

## LECTURE 14: MARCH 27

**Kashiwara's equivalence.** Let us start by giving the proof of Kashiwara's equivalence from last time. Here is the statement again.

**Theorem** (Kashiwara's equivalence). *Let  $i: Y \hookrightarrow X$  be a closed embedding between nonsingular algebraic varieties. The functor  $i_+$  gives an equivalence between the category of coherent right  $\mathcal{D}_Y$ -modules and the category of coherent right  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -modules with support contained in  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that if  $\mathcal{M}$  is a coherent right  $\mathcal{D}_Y$ -module, we defined

$$i_+\mathcal{M} = i_*(\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_Y} \mathcal{D}_{Y \rightarrow X}),$$

where the transfer module  $\mathcal{D}_{Y \rightarrow X} = \mathcal{O}_Y \otimes_{i^{-1}\mathcal{O}_X} i^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X$  is a  $(\mathcal{D}_Y, i^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X)$ -bimodule. The first step is to construct an inverse for the functor  $i_+$ . We have seen that  $i_+\mathcal{M}$  always contains a copy of the  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $i_*\mathcal{M}$ , and from the local description, it is clear that  $i_*\mathcal{M}$  is exactly the subsheaf of  $i_+\mathcal{M}$  that is annihilated by the ideal sheaf  $\mathcal{I}_Y \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X$ . Thus the inverse functor should take a coherent right  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{N}$  to the subsheaf of sections that are annihilated by  $\mathcal{I}_Y$ . An efficient way to do this is as follows. Given a coherent right  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{N}$ , we define

$$i^\#\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{H}om_{i^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{D}_{Y \rightarrow X}, i^{-1}\mathcal{N}).$$

Here we use the right  $i^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X$ -module structure on the transfer module for  $\mathcal{H}om_{i^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X}$ . The left  $\mathcal{D}_Y$ -module on  $\mathcal{D}_{Y \rightarrow X}$  then induces a right  $\mathcal{D}_Y$ -module structure on  $i^\#\mathcal{N}$ . We can rewrite the above definition as

$$i^\#\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{H}om_{i^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{O}_Y \otimes_{i^{-1}\mathcal{O}_X} i^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X, i^{-1}\mathcal{N}) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{i^{-1}\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_Y, i^{-1}\mathcal{N}),$$

using the adjunction between  $\mathcal{H}om$  and the tensor product. From the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow i^{-1}\mathcal{I}_Y \rightarrow i^{-1}\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow i^\#\mathcal{N} \rightarrow i^{-1}\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{i^{-1}\mathcal{O}_X}(i^{-1}\mathcal{I}_Y, i^{-1}\mathcal{N})$$

and so  $i^\#\mathcal{N}$  is exactly the subsheaf of  $i^{-1}\mathcal{N}$  annihilated by  $i^{-1}\mathcal{I}_Y$ . I will leave it as an exercise to check that this isomorphism is compatible with the natural  $\mathcal{D}_Y$ -module structure on both sides.

Now the claim is that the natural morphism  $i^\#i_+\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is an isomorphism for every coherent right  $\mathcal{D}_Y$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$ , and that the natural morphism  $\mathcal{N} \rightarrow i_+i^\#\mathcal{N}$  is an isomorphism for every coherent right  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{N}$  such that  $\text{Supp } \mathcal{N} \subseteq Y$ . This can be checked locally, and so we may assume without loss of generality that  $X = \text{Spec } A$  is affine, with coordinates  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$ , and that the closed embedding is defined by the ideal  $I = (x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n) \subseteq A$ . If we set  $B = A/I$ , we then have  $Y = \text{Spec } B$ . In this setting, the pushforward of a right  $D(B)$ -module  $M$  is isomorphic to  $M \otimes_k k[\partial_{r+1}, \dots, \partial_n]$ , and it is easy to see from this description that the submodule annihilated by the ideal  $I$  is exactly  $M \otimes 1 \cong M$ . This proves the first isomorphism.

