# Root systems and semisimple complex Lie algebras

MAT 552

April 29, 2020

## Brief review: the classification

Last time, we proved the following theorem.

#### Theorem

The Dynkin diagram of an irreducible root system is always one of the following diagrams:









# Brief review: Dynkin diagrams

Let *R* be an irreducible root system, with basis  $\Pi \subseteq R$ .

The Dynkin diagram of R is constructed as follows:

- It has one vertex for every simple root  $\alpha \in \Pi$ .
- Every pair of vertices  $\alpha, \beta \in \Pi$  is connected by

$$n_{lpha,eta} \cdot n_{eta,lpha} = 4\cos^2 heta \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$$

distinct edges.

 Each multiple edge has an arrow that points towards the shorter root.

We saw last time that the Dynkin diagram determines the root system (up to isomorphism).

## Brief review: examples in rank 2

The three irreducible root systems of rank 2:



## The classical Lie algebras

The Dynkin diagrams of type  $A_n$ ,  $B_n$ ,  $C_n$ , and  $D_n$  correspond to the classical Lie algebras:

- $A_n$  is the root system of  $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1,\mathbb{C})$
- $B_n$  is the root system of  $\mathfrak{so}(2n+1,\mathbb{C})$
- $C_n$  is the root system of  $\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{C})$
- $D_n$  is the root system of  $\mathfrak{so}(2n,\mathbb{C})$

Some of the diagrams for small n are the same; this reflects "accidental" isomorphisms among some of the Lie algebras.

## Example

The Dynkin diagrams of type  $A_3$ ,  $D_3$  are the same. The reason is the isomorphism of Lie algebras (both 15-dimensional)

 $\mathfrak{sl}(4,\mathbb{C})\cong\mathfrak{so}(6,\mathbb{C}).$ 

# Example: $\mathfrak{sl}(4,\mathbb{C})\cong\mathfrak{so}(6,\mathbb{C})$

Recall the definitions of the two Lie algebras:

- $\mathfrak{sl}(4,\mathbb{C})$  are  $4 \times 4$ -matrices x with tr x = 0.
- $\mathfrak{so}(6,\mathbb{C})$  are  $6 \times 6$ -matrices y with  $y + y^t = 0$ .

Both are clearly 15-dimensional.

The isomorphism comes from the 6-dimensional  $V = \bigwedge^2 \mathbb{C}^4$ .

• The isomorphism det:  $\wedge^4 \mathbb{C}^4 \to \mathbb{C}$  defines a pairing

$$V \otimes V \to \mathbb{C}, \quad v \otimes w \mapsto \det(v \wedge w).$$

- This is symmetric and nondegenerate.
- The action by  $\mathfrak{sl}(4,\mathbb{C})$  defines a morphism of Lie algebras

$$\mathfrak{sl}(4,\mathbb{C}) \to \mathfrak{so}(6,\mathbb{C}).$$

This is injective, hence an isomorphism by dimension.

Let us compute the root system in the example  $\mathfrak{so}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ . This is the Lie algebra of  $2n \times 2n$ -matrices y with  $y^t + y = 0$ .

The subalgebra of block-diagonal matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} H_1 & & \\ & H_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & & H_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & h_i \\ -h_i & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

is a Cartan subalgebra (of dimension n).

An alternative description of  $\mathfrak{so}(2n,\mathbb{C})$  is

$$\mathfrak{g} = \Big\{ x \in \mathfrak{gl}(2n,\mathbb{C}) \ \Big| \ Kx + x^t K = 0 \Big\},$$

where K is the block matrix of size  $2n \times 2n$  given by

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The isomorphism takes  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  to the matrix  $Kx \in \mathfrak{so}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ (which is skew-symmetric since  $(Kx)^t = x^t K = -Kx$ ).

