© European Mathematical Society 2017

JEMS

Martins Bruveris · François-Xavier Vialard

On completeness of groups of diffeomorphisms

Abstract. We study completeness properties of the Sobolev diffeomorphism groups $\mathcal{D}^s(M)$ endowed with strong right-invariant Riemannian metrics when M is $\mathbb{R}^d$ or a compact manifold without boundary. We prove that for $s > \dim M/2 + 1$, the group $\mathcal{D}^s(M)$ is geodesically and metrically complete and any two diffeomorphisms in the same component can be joined by a minimal geodesic. We then present the connection between the Sobolev diffeomorphism group and the *large deformation matching* framework in order to apply our results to diffeomorphic image matching.

Keywords. Diffeomorphism groups, Sobolev metrics, strong Riemannian metric, completeness, minimizing geodesics

1. Introduction

The interest in Riemannian geometry of diffeomorphism groups started with [Arn66], where it was shown that Euler's equations, describing the motion of an ideal, incompressible fluid, can be regarded as geodesic equations on the group of volume-preserving diffeomorphisms. The corresponding Riemannian metric is the right-invariant L^2-type metric. This was used in [EM70] to show the local well-posedness of Euler's equations in three and more dimensions. Also following [Arn66], the curvature of the Riemannian metric was connected in [Mis93, Shk98, Pre04] with stability properties of the fluid flow. The Fredholmness of the Riemannian exponential map was used in [MP10] to show that large parts of the diffeomorphism group are reachable from the identity via minimizing geodesics.

Other equations that have been recognized as geodesic equations on the diffeomorphism groups include the Camassa–Holm equation [CH93], the Korteweg–de Vries equation [OK87, Seg91], the quasigeostrophic equation [Ebi12, EP15], the equations of a barotropic fluid [Pre13] and others; see [Viz08, BBM14] for an overview. In [EK11], the Degasperis–Procesi equation is identified as being a geodesic equation for a particular right-invariant connection on the diffeomorphism group.

M. Bruveris: Department of Mathematics, Brunel University London,
Uxbridge UB8 3PH, United Kingdom; e-mail: martins.bruveris@brunel.ac.uk

F.-X. Vialard: Université Paris-Dauphine, Place du Maréchal de Lattre de Tassigny,
75775 Paris Cedex 16, France; e-mail: vialard@ceremade.dauphine.fr

Right-invariant Sobolev metrics. Let M be either $\mathbb{R}^d$ or a compact C^∞-manifold without boundary of dimension d. The group $\mathcal{D}^s(M)$, with $s > d/2 + 1$, consists of all C^1-diffeomorphisms of Sobolev regularity H^s. It is well-known that $\mathcal{D}^s(M)$ is a smooth Hilbert manifold and a topological group [IKT13]. Right-invariant Sobolev H^r-metrics on diffeomorphism groups can thus be described using two parameters: the order r of the metric and the regularity s of the group. Obviously one requires $r \leq s$ for the metric to be well-defined.

As far as the behaviour of Sobolev metrics is concerned, the regularity s of the group is less important that the order r of the metric. Many properties like smoothness of the geodesic spray, (non)vanishing of the geodesic distance, Fredholmness of the exponential map are not present for H^r-metrics with r small and then "emerge" at a certain critical value of r. For some, like the Fredholmness properties of the exponential map, the critical value is independent of the dimension of M, in other cases the independence is conjectured, and in yet others, like the completeness results in this paper, the critical value does depend on the dimension. The range of admissible values for s is in each case usually an interval bounded from below, with the lower bound depending on r.

The study of Sobolev metrics is complicated by the fact that, for a given order r, there is no canonical H^r-metric, just like there is no canonical H^r-inner product on the space $H^r(M, \mathbb{R})$. The topology is canonical, but the inner product is not. For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, a class of "natural" inner products can be defined using intrinsic differential operations on M. They are of the form

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{H^r} = \int_M \langle u, Lv \rangle \, d\mu, \tag{1.1}$$

where L is a positive, invertible, elliptic differential operator of order $2r$. For (possibly) noninteger orders, the most general family of inner products is given by pseudodifferential operators $L \in \mathrm{OPS}^{2r}$ of order $2r$ within a certain symbol class. The corresponding Riemannian metric is

$$G_\varphi(X_\varphi, Y_\varphi) = \int_M \langle X_\varphi \circ \varphi^{-1}, L(Y_\varphi \circ \varphi^{-1}) \rangle \, d\mu,$$

and it can be represented by the operator $L_\varphi = R^*_{\varphi^{-1}} \circ L \circ R_{\varphi^{-1}}$ with $R_\varphi X = X \circ \varphi$ denoting right-translation by φ. Note, however, that φ is not smooth, but only in $\mathcal{D}^s(M)$, and thus L_φ is not a pseudodifferential operator with a smooth symbol any more. Pseudodifferential operators with symbols in Sobolev spaces were studied for example in [ARS86b, ARS86a, BR84, Lan06], but technical difficulties still remain.

Strong Sobolev metrics. Historically most papers dealt with right-invariant Sobolev metrics on diffeomorphism groups in the weak setting, that is, one considered H^r-metrics on $\mathcal{D}^s(M)$ with $s > r$; a typical assumption is $s > 2r + d/2 + 1$, in order to ensure that Lu is still C^1-regular. The disconnection between the order of the metric and the regularity of the group arose, because one was mostly interested in L^2- or H^1-metrics, but $\mathcal{D}^s(M)$ is a Hilbert manifold only when $s > d/2 + 1$. It was however noted already in [EM70] and again in [MP10] that the H^s-metric is well-defined and, more importantly, smooth on

$\mathcal{D}^s(M)$, for integer s when the inner product is defined in terms of a differential operator as in (1.1). The smoothness of the metric is not obvious, since it is defined via

$$G_\varphi(X_\varphi, Y_\varphi) = \langle X_\varphi \circ \varphi^{-1}, Y_\varphi \circ \varphi^{-1} \rangle_{H^s}$$

and the definition uses inversion, which is only a continuous, but not a smooth operation on $\mathcal{D}^s(M)$.

Higher order Sobolev metrics have been studied recently on diffeomorphism groups of the circle [CK03], of the torus [KLT08] and of general compact manifolds [MP10]. The sectional curvature of such metrics was analysed in [KLMP13], and in [BHM11, BHM12] the authors considered Sobolev metrics on the space of immersions, which contains the diffeomorphism group as a special case.

Diffeomorphic image matching. Another application of strong Sobolev metrics on the diffeomorphism group is the field of computational anatomy and diffeomorphic image matching [GM98]. Given two images, represented by scalar functions $I, J : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$, diffeomorphic image registration is the problem of minimizing

$$\mathcal{J}(\varphi) = \tfrac{1}{2} \operatorname{dist}(\operatorname{Id}, \varphi)^2 + S(I \circ \varphi^{-1}, J)$$

over a suitable group of diffeomorphisms; here S is a similarity measure between images, for example the L^2-norm, and dist is a distance between diffeomorphisms [BMTY05]. In the large deformation matching framework this distance is taken to be the geodesic distance of an underlying right-invariant Riemannian metric on the diffeomorphism group. Thus Sobolev metrics form a natural family of metrics to be used for diffeomorphic image registration.

Completeness. The contributions of this paper are twofold. First we show that strong, smooth Sobolev metrics on $\mathcal{D}^s(M)$ are geodesically and metrically complete and that there exist minimizing geodesics between any two diffeomorphisms. We recall here that the Hopf–Rinow theorem is not valid in infinite dimensions, namely Atkin [Atk75] gives an example of a geodesically complete Riemannian manifold where the exponential map is not surjective. For the Sobolev diffeomorphism group with $s > d/2+1$, the best known result can be found in [MP10, Thm. 9.1], which is an improvement of the positive result of Ekeland [Eke78].

Geodesic completeness was shown for the diffeomorphism group of the circle in [EK14] and in a weaker form on $\mathbb{R}^d$ in [TY05] and [MM13]. Metric completeness and existence of minimizing geodesics in the context of groups of Sobolev diffeomorphisms and its subgroups is—as far as we know—new. We prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be $\mathbb{R}^d$ or a closed manifold and $s > d/2 + 1$. If G^s is a smooth, right-invariant Sobolev metric of order s on $\mathcal{D}^s(M)$, then:*

(1) *$(\mathcal{D}^s(M), G^s)$ is geodesically complete.*
(2) *$(\mathcal{D}^s(M)_0, \operatorname{dist}^s)$ is a complete metric space.*
(3) *Any two elements of $\mathcal{D}^s(M)_0$ can be joined by a minimizing geodesic.*

Here dist^s is the geodesic distance induced by G^s (see Sect. 6.3) and $\mathcal{D}^s(M)_0$ is the connected component of the identity. We expect that the same methods of proof can also be applied to the subgroups $\mathcal{D}^s_\mu(M)$ and $\mathcal{D}^s_\omega(M)$ of diffeomorphisms preserving a volume form μ or a symplectic structure ω.

The crucial ingredient in the proof is showing that for each t the flow map

$$\mathrm{Fl}_t : L^1(I, \mathfrak{X}^s(M)) \to \mathcal{D}^s(M), \tag{1.2}$$

assigning to a vector field its flow at time t, exists and is continuous; see Sect. 3.1 for definitions. The existence was known for vector fields in $C(I, \mathfrak{X}^s(M))$ and the continuity as a map into $\mathcal{D}^{s'}$ for $s' < s$ was shown in [Inc12]. We extend the existence result to vector fields that are L^1 in time and show continuity with respect to the manifold topology. The flow map allows us to identify the space of H^1-paths with the space of right-trivialized velocities,

$$\mathcal{D}^s(M) \times L^2(I, \mathfrak{X}^s(M)) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^1(I, \mathcal{D}^s(M)), \quad (\varphi_0, u) \mapsto (t \mapsto \mathrm{Fl}_t(u) \circ \varphi_0).$$

The inverse map of the identification is given by $H^1(I, \mathcal{D}^s) \ni \varphi \mapsto (\varphi(0), \partial_t \varphi \circ \varphi^{-1})$. Since $L^2(I, \mathfrak{X}^s(M))$ is a Hilbert space, we can use variational methods to show the existence of minimizing geodesics.

In order to show metric completeness, we derive, in the case $M = \mathbb{R}^d$, the following estimate on the geodesic distance:

$$\|\varphi - \psi\|_{H^s} \leq C \, \mathrm{dist}^s(\varphi, \psi),$$

which is valid on a bounded metric dist^s-ball. In other words, the identity map between the two metric spaces

$$\mathrm{Id} : (\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{H^s}) \to (\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathrm{dist}^s)$$

is locally Lipschitz continuous. For compact manifolds we show a similar inequality in coordinate charts. The Lipschitz continuity implies that a Cauchy sequence for dist^s is a Cauchy sequence for $\|\cdot\|_{H^s}$, thus giving us a candidate for a limit point. One then proceeds to show that the limit point lies in the diffeomorphism group and that the sequence converges to it with respect to the geodesic distance.

Applications to image matching. The second contribution concerns the groups of diffeomorphisms introduced by Trouvé [Tro98, TY05] for diffeomorphic image matching in the large deformation framework [BMTY05]. In this framework one chooses a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ of vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a norm that is stronger than the uniform C^1_b-norm[1], i.e., $\mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow C^1_b$, and considers the group $\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{H}$ of all diffeomorphisms that can be generated as flows of vector fields in $L^2(I, \mathcal{H})$, I being a compact interval.

---

[1] The C^1_b-norm is the supremum norm on the vector field and the first derivative, $\|u\|_{C^1_b} = \|u\|_\infty + \|Du\|_\infty$.

When $s > d/2 + 1$ the Sobolev embedding theorem shows that $H^s \hookrightarrow C_b^1$, allowing us to consider the group $\mathcal{G}_{H^s}$ as a special case of the construction by Trouvé. It is not difficult to show, for t fixed, the existence of the flow as a map

$$\mathrm{Fl}_t : L^2(I, \mathcal{H}) \to \mathrm{Diff}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

into the space of C^1-diffeomorphisms. Thus we can view the existence of the flow map in the sense (1.2) as a regularity result when $\mathcal{H} = H^s$. With the help of this regularity result we are able to show the following:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $s > d/2 + 1$. Then $\mathcal{G}_{H^s} = \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)_0$.*

This means that if we choose $\mathcal{H}$ to be a Sobolev space, then the framework of Trouvé yields the classical groups of Sobolev diffeomorphisms. As a consequence, $\mathcal{G}_{H^s}$ is a topological group and the paths solving the image registration problem are smooth. We also deduce, using the proximal calculus on Riemannian manifolds [AF05], that Karcher means of k diffeomorphisms—and more generally shapes—are unique on a dense subset of the k-fold product $\mathcal{D}^s \times \cdots \times \mathcal{D}^s$.

