

Convergence of formal equivalence of submanifolds

Jun-Muk Hwang

(a joint work with Jaehyun Hong)

Institute for Basic Science, Center for Complex Geometry

SRI in Algebraic Geometry, July, 2025

C^∞ Tubular Neighborhood Theorem

C^∞ Tubular Neighborhood Theorem

Theorem

Let $C \subset X$ and $\tilde{C} \subset \tilde{X}$ be compact C^∞ submanifolds in C^∞ manifolds.

C^∞ Tubular Neighborhood Theorem

Theorem

Let $C \subset X$ and $\tilde{C} \subset \tilde{X}$ be compact C^∞ submanifolds in C^∞ manifolds.

- (i) If there exists a C^∞ vector bundle isomorphism of normal bundles

$$N_{C/X} \xrightarrow{\varphi} N_{\tilde{C}/\tilde{X}},$$

then there exists a C^∞ -diffeomorphism of suitable neighborhoods

$$X \supset (C \supset O) \xrightarrow{\Phi} (\tilde{C} \subset \tilde{O}) \subset \tilde{X}.$$

C^∞ Tubular Neighborhood Theorem

Theorem

Let $C \subset X$ and $\tilde{C} \subset \tilde{X}$ be compact C^∞ submanifolds in C^∞ manifolds.

- (i) If there exists a C^∞ vector bundle isomorphism of normal bundles

$$N_{C/X} \xrightarrow{\varphi} N_{\tilde{C}/\tilde{X}},$$

then there exists a C^∞ -diffeomorphism of suitable neighborhoods

$$X \supset (C \supset O) \xrightarrow{\Phi} (\tilde{C} \subset \tilde{O}) \subset \tilde{X}.$$

C^∞ Tubular Neighborhood Theorem

Theorem

Let $C \subset X$ and $\tilde{C} \subset \tilde{X}$ be compact C^∞ submanifolds in C^∞ manifolds.

- (i) If there exists a C^∞ vector bundle isomorphism of normal bundles

$$N_{C/X} \xrightarrow{\varphi} N_{\tilde{C}/\tilde{X}},$$

then there exists a C^∞ -diffeomorphism of suitable neighborhoods

$$X \supset (C \supset O) \xrightarrow{\Phi} (\tilde{C} \subset \tilde{O}) \subset \tilde{X}.$$

- (ii) In fact, we can choose Φ such that φ is **induced by** $d\Phi : TO \simeq T\tilde{O}$.

Holomorphic Tubular Neighborhood Theorem ?

Holomorphic Tubular Neighborhood Theorem ?

Theorem (???)

Let $C \subset X$ and $\tilde{C} \subset \tilde{X}$ be compact *complex* submanifolds in *complex* manifolds.

Holomorphic Tubular Neighborhood Theorem ?

Theorem (???)

Let $C \subset X$ and $\tilde{C} \subset \tilde{X}$ be compact **complex** submanifolds in **complex** manifolds.

- If there exists a **holomorphic** vector bundle isomorphism of **holomorphic** normal bundles

$$N_{C/X} \xrightarrow{\cong} N_{\tilde{C}/\tilde{X}},$$

then there exists a **biholomorphic** diffeomorphism of suitable neighborhoods

$$X \supset (C \supset O) \xrightarrow{\Phi} (\tilde{C} \subset \tilde{O}) \subset \tilde{X}.$$

Holomorphic Tubular Neighborhood Theorem ?

Theorem (???)

Let $C \subset X$ and $\tilde{C} \subset \tilde{X}$ be compact **complex** submanifolds in **complex** manifolds.

- If there exists a **holomorphic** vector bundle isomorphism of **holomorphic** normal bundles

$$N_{C/X} \stackrel{\varphi}{\cong} N_{\tilde{C}/\tilde{X}},$$

then there exists a **biholomorphic** diffeomorphism of suitable neighborhoods

$$X \supset (C \supset O) \stackrel{\Phi}{\cong} (\tilde{C} \subset \tilde{O}) \subset \tilde{X}.$$

False: $N_{C/X} \cong N_{\tilde{C}/\tilde{X}}$ doesn't necessarily imply $TX|_C \cong T\tilde{X}|_{\tilde{C}}$.

Holomorphic Tubular Neighborhood Theorem ?

Theorem (???)

Let $C \subset X$ and $\tilde{C} \subset \tilde{X}$ be compact **complex** submanifolds in **complex** manifolds.

- If there exists a **holomorphic** vector bundle isomorphism of **holomorphic** normal bundles

$$N_{C/X} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\simeq} N_{\tilde{C}/\tilde{X}},$$

then there exists a **biholomorphic** diffeomorphism of suitable neighborhoods

$$X \supset (C \supset O) \stackrel{\Phi}{\simeq} (\tilde{C} \subset \tilde{O}) \subset \tilde{X}.$$

False: $N_{C/X} \simeq N_{\tilde{C}/\tilde{X}}$ doesn't necessarily imply $TX|_C \simeq T\tilde{X}|_{\tilde{C}}$.

What if we replace the condition to $TX|_C \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\simeq} T\tilde{X}|_{\tilde{C}}$?

Holomorphic Tubular Neighborhood Theorem ?

Theorem (???)

Let $C \subset X$ and $\tilde{C} \subset \tilde{X}$ be compact **complex** submanifolds in **complex** manifolds.

- If there exists a **holomorphic** vector bundle isomorphism of **holomorphic** normal bundles

$$N_{C/X} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\simeq} N_{\tilde{C}/\tilde{X}},$$

then there exists a **biholomorphic** diffeomorphism of suitable neighborhoods

$$X \supset (C \supset O) \stackrel{\Phi}{\simeq} (\tilde{C} \subset \tilde{O}) \subset \tilde{X}.$$

False: $N_{C/X} \simeq N_{\tilde{C}/\tilde{X}}$ doesn't necessarily imply $TX|_C \simeq T\tilde{X}|_{\tilde{C}}$.

What if we replace the condition to $TX|_C \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\simeq} T\tilde{X}|_{\tilde{C}}$? **Still false.**

Finite/formal neighborhoods

Finite/formal neighborhoods

Definition

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the ideal sheaf of a complex submanifold $C \subset X$.

Finite/formal neighborhoods

Definition

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the ideal sheaf of a complex submanifold $C \subset X$.

- ▶ The *ℓ -th infinitesimal neighborhood $(C/X)_\ell$* is the space C equipped with the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^{\ell+1}$.

Finite/formal neighborhoods

Definition

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the ideal sheaf of a complex submanifold $C \subset X$.

- ▶ The *ℓ -th infinitesimal neighborhood $(C/X)_\ell$* is the space C equipped with the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^{\ell+1}$.

Example: $(C/X)_1 \sim TX|_C$.

Finite/formal neighborhoods

Definition

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the ideal sheaf of a complex submanifold $C \subset X$.

- ▶ The *ℓ -th infinitesimal neighborhood $(C/X)_\ell$* is the space C equipped with the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^{\ell+1}$.

Example: $(C/X)_1 \sim TX|_C$.