In this description, the Cartan subalgebra is

$$\mathfrak{h} = \Big\{ \operatorname{diag}(h_1, \ldots, h_n, -h_1, \ldots, -h_n) \ \Big| \ h_1, \ldots, h_n \in \mathbb{C} \Big\}.$$

The diagonal entries give us linear functionals

$$e_i \colon \mathfrak{h} \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \operatorname{diag}(h_1, \ldots, h_n, -h_1, \ldots, h_n) \mapsto h_i.$$

In this basis, the Killing form is a multiple of the bilinear form

$$(e_i, e_j) = \delta_{i,j}.$$

Recall the following matrices:

$$E_{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ \uparrow & & \\ j-\text{th column} \end{pmatrix}^{i-\text{th row}}$$

The root subspaces are easily computed:

$$\mathfrak{g}_{e_i-e_j} = \mathbb{C}(E_{i,j} - E_{j+n,i+n})$$
  

$$\mathfrak{g}_{e_i+e_j} = \mathbb{C}(E_{i,j+n} - E_{j,i+n})$$
  

$$\mathfrak{g}_{-e_i-e_j} = \mathbb{C}(E_{i+n,j} - E_{j+n,i})$$

For instance, if  $h = diag(h_1, \ldots, h_n, -h_1, \ldots, h_n)$ , then

$$[h, E_{i,j} - E_{j+n,i+n}] = (h_i - h_j)E_{i,j} - (-h_j + h_i)E_{j+n,i+n}$$
  
=  $(h_i - h_j)(E_{i,j} - E_{j+n,i+n})$   
=  $(e_i(h) - e_j(h)) \cdot (E_{i,j} - E_{j+n,i+n}).$ 

The root system is therefore

$$R = \Big\{ \pm e_i \pm e_j \mid i \neq j \Big\},\,$$

with signs chosen independently. There are 2n(n-1) roots.

A natural choice of polarization is

$$R_+ = \Big\{ e_i \pm e_j \Big| i < j \Big\}.$$

The set of simple roots is  $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$ :

$$\alpha_1 = e_1 - e_2$$

$$\alpha_2 = e_2 - e_3$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\alpha_{n-1} = e_{n-1} - e_n$$

$$\alpha_n = e_{n-1} + e_n$$

This gives us the picture of the Dynkin diagram:



Indeed, for  $1 \le i < j \le n - 1$ , we have

$$(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = (e_i - e_{i+1}, e_j - e_{j+1}) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } j = i+1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The branching at  $\alpha_{n-2}$  comes from the fact that

$$(\alpha_{n-2}, \alpha_n) = (e_{n-2} - e_{n-1}, e_{n-1} + e_n) = -1$$
  
 $(\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n) = (e_{n-1} - e_n, e_{n-1} + e_n) = 0.$ 

## Brief review: root decomposition

Goal: Classify (semi-)simple complex Lie algebras

Recall how we got from Lie algebras to Dynkin diagrams:

- Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a semisimple complex Lie algebra.
- Choose a Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ .
- It determines the root decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{lpha \in R} \mathfrak{g}_{lpha}$$

The subspaces

$$\mathfrak{g}_{lpha} = \left\{ x \in \mathfrak{g} \ \Big| \ [h, x] = lpha(h) x ext{ for all } h \in \mathfrak{h} 
ight\}$$

are the root root subspaces (with  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h}$ ).

• The set  $R \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^*$  is the root system of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

## Brief review: root decomposition

We have classified the possible root systems. The question is to what extent the root system R determines the Lie algebra g.

Recall the following facts about the root subspaces:

- 1. For each root  $\alpha \in R$ , one has dim  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} = 1$ .
- 2. If  $\alpha, \beta \in R$ , then  $[\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{g}_{\beta}] = \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}$ .
- 3. For  $\alpha \in R$ , there is a distinguished element  $h_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{h}$  with

4. For  $\alpha \in R$ , the subspace

$$\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})_lpha=\mathfrak{g}_lpha\oplus\mathbb{C}h_lpha\oplus\mathfrak{g}_{-lpha}$$

is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ .



Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a semisimple complex Lie algebra. Key data:

- ► a non-degenerate invariant symmetric bilinear form (-, -), for example the Killing form
- a Cartan subalgebra h
- the root system  $R \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^*$
- a polarization  $R = R_+ \sqcup R_-$
- the set of simple roots  $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$

#### Theorem 1

As a vector space,  $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{n}_-\oplus\mathfrak{h}\oplus\mathfrak{n}_+$ , and the two subspaces

$$\mathfrak{n}_{\pm} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R_{\pm}} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$$

are subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

#### Theorem 1

As a vector space,  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$ , and the two subspaces

$$\mathfrak{n}_{\pm} = \bigoplus_{lpha \in \mathcal{R}_{\pm}} \mathfrak{g}_{lpha}$$

are subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

#### Proof:

- The first part is just the root decomposition.
- We have  $[\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{g}_{\beta}] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}$ .
- If  $\alpha \in R_+$  and  $\beta \in R_+$ , then  $\alpha + \beta \in R_+$ .
- Therefore  $n_+$  is a subalgebra.
- ▶ Similarly for n\_.