The proof of the second isomorphism is more interesting. Suppose that  $N$  is a right  $D(A)$ -module with  $\text{Supp } N$  contained in the closed subscheme  $V(I)$ . This means that every  $s \in N$  is annihilated by a sufficiently large power of  $I$ . Our goal is to prove that  $N \cong N_0 \otimes_k k[\partial_{r+1}, \dots, \partial_n]$ , where  $N_0 = \{s \in N \mid sI = 0\}$ . For this, we consider the effect of the operators

$$T_j = x_j \partial_j$$

on the module  $N$ . The point is that

$$T_j \cdot \partial_{r+1}^{e_{r+1}} \cdots \partial_n^{e_n} = \partial_{r+1}^{e_{r+1}} \cdots \partial_n^{e_n} \cdot (T_j - e_j),$$

and since  $T_j$  acts trivially on the submodule  $N_0$ , we have

$$s \otimes \partial_{r+1}^{e_{r+1}} \cdots \partial_n^{e_n} \cdot (T_j - e_j) = 0$$

for every  $s \in N_0$ . This means that we can read off the exponents of each monomial from the eigenvalues of the operators  $T_{r+1}, \dots, T_n$ .

Now let us make this precise. The operators  $T_{r+1}, \dots, T_n$  commute, and a short calculation shows that

$$T_j(T_j - 1) \cdots (T_j - e) = x_j^{e+1} \partial_j^{e+1}$$

for every  $e \geq 0$ . For any  $s \in N$ , we have  $s x_j^{e+1} = 0$  for  $e \gg 0$ , and therefore

$$s T_j(T_j - 1) \cdots (T_j - e) = s x_j^{e+1} \partial_j^{e+1} = 0.$$

This means that  $s$  can be written as a sum of eigenvectors of  $T_j$  with eigenvalues in  $\mathbb{N}$ . Since  $T_{r+1}, \dots, T_n$  commute, we therefore obtain a decomposition

$$N = \bigoplus_{e_{r+1}, \dots, e_n \in \mathbb{N}} N_{e_{r+1}, \dots, e_n}$$

into simultaneous eigenspaces, where  $T_j$  acts on  $N_{e_{r+1}, \dots, e_n}$  as multiplication by  $e_j$ . Now the claim is that  $N_{0, \dots, 0} = N_0$ , and that this decomposition gives us an isomorphism  $N \cong N_0 \otimes_k k[\partial_{r+1}, \dots, \partial_n]$  between  $N$  and the pushforward of  $N_0$ .

To simplify the notation, let me assume that  $r = n - 1$ , meaning that  $I = (x_n)$  is principal. Then the eigenspace decomposition becomes

$$N = \bigoplus_{e \in \mathbb{N}} N_e,$$

where the operator  $T_n = x_n \partial_n$  acts on  $N_e$  as multiplication by  $e$ . Since  $T_n$  commutes with  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_{n-1}$ , each  $N_e$  is a  $D(B)$ -module. Suppose that we have  $s \in N_e$ . Then we get  $s \partial_n \in N_{e+1}$ , because

$$s \partial_n T_n = s (\partial_n x_n) \partial_n = s (x_n \partial_n + 1) \partial_n = s \partial_n (e + 1);$$

likewise, we get  $s x_n \in N_{e-1}$ , because

$$s x_n T_n = s x_n (x_n \partial_n) = s x_n (\partial_n x_n - 1) = s x_n e - s x_n = s x_n (e - 1).$$

Since  $N_e$  is trivial for  $e \leq -1$ , we conclude that  $N_0 = \{s \in N \mid s x_n = 0\}$ ; moreover, we see that for  $e \geq 0$ , the morphism

$$N_0 \rightarrow N_e, \quad s \mapsto s \partial_n^e,$$

is an isomorphism of  $D(B)$ -modules. It is now easy to check that

$$N_0 \otimes_k k[\partial_n] \rightarrow N, \quad \sum_{e \in \mathbb{N}} s_e \otimes \partial_n^e \mapsto \sum_{e \in \mathbb{N}} s_e \partial_n^e,$$

is an isomorphism of  $D(A)$ -modules. This proves the second isomorphism.  $\square$

*Example 14.1.* Kashiwara's equivalence implies that  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules, unlike  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules, never have nontrivial nilpotents. For example, the  $A_1$ -module  $A_1/x^3 A_1$  is isomorphic to three copies of  $A_1/x A_1$ .