2. The group $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$

The Sobolev spaces $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $s \in \mathbb{R}$ can be defined in terms of the Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F}f(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\langle x, \xi \rangle} f(x) \, dx,$$

and consist of L^2-integrable functions f with the property that $(1 + |\xi|^2)^{s/2}\mathcal{F}f$ is L^2-integrable as well. An inner product on $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{H^s} = \mathfrak{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + |\xi|^2)^s \mathcal{F}f(\xi)\overline{\mathcal{F}g(\xi)} \, d\xi.$$

Denote by $\mathrm{Diff}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the space of C^1-diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^d$, i.e.,

$$\mathrm{Diff}^1(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{\varphi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d) : \varphi \text{ bijective}, \varphi^{-1} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)\}.$$

For $s > d/2 + 1$ there are three equivalent ways to define the group $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of Sobolev diffeomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d) &= \{\varphi \in \mathrm{Id} + H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d) : \varphi \text{ bijective}, \varphi^{-1} \in \mathrm{Id} + H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)\} \\
&= \{\varphi \in \mathrm{Id} + H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d) : \varphi \in \mathrm{Diff}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)\} \\
&= \{\varphi \in \mathrm{Id} + H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d) : \det D\varphi(x) > 0, \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we denote the three sets on the right by A_1, A_2 and A_3, then it is not difficult to see the inclusions $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq A_3$. The equality $A_1 = A_2$ has first been shown in [Ebi70, Sect. 3] for the diffeomorphism group of a compact manifold; a proof for $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ can be found in

[IKT13]. Regarding the inclusion $A_3 \subseteq A_2$, it is shown in [Pal59, Cor. 4.3] that if $\varphi \in C^1$ with det $D\varphi(x) > 0$ and $\lim_{|x| \to \infty} |\varphi(x)| = \infty$, then φ is a C^1-diffeomorphism.

It follows from the Sobolev embedding theorem that $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d) - \mathrm{Id}$ is an open subset of $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and thus a Hilbert manifold. Since each $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ has to decay to the identity for $|x| \to \infty$, it follows that φ is orientation preserving. More importantly, $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a topological group, but not a Lie group, since left-multiplication and inversion are continuous, but not smooth.

The space of Sobolev vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is denoted either $\mathfrak{X}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ or $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$.

2.1. Boundedness of composition. We will use the following lemma to estimate composition in Sobolev spaces. The first two parts are [IKT13, Cor. 2.1 and Lem. 2.7]; the third statement is a slight refinement of [IKT13, Lem. 2.11] and can be proven in the same way. Denote by $B_\varepsilon(0)$ the (open) ε-ball around the origin in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $s > d/2 + 1$ and $0 \leq s' \leq s$.*

(1) *Given $\psi \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ there exist $\varepsilon, M > 0$ such that $\psi + B_\varepsilon(0) \subseteq \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and*

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \det D\varphi(x) > M \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \psi + B_\varepsilon(0).$$

(2) *Given $M, C > 0$ there exists $C_{s'} = C_{s'}(M, C)$ such that for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with*

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \det D\varphi(x) > M \quad \text{and} \quad \|\varphi - \mathrm{Id}\|_{H^s} < C,$$

and all $f \in H^{s'}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\|f \circ \varphi\|_{H^{s'}} \leq C_{s'}\|f\|_{H^{s'}}.$$

(3) *Assume additionally $s' > d/2$. Let $U \subset \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a convex set and $M, C > 0$ constants such that*

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \det D\varphi(x) > M \quad \text{and} \quad \|\varphi - \mathrm{Id}\|_{H^s} < C \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in U.$$

Then there exists $C_{s'} = C_{s'}(M, C)$ such that for all $f \in H^{s'+1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\varphi, \psi \in U$,

$$\|f \circ \varphi - f \circ \psi\|_{H^{s'}} \leq C_{s'}\|f\|_{H^{s'+1}}\|\varphi - \psi\|_{H^{s'}}.$$

Proof. For the sake of completeness we give a proof of the third statement. We may assume that $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, since $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is dense in $H^{s'+1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Introduce $\delta\varphi(x) = \varphi(x) - \psi(x)$ and note that $\varphi + t\delta\varphi \in U$ for any $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Since $\varphi, \psi \in \mathrm{Diff}^1_+(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$f \circ \varphi(x) - f \circ \psi(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt}(f \circ (\varphi + t\delta\varphi)(x)) \, dt$$

$$= \int_0^1 Df((\varphi + t\delta\varphi)(x)).\delta\varphi(x) \, dt.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f \circ \varphi - f \circ \psi\|_{H^{s'}} &\leq C'_{s'} \int_0^1 \|Df \circ (\varphi + t\delta\varphi)\|_{H^{s'}} \|\varphi - \psi\|_{H^{s'}} \, dt \\
&\leq C''_{s'} \|Df\|_{H^{s'}} \|\varphi - \psi\|_{H^{s'}} \leq C_{s'} \|f\|_{H^{s'+1}} \|\varphi - \psi\|_{H^{s'}},
\end{aligned}$$

with some constants $C_{s'}, C'_{s'}, C''_{s'}$. $\qquad\square$

3. Convergence of flows in $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$

In this section we want to clarify, what is meant by the flow of a vector field, in particular for vector fields that are only L^1, and then prove some results about the convergence of flows given convergence of the underlying vector fields. The main result of the section is Theorem 3.7, which shows that for $s > d/2 + 1$ the flow map—assuming it exists—is continuous as a map

$$\mathrm{Fl} : L^1(I, H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)) \to C(I, \mathcal{D}^{s'}(\mathbb{R}^d)),$$

where $d/2 + 1 < s' < s$. The result will be strengthened by Theorem 4.4, which will show the existence of the flow as well as the convergence for $s' = s$.

3.1. Pointwise and $\mathcal{D}^s$-valued flows. Let $s > d/2 + 1$ and I be a compact interval containing 0. Assume u is a vector field, $u \in L^1(I, H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d))$. It is shown in [You10, Sect. 8.2] that there exists a map $\varphi : I \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

- $\varphi(\cdot, x)$ is absolutely continuous for each x and
- $\varphi(t, \cdot)$ is continuous for each t,

and this map satisfies the equation

$$\varphi(t, x) = x + \int_0^t u(\tau, \varphi(\tau, x)) \, d\tau. \tag{3.1}$$

We will call such a map φ the *pointwise flow of u* or simply the *flow of u*. It then follows that for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the differential equation

$$\partial_t \varphi(t, x) = u(t, \varphi(t, x))$$

is satisfied t-almost everywhere. It is also shown in [You10, Thm. 8.7] that $\varphi(t)$ is a C^1-diffeomorphism for all $t \in I$.

We will denote by $\mathrm{Fl}(u) : I \to \mathrm{Diff}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the flow map of the vector field u. Given $t \in I$, the *flow at time t* is $\mathrm{Fl}_t(u) \in \mathrm{Diff}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. If φ is the map solving (3.1), then $\varphi = \mathrm{Fl}(u)$ and $\varphi(t) = \mathrm{Fl}_t(u)$. Note that (3.1) implies $\mathrm{Fl}_0(u) = \mathrm{Id}$; we shall use this convention throughout the paper.

If we additionally assume that $\varphi \in C(I, \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d))$, i.e., φ is a continuous curve in $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then Lemma 3.2 below shows that the function $t \mapsto u(t) \circ \varphi(t)$ is Bochner integrable in H^s and the identity

$$\varphi(t) = \mathrm{Id} + \int_0^t u(\tau) \circ \varphi(\tau) \, d\tau \tag{3.2}$$

holds in $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$; furthermore, (3.2) implies that the curve $t \mapsto \varphi(t)$ is absolutely continuous. We will call a curve $\varphi \in C(I, \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d))$ a *flow of u with values in $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$* or a *$\mathcal{D}^s$-valued flow of u*. The pointwise flow of a vector field is unique, and therefore if the $\mathcal{D}^s$-valued flow exists, it is also unique. It will be shown in Theorem 4.4 that every vector field $u \in L^1(I, H^s)$ has a $\mathcal{D}^s$-valued flow.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $s > d/2 + 1$, $u \in L^1(I, H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d))$ and $\varphi \in C(I, \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Then:*

(1) *The function $t \mapsto u(t) \circ \varphi(t)$ is Bochner integrable.*
(2) *If φ satisfies (3.1), then the identity (3.2) holds as an identity in $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

Proof. (1) The map $t \mapsto u(t) \circ \varphi(t)$ is weakly measurable, and thus measurable since H^s is separable [SY05, Prop. 1.1.10]. Since I is compact, the set $\varphi(I)$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.2(2), i.e., there exists a constant C such that

$$\|v \circ \varphi(t)\|_{H^s} \le C \|v\|_{H^s}$$

for all $v \in H^s$ and all $t \in I$. Thus

$$\int_I \|u(t) \circ \varphi(t)\|_{H^s} \, dt \le C \|u\|_{L^1} < \infty,$$

via [SY05, Thm. 1.4.3], which implies that $t \mapsto u(t) \circ \varphi(t)$ is Bochner integrable.

(2) Denote by $\mathrm{ev}_x : H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}^d$ the evaluation map. Since $s > d/2$, this map is continuous, and thus (3.1) can be interpreted as

$$\mathrm{ev}_x(\varphi(t) - \mathrm{Id}) = \int_0^t \mathrm{ev}_x(u(\tau) \circ \varphi(\tau)) \, d\tau.$$

The Bochner integral commutes with bounded linear maps [DU77, Thm. 6], and the set $\{\mathrm{ev}_x : x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ is point-separating. Thus we obtain

$$\varphi(t) - \mathrm{Id} = \int_0^t u(\tau) \circ \varphi(\tau) \, d\tau \quad \text{in } H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d),$$

which concludes the proof. $\square$

The meaning of Lemma 3.2 is that the notions of $\mathcal{D}^s$-valued flow and pointwise flow coincide if we know a priori that φ is a continuous curve in $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The next lemma shows the basic property that being a flow is preserved under uniform convergence of flows and L^1-convergence of vector fields.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $s > d/2 + 1$ and let $u^n \in L^1(I, H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d))$ be a sequence of vector fields with $\mathcal{D}^s$-valued flows φ^n. Assume that $u^n \to u$ and $\varphi^n - \varphi \to 0$ in $L^1(I, H^s)$ and $C(I, H^s)$ respectively. Then φ is the $\mathcal{D}^s$-valued flow of u.*

Proof. We need to show two things: that $\varphi(t) \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and that φ is the $\mathcal{D}^s$-valued flow of u. First note that $\varphi^n(t) - \varphi(t) \in H^s$ implies $\varphi(t) - \mathrm{Id} \in H^s$.

As φ^n is the flow of u^n, it satisfies the identity

$$\varphi^n(t, x) = x + \int_0^t u^n(\tau, \varphi^n(\tau, x)) \, d\tau \tag{3.3}$$

for all $(t, x) \in I \times \mathbb{R}^d$. From the estimates

$$\left| \int_0^t [u^n(\tau, \varphi^n(\tau, x)) - u(\tau, \varphi(\tau, x))] \, d\tau \right|$$

$$\leq \int_0^t [|u^n(\tau, \varphi^n(\tau, x)) - u(\tau, \varphi^n(\tau, x))| + |u(\tau, \varphi^n(\tau, x)) - u(\tau, \varphi(\tau, x))|] \, d\tau$$

$$\leq \int_0^t [\|u^n(\tau) - u(\tau)\|_\infty + \|Du(\tau)\|_\infty \|\varphi^n(\tau) - \varphi(\tau)\|_\infty] \, d\tau$$

$$\leq C \int_0^t [\|u^n(\tau) - u(\tau)\|_{H^s} + \|u(\tau)\|_{H^s} \|\varphi^n(\tau) - \varphi(\tau)\|_{H^s}] \, d\tau$$

$$\leq C \|u^n - u\|_{L^1(I, H^s)} + C \|u\|_{L^1(I, H^s)} \|\varphi^n - \varphi\|_{C(I, \mathcal{D}^s)},$$

with the constant C arising from Sobolev embeddings, we see by passing to the limit in (3.3) that φ is the pointwise flow of u. As remarked at the beginning of the section, it is shown in [You10, Thm. 8.7] that the pointwise flow $\varphi(t)$ is a C^1-diffeomorphism, and together with $\varphi(t) - \mathrm{Id} \in H^s$ this shows $\varphi(t) \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Finally, it follows from Lemma 3.2 that φ is the $\mathcal{D}^s$-valued flow. $\square$

We will use the following decomposition method repeatedly.

Remark 3.4. A recurring theme is to show the existence of the flow

$$\mathrm{Fl}_t : L^1(I, \mathfrak{X}^s) \to \mathcal{D}^s, \quad u \mapsto \varphi(t),$$

and its continuity—either pointwise or uniform in t—where $\mathfrak{X}^s$ is the space of vector fields of a certain Sobolev regularity s on $\mathbb{R}^d$ or on a manifold M. This is often done by proving the statement in question first for small vector fields, i.e. those with $\|u\|_{L^1} < \varepsilon$ for some given ε. The statement then follows for all vector fields via the following general principle.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. Given a vector field $u \in L^1(I, \mathfrak{X}^s)$, there exists an N and a decomposition of I into N subintervals $[t_j, t_{j+1}]$ such that on each subinterval we have

$$\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \|u(t)\|_{H^s} \, dt < \varepsilon.$$

Note that while the points t_j will depend on u, their total number N can be bounded by a quantity depending only on $\|u\|_{L^1}$; indeed, we have $N \leq \|u\|_{L^1}/\varepsilon + 1$. To see this, assume without loss of generality that $I = [0, 1]$ and define $f(t) = \int_0^t \|u(\tau)\|_{H^s} \, d\tau$.

This function is nondecreasing and maps $[0, 1]$ to $[0, \|u\|_{L^1}]$. Subdivide the latter interval into N subintervals $[s_j, s_{j+1}]$ of length less than ε and set $t_0 = 0$ and $t_j = \sup f^{-1}(s_j)$ for $j = 1, \ldots, N$.