For each simple root  $\alpha_i \in \Pi$ , set  $h_i = h_{\alpha_i} \in \mathfrak{h}$ . Then

$$\mathfrak{g}_{-lpha_i}\oplus\mathbb{C}h_i\oplus\mathfrak{g}_{lpha_i}$$

is a subalgebra isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ . Choosing  $e_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i}$  and  $f_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}$  so that  $(e_i, f_i) = 2/(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)$ , we get the relations

$$[h_i, e_i] = 2e_i, \quad [h_i, f_i] = -2f_i, \quad [e_i, f_i] = h_i.$$

#### Theorem 2

As a Lie algebra,  $\mathfrak{g}$  is generated by  $\{e_i, f_i, h_i\}_{i=1,...,r}$ . In fact:

- 1. The elements  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  generate  $\mathfrak{n}_+$ .
- 2. The elements  $f_1, \ldots, f_n$  generate  $\mathfrak{n}_-$ .
- 3. The elements  $h_1, \ldots, h_n$  are a basis of  $\mathfrak{h}$ .

#### Theorem 2

As a Lie algebra,  $\mathfrak{g}$  is generated by  $\{e_i, f_i, h_i\}_{i=1,...,r}$ . In fact:

- 1. The elements  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  generate  $\mathfrak{n}_+$ .
- 2. The elements  $f_1, \ldots, f_n$  generate  $\mathfrak{n}_-$ .
- 3. The elements  $h_1, \ldots, h_n$  are a basis of  $\mathfrak{h}$ .

#### Proof:

- (3) holds because  $\Pi$  is a basis for  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ .
- Since dim  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} = 1$ , we have  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i} = \mathbb{C} e_i$ .
- ▶ For any two roots  $\alpha, \beta \in R$ , we have  $[\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{g}_{\beta}] = \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}$ .
- Now (1) follows, because every positive root can be written as a finite sum of simple roots.
- A similar argument proves (2).

For two simple roots  $\alpha_i, \alpha_j \in \Pi$ , we have the integer

$$a_{i,j} = n_{\alpha_j,\alpha_i} = \alpha_j(h_i) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We have  $a_{i,i} = 2$ , and  $a_{i,j} \in \{0, -1, -2, -3\}$  if  $i \neq j$ .

#### Theorem 3

The elements  $\{e_i, f_i, h_i\}_{i=1,...,n}$  satisfy the following relations: 1.  $[h_i, h_j] = 0$ 2.  $[h_i, e_j] = a_{i,j}e_j$  and  $[h_i, f_j] = -a_{i,j}f_j$ 3.  $[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{i,j}h_i$ 4.  $(ad e_i)^{1-a_{i,j}}e_i = 0$  and  $(ad f_i)^{1-a_{i,j}}f_i = 0$ , for  $i \neq j$ 

The relations in the theorem are known as the Serre relations.

#### Theorem 3

The elements  $\{e_i, f_i, h_i\}_{i=1,...,n}$  satisfy the following relations: 1.  $[h_i, h_j] = 0$ 2.  $[h_i, e_j] = a_{i,j}e_j$  and  $[h_i, f_j] = -a_{i,j}f_j$ 

#### Proof:

- (1) is clear: the Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  is commutative.
- ▶ Since  $e_j \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i}$ , we have

$$[h_i, e_j] = \alpha_j(h_i)e_j = a_{i,j}e_j.$$

• Similarly for  $f_j \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_j}$ , and so (2) holds.

