta}, \nabla_{\theta'}] = \nabla_{[\theta, \theta']}$.

Note. In the Leibniz rule, we are considering only $f \in \mathcal{O}_X$, but the same formula works for every $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(*D)$. To make this precise, define $\Omega_X^1(*D)$ as the sheaf of meromorphic one-forms on X that are holomorphic on $X \setminus D$, so that

$$\Omega_X^1(*D) = \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(*D).$$

We can then consider ∇ as a \mathbb{C} -linear morphism

$$\nabla: M \rightarrow \Omega_X^1(*D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(*D)} M,$$

and now the Leibniz rule makes sense for $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(*D)$.

A meromorphic connection is naturally a left \mathcal{D}_X -module, since the two identities imply that the left action by \mathcal{T}_X extends to a left action by \mathcal{D}_X (see the discussion in [Lecture 10](#)). On $X \setminus D$, the \mathcal{D} -module is coherent, and therefore a holomorphic vector bundle with integrable connection. In that sense, a meromorphic connection is an extension of a vector bundle with integrable connection on $X \setminus D$ to an object on X with singularities along D .

Definition 25.2. If (M, ∇) and (N, ∇) are two meromorphic connections, then a *morphism* $\varphi: (M, \nabla) \rightarrow (N, \nabla)$ is a morphism of $\mathcal{O}_X(*D)$ -module $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ that is compatible with the connections, in the sense that

$$\nabla(\varphi(s)) = (\text{id} \otimes \varphi)(\nabla s).$$

We denote by $\text{Conn}(X, D)$ the category of meromorphic connections on (X, D) . It is an abelian category. There are two simple but useful observations about morphisms in $\text{Conn}(X, D)$. The first says that morphisms are determined by what their restriction to $X \setminus D$.

Proposition 25.3. *Let $\varphi: (M, \nabla) \rightarrow (N, \nabla)$ be a morphism of meromorphic connections. If $\varphi|_{X \setminus D}$ is an isomorphism, then φ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. The kernel and cokernel of φ are meromorphic connections whose support is, by construction, contained inside D . It is therefore enough to prove that a meromorphic connection (M, ∇) such that $\text{Supp } M \subseteq D$ must be trivial. Let s be any local section of M , and h a local equation for D . The subsheaf $\mathcal{O}_X \cdot s \subseteq M$ is coherent over \mathcal{O}_X , and its support is contained inside D , and so $h^m s = 0$ for $m \gg 0$. But then $s = h^{-m}(h^m s) = 0$, proving that $M = 0$. \square

The second observation is useful for functoriality questions. Suppose that (M, ∇) and (N, ∇) are two meromorphic connections. Then

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X(*D)}(M, N)$$

is again an $\mathcal{O}_X(*D)$ -module in a natural way, and the formula

$$(\nabla\varphi)(s) = (\text{id} \otimes \varphi)(\nabla s) - \nabla(\varphi(s))$$

defines an integrable connection that makes $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X(*D)}(M, N)$ into a meromorphic connection. You should check that morphisms of meromorphic connections $\varphi: (M, \nabla) \rightarrow (N, \nabla)$ are exactly the same thing as ∇ -flat global sections of $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X(*D)}(M, N)$.

Deligne's theorem on meromorphic connections. Deligne proved that locally constant sheaves on $X \setminus D$ correspond to meromorphic connections on (X, D) that are *regular* along D . Regularity was originally defined by restricting to curves, but in the case where D is a normal crossing divisor, we can use another definition that is closer to the Kashiwara-Kawai notion of regularity for \mathcal{D} -modules.

Definition 25.4. A meromorphic connection (M, ∇) is called *regular* if there is a locally free \mathcal{O}_X -module L with

$$M \cong \mathcal{O}_X(*D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L,$$

such that in any local trivialization of L , the connection has at worst logarithmic poles along D .

More precisely, suppose that e_1, \dots, e_m form a local trivialization for L . Then the condition is that

$$\nabla e_i = \sum_{j,k} a_{i,j}^k \frac{dx_k}{x_k} \otimes e_j,$$

for certain holomorphic functions $a_{i,j}^k$. Since L is then preserved by the differential operators $x_1 \partial_1, \dots, x_n \partial_n$, this means that M , viewed as a left \mathcal{D}_X -module, is regular in the sense of Kashiwara and Kawai. The letter L comes from the fact that L is traditionally called a *lattice*.

Keeping the notation from above, we let $A^k \in \text{Mat}_{m \times m}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ be the matrix with entries $a_{i,j}^k$. The restriction of A^k to the divisor D_k , defined by the equation $x_k = 0$,

is a well-defined endomorphism of the locally free sheaf $L|_{D_k}$, called the *residue* of ∇ along D_k . We use the symbol

$$\text{Res}_{D_k}^L(\nabla) = A^k|_{D_k}$$

to denote the residue. We may drop the superscript L when the lattice is clear from the context.