Let $u_j = u|_{[t_j, t_{j+1}]}$. We have $\|u_j\|_{L^1} < \varepsilon$ and we can apply the proven statement to obtain the existence of a flow, which we denote φ_j; here we let $\varphi_j(t_j) = \mathrm{Id}$. Then we define, for $t \in [t_j, t_{j+1}]$,

$$\varphi(t) = \varphi_j(t) \circ \varphi_{j-1}(t_j) \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_1(t_2) \circ \varphi_0(t_1).$$

It can easily be checked that φ is the flow of u—on $\mathbb{R}^d$ this can be done directly and on a manifold M using coordinate charts. As the flow is put together using only finitely many compositions, and $\mathcal{D}^s$ is a topological group, any statement about continuity of the flow map can be transferred from u_j to u.

Another reformulation of the decomposition principle is that any diffeomorphism φ that is the flow of a vector field u with $\|u\|_{L^1} < r$ can be decomposed into $\varphi_1 \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_N$, where each φ_j is the flow of a vector field u_j with $\|u_j\|_{L^1} < \varepsilon$, and N depends only on r.

A first example that uses this method is the proof of the following lemma, showing that Lemma 2.2 can be applied on arbitrary geodesic balls.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $s > d/2 + 1$ and $0 \le s' \le s$. Given $r > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a constant C such that*

$$\|v \circ \varphi\|_{H^{s'}} \le C \|v\|_{H^{s'}}$$

for all $v \in H^{s'}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ that can be written as $\varphi = \psi(1)$, where ψ is the $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$-valued flow of a vector field u with $\|u\|_{L^1(I, H^s)} < r$.

Proof. For the purposes of this proof we set $I = [0, 1]$. Choose an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\mathrm{Id} + B_\varepsilon(0) \subseteq \mathcal{D}^s(M)$ with $B_\varepsilon(0)$ being the ε-ball in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$. Using Remark 3.4 it is enough to prove the lemma for vector fields u with $C \|u\|_{L^1} < \varepsilon$. Let ψ be the $\mathcal{D}^s$-valued flow of such a vector field; the existence of ψ is guaranteed by the assumptions of the lemma. We claim that $\psi(t) \in \mathrm{Id} + B_\varepsilon(0)$. Assume the contrary and let T be the smallest time such that either $\|\psi(T) - \mathrm{Id}\|_{H^s} = \varepsilon$ or $T = 1$. Then for $t < T$ we have

$$\|\psi(t) - \mathrm{Id}\|_{H^s} \le \int_0^t \|u(\tau) \circ \psi(\tau)\|_{H^s} \, d\tau \le C \int_I \|u(\tau)\|_{H^s} \, d\tau < \varepsilon.$$

The curve $t \mapsto \psi(t)$ is continuous in $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and since the rightmost inequality does not depend on t, it remains strict even in the limit $t \to T$, thus showing $\|\psi(T) - \mathrm{Id}\|_{H^s} < \varepsilon$. This implies that $T = 1$ and $\varphi = \psi(1) \in \mathrm{Id} + B_\varepsilon(0)$.

This shows that given φ, we can decompose φ into $\varphi^1 \circ \cdots \circ \varphi^N$ with $\varphi^k \in \mathrm{Id} + B_\varepsilon(0)$ for all $k = 1, \ldots, N$. For each φ^k we can apply Lemma 2.2(2) to obtain

$$\|u \circ \varphi\|_{H^{s'}} \le C_1^N \|u\|_{H^{s'}}$$

for some constant C_1. As N depends on φ only via r, this completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 3.6. With a bit more work one can show that for each $r > 0$, there exist constants M and C such that the bounds

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \det D\varphi(t, x) > M \quad \text{and} \quad \|\varphi(t) - \text{Id}\|_{H^s} < C$$

hold for diffeomorphisms that are flows of vector fields with L^1-norm less than r; then it is possible to apply Lemma 2.2(2) directly.

The next theorem shows that L^1-convergence of H^s-vector fields implies uniform convergence of the flows, not in $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, but in $\mathcal{D}^{s'}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $s' < s$. The proof is a generalization of the proof in [Inc12, Prop. B.1].

Theorem 3.7. *Let $s > d/2 + 1$ and let $u^n \in L^1(I, H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d))$ be a sequence of vector fields with $\mathcal{D}^s$-valued flows φ^n. Assume that $u^n \to u$ in $L^1(I, H^s)$. Then there exists a map $\varphi : I \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\varphi \in C(I, \mathcal{D}^{s'}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ for all s' with $d/2 + 1 < s' < s$,*

$$\varphi^n \to \varphi \quad \text{in } C(I, \mathcal{D}^{s'}(\mathbb{R}^d)),$$

and φ is the $\mathcal{D}^{s'}$-valued flow of u.

Proof. Let $B_\varepsilon^s(0)$ be the ε-ball in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$. As $s > d/2 + 1$ we obtain via Lemma 2.2 an $\varepsilon > 0$ and a constant $C = C(\varepsilon)$ such that $\text{Id} + B_\varepsilon^s(0) \subseteq \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and the estimates

$$\|u \circ \varphi - u \circ \psi\|_{H^{s-1}} \leq C\|u\|_{H^s}\|\varphi - \psi\|_{H^{s-1}}, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\|u \circ \varphi\|_{H^{s-1}} \leq C\|u\|_{H^{s-1}}, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\|u \circ \varphi\|_{H^s} \leq C\|u\|_{H^s} \tag{3.6}$$

are valid for all $u \in H^s$ and all $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Id} + B_\varepsilon^s(0)$.

Step 1: *Reduction of the problem to $\text{Id} + B_\varepsilon^s(0)$.* Using the decomposition method of Remark 3.4 it is enough to prove the theorem for vector fields u with $C\|u\|_{L^1} < \varepsilon$. Since $u^n \to u$ in L^1, we can also assume that $C\|u^n\|_{L^1} < \varepsilon$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

As part of the proof of Lemma 3.5 it was shown that if u^n satisfies $C\|u^n\|_{L^1} < \varepsilon$, then its flow φ^n remains in $\text{Id} + B_\varepsilon^s(0)$. Thus we can restrict our attention to diffeomorphisms lying in the ε-ball around Id.

Step 2: *Convergence in $H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$.* We show that $(\varphi^n(t) - \text{Id})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are Cauchy sequences in H^{s-1}, uniformly in t. Using (3.4) and (3.5) we can estimate

$$\|\varphi^n(t) - \varphi^m(t)\|_{H^{s-1}} \leq \int_0^t [\|u^n \circ \varphi^n - u^m \circ \varphi^n\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|u^m \circ \varphi^n - u^m \circ \varphi^m\|_{H^{s-1}}] \, d\tau$$

$$\leq C \int_0^t [\|u^n - u^m\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|u^m\|_{H^s}\|\varphi^n - \varphi^m\|_{H^{s-1}}] \, d\tau.$$

Via Gronwall's inequality we get, for some $C_1 > 0$ independent of t,

$$\|\varphi^n(t) - \varphi^m(t)\|_{H^{s-1}} \leq C_1 \int_0^t \|u^n(\tau) - u^m(\tau)\|_{H^{s-1}} \, d\tau. \tag{3.7}$$

Thus there exists a continuous limit curve $\varphi(t) - \text{Id} \in H^{s-1}$.

Step 3: *Convergence in* $H^{s'}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ *with* $s - 1 < s' < s$. We apply the following interpolation inequality (see, e.g., [Inc12, Lem. B.4]):

$$\|f\|_{H^{\lambda\sigma+(1-\lambda)s}} \leq C_2 \|f\|_{H^\sigma}^\lambda \|f\|_{H^s}^{1-\lambda},$$

valid for $0 \leq \sigma \leq s$, $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and a constant C_2 independent of f. Choose $\sigma = s - 1$ and $0 < \lambda \leq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\varphi^n(t) - \varphi^m(t)\|_{H^{s-\lambda}} &\leq C_2 \|\varphi^n(t) - \varphi^m(t)\|_{H^{s-1}}^\lambda \|\varphi^n(t) - \varphi^m(t)\|_{H^s}^{1-\lambda} \\
&\leq C_2 \|\varphi^n(t) - \varphi^m(t)\|_{H^{s-1}}^\lambda (\|\varphi^n(t) - \mathrm{Id}\|_{H^s} + \|\varphi^m(t) - \mathrm{Id}\|_{H^s})^{1-\lambda} \\
&\leq C_2 \|\varphi^n(t) - \varphi^m(t)\|_{H^{s-1}}^\lambda (2\varepsilon)^{1-\lambda}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi^n(t) - \mathrm{Id} \to \varphi(t) - \mathrm{Id}$ in H^{s-1}, uniformly in t, it follows that $(\varphi^n(t) - \mathrm{Id})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $H^{s'}$ for $s - 1 \leq s' < s$, uniformly in t. As $\varphi^n(t) - \mathrm{Id}$ converges to $\varphi(t) - \mathrm{Id}$ in H^{s-1}, it must also converge to the same limit in $H^{s'}$. By applying Lemma 3.3 we see that $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{s'}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and that it is the $\mathcal{D}^{s'}$-valued flow of u. $\qquad\square$

4. Existence of the flow map

The main result of this section is the existence and continuity of the flow map

$$\mathrm{Fl} : L^1(I, \mathfrak{X}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)) \to C(I, \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d))$$

for $s > d/2 + 1$, with I being a compact interval containing 0. This result will be the crucial ingredient in proving that the group $\mathcal{G}_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)}$, introduced in Sect. 8, coincides with the connected component of the identity of $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We now make some comments about this result.

Since the flow φ of a vector field u is defined as the solution of the ODE

$$\partial_t \varphi(t) = u(t) \circ \varphi(t), \qquad \varphi(0) = \mathrm{Id}, \tag{4.1}$$

the first attempt at showing the existence of φ would be to consider (4.1) as an ODE in $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$—the latter being, up to translation by Id, an open subset of the Hilbert space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$—with the right hand side given by the vector field

$$U : I \times \mathcal{D}^s \to H^s, \quad U(t, \varphi) = u(t) \circ \varphi. \tag{4.2}$$

This runs into two sets of difficulties.

Firstly, the Picard–Lindelöf theory of ODEs requires the right hand side $f(t, x)$ of an ODE to be (locally) Lipschitz continuous in x and continuous in t. Under these conditions the theorem of Picard–Lindelöf guarantees the local existence of integral curves. In our case the right hand side is not continuous in t, but only L^1. The usual way to prove existence of solutions in the framework of Picard–Lindelöf involves the Banach fixed point theorem, and the proof can be generalized without much difficulty to ODEs that are not continuous in t. It is enough to require that $f(t, x)$ is Lipschitz in x and only

measurable in t and that the Lipschitz constants are locally integrable, i.e., there exists a function $\ell(t)$ with $\int \ell(t)\,dt < \infty$ such that

$$\|f(t, x_1) - f(t, x_2)\| \le \ell(t)\|x_1 - x_2\|$$

is valid for all x_1, x_2 and t-almost everywhere. This class of differential equations is called ordinary differential equations of *Carathéodory type*. We have summarized the key facts about ODEs of Carathéodory type in the Appendix.

Secondly, the vector field U from (4.2) is also non-Lipschitz in φ. The composition map $H^s \times \mathcal{D}^s \to H^s$ is continuous, but not Lipschitz continuous. In finite dimensions the theorem of Peano shows that vector fields $f(t, x)$ that are continuous in t and x have flows, but the flows might fail to be unique. In infinite dimensions this is not the case anymore; an example of a continuous vector field without a flow can be found in [Dei77, Example 2.1].

For a continuous vector field u, i.e., $u \in C(I, H^s)$, the existence of a $\mathcal{D}^s$-valued flow has been shown in [FM72] and using different methods also in [BB74] and [Inc12]. We will briefly review the proofs to choose the one that most easily generalizes to vector fields $u \in L^1(I, H^s)$.

If we only require $s > d/2 + 2$, then the proof is much shorter than the more general case $s > d/2 + 1$ and can be found already in [EM70]. First one considers the equation (4.1) as an ODE on $\mathcal{D}^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Due to the properties of the composition map, the vector field $U : I \times \mathcal{D}^{s-1} \to H^{s-1}$ is a C^1-vector field and hence has a $\mathcal{D}^{s-1}$-valued flow φ. This is worked out in detail in Lemma 4.2 below. To show that $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^s$, one considers the differential equation for $D\varphi(t)$,

$$\partial_t(D\varphi(t) - \mathrm{Id}_{d \times d}) = (Du(t) \circ \varphi(t)).(D\varphi(t) - \mathrm{Id}_{d \times d}) + Du(t) \circ \varphi(t).$$

This is a linear differential equation on H^{s-1}, thus showing $D\varphi - \mathrm{Id}_{d \times d} \in H^{s-1}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^s$. The details of this argument can be found in Lemma 4.1.

Improving the hypothesis on s to $s > d/2 + 1$ requires a bit of work. For vector fields $u \in C(I, H^s)$ that are continuous in time and not just L^1 this result has been proven by three different methods.