Kashiwara's equivalence suggests the following definition of the category of algebraic  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules on a singular algebraic variety. Suppose that  $X$  is a nonsingular algebraic variety, and  $Y \subseteq X$  any closed subvariety. Then an algebraic  $\mathcal{D}_Y$ -module is defined to be an algebraic  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module whose support is contained in  $Y$ . One can use Kashiwara's equivalence to show that the resulting category is, up to equivalence, independent of the choice of nonsingular ambient variety  $X$ .

**Pulling back.** Suppose that  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism between two nonsingular algebraic varieties. It is not hard to construct a pullback functor from algebraic  $\mathcal{D}_Y$ -modules to algebraic  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -modules. Recall that we have a natural morphism

$$\delta_f: \mathcal{T}_X \rightarrow f^* \mathcal{T}_Y = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{f^{-1} \mathcal{O}_Y} f^{-1} \mathcal{T}_Y,$$

dual to the pullback morphism  $f^* \Omega_{Y/k}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{X/k}^1$  on Kähler differentials. Now if  $\mathcal{M}$  is any left  $\mathcal{D}_Y$ -module, then this morphism gives

$$f^* \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{f^{-1} \mathcal{O}_Y} f^{-1} \mathcal{M}$$

the structure of a left  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module. The formula is the same as in the case of the transfer module: one has

$$\theta \cdot (g \otimes u) = \theta(g) \otimes u + g \cdot \delta_f(\theta) \cdot (1 \otimes u),$$

where  $\theta \in \mathcal{T}_X$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{O}_X$ , and  $u \in f^{-1} \mathcal{M}$  are local sections. We can say this more compactly by noting that

$$f^* \mathcal{M} \cong (\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{f^{-1} \mathcal{O}_Y} f^{-1} \mathcal{D}_Y) \otimes_{f^{-1} \mathcal{D}_Y} f^{-1} \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_{X \rightarrow Y} \otimes_{f^{-1} \mathcal{D}_Y} f^{-1} \mathcal{M}.$$

The transfer module  $\mathcal{D}_{X \rightarrow Y}$  is a  $(\mathcal{D}_X, f^{-1} \mathcal{D}_Y)$ -bimodule, and  $f^* \mathcal{M}$  becomes a left  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module through the left  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{D}_{X \rightarrow Y}$ . Since the pullback of a quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module is a quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module, it is clear that  $f^* \mathcal{M}$  is again an algebraic  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module.

Now the functor  $f^{-1}$  is exact, but tensor product is only right-exact, and so makes sense to consider also the right derived functors.

**Definition 14.2.** We define the *inverse image* of a left  $\mathcal{D}_Y$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  by the formula  $f^* \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_{X \rightarrow Y} \otimes_{f^{-1} \mathcal{D}_Y} f^{-1} \mathcal{M}$ . For  $j \geq 0$ , we define  $L^{-j} f^* \mathcal{M}$  as the  $j$ -th right derived functor of  $f^*$ .

As usual,  $L^{-j} f^* \mathcal{M}$  is computed by choosing a resolution of  $\mathcal{M}$  by  $\mathcal{D}_Y$ -modules that are locally free (or flat) over  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ ; alternatively, we can choose a resolution of  $\mathcal{D}_{X \rightarrow Y}$ .

*Example 14.3.* Suppose that  $\mathcal{E}$  is a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module with an integrable connection  $\nabla: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Omega_{Y/k}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{E}$ , viewed as a left  $\mathcal{D}_Y$ -module. The inverse image is then simply the usual pullback  $f^* \mathcal{E}$ , together with the integrable connection

$$f^* \nabla: f^* \mathcal{E} \rightarrow f^* \Omega_{Y/k}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} f^* \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Omega_{X/k}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} f^* \mathcal{E},$$

viewed as a left  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module.