Lemma 25.5. *Let (M, ∇) be a meromorphic connection with lattice L .*

- (a) *On $D_k \cap D_\ell$, the residues $\text{Res}_{D_k}(\nabla)$ and $\text{Res}_{D_\ell}(\nabla)$ commute.*
- (b) *The eigenvalues of $\text{Res}_{D_\ell}(\nabla)$ are locally constant along D_ℓ .*

Proof. In the notation from above, we have

$$\nabla e_i = \sum_{j,k} a_{i,j}^k \frac{dx_k}{x_k} \otimes e_j,$$

and A^k is the $m \times m$ -matrix with entries $a_{i,j}^k$. With respect to the trivialization e_1, \dots, e_m , we therefore have $\nabla_{\partial_k} = A^k/x_k$. The integrability condition for the connection is $[\nabla_{\partial_k}, \nabla_{\partial_\ell}] = 0$, which expands out to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left(\frac{A^\ell}{x_\ell} \right) + \frac{A^\ell A^k}{x_\ell x_k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\ell} \left(\frac{A^k}{x_k} \right) + \frac{A^k A^\ell}{x_k x_\ell}.$$

After rearranging the terms, this becomes

$$x_k \partial_k (A^\ell) + A^\ell A^k = x_\ell \partial_\ell (A^k) + A^k A^\ell,$$

and so the restriction of the two matrices A^k and A^ℓ to the set $x_k = x_\ell = 0$ commute with each other.

For the proof of the second assertion, denote by \bar{L} the restriction of L to the divisor D_ℓ ; similarly, \bar{A}^k is the restriction of A^k , and so on. The formula

$$\nabla \bar{e}_i = \sum_{j,k \neq \ell} \bar{a}_{i,j}^k \frac{dx_k}{x_k} \otimes \bar{e}_j$$

defines an integrable connection with logarithmic poles on \bar{L} , and one checks that \bar{A}^ℓ is a horizontal section of $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_{D_\ell}}(\bar{L}, \bar{L})$. It follows that the eigenvalues of \bar{A}^ℓ must be locally constant. \square

Deligne's main theorem is that every bundle with integrable connection on U can be uniquely extended to a regular meromorphic connection on (X, D) ; in fact, even the lattice is more or less unique, except for a small ambiguity in the eigenvalues of the residues.

Theorem 25.6. *Let X be a complex manifold, and $D \subseteq X$ a divisor with simple normal crossing singularities. Set $U = X \setminus D$, and fix a section $\tau: \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of the projection $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}$. Given $(M, \nabla) \in \text{Conn}(U)$, there is a unique locally free sheaf L_τ on X with the following three properties:*

- (a) *One has $L_\tau|_U = M$.*
- (b) *The connection $\nabla: M \rightarrow \Omega_U^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_U} M$ extends to*

$$\nabla: M_\tau \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} M_\tau,$$

*where $M_\tau = \mathcal{O}_X(*D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L_\tau$.*

- (c) *At each irreducible component of D , the residue of ∇ has eigenvalues in the set $\tau(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$.*

Moreover, with the above choice of L_τ , the restriction mapping

$$\Gamma(X, M_\tau)^\nabla \rightarrow \Gamma(U, M)^\nabla$$

from ∇ -flat sections of M_τ to ∇ -flat sections of M is an isomorphism.

Proof of Deligne's theorem. The proof of Deligne's theorem has two parts. The first part is to prove that L_τ is unique (up to isomorphism). The second part is to construct a suitable lattice L_τ locally on X ; the local objects can then be glued together into a global lattice using uniqueness.

Let us start with the local existence, since that is easier. Since we are working locally, we can assume that $X = \Delta^n$, where $\Delta \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is an open disk containing the origin. The divisor D will be given by the equation $x_1 \cdots x_r = 0$, and so $U = (\Delta^*)^r \times \Delta^{n-r}$. By the correspondence between vector bundles with integrable connection and locally constant sheaves, $(M, \nabla) \in \text{Conn}(U)$ corresponds to a locally constant sheaf on U , hence to a representation $\pi_1(U) \rightarrow \text{GL}_m(\mathbb{C})$, where m is the rank of M . Since the fundamental group of U is abelian, this is equivalent to giving r commuting matrices $C^1, \dots, C^r \in \text{GL}_m(\mathbb{C})$. (These are the monodromy matrices of the locally constant sheaf.)

It is a simple exercise to show that there are matrices $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_r \in \text{Mat}_{m \times m}(\mathbb{C})$, uniquely determined by the following three conditions:

- (1) $e^{2\pi i \Gamma^j} = C^j$,
- (2) the eigenvalues of Γ^j lie in the set $\tau(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z})$,
- (3) $\Gamma^1, \dots, \Gamma^r$ commute.