- ▶ The *formal neighborhood* of C in X is the inverse limit $(C/X)_\infty := \lim_{\leftarrow} (C/X)_\ell$.

Finite/formal neighborhoods

Definition

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the ideal sheaf of a complex submanifold $C \subset X$.

- ▶ The *ℓ -th infinitesimal neighborhood* $(C/X)_\ell$ is the space C equipped with the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}^{\ell+1}$.

Example: $(C/X)_1 \sim TX|_C$.

- ▶ The *formal neighborhood* of C in X is the inverse limit $(C/X)_\infty := \lim_{\leftarrow} (C/X)_\ell$.

- ▶ For two submanifolds $C \subset X$ and $\tilde{C} \subset \tilde{X}$, a *formal isomorphism*

$$\varphi : (C/X)_\infty \rightarrow (\tilde{C}/\tilde{X})_\infty$$

means a compatible collection of isomorphisms

$$\{\varphi_\ell : (C/X)_\ell \rightarrow (\tilde{C}/\tilde{X})_\ell, \ell \geq 1\}.$$

Holomorphic Tubular Neighborhood Theorem ?

Holomorphic Tubular Neighborhood Theorem ?

Problem

Let $C \subset X$ and $\tilde{C} \subset \tilde{X}$ be compact *complex* submanifolds in *complex* manifolds.

Holomorphic Tubular Neighborhood Theorem ?

Problem

Let $C \subset X$ and $\tilde{C} \subset \tilde{X}$ be compact **complex** submanifolds in **complex** manifolds.

- (i) If there exists a **formal** isomorphism of **formal** neighborhoods

$$(C/X)_{\infty} \stackrel{\varphi}{\simeq} (\tilde{C}/\tilde{X})_{\infty},$$

does there exist a **biholomorphic** diffeomorphism of suitable neighborhoods

$$X \supset (C \supset O) \stackrel{\Phi}{\simeq} (\tilde{C} \subset \tilde{O}) \subset \tilde{X}?$$

Holomorphic Tubular Neighborhood Theorem ?

Problem

Let $C \subset X$ and $\tilde{C} \subset \tilde{X}$ be compact **complex** submanifolds in **complex** manifolds.

- (i) If there exists a **formal** isomorphism of **formal** neighborhoods

$$(C/X)_{\infty} \stackrel{\varphi}{\simeq} (\tilde{C}/\tilde{X})_{\infty},$$

does there exist a **biholomorphic** diffeomorphism of suitable neighborhoods

$$X \supset (C \supset O) \stackrel{\Phi}{\simeq} (\tilde{C} \subset \tilde{O}) \subset \tilde{X}?$$

- (ii) Furthermore, can we choose Φ such that φ **is the restriction** $\Phi|_{(C/X)_{\infty}}$?

Holomorphic Tubular Neighborhood Theorem ?

Problem

Let $C \subset X$ and $\tilde{C} \subset \tilde{X}$ be compact **complex** submanifolds in **complex** manifolds.

- (i) If there exists a **formal** isomorphism of **formal** neighborhoods

$$(C/X)_{\infty} \xrightarrow{\varphi} (\tilde{C}/\tilde{X})_{\infty},$$

does there exist a **biholomorphic** diffeomorphism of suitable neighborhoods

$$X \supset (C \supset O) \xrightarrow{\Phi} (\tilde{C} \subset \tilde{O}) \subset \tilde{X}?$$

- (ii) Furthermore, can we choose Φ such that φ **is the restriction** $\Phi|_{(C/X)_{\infty}}$?

False!!

Formal Principle

Formal Principle

Definition

$C \subset X$ satisfies **the formal principle**, if the answer to the above problem (i) is yes:

Formal Principle

Definition

$C \subset X$ satisfies **the formal principle**, if the answer to the above problem (i) is yes: for any $\tilde{C} \subset \tilde{X}$, if there is a **formal isomorphism**

$$\varphi : (C/X)_{\infty} \rightarrow (\tilde{C}/\tilde{X})_{\infty},$$

then there exists a **biholomorphic diffeomorphism** of suitable neighborhoods

$$X \supset (C \supset O) \stackrel{\phi}{\cong} (\tilde{C} \subset \tilde{O}) \subset \tilde{X}.$$

Formal Principle with Convergence

Formal Principle with Convergence

Definition

$C \subset X$ satisfies **the formal principle with convergence**, if the answer to the above problem (ii) is yes:

Formal Principle with Convergence

Definition

$C \subset X$ satisfies **the formal principle with convergence**, if the answer to the above problem (ii) is yes: for any $\tilde{C} \subset \tilde{X}$, if there is a **formal isomorphism**

$$\varphi : (C/X)_{\infty} \rightarrow (\tilde{C}/\tilde{X})_{\infty},$$

then there exists a **biholomorphic diffeomorphism** of suitable neighborhoods

$$X \supset (C \supset O) \stackrel{\Phi}{\cong} (\tilde{C} \subset \tilde{O}) \subset \tilde{X}$$

such that $\varphi = \Phi|_{(C/X)_{\infty}}$.

Formal Principle vs. Formal Principle with Convergence

Formal Principle vs. Formal Principle with Convergence

- ▶ Formal Principle $\sim$ "Formal neighborhood determines the biholomorphic germ of neighborhoods."

Formal Principle vs. Formal Principle with Convergence

- ▶ Formal Principle $\sim$ "Formal neighborhood determines the biholomorphic germ of neighborhoods."
- ▶ Formal Principle with Convergence $\sim$ "Any formal isomorphism of formal neighborhoods converges."

Formal Principle vs. Formal Principle with Convergence

- ▶ Formal Principle $\sim$ "Formal neighborhood determines the biholomorphic germ of neighborhoods."
- ▶ Formal Principle with Convergence $\sim$ "Any formal isomorphism of formal neighborhoods converges."
- ▶ Formal Principle with Convergence $\Rightarrow$ Formal Principle

Formal Principle vs. Formal Principle with Convergence

- ▶ Formal Principle $\sim$ "Formal neighborhood determines the biholomorphic germ of neighborhoods."
- ▶ Formal Principle with Convergence $\sim$ "Any formal isomorphism of formal neighborhoods converges."
- ▶ Formal Principle with Convergence $\Rightarrow$ Formal Principle
- ▶ Formal Principle with Convergence $\nLeftarrow$ Formal Principle

Formal Principle $\nRightarrow$ Formal Principle with Convergence

Formal Principle $\nRightarrow$ Formal Principle with Convergence

Example For $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ with coordinate z and $\tilde{0} \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}$ with coordinate $\tilde{z}$, any formal power series

$$\tilde{z} = a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \dots$$

with $a_1 \neq 0$ defines a formal isomorphism

$$(0/\mathbb{C})_\infty \stackrel{\varphi}{\simeq} (\tilde{0}/\tilde{\mathbb{C}})_\infty.$$

Formal Principle $\nRightarrow$ Formal Principle with Convergence

Example For $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ with coordinate z and $\tilde{0} \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}$ with coordinate $\tilde{z}$, any formal power series

$$\tilde{z} = a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \dots$$

with $a_1 \neq 0$ defines a formal isomorphism

$$(0/\mathbb{C})_\infty \stackrel{\varphi}{\simeq} (\tilde{0}/\tilde{\mathbb{C}})_\infty.$$

But φ does not necessarily converge.