1. The approach used in [FM72] was to derive an equation for $\varphi^{-1}(t)$ instead of $\varphi(t)$. Write $\varphi^{-1}(t) = \mathrm{Id} + f(t)$ with $f(t) \in H^s$. Then $\partial_t \varphi^{-1}(t) = -D\varphi^{-1}(t).u(t)$ and so $f(t)$ satisfies the equation

$$\partial_t f(t) = -Df(t).u(t) - u(t). \tag{4.3}$$

This is a linear, symmetric, hyperbolic system and the theory developed in [FM72] can be applied to show that, given $u \in C(I, H^s)$, the system (4.3) has a solution $f(t) \in H^s$ and hence $\varphi^{-1}(t) \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$. To extend this method to vector fields that are only L^1 in t, one would need a theory of linear, hyperbolic systems with nonsmooth (in t) coefficients.

2. The method of [BB74] covers not only the groups $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which are based on the spaces H^s, but the more general family $W^{s,p}$ and the corresponding diffeomorphism groups, which we shall denote by $\mathcal{D}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. One can prove that vector fields $u \in C(I, W^{s,p})$ with $s > d/p + 1$ have $\mathcal{D}^{s,p}$-valued flows. The existing proof is for

$s \in \mathbb{N}$ only and proceeds by induction on s. The induction step uses the fact that given s satisfying $s > d/p + 1$ we can find $p' > p$ such that $s - 1 > d/p' + 1$, and hence we can apply the induction hypothesis to the pair $(s - 1, p')$. Extending this method to $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and vector fields $u \in L^1(I, W^{s,p})$ would require studying properties of the composition map on the spaces $\mathcal{D}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$—this has not been done yet for $s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{N}$.

3. The idea of [Inc12, App. B] is to approximate a vector field $u \in C(I, H^s)$ by a sequence of vector fields in H^{s+1} and then to show that the corresponding flows converge as well. This method is ideally suited to be generalized from continuous vector fields to L^1 vector fields and it will be the path we follow here.

To prepare the proof of the main result, Theorem 4.4, we will need some lemmas. The first lemma—which can be traced back to [EM70, Lem. 3.3]—shows that the flow of a vector field is as regular as the vector field itself.

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $d/2 + 1 < s' \leq s$ *and* $u \in L^1(I, H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d))$. *Assume* u *has a flow in* $\mathcal{D}^{s'}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. *Then in fact* $\varphi \in C(I, \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d))$.

Proof. We will first handle the case $s' < s \leq s' + 1$. This is equivalent to $s - 1 \leq s' < s$. Our aim is to show that $D\varphi(t) - \text{Id}_{d \times d}$ is a continuous curve in $H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$, implying that $\varphi(t) - \text{Id}$ is a continuous curve in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$. Note that the derivative $D\varphi(t)$ satisfies the following ODE in $H^{s'-1}$, t-a.e.:

$$\partial_t(D\varphi(t) - \text{Id}_{d \times d}) = (Du(t) \circ \varphi(t)).(D\varphi(t) - \text{Id}_{d \times d}) + Du(t) \circ \varphi(t). \tag{4.4}$$

Consider the linear, inhomogeneous, matrix-valued differential equation

$$\partial_t A(t) = (Du(t) \circ \varphi(t)).A(t) + Du(t) \circ \varphi(t) \tag{4.5}$$

on $H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$. Since H^{s-1} is a Banach algebra, we can interpret $Du(t) \circ \varphi(t)$ as an element of $L(H^{s-1})$, i.e., a linear map from H^{s-1} to itself, and there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|Du(t) \circ \varphi(t)\|_{L(H^{s-1})} \leq C\|Du(t) \circ \varphi(t)\|_{H^{s-1}}.$$

Lemma 3.2 shows that $Du(t) \circ \varphi(t)$ is Bochner integrable in $H^{s'}$ and thus in H^{s-1}. This allows us to apply the existence theorem for linear Carathéodory equations (Thm. A.3), giving us a solution $A \in C(I, H^{s-1})$ of (4.5). Since $D\varphi - \text{Id}_{d \times d}$ satisfies (4.4) in $H^{s'-1}$ and $A(t)$ satisfies (4.5) in H^{s-1}, it follows that they are equal, $D\varphi(t) - \text{Id}_{d \times d} = A(t)$, thus showing that $D\varphi(t) - \text{Id}_{d \times d} \in H^{s-1}$.

In the general case we have $s' + k < s \leq s' + k + 1$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The argument above proved the lemma for $k = 0$. If $k \geq 1$, we apply the above argument with the pair $(s', s' + 1)$ in place of (s', s). This shows that $\varphi(t) \in \mathcal{D}^{s'+1}$. Then we can apply the argument with $(s' + 1, s' + 2)$ to obtain $\varphi(t) \in \mathcal{D}^{s'+2}$, and so one shows inductively

$$\varphi(t) \in \mathcal{D}^{s'} \;\Rightarrow\; \varphi(t) \in \mathcal{D}^{s'+1} \;\Rightarrow\; \cdots \;\Rightarrow\; \varphi(t) \in \mathcal{D}^{s'+k} \;\Rightarrow\; \varphi(t) \in \mathcal{D}^s.$$

In the last step we use the argument with the pair $(s' + k, s)$ to conclude that $\varphi(t) \in \mathcal{D}^s$. $\square$

As stated in the introduction to this section, we will first show the existence of flows for H^s vector fields when $s > d/2 + 2$. This involves applying the existence theorem for Carathéodory differential equations to the equation (4.1).

Lemma 4.2. *Let $s > d/2 + 2$ and $u \in L^1([0, 1], H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d))$. Then u has a flow in $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

Proof. For $\varepsilon > 0$ define the open ball

$$B_\varepsilon^{s-1}(0) = \{f \in H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d) : \|f\|_{H^{s-1}} < \varepsilon\}.$$

Since $s - 1 > d/2 + 1$, Lemma 2.2 yields an $\varepsilon > 0$ and a constant $C = C(\varepsilon)$ such that $\mathrm{Id} + B_\varepsilon^{s-1}(0) \subseteq \mathcal{D}^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and the estimates

$$\|u \circ \varphi_1 - u \circ \varphi_2\|_{H^{s-1}} \le C\|u\|_{H^s}\|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|_{H^{s-1}},$$
$$\|u \circ \varphi\|_{H^{s-1}} \le C\|u\|_{H^{s-1}}$$

are valid for all $u \in H^s$ and all $\varphi, \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathrm{Id} + B_\varepsilon^{s-1}(0)$.

Using the decomposition method of Remark 3.4 it is enough to show the existence of the flow when $C\|u\|_{L^1} < \varepsilon$. Under this assumption, define the vector field

$$U : I \times B_\varepsilon^{s-1}(0) \to H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d), \quad U(t, f) = u(t) \circ (\mathrm{Id} + f),$$

where $u(t)$ is given. The mapping U has the Carathéodory property (Def. A.1), because composition is continuous in $\mathcal{D}^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and H^{s-1} is separable. The functions $m(t)$ and $\ell(t)$ required in Theorem A.2 are given by $m(t) = C\|u(t)\|_{H^{s-1}}$ and $\ell(t) = C\|u(t)\|_{H^s}$. Then by Theorem A.2 we have a solution $\varphi \in C([0, 1], \mathcal{D}^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ of the equation

$$\varphi(t) = \mathrm{Id} + \int_0^t u(\tau) \circ \varphi(\tau) \, d\tau.$$

Thus φ is the $\mathcal{D}^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$-valued flow of u, and Lemma 4.1 shows that in fact φ is $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$-valued. $\square$

The next lemma shows how to approximate vector fields in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by a sequence of vector fields in $H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, whilst preserving integrability in time.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $s \ge 0$ and $f \in L^1(I, H^s(\mathbb{R}^d))$. For $k \ge 0$, define $\chi(\xi) = \mathbb{1}_{\{|\xi| \le k\}}(\xi)$ and let $\chi_k(D)$ be the corresponding Fourier multiplier. Then*

$$\chi_k(D)f \in L^1(I, H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^d)),$$

and $\chi_k(D)f \to f$ in $L^1(I, H^s(\mathbb{R}^d))$ as $k \to \infty$.

Proof. For all $t \in I$ we have

$$\|\chi_k(D)f(t)\|_{H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 = \int_{|\xi| \le k} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{s+1} |\widehat{f(t)}(\xi)|^2 \, d\xi \le (1 + k^2)\|f(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2,$$

and thus $\chi_k(D)f \in L^1(I, H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^d))$; in fact, $\chi_k(D)f(t) \in H^\infty$, but this will not be needed here.

To show convergence we note that

$$\|\chi_k(D)f(t) - f(t)\|^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \int_{|\xi|>k} (1 + |\xi|^2)^s |\widehat{f(t)}(\xi)|^2 \, d\xi \le \|f(t)\|^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

By the dominated convergence theorem we obtain first

$$\int_{|\xi|>k} (1 + |\xi|^2)^s |\widehat{f(t)}(\xi)|^2 \, d\xi \to 0$$

for all $t \in I$ and thus $\chi_k(D)f(t) \to f(t)$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and by applying it again,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \|\chi_k(D)f - f\|_{L^1(I, H^s)} = \int_0^1 \lim_{k \to \infty} \|\chi_k(D)f(t) - f(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \, dt = 0,$$

showing that $\chi_k(D)f \to f$ in L^1. $\qquad\square$

We are now ready to prove the main theorem.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $s > d/2+1$ and $u \in L^1(I, H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d))$. Then u has a $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$-valued flow and the map*

$$\mathrm{Fl} : L^1(I, H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)) \to C(I, \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)), \quad u \mapsto \varphi,$$

is continuous.

Proof. Given $u \in L^1(I, H^s)$, it follows from Lemma 4.3 that there exists a sequence $u^n \in L^1(I, H^{s+1})$ converging to u,

$$u^n \to u \quad \text{in } L^1(I, H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)).$$

According to Lemma 4.2, each u^n has a $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$-valued flow; in fact they have $\mathcal{D}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$-valued flows. As $u^n \to u$ in L^1, it was shown in Theorem 3.7 that u itself has a $\mathcal{D}^{s'}(\mathbb{R}^d)$-valued flow φ for each s' with $d/2 + 1 < s' < s$ and that $\varphi^n \to \varphi$ in $C(I, \mathcal{D}^{s'}(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Finally, we use the regularity result from Lemma 4.1 to conclude that the flow φ of u is $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$-valued.

To prove the continuity of the flow map, consider a sequence u^n converging to u in $L^1(I, H^s)$ and denote by φ^n and φ the $\mathcal{D}^s$-valued flows of u^n and u respectively. The H^s-norm $\|u\|_{H^s}$ is equivalent to the norm $\|u\|_{L^2} + \|Du\|_{H^{s-1}}$, and since $\varphi^n(t) \to \varphi(t)$ uniformly in $\mathcal{D}^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we only need to show that $D\varphi^n(t) - D\varphi(t) \to 0$ uniformly in H^{s-1}. We will do this by applying Gronwall's lemma to

$$D\varphi^n(t) - D\varphi(t) = \int_0^t [(Du^n(\tau) \circ \varphi^n(\tau)).D\varphi^n(\tau) - (Du(\tau) \circ \varphi(\tau)).D\varphi(\tau)] \, d\tau.$$

Taking norms we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|D\varphi^n(t) - D\varphi(t)\|_{H^{s-1}} \leq \int_0^t [&\|(Du^n(\tau) \circ \varphi^n(\tau)).(D\varphi^n(\tau) - D\varphi(\tau))\|_{H^{s-1}} \\
&+ \|(Du^n(\tau) \circ \varphi^n(\tau) - Du(\tau) \circ \varphi(\tau)).D\varphi(\tau)\|_{H^{s-1}}]\, d\tau
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq \int_0^t [&C\|Du^n(\tau) \circ \varphi^n(\tau)\|_{H^{s-1}}\|D\varphi^n(\tau) - D\varphi(\tau)\|_{H^{s-1}} \\
&+ \|Du^n(\tau) \circ \varphi^n(\tau) - Du(\tau) \circ \varphi(\tau)\|_{H^{s-1}}(1 + C\|D\varphi(\tau) - \mathrm{Id}_{d\times d}\|_{H^{s-1}})]\, d\tau
\end{aligned}
$$

and the constant C arises from the boundedness of pointwise multiplication.