We can now define $L_\tau = \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus m}$, and put a meromorphic connection on the free $\mathcal{O}_X(*D)$ -module $M_\tau = \mathcal{O}_X(*D)^{\oplus m}$ by the formula

$$\nabla e_i = \sum_{j,k} \Gamma_{i,j}^k \frac{dx_k}{x_k} \otimes e_j.$$

From the construction, it is clear that this has the three properties in the statement of the theorem. What about flat sections? A ∇ -flat section of M is the same thing as a monodromy invariant vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^m$, meaning one with $C^1 v = \cdots = C^r v = v$. This is equivalent to $\Gamma^1 v = \cdots = \Gamma^r v = 0$, and so v also represents a ∇ -flat section of M_τ .

The more demanding part of the proof is the uniqueness of L_τ . You will see that the argument is very similar to what we did for the theorem of Fuchs (in [Lecture 20](#)). The problem is local, and so we continue to assume that $X = \Delta^n$, with coordinates x_1, \dots, x_n , and D defined by $x_1 \cdots x_r = 0$. Suppose that L and L' are two lattices that both have the three properties stated in the theorem. Denote by ∇ and ∇' the logarithmic connections on L and L' . With respect to a trivialization e_1, \dots, e_m for L , we can write

$$\nabla e_i = \sum_{j,k} a_{i,j}^k \frac{dx_k}{x_k} \otimes e_j,$$

where $a_{i,j}^k$ are holomorphic functions on X ; we set

$$\omega = \sum_k A^k \frac{dx_k}{x_k},$$

which is an $m \times m$ -matrix of logarithmic one-forms. We use primes to denote the corresponding objects for (L', ∇') .

By assumption, $(L, \nabla)|_U \cong (L', \nabla')|_U$. After a short calculation, the isomorphism between the two bundles with connection translates into the existence of an invertible matrix $S \in \text{GL}_m(\mathcal{O}_U)$ such that

$$dS = S\omega - \omega' S.$$

The entries of S are holomorphic functions on $U = X \setminus D$, possibly with essential singularities along D . To prove the uniqueness statement, it is enough to show that $S \in \text{GL}_m(\mathcal{O}_X)$, meaning that the entries of S should extend to holomorphic functions on X . By Hartog's theorem, holomorphic functions extend over subsets

of codimension ≥ 2 , and so we only need to prove that the entries of S extend over the generic point of each irreducible component of D . To keep the notation simple, we will check this at points of

$$D_1 \setminus \bigcup_{k \neq 1} D_k,$$

meaning at points where $x_1 = 0$ but $x_2 \cdots x_r \neq 0$. Write

$$\begin{aligned}\omega &= A^1 \frac{dx_1}{x_1} + \sum_{k \geq 2} A^k \frac{dx_k}{x_k} \\ \omega' &= A'^1 \frac{dx_1}{x_1} + \sum_{k \geq 2} A'^k \frac{dx_k}{x_k}\end{aligned}$$

The relation $dS = S\omega - \omega'S$ gives

$$(25.7) \quad x_1 \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_1} = SA^1 - A'^1 S,$$

and after taking the matrix norm of both sides, we obtain

$$|x_1| \cdot \left\| \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_1} \right\| \leq C \cdot \|S\|,$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant that depends on the size of the (holomorphic) entries of the two matrices A^1 and A'^1 . As in [Lecture 20](#), we can now apply Grönwall's inequality to deduce that the entries of S have moderate growth near x_1 , hence are meromorphic functions on the set where $x_2 \cdots x_r \neq 0$.

It remains to show that the entries of S are actually holomorphic functions for $x_2 \cdots x_r \neq 0$. Consider the Laurent expansion

$$S = \sum_{j=p}^{\infty} S_j x_1^j,$$

where $S_p \neq 0$ is the leading term. After substituting this into (25.7), we get

$$\sum_{j=p}^{\infty} j S_j x_1^j = \sum_{j=p}^{\infty} (S_j A^1 - A'^1 S_j) x_1^j.$$

The coefficients at x_1^p equate to

$$p S_p = S_p \cdot A^1|_{x_1=0} - A'^1|_{x_1=0} \cdot S_p = S_p \cdot \text{Res}_{D_1}^L(\nabla) - \text{Res}_{D_1}^{L'}(\nabla') \cdot S_p.$$

Since both $\text{Res}_{D_1}^L(\nabla)$ and $\text{Res}_{D_1}^{L'}(\nabla')$ have their eigenvalues contained in the set $\tau(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z})$, this relation forces $p = 0$. Indeed, suppose that v is a nontrivial eigenvector for $\text{Res}_{D_1}^L(\nabla)$, with eigenvalue λ . Then

$$p(S_p v) = \lambda(S_p v) - \text{Res}_{D_1}^{L'}(\nabla')(S_p v),$$

and so $S_p v$ is an eigenvector for $\text{Res}_{D_1}^{L'}(\nabla')$, with eigenvalue $\lambda - p$. (Since S is invertible, we must have $S_p v \neq 0$). As the difference of the two eigenvalues is an integer, this can only happen for $p = 0$. The conclusion is that S extends holomorphically to all of X , proving the desired uniqueness.