Formal Principle $\nRightarrow$ Formal Principle with Convergence

Example For $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ with coordinate z and $\tilde{0} \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}$ with coordinate $\tilde{z}$, any formal power series

$$\tilde{z} = a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \dots$$

with $a_1 \neq 0$ defines a formal isomorphism

$$(0/\mathbb{C})_\infty \stackrel{\varphi}{\simeq} (\tilde{0}/\tilde{\mathbb{C}})_\infty.$$

But φ does not necessarily converge.

$\Rightarrow 0 \in \mathbb{C}$ **satisfies the Formal Principle**, but **violates the Formal Principle with Convergence**.

Formal Principle vs. Formal Principle with Convergence

Formal Principle vs. Formal Principle with Convergence

- It is difficult to find examples of $C \subset X$ that **violates** the Formal Principle.

Formal Principle vs. Formal Principle with Convergence

- It is difficult to find examples of $C \subset X$ that **violates** the Formal Principle.

Essentially the only known example is Arnold's (1976): an elliptic curve C in a complex surface X with normal bundle of degree 0, which violates the Formal Principle.

Formal Principle vs. Formal Principle with Convergence

- ▶ It is difficult to find examples of $C \subset X$ that **violates** the Formal Principle.

Essentially the only known example is Arnold's (1976): an elliptic curve C in a complex surface X with normal bundle of degree 0, which violates the Formal Principle.

- ▶ It is difficult to give examples of $C \subset X$ that **satisfies** the Formal Principle with Convergence.

Formal Principle vs. Formal Principle with Convergence

- ▶ It is difficult to find examples of $C \subset X$ that **violates** the Formal Principle.

Essentially the only known example is Arnold's (1976): an elliptic curve C in a complex surface X with normal bundle of degree 0, which violates the Formal Principle.

- ▶ It is difficult to give examples of $C \subset X$ that **satisfies** the Formal Principle with Convergence.

Even $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ violates the Formal Principle with Convergence.

Formal Principle vs. Formal Principle with Convergence

- ▶ It is difficult to find examples of $C \subset X$ that **violates** the Formal Principle.

Essentially the only known example is Arnold's (1976): an elliptic curve C in a complex surface X with normal bundle of degree 0, which violates the Formal Principle.

- ▶ It is difficult to give examples of $C \subset X$ that **satisfies** the Formal Principle with Convergence.

Even $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ violates the Formal Principle with Convergence.

What are examples satisfying the Formal Principle with Convergence?

Smooth rational curves

Smooth rational curves

- From now on, we consider only the case $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$.

Smooth rational curves

- ▶ From now on, we consider only the case $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$.
- ▶ Essential difficulties appear already in this case.

Smooth rational curves

- ▶ From now on, we consider only the case $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$.
- ▶ Essential difficulties appear already in this case.

Definition

For a smooth rational curve $\mathbb{P}^1 \cong C \subset X$, the normal bundle $N_{C/X}$ is of the form $\mathcal{O}(k_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}(k_{n-1})$, $n = \dim X$.

Smooth rational curves

- ▶ From now on, we consider only the case $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$.
- ▶ Essential difficulties appear already in this case.

Definition

For a smooth rational curve $\mathbb{P}^1 \cong C \subset X$, the normal bundle $N_{C/X}$ is of the form $\mathcal{O}(k_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}(k_{n-1})$, $n = \dim X$.

We say

- ▶ $N_{C/X} > 0$ if $k_1, \dots, k_{n-1} > 0$;

Smooth rational curves

- ▶ From now on, we consider only the case $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$.
- ▶ Essential difficulties appear already in this case.

Definition

For a smooth rational curve $\mathbb{P}^1 \cong C \subset X$, the normal bundle $N_{C/X}$ is of the form $\mathcal{O}(k_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}(k_{n-1})$, $n = \dim X$.

We say

- ▶ $N_{C/X} > 0$ if $k_1, \dots, k_{n-1} > 0$;
- ▶ $N_{C/X} \geq 0$ if $k_1, \dots, k_{n-1} \geq 0$ ($\Leftrightarrow$ deformations of C in X cover a neighborhood of C);

Smooth rational curves

- ▶ From now on, we consider only the case $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$.
- ▶ Essential difficulties appear already in this case.

Definition

For a smooth rational curve $\mathbb{P}^1 \cong C \subset X$, the normal bundle $N_{C/X}$ is of the form $\mathcal{O}(k_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}(k_{n-1})$, $n = \dim X$.

We say

- ▶ $N_{C/X} > 0$ if $k_1, \dots, k_{n-1} > 0$;
- ▶ $N_{C/X} \geq 0$ if $k_1, \dots, k_{n-1} \geq 0$ ($\Leftrightarrow$ deformations of C in X cover a neighborhood of C);
- ▶ C is **unbendable** if $k_1, \dots, k_{n-1} = 0$ or 1 ($\Rightarrow$ no deformations fixing two points of C).

Previous Results

Previous Results

Theorem (Commichau-Grauert, Hirschowitz 1981)

*If $N_{C/X} > 0$, then $C \subset X$ satisfies the **Formal Principle with Convergence**.*

Previous Results

Theorem (Commichau-Grauert, Hirschowitz 1981)

*If $N_{C/X} > 0$, then $C \subset X$ satisfies the **Formal Principle with Convergence**.*

Example A line in $\mathbb{P}^n$ satisfies the Formal Principle with Convergence.

Previous Results

Theorem (Commichau-Grauert, Hirschowitz 1981)

*If $N_{C/X} > 0$, then $C \subset X$ satisfies the **Formal Principle with Convergence**.*

Example A line in $\mathbb{P}^n$ satisfies the Formal Principle with Convergence.

Theorem (H. 2019)

*If $N_{C/X} \geq 0$, then a general deformation of C in X satisfies the **Formal Principle**.*

Previous Results

Theorem (Commichau-Grauert, Hirschowitz 1981)

*If $N_{C/X} > 0$, then $C \subset X$ satisfies the **Formal Principle with Convergence**.*

Example A line in $\mathbb{P}^n$ satisfies the Formal Principle with Convergence.

Theorem (H. 2019)

*If $N_{C/X} \geq 0$, then a general deformation of C in X satisfies the **Formal Principle**.*

Example A line in a Grassmannian satisfies the Formal Principle.

Previous Results

Theorem (Commichau-Grauert, Hirschowitz 1981)

*If $N_{C/X} > 0$, then $C \subset X$ satisfies the **Formal Principle with Convergence**.*

Example A line in $\mathbb{P}^n$ satisfies the Formal Principle with Convergence.

Theorem (H. 2019)

*If $N_{C/X} \geq 0$, then a general deformation of C in X satisfies the **Formal Principle**.*

Example A line in a Grassmannian satisfies the Formal Principle.