### Theorem 3

The elements  $\{e_i, f_i, h_i\}_{i=1,...,n}$  satisfy the following relations: 3.  $[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{i,j}h_i$ 

#### Proof:

• (3) holds when i = j, because

$$[e_i, f_i] = (e_i, f_i)H_{\alpha_i} = \frac{2}{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}H_{\alpha_i} = h_{\alpha_i} = h_i.$$

- When  $i \neq j$ , we have  $[e_i, f_j] \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i \alpha_j}$ .
- But  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i-\alpha_j} = \{0\}$  because  $\alpha_i \alpha_j \notin R$ .
- ► Otherwise, either \(\alpha\_i \alpha\_j \in R\_+\) or \(\alpha\_j \alpha\_i \in R\_+\), and both contradict \(\alpha\_i\) and \(\alpha\_j\) being simple.
- So (3) holds in general.

### Theorem 3

The elements  $\{e_i, f_i, h_i\}_{i=1,...,n}$  satisfy the following relations: 4.  $(ad e_i)^{1-a_{i,j}}e_j = 0$  and  $(ad f_i)^{1-a_{i,j}}f_j = 0$ , for  $i \neq j$ 

Proof:

► To prove (4), consider the subspace

$$\bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_j+k\alpha_i}\subseteq\mathfrak{g}$$

as a representation of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})_{\alpha_i} = \langle e_i, f_i, h_i \rangle$ .

- ▶ By (3), ad  $e_i f_j = 0$ , so  $f_j$  is a highest-weight vector.
- By (2), ad  $h_i f_j = -a_{i,j}f_j$ , so  $f_j$  has weight  $w = -a_{i,j}$ .
- ► Thus (ad f<sub>i</sub>)<sup>1-a<sub>i,j</sub></sup> f<sub>j</sub> = 0, because -w 2 is not a weight in an irreducible representation of highest weight w.
- The other half is proved similarly.

In fact, one can prove the following theorem.

#### Theorem

Let *R* be an irreducible root system of rank *n* with polarization  $R = R_+ \sqcup R_-$  and simple roots  $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{g}(R)$  be the complex Lie algebra with 3n generators  $\{e_i, f_i, h_i\}_{i=1,...,n}$ , subject to the Serre relations: 1.  $[h_i, h_j] = 0$ 2.  $[h_i, e_j] = a_{i,j}e_j$  and  $[h_i, f_j] = -a_{i,j}f_j$ 3.  $[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{i,j}h_i$ 4.  $(\operatorname{ad} e_i)^{1-a_{i,j}}e_j = 0$  and  $(\operatorname{ad} f_i)^{1-a_{i,j}}f_j = 0$ , for  $i \neq j$ Then  $\mathfrak{g}(R)$  is a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra whose root system is the given root system R.

## Corollary

Simple finite-dimensional complex Lie algebras are classified by the Dynkin diagrams  $A_n$ ,  $B_n$ ,  $C_n$ ,  $D_n$ ,  $E_6$ ,  $E_7$ ,  $E_8$ ,  $F_4$ , and  $G_2$ .





Let us consider the example of  $G_2$ . The integers  $a_{i,j}$  are:

$$a_{1,1} = a_{2,2} = 2, \quad a_{1,2} = -1, \quad a_{2,1} = -3.$$

The corresponding Lie algebra has dimension 14. In

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_{-} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{+},$$

we have dim  $\mathfrak{h} = 2$  and dim  $\mathfrak{n}_{\pm} = 6$ .

The theorem tells us that  $n_+$  is generated (as a Lie algebra) by two elements  $e_1$ ,  $e_2$ , subject to the two Serre relations

$$(ad e_1)^2 e_2 = 0$$
 and  $(ad e_2)^4 e_1 = 0$ .

(The picture for  $n_{-}$  is similar.)



The picture of the root system shows how

$$\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})_{lpha_1} = \langle e_1, f_1, h_1 
angle \ \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})_{lpha_2} = \langle e_2, f_2, h_2 
angle$$

act on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The irreducible subrepresentations correspond to strings of roots.

The weight of the root subspace  $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$  with respect to ad  $h_2$  is

$$\alpha(h_2) = (c_1\alpha_1 + c_2\alpha_2)(h_2) = c_1\alpha_1(h_2) + c_2\alpha_2(h_2) = -3c_1 + 2c_2,$$
  
writing  $\alpha = c_1\alpha_1 + c_2\alpha_2.$ 