*Example 14.4.* Consider the left  $A_1$ -module  $M = A_1/A_1x$  and its pullback to the origin in  $\mathbb{A}_k^1$ . The corresponding morphism of  $k$ -algebras is  $k[x] \rightarrow k$ ; using the free resolution

$$k[x] \xrightarrow{x} k[x]$$

for  $k$ , the derived functors of the pullback are computed by the complex

$$A_1/A_1x \xrightarrow{x} A_1/A_1x,$$

where the map is  $P \mapsto xP$ . The kernel is isomorphic to  $k$ , generated by the image of  $1 \in A_1$ ; the cokernel is trivial, because  $1 = -x\partial$  modulo  $A_1x$ . Thus  $L^0 i^* M = 0$  and  $L^{-1} i^* M = k$ .

In [Lecture 12](#), I said that the definition of the pushforward functor (in the case of a closed embedding) was motivated by the pushforward of distributions. So why do I not talk about pulling back functions before introducing the pullback functor? The reason is that pulling back  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules does not correspond to pulling back functions; as we will see next week, the actual meaning is much more interesting. For now, let me just point out one difference between the two functors: pulling back does not necessarily preserve coherence.

*Example 14.5.* Consider the embedding  $\text{Spec } k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1$  of the origin, corresponding to the morphism of  $k$ -algebras  $k[x] \rightarrow k$ . The pullback of  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{A}_k^1}$  is the  $k$ -module  $k \otimes_{k[x]} A_1(k) = A_1(k)/xA_1(k)$ . This is infinite-dimensional, because the elements  $1, \partial, \partial^2, \dots$  are all linearly independent, and in particular, it is not coherent over  $k$ .

In general, the pullback of a  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module of the form  $\mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X(P_1, \dots, P_m)$  is not coherent, and so we cannot interpret it as pulling back functions and looking at the differential equations they satisfy.

The following lemma is obvious from the definition.

**Lemma 14.6.** *If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g: Y \rightarrow Z$  are morphisms between nonsingular algebraic varieties, then one has a natural isomorphism of functors  $(g \circ f)^* = f^*g^*$ .*

We can factor any morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  through its graph as

$$X \xrightarrow{i_f} X \times Y \xrightarrow{p_2} Y$$

as a closed embedding  $i_f$  followed by a smooth morphism  $p_2$  (actually, a projection in a product). Because of the lemma, this means that it suffices to understand the pullback functor in the case of closed embeddings and smooth morphisms.

**Non-characteristic inverse image.** I am now going to describe a condition under which  $f^*$  preserves coherence. This will also help us understand what the pullback functor is doing in terms of differential equations. To do this, we revisit a very pretty classical result about differential equations, called the *Cauchy-Kovalevskaya theorem*. Let's begin with the case of ordinary differential equations.

**Theorem 14.7** (Cauchy-Kovalevskaya). *Consider the initial value problem*

$$\frac{du}{dt} = F(u), \quad u(0) = 0,$$

*for a real function  $u$ . If  $F: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is real-analytic near 0, then the solution  $u$  is also real-analytic near 0.*

*Proof.* Although it is not directly connected with  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules, let me show you the proof, because it is very beautiful. The proof is basically Cauchy's original proof. How do we show that  $u$  is real-analytic? We have to prove that the Taylor series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u^{(n)}(0) \frac{t^n}{n!}$$

converges in a neighborhood of 0, and for that, we need to compute the values of all the derivatives  $u^{(n)}(0)$ . The differential equation gives

$$u' = F(u)$$

$$u'' = F'(u)u' = F'(u)F(u)$$

$$u''' = F''(u)u'F(u) + (F'(u))^2u' = F''(u)(F(u))^2 + (F'(u))^2F(u).$$

and so on. In principle, we can compute  $u^{(n)}(0)$  for every  $n \geq 0$ , but the formulas get very complicated, and so trying to prove the convergence of the series looks pretty hopeless. Still, what we get is that