Question: Can we strengthen [H.2019] to **Formal Principle with Convergence**?

Fibered neighborhood

Fibered neighborhood

Fibered neighborhood

Definition

A neighborhood $C \subset U \subset X$ is a **fibered neighborhood**, if there is a holomorphic submersion $f : U \rightarrow B$, $\dim B > 0$ such that C is contained in a fiber of f .

Fibered neighborhood

Definition

A neighborhood $C \subset U \subset X$ is a **fibered neighborhood**, if there is a holomorphic submersion $f : U \rightarrow B$, $\dim B > 0$ such that C is contained in a fiber of f .

Example $X = C \times \mathbb{C}$ with the submersion $f : X = C \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a fibered neighborhood of

$$C \simeq (C \times 0) \subset (C \times \mathbb{C}) = X.$$

Fibered neighborhood

Definition

A neighborhood $C \subset U \subset X$ is a **fibered neighborhood**, if there is a holomorphic submersion $f : U \rightarrow B$, $\dim B > 0$ such that C is contained in a fiber of f .

Example $X = C \times \mathbb{C}$ with the submersion $f : X = C \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a fibered neighborhood of

$$C \simeq (C \times 0) \subset (C \times \mathbb{C}) = X.$$

$N_{C/X} \geq 0$, but any deformation of C in X violates the Formal Principle with Convergence because so does $0 \in \mathbb{C}$.

Fibered neighborhood

Definition

A neighborhood $C \subset U \subset X$ is a **fibered neighborhood**, if there is a holomorphic submersion $f : U \rightarrow B$, $\dim B > 0$ such that C is contained in a fiber of f .

Example $X = C \times \mathbb{C}$ with the submersion $f : X = C \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a fibered neighborhood of

$$C \simeq (C \times 0) \subset (C \times \mathbb{C}) = X.$$

$N_{C/X} \geq 0$, but any deformation of C in X violates the Formal Principle with Convergence because so does $0 \in \mathbb{C}$.

$\Rightarrow$ A fibered neighborhood is likely to be an obstruction to the Formal Principle with Convergence.

Theorem 1

Theorem 1

Theorem (Hong-H.)

Let X be a complex manifold and let $\mathcal{K}$ be an irreducible component of the space of smooth rational curves $C \subset X$ with $N_{C/X} \geq 0$.

Theorem 1

Theorem (Hong-H.)

Let X be a complex manifold and let $\mathcal{K}$ be an irreducible component of the space of smooth rational curves $C \subset X$ with $N_{C/X} \geq 0$. Assume

- (i) a general member of $\mathcal{K}$ is **unbendable**; and*
- (ii) a general member of $\mathcal{K}$ has **no fibered neighborhood**.*

Theorem 1

Theorem (Hong-H.)

Let X be a complex manifold and let $\mathcal{K}$ be an irreducible component of the space of smooth rational curves $C \subset X$ with $N_{C/X} \geq 0$. Assume

- (i) a general member of $\mathcal{K}$ is **unbendable**; and*
- (ii) a general member of $\mathcal{K}$ has **no fibered neighborhood**.*

*Then a general member of $\mathcal{K}$ satisfies the **Formal Principle with Convergence**.*

Examples of Theorem 1

Examples of Theorem 1

There are many examples of "minimal rational curves" on Fano X of Picard number 1 satisfying the conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1.

Examples of Theorem 1

There are many examples of "minimal rational curves" on Fano X of Picard number 1 satisfying the conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1.

Example A line in Grassmannian satisfies the Formal Principle with Convergence.

Examples of Theorem 1

There are many examples of "minimal rational curves" on Fano X of Picard number 1 satisfying the conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1.

Example A line in Grassmannian satisfies the Formal Principle with Convergence.

Example A general line on a hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ of degree $\leq n - 1$ satisfies the Formal Principle with Convergence.

Examples of Theorem 1

There are many examples of "minimal rational curves" on Fano X of Picard number 1 satisfying the conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1.

Example A line in Grassmannian satisfies the Formal Principle with Convergence.

Example A general line on a hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ of degree $\leq n - 1$ satisfies the Formal Principle with Convergence.

Remark A general line on a hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ of degree $= n$ is unbendable, but **has fibered neighborhood**. It satisfies the Formal principle (by [H.2019]), but **violates the Formal Principle with Convergence**.

How to prove the convergence of a formal isomorphism?

How to prove the convergence of a formal isomorphism?

Lemma (Kobayashi-Nomizu (1963) vol 1)

Let $y \in Y$ and $\tilde{y} \in \tilde{Y}$ be points on complex manifolds and let

$$(y/Y)_{\infty} \stackrel{\varphi}{\simeq} (\tilde{y}/\tilde{Y})_{\infty}$$

be a formal isomorphism between formal neighborhoods of points.

How to prove the convergence of a formal isomorphism?

Lemma (Kobayashi-Nomizu (1963) vol 1)

Let $y \in Y$ and $\tilde{y} \in \tilde{Y}$ be points on complex manifolds and let

$$(y/Y)_{\infty} \stackrel{\varphi}{\simeq} (\tilde{y}/\tilde{Y})_{\infty}$$

be a formal isomorphism between formal neighborhoods of points.

Suppose there exist holomorphic affine connections ∇ on Y and $\tilde{\nabla}$ on $\tilde{Y}$ such that $\varphi_ \nabla = \tilde{\nabla}$.*

How to prove the convergence of a formal isomorphism?

Lemma (Kobayashi-Nomizu (1963) vol 1)

Let $y \in Y$ and $\tilde{y} \in \tilde{Y}$ be points on complex manifolds and let

$$(y/Y)_{\infty} \stackrel{\varphi}{\simeq} (\tilde{y}/\tilde{Y})_{\infty}$$

be a formal isomorphism between formal neighborhoods of points.

Suppose there exist holomorphic affine connections ∇ on Y and $\tilde{\nabla}$ on $\tilde{Y}$ such that $\varphi_ \nabla = \tilde{\nabla}$.*

Then φ converges.

How to prove the convergence of a formal isomorphism?

Lemma (Kobayashi-Nomizu (1963) vol 1)

Let $y \in Y$ and $\tilde{y} \in \tilde{Y}$ be points on complex manifolds and let

$$(y/Y)_{\infty} \stackrel{\varphi}{\simeq} (\tilde{y}/\tilde{Y})_{\infty}$$

be a formal isomorphism between formal neighborhoods of points.

Suppose there exist holomorphic affine connections ∇ on Y and $\tilde{\nabla}$ on $\tilde{Y}$ such that $\varphi_ \nabla = \tilde{\nabla}$.*

Then φ converges.

Question: Where are affine connections in the setting of Theorem 1??

Universal family of rational curves with $N_{C/X} \geq 0$

Universal family of rational curves with $N_{C/X} \geq 0$

Universal family of rational curves with $N_{C/X} \geq 0$

Let X be a complex manifold and let $\mathcal{K}$ be an irreducible component of the Douady space (= Hilbert scheme) of smooth rational curves $C \subset X$ with $N_{C/X} \geq 0$.