Choose s' with $s - 1 < s' < s$ and $s' > d/2 + 1$. As $\varphi(I) \subset \mathcal{D}^{s'}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is compact and $\varphi^n(t) \to \varphi(t)$ uniformly in $\mathcal{D}^{s'}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, it follows that the set $\{\varphi^n(t) : t \in I, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 2.2(2), i.e., $\det D\varphi^n(t, x)$ is bounded from below and $\|\varphi^n(t) - \mathrm{Id}\|_{H^{s'}}$ is bounded from above. Thus

$$
\|Du^n(\tau) \circ \varphi^n(\tau)\|_{H^{s-1}} \leq C_1\|Du^n(\tau)\|_{H^{s-1}} \leq C_2\|u^n(\tau)\|_{H^s}.
$$

Also note that $\|D\varphi(\tau) - \mathrm{Id}_{d\times d}\|_{H^{s-1}}$ is bounded, since $\varphi(I)$ is compact in $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Next we estimate, omitting the argument τ from now on,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|Du^n \circ \varphi^n - Du \circ \varphi\|_{H^{s-1}} &\leq \|(Du^n - Du) \circ \varphi^n\|_{H^{s-1}} + \|Du \circ \varphi^n - Du \circ \varphi\|_{H^{s-1}} \\
&\leq C_2\|u^n - u\|_{H^s} + \|Du \circ \varphi^n - Du \circ \varphi\|_{H^{s-1}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|D\varphi^n(t) - D\varphi(t)\|_{H^{s-1}} \leq{} &C_3 \int_0^t \|u^n\|_{H^s}\|D\varphi^n - D\varphi\|_{H^{s-1}}\, d\tau \\
&+ C_4\|u^n - u\|_{L^1(I, H^s)} + C_5 \int_0^1 \|Du \circ \varphi^n - Du \circ \varphi\|_{H^{s-1}}\, d\tau.
\end{aligned}
$$

In the last integral we note that since composition is a continuous map $H^{s-1} \times \mathcal{D}^{s'} \to H^{s-1}$, the integrand converges pointwise to 0 as $n \to \infty$. Because

$$
\|Du \circ \varphi^n - Du \circ \varphi\|_{H^{s-1}} \leq 2C_1\|Du\|_{H^{s-1}} \leq 2C_1\|u\|_{H^s},
$$

we can apply the dominated convergence theorem to conclude that

$$
\int_0^1 \|Du \circ \varphi^n - Du \circ \varphi\|_{H^{s-1}}\, d\tau \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.
$$

Thus we obtain, via Gronwall's inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|D\varphi^n(t) - D\varphi(t)\|_{H^{s-1}} \leq{} &\left(C_4\|u^n - u\|_{L^1(I, H^s)} + C_5 \int_0^1 \|Du \circ \varphi^n - Du \circ \varphi\|_{H^{s-1}}\, d\tau\right) \\
&\cdot \left(1 + C_3\|u^n\|_{L^1(I, H^s)} \exp(\|u^n\|_{L^1(I, H^s)})\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

the required uniform convergence of $D\varphi^n(t) - D\varphi(t) \to 0$ in H^{s-1}. $\qquad\square$

5. Diffeomorphisms of a compact manifold

5.1. Sobolev spaces on domains. Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a *Lipschitz domain*, i.e., a bounded open set with a Lipschitz boundary. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$ we can define the Sobolev space on U as the set of restrictions of functions on the whole space,

$$H^s(U, \mathbb{R}^n) = \{g|_U : g \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^n)\},$$

and a norm is given by

$$\|f\|_{H^s(U)} = \inf\{\|g\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} : g|_U = f\}.$$

For each Lipschitz domain U and each $s \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists an extension operator (see [Ryc99]), i.e., a bounded linear map

$$E_U : H^s(U, \mathbb{R}^n) \to H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^n).$$

5.2. Sobolev spaces on compact manifolds. Throughout this section, we make the following assumption:

> M is a d-dimensional compact manifold and N an n-dimensional manifold, both without boundary.

For $s \geq 0$ a function $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ belongs to $H^s(M)$ if around each point there exists a chart $\chi : \mathcal{U} \to U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $f \circ \chi^{-1} \in H^s(U, \mathbb{R})$. Similarly the space $\mathfrak{X}^s(M)$ of vector fields consists of sections $u : M \to TM$ such that around each point there exists a chart with $T\chi \circ u \circ \chi^{-1} \in H^s(U, \mathbb{R}^d)$.

To define the spaces $H^s(M, N)$ we require $s > d/2$. A continuous map $f : M \to N$ belongs to $H^s(M, N)$ if for each point $x \in M$, there exists a chart $\chi : \mathcal{U} \to U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ of M around x and a chart $\eta : \mathcal{V} \to V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ of N around $f(x)$ such that $\eta \circ f \circ \chi^{-1} \in H^s(U, \mathbb{R}^n)$. If $N = \mathbb{R}$, then $H^s(M) = H^s(M, \mathbb{R})$, and $\mathfrak{X}^s(M) \subset H^s(M, TM)$ consists of those $u \in H^s(M, TM)$ with $\pi_{TM} \circ u = \mathrm{Id}_M$.

In order to define norms on $H^s(M)$ and $\mathfrak{X}^s(M)$ and to introduce a differentiable structure on $H^s(M, N)$, we define, following [IKT13], a special class of atlases.

Definition 5.3. A cover $\mathcal{U}_J = (\mathcal{U}_i)_{i \in J}$ of M by coordinate charts $\chi_i : \mathcal{U}_i \to U_i \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is called a *fine cover* if:

(C1) J is finite and U_i are bounded Lipschitz domains in $\mathbb{R}^d$.
(C2) If $\mathcal{U}_i \cap \mathcal{U}_j \neq \emptyset$, then $\chi_j \circ \chi_i^{-1} \in C_b^\infty(\overline{\chi_i(\mathcal{U}_i \cap \mathcal{U}_j)}, \mathbb{R}^d)$.
(C3) If $\mathcal{U}_i \cap \mathcal{U}_j \neq \emptyset$, then the boundary of $\chi_i(\mathcal{U}_i \cap \mathcal{U}_j)$ is a bounded Lipschitz domain.

The spaces $H^s(M)$ and $\mathfrak{X}^s(M)$ are Hilbert spaces and a norm can be defined by choosing a fine cover $\mathcal{U}_J$ of M. On $H^s(M)$ the norm is

$$\|u\|_{H^s, \mathcal{U}_J}^2 = \sum_{i \in J} \|u \circ \chi_i^{-1}\|_{H^s(U_i)}^2.$$

Similarly for vector fields $u \in \mathfrak{X}^s(M)$ we define

$$\|u\|_{H^s,\mathcal{U}_J}^2 = \sum_{i \in J} \|T\chi_i \circ u \circ \chi_i^{-1}\|_{H^s(U_i,\mathbb{R}^d)}^2.$$

In the above formula we identify the coordinate expression $T\chi_i \circ u \circ \chi_i^{-1} : U_i \to TU_i$ with a map $U_i \to \mathbb{R}^d$ obtained by projecting $TU_i = U_i \times \mathbb{R}^d$ to the second component. The norms depend on the chosen cover, but choosing another fine cover will lead to equivalent norms. We will write $\|u\|_{H^s}$ for the norms on $H^s(M)$ and $\mathfrak{X}^s(M)$.

5.4. Diffeomorphism groups on compact manifolds. To define a differentiable structure on $H^s(M, N)$ we introduce the notion of adapted fine covers. For details on these constructions and full proofs we refer the reader to [IKT13, Sect. 3].

Definition 5.5. A triple $(\mathcal{U}_J, \mathcal{V}_J, f)$ consisting of $f \in H^s(M, N)$, a fine cover $\mathcal{U}_J$ of M and a fine cover $\mathcal{V}_J$ of $\bigcup_{i \in J} \mathcal{V}_i \subseteq N$ is called a *fine cover with respect to f* or *adapted to f* if $\overline{f(\mathcal{U}_i)} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_i$ for all $i \in J$.

Given $f \in H^s(M, N)$ one can show that there always exists a fine cover adapted to it. Let $(\mathcal{U}_J, \mathcal{V}_J, f)$ be such a fine cover and define the subset $\mathcal{O}^s = \mathcal{O}^s(\mathcal{U}_J, \mathcal{V}_J)$ by

$$\mathcal{O}^s = \{h \in H^s(M, N) : \overline{h(\mathcal{U}_i)} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_i\},$$

as well as the map

$$\iota = \iota_{\mathcal{U}_J,\mathcal{V}_J} : \mathcal{O}^s \to \bigoplus_{i \in J} H^s(U_i, \mathbb{R}^d), \quad h \mapsto (\eta_i \circ h \circ \chi_i^{-1})_{i \in J},$$

where $\chi_i : \mathcal{U}_i \to U_i$ and $\eta_i : \mathcal{V}_i \to V_i$ are the charts associated to $\mathcal{U}_i$ and $\mathcal{V}_i$ respectively. Then $\iota(\mathcal{O}^s)$ is a C^∞-submanifold of $\bigoplus_{i \in J} H^s(U_i, \mathbb{R}^d)$. We define a topology on $H^s(M, N)$ by letting the sets $\mathcal{O}^s(\mathcal{U}_J, \mathcal{V}_J)$ form a basis of open sets, and we use the maps $\iota_{\mathcal{U}_J,\mathcal{V}_J}$ to define a differentiable structure making $H^s(M, N)$ into a C^∞-Hilbert manifold. This differentiable structure is compatible with the one introduced in [Eel66, Pal68] and used in [EM70].

For $s > d/2 + 1$ the diffeomorphism group $\mathcal{D}^s(M)$ can be defined by

$$\mathcal{D}^s(M) = \{\varphi \in H^s(M, M) : \varphi \text{ bijective}, \varphi^{-1} \in H^s(M, M)\}$$
$$= \{\varphi \in H^s(M, M) : \varphi \in \text{Diff}^1(M)\},$$

with $\text{Diff}^1(M)$ denoting the C^1-diffeomorphisms of M. The diffeomorphism group is an open subset of $H^s(M, M)$ and a topological group.

It will later be convenient to work with fine covers $(\mathcal{U}_J, \mathcal{V}_J, \text{Id})$ of M adapted to the identity map with the additional constraint that the coordinate charts of $\mathcal{U}_J$ and $\mathcal{V}_J$ are the same, i.e., $\chi_i = \eta_i|_{\mathcal{U}_i}$. Such covers can always be constructed by starting with a fine cover $\mathcal{V}_J$ of M and shrinking each set $\mathcal{V}_i$ slightly to $\mathcal{U}_i$, so that the smaller sets still cover M and $\overline{\mathcal{U}_i} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_i$. Then $(\mathcal{U}_J, \mathcal{V}_J, \text{Id})$ is an adapted cover.

5.6. Flows on compact manifolds. Given a vector field $u \in L^1(I, \mathfrak{X}^s(M))$ with I a compact interval containing 0, we call a map $\varphi : I \times M \to M$ the *pointwise flow* of u if $\varphi(0, x) = x$ and for each pair $(t, x) \in I \times M$ there exists a coordinate chart $\chi : \mathcal{U} \to U$ around x and a chart $\eta : \mathcal{V} \to V$ around $\varphi(t, x)$ such that with $v = T\eta \circ u \circ \eta^{-1}$ and $\psi = \eta \circ \varphi \circ \chi^{-1}$ the flow equation

$$\psi(s, y) = \psi(t, x) + \int_t^s v(\tau, \psi(\tau, y)) \, d\tau$$

holds for (s, y) close to $(t, \chi(x))$. For smooth vector fields this coincides with the usual definition of a flow.

If additionally $\varphi \in C(I, \mathcal{D}^s(M))$, i.e., φ is a continuous curve with values in $\mathcal{D}^s(M)$, then we call φ the $\mathcal{D}^s(M)$-*valued flow* of u. In this case let $(\mathcal{U}_J, \mathcal{V}_J, \varphi(t))$ be a fine cover adapted to $\varphi(t)$ with $t \in I$ and set $u_i(t) = T\eta_i \circ u(t) \circ \eta_i^{-1}$ and $\varphi_i(t) = \eta_i \circ \varphi(t) \circ \chi_i^{-1}$. Then the equality

$$\varphi_i(s) = \varphi_i(t) + \int_t^s u_i(\tau) \circ \varphi_i(\tau) \, d\tau$$

holds for s close to t as an identity in $H^s(U_i, \mathbb{R}^d)$.

5.7. Existence of flows. To deal with vector fields and flows on M, we need to pass to coordinate charts. The following is a general technique that will be useful throughout the section. Fix a fine cover $(\mathcal{U}_J, \mathcal{V}_J, \mathrm{Id})$ of M with respect to Id with $\chi_j = \eta_j|_{\mathcal{U}_j}$ and let $u \in L^1(I, \mathfrak{X}^s(M))$ be a vector field. We define its coordinate expression

$$v_j = T\eta_j \circ u \circ \eta_j^{-1} \in L^1(I, \mathfrak{X}^s(V_j)),$$

and extend these vector fields to all of $\mathbb{R}^d$ using the extension operators E_{V_j},

$$w_j = E_{V_j} v_j \in L^1(I, \mathfrak{X}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

Note the equivalences of norms

$$\|u\|_{L^1(I, \mathfrak{X}^s(M))} \sim \sum_{j \in J} \|v_j\|_{L^1(I, \mathfrak{X}^s(V_j))} \sim \sum_{j \in J} \|w_j\|_{L^1(I, \mathfrak{X}^s(\mathbb{R}^d))}. \tag{5.1}$$

From Theorem 4.4 we know that the vector fields w_j have flows

$$\psi_j = \mathrm{Fl}(w_j) \in C(I, \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

To glue them together to a flow of u, the flows ψ_j must not be too far away from the identity. To ensure this, we fix ε as in Lemma 5.9 below and assume from now on that $\|u\|_{L^1(I, \mathfrak{X}^s(M))} < \varepsilon$. Then Lemma 5.9 implies that $\overline{\psi_j(U_j)} \subseteq V_j$ and we define

$$\varphi(t)|_{\mathcal{U}_j} = \chi_j^{-1} \circ \psi_j(t) \circ \chi_j. \tag{5.2}$$

It is shown in Lemma 5.10 that $\varphi(t)$ is well-defined and $\varphi(t) \in \mathcal{D}^s(M)$. It also follows from (5.2) that $\overline{\varphi(t)(\mathcal{U}_j)} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_j$, and thus $\varphi(t) \in \mathcal{O}^s(\mathcal{U}_J, \mathcal{V}_J)$ and

$$\iota(\varphi(t)) = (\psi_j(t)|_{\mathcal{U}_j})_{j \in J} \in \bigoplus_{j \in J} H^s(U_j, \mathbb{R}^d).$$

Obviously φ is the $\mathcal{D}^s$-valued flow of u. This leads to the following result on existence and continuity of the flow map.