$$u^{(n)} = P_n(F(u), F'(u), \dots, F^{(n-1)}(u)),$$

where  $P_n$  is a polynomial with nonnegative integer coefficients. These polynomials are universal, in the sense that they do not depend on the given function  $F$ . For example,  $P_2(x, y) = yx$  and  $P_3(x, y, z) = zx^2 + y^2x$ . Because  $P_n$  has nonnegative coefficients, this gives us an upper bound

$$|u^{(n)}(0)| \leq P_n(|F(0)|, |F'(0)|, \dots, |F^{(n-1)}(0)|)$$

on the derivatives of  $u$ , using the initial condition  $u(0) = 0$ . Now Cauchy makes the following brilliant observation. Suppose that we have another function  $G$  with the property that  $|F^{(n)}(0)| \leq G^{(n)}(0)$  for every  $n \geq 0$ . Then

$$|u^{(n)}(0)| \leq P_n(G(0), G'(0), \dots, G^{(n-1)}(0)) = v^{(n)}(0),$$

where  $v$  is the solution to the initial value problem

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = G(v), \quad v(0) = 0.$$

The reason is again that  $P_n$  has nonnegative coefficients, and that the same polynomial  $P_n$  works for both  $F$  and  $G$ . Such a function  $G$  is called a “majorant”, and the proof is known as the *method of majorants*. Suppose that we manage to find  $G$  in such a way that the function  $v$  is real-analytic. Then the Taylor series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v^{(n)}(0) \frac{t^n}{n!}$$

has a positive radius of convergence, and since  $|u^{(n)}(0)| \leq v^{(n)}(0)$  for every  $n \geq 0$ , the same is true for the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |u^{(n)}(0)| \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

This is sufficient to conclude that  $u$  is real-analytic in a neighborhood of 0.

It remains to construct a suitable majorant  $G$ . By assumption,  $F$  is real-analytic near 0, and so its Taylor series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F^{(n)}(0) \frac{t^n}{n!}$$

has a positive radius of convergence. By comparing this series with a geometric series, we find that there are constants  $C > 0$  and  $r > 0$  such that  $|F^{(n)}(0)| \leq Cn!/r^n$  for every  $n \geq 0$ . We can then take

$$G(t) = C \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{t}{r}\right)^n = \frac{Cr}{r-t},$$

because  $G^{(n)}(0) = Cn!/r^n \geq |F^{(n)}(0)|$  by construction. The solution of the corresponding initial value problem

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = \frac{Cr}{r-v}, \quad v(0) = 0,$$

is easily found using separation of variables; the result is that  $v = r - r\sqrt{1 - 2Ct/r}$ . This is evidently real-analytic for  $|t| < r/2C$ , and so we are done.  $\square$

### Exercises.

*Exercise 14.1.* Let  $X = \text{Spec } A$  and  $Y = \text{Spec } B$ , where  $B = A/I$  for an ideal  $I \subseteq A$  and both  $A$  and  $B$  are nonsingular. Let  $N$  be a right  $D(A)$ -module.

- (a) Show that  $N_0 = \{s \in N \mid sI = 0\}$  is a  $B$ -module, and that the map

$$N_0 \otimes_B T_B \rightarrow N_0, \quad s \otimes \theta \mapsto s \cdot \delta(\theta),$$

makes  $N_0$  into a right  $D(B)$ -module, where  $\delta: \text{Der}_k(B) \rightarrow B \otimes_A \text{Der}_k(A)$  is the induced morphism between derivations.

- (b) Check that the isomorphism of  $B$ -modules

$$\text{Hom}_{D(A)}(B \otimes_A D(A), N) \cong \text{Hom}_A(B, N) \cong N_0$$

is actually an isomorphism of right  $D(B)$ -modules.

*Exercise 14.2.* If  $T = x\partial$ , prove the identities

$$T\partial^e = \partial^e(T - e) \quad \text{and} \quad T(T - 1)\cdots(T - e) = x^{e+1}\partial^{e+1}$$

for every  $e \geq 0$ .