Universal family of rational curves with $N_{C/X} \geq 0$

Let X be a complex manifold and let $\mathcal{K}$ be an irreducible component of the Douady space (= Hilbert scheme) of smooth rational curves $C \subset X$ with $N_{C/X} \geq 0$.

Then $\mathcal{K}$ is a **complex manifold** and we have the **universal family**

$$\mathcal{K} \xleftarrow{\alpha} \text{Univ}_{\mathcal{K}} \xrightarrow{\beta} X,$$

Universal family of rational curves with $N_{C/X} \geq 0$

Let X be a complex manifold and let $\mathcal{K}$ be an irreducible component of the Douady space (= Hilbert scheme) of smooth rational curves $C \subset X$ with $N_{C/X} \geq 0$.

Then $\mathcal{K}$ is a **complex manifold** and we have the **universal family**

$$\mathcal{K} \xleftarrow{\alpha} \text{Univ}_{\mathcal{K}} \xrightarrow{\beta} X,$$

such that

- ▶ $\alpha : \text{Univ}_{\mathcal{K}} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle; and

Universal family of rational curves with $N_{C/X} \geq 0$

Let X be a complex manifold and let $\mathcal{K}$ be an irreducible component of the Douady space (= Hilbert scheme) of smooth rational curves $C \subset X$ with $N_{C/X} \geq 0$.

Then $\mathcal{K}$ is a **complex manifold** and we have the **universal family**

$$\mathcal{K} \xleftarrow{\alpha} \text{Univ}_{\mathcal{K}} \xrightarrow{\beta} X,$$

such that

- ▶ $\alpha : \text{Univ}_{\mathcal{K}} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle; and
- ▶ each member $C \subset X$ of $\mathcal{K}$ and the corresponding point $[C] \in \mathcal{K}$ satisfies

$$C = \beta(\alpha^{-1}([C])).$$

Theorem 2

Theorem 2

Theorem (Hong-H.)

Let X be a complex manifold and let $\mathcal{K}$ be an irreducible component of the Douady space of smooth rational curves $C \subset X$ with $N_{C/X} \geq 0$. Assume

- (i) a general member of $\mathcal{K}$ is **unbendable**; and*
- (ii) a general member of $\mathcal{K}$ has **no fibered neighborhood**.*

Theorem 2

Theorem (Hong-H.)

Let X be a complex manifold and let $\mathcal{K}$ be an irreducible component of the Douady space of smooth rational curves $C \subset X$ with $N_{C/X} \geq 0$. Assume

- (i) a general member of $\mathcal{K}$ is *unbendable*; and
- (ii) a general member of $\mathcal{K}$ has *no fibered neighborhood*.

For the universal family

$$\mathcal{K} \xleftarrow{\alpha} \mathrm{Univ}_{\mathcal{K}} \xrightarrow{\beta} X,$$

Theorem 2

Theorem (Hong-H.)

Let X be a complex manifold and let $\mathcal{K}$ be an irreducible component of the Douady space of smooth rational curves $C \subset X$ with $N_{C/X} \geq 0$. Assume

- (i) a general member of $\mathcal{K}$ is **unbendable**; and
- (ii) a general member of $\mathcal{K}$ has **no fibered neighborhood**.

For the universal family

$$\mathcal{K} \xleftarrow{\alpha} \mathrm{Univ}_{\mathcal{K}} \xrightarrow{\beta} X,$$

there exist

- ▶ a canonical nonempty **Zariski-open subset** $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathrm{Univ}_{\mathcal{K}}$;
- ▶ a canonical **smooth fiber bundle** $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ over $\mathcal{W}$; and
- ▶ a canonical **affine connection** ∇ on $\mathcal{P}$.

An example of Theorem 2

An example of Theorem 2

- ▶ Let X be the Grassmannian of $k(< n)$ -dimensional subspaces in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and let $\mathcal{K}$ be the space of lines on X .

An example of Theorem 2

- ▶ Let X be the Grassmannian of $k(< n)$ -dimensional subspaces in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and let $\mathcal{K}$ be the space of lines on X .
- ▶ We have parabolic subgroups P_1, P_2 of $G = \mathrm{PGL}(n)$ such that the universal family

$$\mathcal{K} \xleftarrow{\alpha} \mathrm{Univ}_{\mathcal{K}} \xrightarrow{\beta} X$$

is given by

$$G/P_1 \xleftarrow{\alpha} G/(P_1 \cap P_2) \xrightarrow{\beta} G/P_2.$$

An example of Theorem 2

- ▶ Let X be the Grassmannian of $k(< n)$ -dimensional subspaces in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and let $\mathcal{K}$ be the space of lines on X .
- ▶ We have parabolic subgroups P_1, P_2 of $G = \mathrm{PGL}(n)$ such that the universal family

$$\mathcal{K} \xleftarrow{\alpha} \mathrm{Univ}_{\mathcal{K}} \xrightarrow{\beta} X$$

is given by

$$G/P_1 \xleftarrow{\alpha} G/(P_1 \cap P_2) \xrightarrow{\beta} G/P_2.$$

- ▶ In Theorem 2 for this case,
 - ▶ the **Zariski-open subset** $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathrm{Univ}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is the **whole** $G/(P_1 \cap P_2)$;
 - ▶ the **smooth fiber bundle** $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is the **quotient** $G \rightarrow G/(P_1 \cap P_2)$; and
 - ▶ the **affine connection** ∇ on $\mathcal{P} = G$ is the **Maurer-Cartan form** ω_{MC} on G .

An example of Theorem 2

- ▶ Let X be the Grassmannian of $k(< n)$ -dimensional subspaces in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and let $\mathcal{K}$ be the space of lines on X .
- ▶ We have parabolic subgroups P_1, P_2 of $G = \mathrm{PGL}(n)$ such that the universal family

$$\mathcal{K} \xleftarrow{\alpha} \mathrm{Univ}_{\mathcal{K}} \xrightarrow{\beta} X$$

is given by

$$G/P_1 \xleftarrow{\alpha} G/(P_1 \cap P_2) \xrightarrow{\beta} G/P_2.$$

- ▶ In Theorem 2 for this case,
 - ▶ the **Zariski-open subset** $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathrm{Univ}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is the **whole** $G/(P_1 \cap P_2)$;
 - ▶ the **smooth fiber bundle** $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is the **quotient** $G \rightarrow G/(P_1 \cap P_2)$; and
 - ▶ the **affine connection** ∇ on $\mathcal{P} = G$ is the **Maurer-Cartan form** ω_{MC} on G .

Theorem 2 is a generalization of this example!

Theorem 2 $\Rightarrow$ Theorem 1

Theorem 2 $\Rightarrow$ Theorem 1

- (1) Assume that $C \subset X$ is a general member of $\mathcal{K}$ such that the corresponding fiber C^b of $\text{Univ}_{\mathcal{K}} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ intersects the Zariski-open $\mathcal{W} \subset \text{Univ}_{\mathcal{K}}$.