Theorem 5.8. *Let $s > d/2 + 1$ and $u \in L^1(I, \mathfrak{X}^s(M))$. Then u has a $\mathcal{D}^s$-valued flow φ and for each $t \in I$ the map*

$$\mathrm{Fl}_t : L^1(I, \mathfrak{X}^s(M)) \to \mathcal{D}^s(M), \quad u \mapsto \varphi(t),$$

is continuous.

Proof. The above discussion shows the existence of a $\mathcal{D}^s$-valued flow φ for vector fields u with $\|u\|_{L^1} < \varepsilon$, with ε given by Lemma 5.9. To show that Fl_t is continuous, let $u^n \to u$ in $L^1(I, \mathfrak{X}^s(M))$. Since the norms in (5.1) are equivalent, it follows that $w_j^n \to w_j$ in $L^1(I, \mathfrak{X}^s(\mathbb{R}^d))$, and by Theorem 4.4 also $\psi_j^n \to \psi_j$ in $C(I, \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Thus we see that $\iota(\varphi^n(t)) \to \iota(\varphi(t))$ in $\bigoplus_{j \in J} H^s(U_j, \mathbb{R}^d)$, which implies $\varphi^n(t) \to \varphi(t)$ in $\mathcal{D}^s(M)$.

Using Remark 3.4 we can extend these results from vector fields u with $\|u\|_{L^1} < \varepsilon$ to all vector fields. $\qquad\square$

Now we prove the two lemmas that were used in the above proofs.

Lemma 5.9. *Let $s > d/2 + 1$ and $(\mathcal{U}_J, \mathcal{V}_J, \mathrm{Id})$ be a fine cover of M with respect to Id with $\chi_j = \eta_j$. Then there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $\|u\|_{L^1(I, \mathfrak{X}^s(M))} < \varepsilon$, then $\overline{\psi_j(t)(U_j)} \subseteq V_j$ for all $j \in J$.*

Proof. As $(\mathcal{U}_J, \mathcal{V}_J, \mathrm{Id})$ is a fine cover, it follows that for $U_j = \chi_j(\mathcal{U}_j)$ and $V_j = \chi_j(\mathcal{V}_j)$ we have $\overline{U_j} \subseteq V_j$ and all these sets are bounded. Thus there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\overline{U_j + B_\delta(0)} \subseteq V_j,$$

where $B_\delta(0)$ is the δ-ball in $\mathbb{R}^d$. By Theorem 4.4 there exists ε such that if $\|w_j\|_{L^1} < \varepsilon$, then $\|\psi_j - \mathrm{Id}\|_\infty < \delta$, i.e., for all $(t, x) \in I \times \mathbb{R}^d$ we have $|\psi(t, x) - x| < \delta$; in particular this implies $\psi_j(t)(U_j) \subseteq U_j + B_\delta(0)$ and thus $\overline{\psi_j(t)(U_j)} \subseteq V_j$. Using (5.1) we can bound $\|w_j\|_{L^1}$ via a bound on $\|u\|_{L^1}$. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 5.10. *Let $s > d/2 + 1$ and $(\mathcal{U}_J, \mathcal{V}_J, \mathrm{Id})$ be a fine cover of M with respect to Id with $\chi_j = \eta_j|_{\mathcal{U}_j}$. With ε as in Lemma 5.9, take a vector field u with $\|u\|_{L^1(I, \mathfrak{X}^s(M))} < \varepsilon$ and define $\varphi(t)$ via (5.2). Then $\varphi(t)$ is well-defined and $\varphi(t) \in \mathcal{D}^s(M)$ for all $t \in I$.*

Proof. To show that $\varphi(t)$ is well-defined we need to show that whenever $\mathcal{U}_i \cap \mathcal{U}_j \neq \emptyset$, we have on that intersection the identity

$$\eta_i^{-1} \circ \psi_i(t) \circ \eta_i = \eta_j^{-1} \circ \psi_j(t) \circ \eta_j.$$

Omitting the argument t, we note that the identity $T\eta_i \circ u = v_i \circ \eta_i$ means that u is η_i-related to v_i, i.e., $u \sim_{\eta_i} v_i$; hence on $\eta_i(\mathcal{U}_i \cap \mathcal{U}_j)$ we have the relation $u_i \sim_{\eta_j \circ \eta_i^{-1}} u_j$, implying for the flows the identity

$$\eta_j \circ \eta_i^{-1} \circ \psi_i(t) = \psi_j(t) \circ \eta_j \circ \eta_i^{-1},$$

and thus showing the well-definedness of $\varphi(t)$. From (5.2) we see that $\varphi(t) \in H^s(M, M)$, that $\varphi(t)$ is invertible and that $\varphi^{-1}(t) \in H^s(M, M)$ as well. Thus $\varphi(t) \in \mathcal{D}^s(M)$. □

The following lemma is a generalization of Lemma 2.2 to manifolds. Its main use will be when reformulated as a local equivalence of inner products in Sect. 6.

Lemma 5.11. *Let $s > d/2 + 1$ and $0 \leq s' \leq s$. Given $r > 0$ there exists a constant C such that*

$$\|v \circ \varphi\|_{H^{s'}} \leq C\|v\|_{H^{s'}}, \tag{5.3}$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^s(M)$ that can be written as $\varphi = \mathrm{Fl}_1(u)$ with $\|u\|_{L^1} < r$, and all $v \in H^{s'}(M)$ or $v \in \mathfrak{X}^{s'}(M)$.

Proof. Choose a fine cover $(\mathcal{U}_J, \mathcal{V}_J, \mathrm{Id})$ of M with respect to Id with $\chi_i = \eta_i|_{\mathcal{U}_i}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that if $\varphi = \mathrm{Fl}(u)$ with $\|u\|_{L^1} < \varepsilon$ then $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}^s(\mathcal{U}_J, \mathcal{V}_J)$. Such an ε exists, because $\mathcal{O}^s$ is open in $\mathcal{D}^s(M)$ and Fl_1 is continuous. We will show the inequality (5.3) first for $r \leq \varepsilon$.

Given $\varphi = \mathrm{Fl}_1(u)$ with $\|u\|_{L^1} < \varepsilon$, define $\varphi_i = \eta_i \circ \varphi \circ \eta_i^{-1}$ and $u_i = T\eta_i \circ u \circ \eta_i^{-1}$, the extensions $\tilde{u}_i = E_{V_i} u_i$ and their flows $\tilde{\varphi}_i = \mathrm{Fl}_1(\tilde{u}_i)$. Given $f \in H^{s'}(M)$, we have

$$\|f \circ \varphi\|_{H^{s'}(M)} \sim \sum_{i \in I} \|(f \circ \varphi)_i\|_{H^{s'}(U_i)}$$

with $(f \circ \varphi)_i = f \circ \varphi \circ \eta_i^{-1}$. Setting $f_i = f \circ \eta_i$, since $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}^s$, we obtain $(f \circ \varphi)_i = f_i \circ \varphi_i = E_{V_i} f_i \circ \tilde{\varphi}_i$ on U_i, and thus

$$\|(f \circ \varphi)_i\|_{H^{s'}(U_i)} \leq \|E_{V_i} f_i \circ \tilde{\varphi}_i\|_{H^{s'}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_1 \|E_{V_i} f_i\|_{H^{s'}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_2 \|f_i\|_{H^{s'}(V_i)}.$$

The constant C_1 arises from Lemma 3.5, since all $\tilde{\varphi}_i$ are generated by vector fields with bounded norms. For $v \in \mathfrak{X}^{s'}(M)$ the proof proceeds in the same way.

When $r > \varepsilon$, we use the decomposition in Remark 3.4 to write $\varphi = \varphi^1 \circ \cdots \circ \varphi^N$ with $\varphi^k \in \mathcal{D}^s(M)$, where $\varphi^k = \mathrm{Fl}_1(u^k)$ with $\|u^k\|_{L^1} < \varepsilon$. Since N, the number of elements in the decomposition, depends only on r, the inequality (5.3) can be shown inductively for r of any size. □

To formulate the next lemma we need to introduce the geodesic distance of a right-invariant Riemannian metric on $\mathcal{D}^s(M)$. Fixing an inner product on $\mathfrak{X}^s(M)$, we define

$$\mathrm{dist}^s(\varphi, \psi) = \inf\{\|u\|_{L^1([0,1],\mathfrak{X}^s(M))} : \psi = \mathrm{Fl}_1(u) \circ \varphi\}.$$

In Sect. 6 it is shown that dist^s is indeed the geodesic distance associated to a Riemannian metric, and in Sect. 7 that the infimum is attained.

Lemma 5.12. *Let $s > d/2 + 1$. Given a fine cover $(\mathcal{U}_J, \mathcal{W}_J, \mathrm{Id})$ of M with respect to Id_M with $\chi_i = \eta_i$, there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ and a constant C such that for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^s(M)$, $\mathrm{dist}^s(\mathrm{Id}, \varphi) < \varepsilon$ implies $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}^s(\mathcal{U}_J, \mathcal{W}_J)$, and such that*

$$\sum_{i \in J} \|\varphi_i - \psi_i\|_{H^s(U_i)} \leq C \, \mathrm{dist}^s(\varphi, \psi)$$

for all $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}^s(M)$ in the metric ε-ball around Id in $\mathcal{D}^s(M)$; here $\varphi_i = \eta_i \circ \varphi \circ \eta_i^{-1}$ denotes the coordinate expression of φ.

Proof. Choose first an intermediate cover $\mathcal{V}_J = (\mathcal{V}_i)_{i \in J}$ such that both $(\mathcal{U}_J, \mathcal{V}_J, \mathrm{Id})$ and $(\mathcal{V}_J, \mathcal{W}_J, \mathrm{Id})$ are fine covers of M with respect to Id and they all use the same coordinate charts η_i. This implies in particular the inclusions $\overline{\mathcal{U}_i} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_i$ and $\overline{\mathcal{V}_i} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_i$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that

$$\mathrm{dist}^s(\mathrm{Id}, \varphi) < 3\varepsilon \implies \varphi \in \mathcal{O}^s(\mathcal{U}_J, \mathcal{V}_J) \text{ and } \varphi \in \mathcal{O}^s(\mathcal{V}_J, \mathcal{W}_J).$$

Note that since $\mathrm{dist}^s(\mathrm{Id}, \varphi) = \mathrm{dist}^s(\mathrm{Id}, \varphi^{-1})$, the same holds for φ^{-1}.

Let φ^1, φ^2 be inside the metric ε-ball around Id in $\mathcal{D}^s(M)$. Then

$$\mathrm{dist}^s(\varphi^1, \varphi^2) \leq \mathrm{dist}^s(\varphi^1, \mathrm{Id}) + \mathrm{dist}^s(\mathrm{Id}, \varphi^2) < 2\varepsilon.$$

Let v be a vector field with $\mathrm{Fl}_1(v) = \varphi^2 \circ (\varphi^1)^{-1}$ and $\|v\|_{L^1} < 2\varepsilon$. Denote its flow by $\psi(t) = \mathrm{Fl}_t(v)$. Then

$$\mathrm{dist}^s(\mathrm{Id}, \psi(t)) \leq \mathrm{dist}^s(\mathrm{Id}, \varphi^1) + \mathrm{dist}^s(\varphi^1, \psi(t)) < 3\varepsilon,$$

and thus $\psi(t) \in \mathcal{O}^s(\mathcal{V}_J, \mathcal{W}_J)$. Define $v_i(t) = T\eta_i \circ v(t) \circ \eta_i^{-1}$ and $\psi_i(t) = \eta_i \circ \psi(t) \circ \eta_i^{-1}$. Then $v_i(t) \in \mathfrak{X}^s(W_i)$ and

$$(\varphi^2 \circ (\varphi^1)^{-1})_i(x) - x = \int_0^1 v_i(t, \psi_i(t, x)) \, dt \quad \text{for } x \in V_i. \tag{5.4}$$

Because $\varphi^1, (\varphi^1)^{-1}, \varphi^2 \circ (\varphi^1)^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}^s(\mathcal{V}_J, \mathcal{W}_J)$ we have

$$(\varphi^2 \circ (\varphi^1)^{-1})_i(x) = \varphi_i^2 \circ (\varphi_i^1)(x) \quad \text{for } x \in V_i, \tag{5.5}$$

and since $\varphi^1 \in \mathcal{O}^s(\mathcal{U}_J, \mathcal{V}_J)$, equality (5.4) together with (5.5) implies

$$\varphi_i^2(x) - \varphi_i^1(x) = \int_0^1 v_i(t) \circ \psi_i(t) \circ \varphi_i^1(x) \, dt \quad \text{for } x \in U_i. \tag{5.6}$$

Note that the domain where the equality holds has shrunk from V_i to U_i. This is the reason for introducing the intermediate cover $\mathcal{V}_J$.

Since $\mathrm{dist}^s(\mathrm{Id}, \varphi^1) < \varepsilon$, we can write $\varphi^1 = \mathrm{Fl}_1(u^1)$ for a vector field u^1 with $\|u^1\|_{L^1} < \varepsilon$. Set $\varphi(t) = \mathrm{Fl}_t(u^1)$. Introduce the coordinate expressions $u_i^1 = T\eta_i \circ u^1 \circ \eta_i^{-1}$, extend them to $\tilde{u}_i^1 = E_{W_i} u_i^1$ and denote their flows by $\tilde{\varphi}_i(t) = \mathrm{Fl}_t(\tilde{u}_i)$. Since $\mathrm{dist}^s(\mathrm{Id}, \varphi(t)) < \varepsilon$, it follows that $\varphi(t) \in \mathcal{O}^s(\mathcal{U}_J, \mathcal{V}_J)$, and thus $\varphi_i(t, x) = \tilde{\varphi}_i(t, x)$ for $x \in U_i$; in particular $\varphi_i^1 = \tilde{\varphi}_i(1)$ on U_i.