Deligne's Riemann-Hilbert correspondence. We are now ready for Deligne's version of the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence. Let $\text{Loc}(X \setminus D)$ denote the category of locally constant sheaves (of finite-dimensional \mathbb{C} -vector spaces) on $X \setminus D$.

Theorem 25.8. *Let X be a complex manifold, and $D \subseteq X$ a divisor with simple normal crossing singularities. Then the restriction functor*

$$\text{Conn}(X, D)^{\text{reg}} \rightarrow \text{Loc}(X \setminus D)$$

is an equivalence of categories.

Here we associate to a meromorphic connection $(M, \nabla) \in \text{Conn}(X, D)$ the locally constant sheaf of ∇ -flat sections of $M|_U$, where $U = X \setminus D$. The proof is very easy at this point. First, every locally constant sheaf on $X \setminus D$ is the sheaf of ∇ -flat sections of some $(M, \nabla) \in \text{Conn}(U)$. By [Theorem 25.6](#), there is an extension of (M, ∇) to a regular meromorphic connection on (X, D) : for any choice of τ , the pair (M_τ, ∇) will do. This shows that the restriction functor is essentially surjective.

It remains to prove that it is also fully faithful. The functor of ∇ -flat sections gives an equivalence of categories between $\text{Conn}(U)$ and $\text{Loc}(U)$, and so it suffices to prove that $\text{Conn}(X, D)^{\text{reg}} \rightarrow \text{Conn}(U)$ is fully faithful. Let (M, ∇) and (N, ∇) be meromorphic connections, and set $H = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X(*D)}(M, N)$; recall that (H, ∇) is again a meromorphic connection. As we saw earlier, we have an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Conn}(X, D)}((M, \nabla), (N, \nabla)) \cong \Gamma(X, H)^\nabla$$

between the set of morphisms in the category $\text{Conn}(X, D)$ and the set of ∇ -flat sections of H . Similarly,

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Conn}(U)}((M, \nabla)|_U, (N, \nabla)|_U) \cong \Gamma(U, H)^\nabla,$$

and so the problem reduces to showing that

$$\Gamma(X, H)^\nabla \rightarrow \Gamma(U, H)^\nabla$$

is an isomorphism.

Lemma 25.9. *Let $(M, \nabla) \in \text{Conn}(X, D)$ be a regular meromorphic connection. Then the restriction morphism*

$$\Gamma(X, M)^\nabla \rightarrow \Gamma(U, M)^\nabla$$

is an isomorphism, where $U = X \setminus D$.

Proof. Since (M, ∇) is regular, there is a lattice L with $M \cong \mathcal{O}_X(*D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} L$, such that ∇ has logarithmic poles. Pick any section $\tau: \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, for example with $\text{Re } \tau \in [0, 1)$. By [Theorem 25.6](#), there exists L_τ with $(L, \nabla)|_U \cong (L_\tau, \nabla)|_U$. Arguing as in the proof of [Theorem 25.6](#), we find that the isomorphism is locally given by a matrix with meromorphic entries, and hence that (M, ∇) is isomorphic to (M_τ, ∇) as a meromorphic connection. Now the assertion about flat sections follows from the last sentence of [Theorem 25.6](#). \square

Deligne's Riemann-Hilbert correspondence again leads to an interesting algebraicity result. Suppose that X is a nonsingular proper variety. Then every locally constant sheaf on $X \setminus D$ comes from a meromorphic connection on (X, D) , and hence (by a version of Serre's GAGA theorem) from an algebraic object. Since we have resolution of singularities, we can write every nonsingular algebraic variety in the form $X \setminus D$. Thus every locally constant sheaf on a nonsingular algebraic variety comes from an algebraic vector bundle with integrable connection.

Exercises.

Exercise 25.1. Let (M, ∇) and (N, ∇) be meromorphic connections. Check that $(\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X(*D)}(M, N), \nabla)$ is a meromorphic connection, and that $\varphi: (M, \nabla) \rightarrow (N, \nabla)$ is a morphism of meromorphic connections if and only if, when viewed as a global section of $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X(*D)}(M, N)$, it satisfies $\nabla\varphi = 0$.

Exercise 25.2. Let $C \in \mathrm{GL}_m(\mathbb{C})$. Show that there is a unique $\Gamma \in \mathrm{Mat}_{m \times m}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $e^{2\pi i \Gamma} = C$ and such that the eigenvalues of Γ lie in the set $\tau(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z})$.