Theorem 2 $\Rightarrow$ Theorem 1

- (1) Assume that $C \subset X$ is a general member of $\mathcal{K}$ such that the corresponding fiber C^b of $\text{Univ}_{\mathcal{K}} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ intersects the Zariski-open $\mathcal{W} \subset \text{Univ}_{\mathcal{K}}$.
- (2) A formal isomorphism $(C/X)_{\infty} \stackrel{\varphi}{\simeq} (\tilde{C}/\tilde{X})_{\infty}$ can be lifted to a formal isomorphism $(w/\mathcal{W})_{\infty} \stackrel{\varphi^b}{\simeq} (\tilde{w}/\tilde{\mathcal{W}})_{\infty}$ for any point $w \in C^b \cap \mathcal{W}$, by the **functoriality of Douady space (= Hilbert scheme)**.

Theorem 2 $\Rightarrow$ Theorem 1

- (1) Assume that $C \subset X$ is a general member of $\mathcal{K}$ such that the corresponding fiber C^b of $\text{Univ}_{\mathcal{K}} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ intersects the Zariski-open $\mathcal{W} \subset \text{Univ}_{\mathcal{K}}$.
- (2) A formal isomorphism $(C/X)_{\infty} \stackrel{\varphi}{\simeq} (\tilde{C}/\tilde{X})_{\infty}$ can be lifted to a formal isomorphism $(w/\mathcal{W})_{\infty} \stackrel{\varphi^b}{\simeq} (\tilde{w}/\tilde{\mathcal{W}})_{\infty}$ for any point $w \in C^b \cap \mathcal{W}$, by the **functoriality of Douady space (= Hilbert scheme)**.
- (3) It can be lifted to a formal isomorphism $(y/\mathcal{P})_{\infty} \stackrel{\varphi^{\sharp}}{\simeq} (\tilde{y}/\tilde{\mathcal{P}})_{\infty}$ at any point $y \in \mathcal{P}$ over $w \in \mathcal{W}$ by the **canonicity** of $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ and it satisfies $\varphi^{\sharp}_{*}\nabla = \tilde{\nabla}$ by the **canonicity** of ∇ and $\tilde{\nabla}$.

Theorem 2 $\Rightarrow$ Theorem 1

- (1) Assume that $C \subset X$ is a general member of $\mathcal{K}$ such that the corresponding fiber C^b of $\text{Univ}_{\mathcal{K}} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ intersects the Zariski-open $\mathcal{W} \subset \text{Univ}_{\mathcal{K}}$.
- (2) A formal isomorphism $(C/X)_{\infty} \xrightarrow{\varphi} (\tilde{C}/\tilde{X})_{\infty}$ can be lifted to a formal isomorphism $(w/\mathcal{W})_{\infty} \xrightarrow{\varphi^b} (\tilde{w}/\tilde{\mathcal{W}})_{\infty}$ for any point $w \in C^b \cap \mathcal{W}$, by the **functoriality of Douady space (= Hilbert scheme)**.
- (3) It can be lifted to a formal isomorphism $(y/\mathcal{P})_{\infty} \xrightarrow{\varphi^{\sharp}} (\tilde{y}/\tilde{\mathcal{P}})_{\infty}$ at any point $y \in \mathcal{P}$ over $w \in \mathcal{W}$ by the **canonicity** of $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{P}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ and it satisfies $\varphi^{\sharp}_* \nabla = \tilde{\nabla}$ by the **canonicity** of ∇ and $\tilde{\nabla}$.
- (4) By Kobayashi-Nomizu Lemma, $\varphi^{\sharp}$ converges. Hence, so does φ^b . We conclude φ converges at a general point of C . Then it converges at all points of C by maximum principle.

Basic notions on Distributions

Basic notions on Distributions

Definition

Let M be a complex manifold.

Basic notions on Distributions

Definition

Let M be a complex manifold.

- ▶ A vector subbundle $D \subset TM$ of the tangent bundle is a **distribution** on M .

Basic notions on Distributions

Definition

Let M be a complex manifold.

- ▶ A vector subbundle $D \subset TM$ of the tangent bundle is a **distribution** on M .
- ▶ D is **Levi-nondegenerate** if the anti-symmetric bilinear map

$$[\cdot, \cdot]_x : \wedge^2 D_x \rightarrow T_x M / D_x$$

is nondegenerate for a general point $x \in M$.

Basic notions on Distributions

Definition

Let M be a complex manifold.

- ▶ A vector subbundle $D \subset TM$ of the tangent bundle is a **distribution** on M .
- ▶ D is **Levi-nondegenerate** if the anti-symmetric bilinear map

$$[\cdot, \cdot]_x : \wedge^2 D_x \rightarrow T_x M / D_x$$

is nondegenerate for a general point $x \in M$.

- ▶ D is **bracket-generating** if the successive Lie brackets

$$D \subset [D, D] \subset [[D, D], D] \subset \dots$$

generates $T_x M$ at a general point $x \in M$.

Symbol Algebras of a Distribution

Symbol Algebras of a Distribution

Definition

Let $D \subset TM$ be a distribution.

Symbol Algebras of a Distribution

Definition

Let $D \subset TM$ be a distribution.

- For each point $x \in M$, the successive brackets

$$D \subset [D, D] \subset [[D, D], D] \subset \dots$$

determine a nilpotent graded Lie algebra $\text{symb}_x(D)$, called the **symbol algebra** of D at x .

Symbol Algebras of a Distribution

Definition

Let $D \subset TM$ be a distribution.

- For each point $x \in M$, the successive brackets

$$D \subset [D, D] \subset [[D, D], D] \subset \dots$$

determine a nilpotent graded Lie algebra $\text{symb}_x(D)$, called the **symbol algebra** of D at x .

- D has **isotrivial symbol algebras** if $\text{symb}_x(D) \cong \text{symb}_y(D)$ isomorphic as graded Lie algebras for all x, y in a Zariski-open subset of M .

Symbol Algebras of a Distribution

Definition

Let $D \subset TM$ be a distribution.

- ▶ For each point $x \in M$, the successive brackets

$$D \subset [D, D] \subset [[D, D], D] \subset \dots$$

determine a nilpotent graded Lie algebra $\text{symb}_x(D)$, called the **symbol algebra** of D at x .

- ▶ D has **isotrivial symbol algebras** if $\text{symb}_x(D) \cong \text{symb}_y(D)$ isomorphic as graded Lie algebras for all x, y in a Zariski-open subset of M .

Example If $D \subset TM$ is a contact distribution, $\text{symb}_x D$ is isomorphic to the Heisenberg algebra for all $x \in M$.

Tanaka Prolongation Theorem

Tanaka Prolongation Theorem

Theorem (Tanaka 1970)

Tanaka Prolongation Theorem

Theorem (Tanaka 1970)

Given a transversal pair of holomorphic submersions of a complex manifold M

$$A \xleftarrow{\alpha} M \xrightarrow{\beta} B,$$

define the distribution $D := \text{Ker}(d\alpha) \oplus \text{Ker}(d\beta)$ on M .