Similarly we define the extension $\tilde{v}_i = E_{W_i} v_i$ and its flow $\tilde{\psi}_i(t) = \mathrm{Fl}_t(\tilde{v}_i)$, and by the same argument we obtain $\psi_i(t, x) = \tilde{\psi}_i(t, x)$ for all t and $x \in V_i$. The advantage is that $\tilde{\varphi}_i(1)$ and $\tilde{\psi}_i(t)$ are defined on all of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and are elements of $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Thus (5.6) can be written as

$$\varphi_i^2(x) - \varphi_i^1(x) = \int_0^1 \tilde{v}_i(t) \circ \tilde{\psi}_i(t) \circ \tilde{\varphi}_i(1)(x) \, dt \quad \text{for } x \in U_i,$$

and we can estimate

$$\|\varphi_i^2 - \varphi_i^1\|_{H^s(U_i)} \leq \int_0^1 \|\tilde{v}_i(t) \circ \tilde{\psi}_i(t) \circ \tilde{\varphi}_i(1)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \, dt$$

$$\leq C_1 \int_0^1 \|\tilde{v}_i(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \, dt \leq C_2 \|v\|_{L^1([0,1], \mathfrak{X}^s(M))}. \tag{5.7}$$

The constant C_1 comes from invoking Lemma 3.5, since both $\tilde{\varphi}_i$ and $\tilde{\psi}_i$ are generated by vector fields with bounded L^1-norms. Since v was taken to be any vector field with $\mathrm{Fl}_1(v) = \varphi^2 \circ (\varphi^1)^{-1}$, we can take the infimum over v in (5.7) to obtain

$$\|\varphi_i^1 - \varphi_i^2\|_{H^s(U_i)} \leq C_2 \, \mathrm{dist}^s(\varphi^1, \varphi^2),$$

from which the statement of the lemma easily follows.   □

6. Riemannian metrics on $\mathcal{D}^s(M)$

6.1. Strong metrics. Let (M, g) be $\mathbb{R}^d$ with the Euclidean metric or a closed d-dimensional Riemannian manifold and $s > d/2 + 1$. On the diffeomorphism group $\mathcal{D}^s(M)$ we put a right-invariant Sobolev metric G^s of order s, defined at the identity by

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{H^s} = \int_M g(u, Lv) \, d\mu \tag{6.1}$$

for $u, v \in \mathfrak{X}^s(M)$, where $L \in \mathrm{OPS}_{1,0}^{2s}$ is a positive, self-adjoint, elliptic operator of order $2s$. By right-invariance the metric is given by

$$G_\varphi^s(X_\varphi, Y_\varphi) = \langle X_\varphi \circ \varphi^{-1}, Y_\varphi \circ \varphi^{-1} \rangle_{H^s} \tag{6.2}$$

for $X_\varphi, Y_\varphi \in T_\varphi \mathcal{D}^s(M)$. Since $\mathcal{D}^s(M)$ is a topological group, the metric G^s is a continuous Riemannian metric.

When $s = n$ is an integer and the operator is

$$L = \mathrm{Id} + \Delta^n \quad \text{or} \quad L = (\mathrm{Id} + \Delta)^n,$$

where $\Delta u = (\delta du^\flat + d\delta u^\flat)^\sharp$ is the positive definite Hodge Laplacian or some other combination of intrinsically defined differential operators with smooth coefficient functions, then one can show that the metric G^n is in fact smooth on $\mathcal{D}^n(M)$. Since the inner products G^n generate the topology of the tangent spaces, this makes $(\mathcal{D}^n(M), G^n)$ into a

strong Riemannian manifold; see [EM70] and [MP10] for details and [Lan99] for infinite-dimensional Riemannian geometry for strong metrics.

The existence of strong metrics is somewhat surprising, since a result by Omori [Omo78] states that there exist no infinite-dimensional Banach–Lie groups acting effectively, transitively and smoothly on a compact manifold. $\mathcal{D}^s(M)$ acts effectively, transitively and smoothly on M. While $\mathcal{D}^s(M)$ is not a Lie group, but only a topological group with a smooth right-multiplication, the definition (6.2) of the metric uses the inversion, which is only a continuous operation. It turns out that one can have a smooth, strong, right-invariant Riemannian metric on a topological group that is not a Lie group.

Remark 6.2. Most of the results in this paper—in particular the existence and continuity of flow maps and estimates on the composition—depend only on the topology of the Sobolev spaces and are robust with respect to changes to equivalent inner products. The smoothness of the metric does not fall into this category. Assume $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$ are two equivalent inner products on $\mathfrak{X}^s(M)$ and denote by G^1 and G^2 the induced right-invariant Riemannian metrics on $\mathcal{D}^s(M)$. Then the smoothness of G^1 does not imply anything about the smoothness of G^2. To see this, factorize the map $(\varphi, X, Y) \mapsto G_\varphi(X, Y)$ into

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
T\mathcal{D}^s \times_{\mathcal{D}^s} T\mathcal{D}^s & \to & \mathfrak{X}^s \times \mathfrak{X}^s & \to & \mathbb{R}, \\
(\varphi, X, Y) & \mapsto & (X \circ \varphi^{-1}, Y \circ \varphi^{-1}) & \mapsto & \langle X \circ \varphi^{-1}, Y \circ \varphi^{-1} \rangle.
\end{array}
$$

Changing the inner product corresponds to changing the right part of the diagram. However the left part of the diagram is not smooth by itself, i.e., the map $(\varphi, X) \mapsto X \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is only continuous. The smoothness of the Riemannian metric is thus a property of the composition.

Open question. What class of inner products on $\mathfrak{X}^s(M)$ induces smooth right-invariant Riemannian metrics on $\mathcal{D}^s(M)$? Does this hold for all $s > d/2 + 1$, noninteger, and all metrics of the form (6.1)?

6.3. Geodesic distance. Given a right-invariant Sobolev metric G^s, the induced geodesic distance is

$$
\text{dist}^s(\varphi, \psi) = \inf\{\mathcal{L}(\eta) : \eta(0) = \varphi, \ \eta(1) = \psi\},
$$

with the length functional

$$
\mathcal{L}(\eta) = \int_0^1 \sqrt{G^s_{\eta(t)}(\partial_t \eta(t), \partial_t \eta(t))} \, dt,
$$

and the infimum is taken over all piecewise smooth paths. Due to right-invariance we have

$$
\mathcal{L}(\eta) = \|\partial_t \eta \circ \eta^{-1}\|_{L^1([0,1], \mathfrak{X}^s(M))},
$$

where $\mathfrak{X}^s(M)$ is equipped with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H^s}$. Since piecewise smooth paths are dense in L^1, one can also compute the distance via

$$
\text{dist}^s(\varphi, \psi) = \inf\{\|u\|_{L^1([0,1], \mathfrak{X}^s(M))} : \psi = \text{Fl}_1(u) \circ \varphi\}.
$$

It was shown in Theorems 4.4 and 5.8 that the flow map is well-defined. To define the geodesic distance a continuous Riemannian metric is sufficient, and thus the following results hold for $s > d/2 + 1$.

6.4. Uniform equivalence of inner products. Since the open geodesic ball around Id of radius r coincides with the set

$$\{\mathrm{Fl}_1(u) : \|u\|_{L^1([0,1],\mathfrak{X}^s(M))} < r\} = \{\varphi : \mathrm{dist}^s(\mathrm{Id}, \varphi) < r\},$$

we can reformulate Lemmas 3.5 and 5.11 as follows.

Corollary 6.5. *Let $s > d/2 + 1$ and $0 \leq s' \leq s$. Given $r > 0$ there exists a constant C such that*

$$\|v \circ \varphi\|_{H^{s'}} \leq C \|v\|_{H^{s'}}$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^s(M)$ with $\mathrm{dist}^s(\mathrm{Id}, \varphi) < r$ and all $v \in H^{s'}(M)$ or $v \in \mathfrak{X}^{s'}(M)$.

Since $\mathrm{dist}^s(\mathrm{Id}, \varphi) = \mathrm{dist}^s(\mathrm{Id}, \varphi^{-1})$, we have for some constant C on every geodesic ball the inequalities

$$C^{-1} \|v\|_{H^s} \leq \|v \circ \varphi^{-1}\|_{H^s} \leq C \|v\|_{H^s},$$

stating that the inner product induced by $G^s(\cdot, \cdot)$ is equivalent to the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H^s}$ on every geodesic ball with a constant that depends only on the radius of the ball.

    This result enables us to prove that on $\mathbb{R}^d$ the $\mathfrak{X}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$-norm is Lipschitz with respect to the geodesic distance on any bounded metric ball. We will use this lemma to show that the geodesic distance is a complete metric.

Lemma 6.6. *Let $s > d/2 + 1$. Given $r > 0$, there exists a constant C such that*

$$\|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|_{H^s} \leq C \, \mathrm{dist}^s(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$$

for all $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\mathrm{dist}^s(\mathrm{Id}, \varphi_i) < r$.

Proof. We have

$$\mathrm{dist}^s(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \leq \mathrm{dist}^s(\varphi_1, \mathrm{Id}) + \mathrm{dist}^s(\mathrm{Id}, \varphi_2) < 2r.$$

Let u be a vector field with $\varphi_2 = \mathrm{Fl}_1(u) \circ \varphi_1$ and $\|u\|_{L^1} < 2r$. Denote its flow by $\psi(t) = \mathrm{Fl}_t(u)$. Then

$$\mathrm{dist}^s(\mathrm{Id}, \psi(t)) \leq \mathrm{dist}^s(\mathrm{Id}, \varphi_1) + \mathrm{dist}^s(\varphi_1, \psi(t)) < 3r,$$

and thus by Corollary 6.5 there exists a constant C such that

$$\|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|_{H^s} \leq \int_0^1 \|u(t) \circ \psi(t) \circ \varphi_1\|_{H^s} \, dt \leq C \int_0^1 \|u(t)\|_{H^s} \, dt.$$

By taking the infimum over all vector fields we obtain the result. $\qquad\square$

On an arbitrary compact manifold M we can show only a local version of Lemma 6.6, which we did in Lemma 5.12. This local version will however be enough to show metric completeness.

7. Completeness of diffeomorphism groups

In this section we will combine the results on flows of L^1-vector fields and estimates on the geodesic distance, to show that $\mathcal{D}^s(M)$ with a Sobolev metric G^s of order s is a complete Riemannian manifold in all the senses of the Hopf–Rinow theorem.

The completeness results are valid for the class of metrics satisfying the following hypothesis:

(H) Let M be $\mathbb{R}^d$ or a closed manifold and let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H^s}$ be an inner product on $\mathfrak{X}^s(M)$ such that the induced right-invariant metric

$$G_\varphi^s(X_\varphi, Y_\varphi) = \langle X_\varphi \circ \varphi^{-1}, Y_\varphi \circ \varphi^{-1} \rangle_{H^s}$$

on $\mathcal{D}^s(M)$ is smooth, thus making $(\mathcal{D}^s(M), G^s)$ into a strong Riemannian manifold.

As discussed in Sect. 6, this hypothesis is satisfied for a large class of Sobolev metrics of integer order.

First we show the existence of minimizing geodesics between any two diffeomorphisms in the same connected component. This extends [MP10, Thm. 9.1], where existence of minimizing geodesics was shown only for an open and dense subset.

This existence result is shown using the direct method of the calculus of variations. Namely, the variational problem we consider consists in the minimization of an energy which is, under a change of variables, a weakly lower semicontinuous functional on a weakly closed constraint set. The change of variables is simply given by a vector field associated with a path; in the next lemma, we also prove that the constraint set is weakly closed.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $\psi_0, \psi_1 \in \mathcal{D}^s(M)$ and define*

$$\Omega_{\psi_0} H^1 = \{\varphi : \varphi(0) = \psi_0\} \subseteq H^1([0, 1], \mathcal{D}^s(M))$$

as well as

$$\Omega_{\psi_0, \psi_1} H^1 = \{\varphi : \varphi(0) = \psi_0, \ \varphi(1) = \psi_1\} \subseteq \Omega_{\psi_0} H^1,$$

which are submanifolds of the manifold $H^1([0, 1], \mathcal{D}^s(M))$ of H^1-curves with values in $\mathcal{D}^s(M)$. The map

$$\Theta : \ \Omega_{\psi_0} H^1 \to L^2([0, 1], \mathfrak{X}^s(M)), \quad \varphi \mapsto (t \mapsto \partial_t \varphi(t) \circ \varphi(t)^{-1}),$$

is a homeomorphism for the strong topologies, and the set $\Theta(\Omega_{\psi_0, \psi_1} H^1)$ is closed with respect to the weak topology on $L^2([0, 1], \mathfrak{X}^s(M))$.

Proof. The definition of Θ is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.2. The inverse of Θ is given by the flow with initial condition $\varphi(0) = \psi_0$, $\Theta^{-1}(u) = (t \mapsto \mathrm{Fl}_t(u) \circ \psi_0)$. The flow belongs to $H^1([0, 1], \mathcal{D}^s(M))$ by Theorem 4.4 for $M = \mathbb{R}^d$ and by Theorem 5.8 for M a closed manifold.