Tanaka Prolongation Theorem

Theorem (Tanaka 1970)

Given a transversal pair of holomorphic submersions of a complex manifold M

$$A \xleftarrow{\alpha} M \xrightarrow{\beta} B,$$

define the distribution $D := \text{Ker}(d\alpha) \oplus \text{Ker}(d\beta)$ on M . If

- (I) D is Levi-nondegenerate;*
- (II) D is bracket-generating; and*
- (III) D has isotrivial symbol algebras,*

Tanaka Prolongation Theorem

Theorem (Tanaka 1970)

Given a transversal pair of holomorphic submersions of a complex manifold M

$$A \xleftarrow{\alpha} M \xrightarrow{\beta} B,$$

define the distribution $D := \text{Ker}(d\alpha) \oplus \text{Ker}(d\beta)$ on M . If

- (I) D is Levi-nondegenerate;*
- (II) D is bracket-generating; and*
- (III) D has isotrivial symbol algebras,*

then there exist

- ▶ a canonical nonempty Zariski-open subset $\mathcal{W} \subset M$;*
- ▶ a canonical smooth fiber bundle $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ over $\mathcal{W}$; and*
- ▶ a canonical affine connection ∇ on $\mathcal{P}$.*

Remarks on Tanaka Prolongation Theorem (1970)

Remarks on Tanaka Prolongation Theorem (1970)

- Tanaka Prolongation Theorem is a far-reaching generalization of Tanaka's construction (1962) of the canonical Cartan connection for Levi-nondegenerate CR-structures.

Remarks on Tanaka Prolongation Theorem (1970)

- ▶ Tanaka Prolongation Theorem is a far-reaching generalization of Tanaka's construction (1962) of the canonical Cartan connection for Levi-nondegenerate CR-structures.

- ▶ The canonical fiber bundle $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is a tower

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{k-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$$

of principal bundles with suitable structure groups $G_k, G_{k-1}, \dots, G_1, G_0$.

Remarks on Tanaka Prolongation Theorem (1970)

- ▶ Tanaka Prolongation Theorem is a far-reaching generalization of Tanaka's construction (1962) of the canonical Cartan connection for Levi-nondegenerate CR-structures.

- ▶ The canonical fiber bundle $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is a tower

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{k-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$$

of principal bundles with suitable structure groups $G_k, G_{k-1}, \dots, G_1, G_0$.

- ▶ This is the generalization of $G \rightarrow G/(P_1 \cap P_2)$ in the Grassmannian case for the double fibration

$$G/P_1 \xleftarrow{\alpha} G/(P_1 \cap P_2) \xrightarrow{\beta} G/P_2 :$$

Remarks on Tanaka Prolongation Theorem (1970)

- ▶ Tanaka Prolongation Theorem is a far-reaching generalization of Tanaka's construction (1962) of the canonical Cartan connection for Levi-nondegenerate CR-structures.

- ▶ The canonical fiber bundle $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is a tower

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{k-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$$

of principal bundles with suitable structure groups $G_k, G_{k-1}, \dots, G_1, G_0$.

- ▶ This is the generalization of $G \rightarrow G/(P_1 \cap P_2)$ in the Grassmannian case for the double fibration

$$G/P_1 \xleftarrow{\alpha} G/(P_1 \cap P_2) \xrightarrow{\beta} G/P_2 :$$

the homogeneity is generalized to **(iii) D has isotrivial symbol algebras.**

Proving Theorem 2 using Tanaka Prolongation Theorem

Proving Theorem 2 using Tanaka Prolongation Theorem

Theorem (Hong-H.)

Let X be a complex manifold and let $\mathcal{K}$ be an irreducible component of the space of smooth rational curves $C \subset X$ with $N_{C/X} \geq 0$. Assume

- (i) a general member of $\mathcal{K}$ is **unbendable**; and
- (ii) a general member of $\mathcal{K}$ has **no fibered neighborhood**.

For the universal family

$$\mathcal{K} \xleftarrow{\alpha} \mathrm{Univ}_{\mathcal{K}} \xrightarrow{\beta} X,$$

there exist

- ▶ a canonical nonempty Zariski-open subset $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathrm{Univ}_{\mathcal{K}}$;
- ▶ a canonical smooth fiber bundle $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ over $\mathcal{W}$; and
- ▶ a canonical affine connection ∇ on $\mathcal{P}$.

Proving Theorem 2 using Tanaka Prolongation Theorem

Proving Theorem 2 using Tanaka Prolongation Theorem

Theorem (Tanaka 1970)

Given a transversal pair of holomorphic submersions of M

$$A \xleftarrow{\alpha} M \xrightarrow{\beta} B,$$

define the distribution $D := \text{Ker}(\text{d}\alpha) \oplus \text{Ker}(\text{d}\beta)$ on M . If

- (I) D is Levi-nondegenerate;*
- (II) D is bracket-generating;*
- (III) D has isotrivial symbol algebras,*

then there exist

- ▶ *a canonical nonempty Zariski-open subset $\mathcal{W} \subset M$;*
- ▶ *a canonical smooth fiber bundle $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ over $\mathcal{W}$; and*
- ▶ *a canonical affine connection ∇ on $\mathcal{P}$.*

Proving Theorem 2 using Tanaka Prolongation Theorem

Theorem (Tanaka 1970 $\Rightarrow$ Theorem 2 ?)

Given a transversal pair of holomorphic submersions of M

$$A \xleftarrow{\alpha} M \xrightarrow{\beta} B,$$

define the distribution $D := \text{Ker}(\text{d}\alpha) \oplus \text{Ker}(\text{d}\beta)$ on M . If

- (I) D is Levi-nondegenerate;*
- (II) D is bracket-generating;*
- (III) D has isotrivial symbol algebras,*

then there exist

- ▶ *a canonical nonempty Zariski-open subset $\mathcal{W} \subset M$;*
- ▶ *a canonical smooth fiber bundle $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ over $\mathcal{W}$; and*
- ▶ *a canonical affine connection ∇ on $\mathcal{P}$.*

Proving Theorem 2 using Tanaka Prolongation Theorem

Theorem (Tanaka 1970 $\Rightarrow$ Theorem 2 ?)

Given a transversal pair of holomorphic submersions

$$\mathcal{K} \xleftarrow{\alpha} \text{Univ}_{\mathcal{K}} \xrightarrow{\beta} X,$$

define the distribution $D := \text{Ker}(d\alpha) \oplus \text{Ker}(d\beta)$ on $\text{Univ}_{\mathcal{K}}$. If

- (I) D is Levi-nondegenerate;*
- (II) D is bracket-generating;*
- (III) D has isotrivial symbol algebras,*

then there exist

- ▶ *a canonical nonempty Zariski-open subset $\mathcal{W} \subset M$;*
- ▶ *a canonical smooth fiber bundle $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ over $\mathcal{W}$; and*
- ▶ *a canonical affine connection ∇ on $\mathcal{P}$.*

Proving Theorem 2 using Tanaka Prolongation Theorem

Theorem (Tanaka 1970 $\Rightarrow$ Theorem 2 ?)

Given a transversal pair of holomorphic submersions

$$\mathcal{K} \xleftarrow{\alpha} \text{Univ}_{\mathcal{K}} \xrightarrow{\beta} X,$$

define the distribution $D := \text{Ker}(d\alpha) \oplus \text{Ker}(d\beta)$ on $\text{Univ}_{\mathcal{K}}$. Check

- (I) D is Levi-nondegenerate $\Leftarrow$ (i) $C \subset X$ unbendable;*
- (II) D is bracket-generating $\Leftarrow$ (ii) no fibered nbd of $C \subset X$;*
- (III) D has isotrivial symbol algebras,*

then there exist

- ▶ *a canonical nonempty Zariski-open subset $\mathcal{W} \subset M$;*
- ▶ *a canonical smooth fiber bundle $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ over $\mathcal{W}$; and*
- ▶ *a canonical affine connection ∇ on $\mathcal{P}$.*

Proving Theorem 2 using Tanaka Prolongation Theorem

Theorem (Tanaka 1970 $\Rightarrow$ Theorem 2 ?)

Given a transversal pair of holomorphic submersions

$$\mathcal{K} \xleftarrow{\alpha} \text{Univ}_{\mathcal{K}} \xrightarrow{\beta} X,$$

define the distribution $D := \text{Ker}(d\alpha) \oplus \text{Ker}(d\beta)$ on $\text{Univ}_{\mathcal{K}}$. Check

- (I) D is Levi-nondegenerate $\Leftarrow$ (i) $C \subset X$ unbendable;*
- (II) D is bracket-generating $\Leftarrow$ (ii) no fibered nbd of $C \subset X$;*
- (III) D has isotrivial symbol algebras, $\Rightarrow$ Not always true!!*

then there exist

- ▶ *a canonical nonempty Zariski-open subset $\mathcal{W} \subset M$;*
- ▶ *a canonical smooth fiber bundle $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ over $\mathcal{W}$; and*
- ▶ *a canonical affine connection ∇ on $\mathcal{P}$.*

Generalized Tanaka Prolongation Theorem

Generalized Tanaka Prolongation Theorem

We can remove the assumption (III) in [Tanaka 1970]:

Generalized Tanaka Prolongation Theorem

We can remove the assumption (III) in [Tanaka 1970]:

Theorem (Hong-H.)

Generalized Tanaka Prolongation Theorem

We can remove the assumption (III) in [Tanaka 1970]:

Theorem (Hong-H.)

Given a transversal pair of holomorphic submersions of M

$$A \xleftarrow{\alpha} M \xrightarrow{\beta} B,$$

define the distribution $D := \text{Ker}(d\alpha) \oplus \text{Ker}(d\beta)$ on M .

Generalized Tanaka Prolongation Theorem

We can remove the assumption (III) in [Tanaka 1970]:

Theorem (Hong-H.)

Given a transversal pair of holomorphic submersions of M

$$A \xleftarrow{\alpha} M \xrightarrow{\beta} B,$$

define the distribution $D := \text{Ker}(d\alpha) \oplus \text{Ker}(d\beta)$ on M . If

- (I) D is Levi-nondegenerate; and*
- (II) D is bracket-generating,*

Generalized Tanaka Prolongation Theorem

We can remove the assumption (III) in [Tanaka 1970]:

Theorem (Hong-H.)

Given a transversal pair of holomorphic submersions of M

$$A \xleftarrow{\alpha} M \xrightarrow{\beta} B,$$

define the distribution $D := \text{Ker}(d\alpha) \oplus \text{Ker}(d\beta)$ on M . If

- (I) D is Levi-nondegenerate; and*
- (II) D is bracket-generating,*

then there exist

- ▶ a canonical nonempty Zariski-open subset $\mathcal{W} \subset M$;*
- ▶ a canonical smooth fiber bundle $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ over $\mathcal{W}$; and*
- ▶ a canonical affine connection ∇ on $\mathcal{P}$.*

Remarks on Generalized Tanaka Prolongation Theorem

Remarks on Generalized Tanaka Prolongation Theorem

- ▶ Generalized Tanaka Prolongation Theorem proves Theorem 2, hence Theorem 1.

Remarks on Generalized Tanaka Prolongation Theorem

- ▶ Generalized Tanaka Prolongation Theorem proves Theorem 2, hence Theorem 1.
- ▶ The canonical fiber bundle $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is a tower

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{k-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$$

of **generalized** principal bundles with suitable **structure group scheme** $G_k, G_{k-1}, \dots, G_1, G_0$.

Proof of Generalized Tanaka Prolongation Theorem

Proof of Generalized Tanaka Prolongation Theorem

- ▶ The proof of [Tanaka 70] is an extremely complicated induction argument of 70 pages, even under the assumption of isotrivial symbol algebras.

Proof of Generalized Tanaka Prolongation Theorem

- ▶ The proof of [Tanaka 70] is an extremely complicated induction argument of 70 pages, even under the assumption of isotrivial symbol algebras. $\Rightarrow$ We wouldn't have been able to generalize it when the symbol algebras vary.

Proof of Generalized Tanaka Prolongation Theorem

- ▶ The proof of [Tanaka 70] is an extremely complicated induction argument of 70 pages, even under the assumption of isotrivial symbol algebras. $\Rightarrow$ We wouldn't have been able to generalize it when the symbol algebras vary.
- ▶ Fortunately, [Alekseevsky-David, 2017] simplified Tanaka's proof to about 30 pages.

Proof of Generalized Tanaka Prolongation Theorem

- ▶ The proof of [Tanaka 70] is an extremely complicated induction argument of 70 pages, even under the assumption of isotrivial symbol algebras. $\Rightarrow$ We wouldn't have been able to generalize it when the symbol algebras vary.
- ▶ Fortunately, [Alekseevsky-David, 2017] simplified Tanaka's proof to about 30 pages. $\Rightarrow$ We could generalize their proof (less than 40 pages)!

Proof of Generalized Tanaka Prolongation Theorem

- ▶ The proof of [Tanaka 70] is an extremely complicated induction argument of 70 pages, even under the assumption of isotrivial symbol algebras. $\Rightarrow$ We wouldn't have been able to generalize it when the symbol algebras vary.
- ▶ Fortunately, [Alekseevsky-David, 2017] simplified Tanaka's proof to about 30 pages. $\Rightarrow$ We could generalize their proof (less than 40 pages)!
- ▶ **Key technical point:** The concept of a principal connection on a principal bundle does not make sense when the structure group is not constant.

Proof of Generalized Tanaka Prolongation Theorem

- ▶ The proof of [Tanaka 70] is an extremely complicated induction argument of 70 pages, even under the assumption of isotrivial symbol algebras. $\Rightarrow$ We wouldn't have been able to generalize it when the symbol algebras vary.
- ▶ Fortunately, [Alekseevsky-David, 2017] simplified Tanaka's proof to about 30 pages. $\Rightarrow$ We could generalize their proof (less than 40 pages)!
- ▶ **Key technical point:** The concept of a principal connection on a principal bundle does not make sense when the structure group is not constant. $\Rightarrow$ We need to introduce a **generalized notion of connection** and show that certain components of the torsion tensor has invariant meaning.

Thank you very much !!