We now prove the second part of the lemma in the case $M = \mathbb{R}^d$. Consider a sequence $u^n \in L^2([0, 1], H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d))$, converging weakly to u. Denote by φ^n and φ the respective

flows. We will show that $\varphi^n(t, x) \to \varphi(t, x)$ pointwise in x and uniformly in t. Because $s > d/2 + 1$, we have the continuous embedding $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$, where C_b^1 denotes the space of C^1-functions with bounded derivatives, and we let $C > 0$ be such that $\|u\|_{C_b^1} \leq C \|u\|_{H^s}$ for all $u \in H^s$.

Take $(t, x) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^d$. Then

$$
|\varphi^n(t, x) - \varphi(t, x)| \leq \left| \int_0^t [u^n(\tau, \varphi^n(\tau, x)) - u(\tau, \varphi(\tau, x))] \, d\tau \right|
$$

$$
\leq \int_0^t |u^n(\tau, \varphi^n(\tau, x)) - u^n(\tau, \varphi(\tau, x)| \, d\tau + \left| \int_0^t [u^n(\tau, \varphi(\tau, x)) - u(\tau, \varphi(\tau, x)] \, d\tau \right|.
$$

$$(7.1)$$

For the first term we have

$$
\int_0^t |u^n(\tau, \varphi^n(\tau, x)) - u^n(\tau, \varphi(\tau, x)| \, ds \leq \int_0^t \|u^n(\tau)\|_{C_b^1} |\varphi^n(\tau, x) - \varphi(\tau, x)| \, d\tau
$$

$$
\leq \int_0^t C \|u^n(\tau)\|_{H^s} |\varphi^n(\tau, x) - \varphi(\tau, x)| \, d\tau.
$$

The second term can be written as $|\langle m_{t,x}, u^n - u \rangle|$, where

$$
\langle m_{t,x}, v \rangle = \int_0^t v(\tau, \varphi(\tau, x)) \, d\tau,
$$

which is a linear map $m_{t,x} : L^2([0, 1], H^s) \to \mathbb{R}^d$. Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and consider the functions

$$
m^n : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}^d, \qquad t \mapsto \langle m_{t,x}, u^n \rangle.
$$

They converge pointwise $m^n(t) = \langle m_{t,x}, u^n \rangle \to \langle m_{t,x}, u \rangle = m(t)$ for each $t \in [0, 1]$. Because $u^n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly, the sequence $(u^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L^2([0, 1], H^s)$, and hence the following estimates show that the sequence $(m^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is equicontinuous:

$$
|\langle m_{t,x} - m_{r,x}, u^n \rangle| \leq \left| \int_r^t u^n(\tau, \varphi(\tau, x)) \, d\tau \right| \leq C \sqrt{|t - r|} \, \|u^n\|_{L^2([0,1], H^s)}.
$$

By Arzelà–Ascoli it follows that $\langle m_{t,x}, u^n \rangle \to \langle m_{t,x}, u \rangle$ uniformly in t.

Going back to (7.1), we define $A(t) = |\varphi^n(t, x) - \varphi(t, x)|$ and we have the estimate

$$
A(t) \leq \int_0^t C \|u^n(\tau)\|_{H^s} A(\tau) \, d\tau + |\langle m_{t,x}, u^n - u \rangle|.
$$

Gronwall's inequality then leads to

$$
|\varphi^n(t, x) - \varphi(t, x)| \leq |\langle m_{t,x}, u^n - u \rangle|
$$

$$
+ C \int_0^t |\langle m_{\tau,x}, u^n - u \rangle| \, \|u^n(\tau)\|_{H^s} \exp(C \|u^n\|_{L^1([0,1], H^s)}) \, d\tau.
$$

The uniform convergence of $\langle m_{\tau,x}, u^n - u \rangle \to 0$ shows that $\varphi^n(t, x) \to \varphi(t, x)$ pointwise in x and uniformly in t.

Now consider a sequence of paths $\varphi^n \in \Omega_{\psi_0, \psi_1} H^1$ such that $u^n = \Theta(\varphi^n)$ converges weakly to $u = \Theta(\varphi)$. We have to show that $\varphi(1) = \psi_1$. We have $\varphi^n(1) = \psi_1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and using the pointwise convergence of the flow established above, also $\varphi(1, x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi^n(1, x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \psi_1(x) = \psi_1(x)$. This concludes the proof for $M = \mathbb{R}^d$.

When M is a compact manifold, the result follows by reduction to $\mathbb{R}^d$ and the use of a fine cover. $\square$

Theorem 7.2. *Let $(\mathcal{D}^s(M), G^s)$ satisfy hypothesis (H). Then any two elements of $\mathcal{D}^s(M)_0$ can be joined by a minimizing geodesic.*

Proof. Let $\psi_0, \psi_1 \in \mathcal{D}^s(M)_0$ be two diffeomorphisms. Our aim is to minimize

$$\mathcal{E}(\varphi) = \int_0^1 G_{\varphi(t)}(\partial_t \varphi(t), \partial_t \varphi(t)) \, dt \tag{7.2}$$

on $\Omega_{\psi_0, \psi_1} H^1$. We have

$$\mathcal{E}(\varphi) = \int_0^1 \|\Theta(\varphi)\|_{H^s}^2 \, dt = \|\Theta(\varphi)\|_{L^2([0,1], \mathfrak{X}^s)}^2.$$

Consider a minimizing sequence $\varphi^n \in \Omega_{\psi_0, \psi_1} H^1$, thus $\Theta(\varphi^n) \in L^2([0,1], \mathfrak{X}^s)$ is bounded and after extraction of a subsequence, we can assume that $\Theta(\varphi^n)$ weakly converges to $\Theta(\varphi^*)$. Lemma 7.1 ensures that $\varphi^* \in \Omega_{\psi_0, \psi_1} H^1$. Because the norm on $L^2([0,1], \mathfrak{X}^s)$ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous, we have $\mathcal{E}(\varphi^*) \le \liminf \mathcal{E}(\varphi_n)$. Thus φ^* is a minimizer of $\mathcal{E}$.

To show regularity of minimizers, we consider $\mathcal{E}$ given by (7.2) as a functional on the space $H^1([0,1], \mathcal{D}^s(M))$. This functional is differentiable and the derivative is given by

$$D\mathcal{E}(\varphi).h = \int_0^1 G_{\varphi(t)}(\partial_t \varphi(t), \nabla_{\partial_t \varphi(t)} h(t)) \, dt$$

with ∇ denoting the covariant derivative of the metric G [Kli95, Thm. 2.3.20]. The minimizer φ^* constructed above is thus a critical point of $\mathcal{E}$. By standard bootstrap methods it follows that critical points are smooth in time and thus solutions of the geodesic equation, e.g., it is shown in [Kli95, Lem. 2.4.3] that critical points of $\mathcal{E}$, restricted to paths with fixed endpoints, are geodesics on the underlying manifold.[2] $\square$

Remark 7.3. Let M and $(\mathcal{D}^s(M), G^s)$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 7.2. The same proof can be used to show the existence of minimizing geodesics for subgroups of the diffeomorphism group: the group $\mathcal{D}_\mu^s(M)$ of diffeomorphisms preserving a volume form μ

---

[2] In [Kli95] the space of paths, $H^1([0,1], M)$, is constructed only for finite-dimensional manifolds M. However, the results that are necessary for us remain valid with the same proofs when M is a strong Riemannian manifold modelled on a separable Hilbert space. The important point is that $[0,1]$ is finite-dimensional and compact.

or the group $\mathcal{D}_\omega^s(M)$ of diffeomorphisms preserving a symplectic form ω. In fact the proof can be generalized to any closed, connected subgroup $\mathcal{C}$ that is also a Hilbert submanifold of $\mathcal{D}^s(M)$, since $T_{\mathrm{Id}}\mathcal{C}$ is a closed Hilbert subspace of $\mathfrak{X}^s$. Then $L^2([0, 1], T_{\mathrm{Id}}\mathcal{C})$ is a closed subspace of $L^2([0, 1], \mathfrak{X}^s)$, and thus weakly closed. Therefore, the limit found in the proof will satisfy the boundary conditions and will also belong to $\mathcal{C}$.

Next we show that the the group of diffeomorphisms with the induced geodesic distance is a complete metric space. There is a related result by Trouvé (see [You10, Thm. 8.15]) which shows metric completeness for the groups $\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{H}$ of diffeomorphisms generated by an admissible space of vector fields $\mathcal{H}$; see Sect. 8 for details. Since we obtain $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)_0 = \mathcal{G}_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)}$ in Theorem 8.3, this provides another proof of metric completeness of $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)_0$.

Theorem 7.4. *Let $(\mathcal{D}^s(M), G^s)$ satisfy hypothesis (H). Then $(\mathcal{D}^s(M)_0, \mathrm{dist}^s)$ is a complete metric space.*

Proof. Consider first the case $M = \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $\mathrm{Id} + B_\varepsilon(0) \subset \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where $B_\varepsilon(0)$ is the ε-ball in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$. By Corollary 6.5 there exists a constant C such that

$$\|\varphi - \psi\|_{H^s} \leq C \, \mathrm{dist}^s(\varphi, \psi) \tag{7.3}$$

on the metric ε-ball around Id in $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Let $(\varphi^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)_0$. We can assume without loss of generality that $\mathrm{dist}^s(\varphi^n, \varphi^m) < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon/C$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and since the distance is right-invariant, we can also assume that $\varphi^1 = \mathrm{Id}$. Then (7.3) shows that $(\mathrm{Id} - \varphi^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$. Denote the limit by $\mathrm{Id} - \varphi^*$. From

$$\|\mathrm{Id} - \varphi^*\|_{H^s} = \|\varphi^1 - \varphi^*\|_{H^s} \leq C \limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{dist}^s(\varphi^1, \varphi^n) \leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$$

it follows that $\varphi^* \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and since the manifold topology coincides with the metric topology, we also have $\mathrm{dist}^s(\varphi^n, \varphi^*) \to 0$. Thus $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)_0$ is complete.

The proof for a compact manifold proceeds in essentially the same way; the added complication is that one has to work in a coordinate chart around the identity. Choose a fine cover $(\mathcal{U}_J, \mathcal{V}_J, \mathrm{Id})$ of M with respect to Id such that $\eta_i = \chi_i|_{\mathcal{U}_i}$. There exists $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that if $\mathrm{dist}^s(\mathrm{Id}, \varphi) < \varepsilon_1$, then $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}^s = \mathcal{O}^s(\mathcal{U}_J, \mathcal{V}_J)$. For $h \in \mathcal{O}^s \subseteq H^s(M, M)$ we define

$$h_i = \eta_i \circ h \circ \eta_i^{-1} \in \mathcal{D}^s(U_i, \mathbb{R}^d).$$

By Lemma 5.12 there exists a constant C such that

$$\|\varphi_i - \psi_i\|_{H^s(U_i)} \leq C \, \mathrm{dist}^s(\varphi, \psi) \tag{7.4}$$

for all $i \in J$ and all $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}^s(M)$ in the geodesic ε_1-ball around Id. Furthermore, since $\mathcal{D}^s(M)$ is open in $H^s(M, M)$, there exists an $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ such that

$$h \in \mathcal{O}^s \text{ and } \|\mathrm{Id} - h_i\|_{H^s(U_i)} < \varepsilon_2, \, \forall i \in J \implies h \in \mathcal{D}^s(M). \tag{7.5}$$

Given these preparations, let $(\varphi^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{D}^s(M)_0$. We can assume without loss of generality that $\mathrm{dist}^s(\varphi^n, \varphi^m) < \min(\varepsilon_1, \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_2/C)$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and because the distance is right-invariant also that $\varphi^1 = \mathrm{Id}$. It then follows from (7.4) that for all $i \in I$, the sequences $(\varphi_i^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are Cauchy sequences in $H^s(U_i, \mathbb{R}^d)$. Denote their limits by φ_i^*. Whenever $\mathcal{U}_i \cap \mathcal{U}_j \neq \emptyset$, we have the compatibility conditions

$$\eta_i^{-1} \circ \varphi_i^n \circ \eta_i = \eta_j^{-1} \circ \varphi_j^n \circ \eta_j \quad \text{on } \mathcal{U}_i \cap \mathcal{U}_j,$$

and since convergence in $H^s(U_i, \mathbb{R}^d)$ implies pointwise convergence, the compatibility conditions also hold for the limit φ_i^*. Thus we can define a function φ^* on M via $\varphi^*|_{\mathcal{U}_i} = \eta_i^{-1} \circ \varphi_i^* \circ \eta_i$ and $\varphi^n \to \varphi^*$ in $H^s(M, M)$. We also have

$$\|\mathrm{Id} - \varphi_i^n\|_{H^s(U_i)} \leq C \, \mathrm{dist}^s(\mathrm{Id}, \varphi^n) \leq \tfrac{1}{2}\varepsilon_2,$$

and so using (7.5), we see after passing to the limit that $\varphi^* \in \mathcal{D}^s(M)$. As the manifold topology on $\mathcal{D}^s(M)_0$ coincides with the metric topology, it follows that $\mathrm{dist}^s(\varphi^n, \varphi^*) \to 0$, and hence $\mathcal{D}^s(M)_0$ is a complete metric space. $\qquad\square$

Remark 7.5. Let M and $(\mathcal{D}^s(M), G^s)$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 7.4. Consider a closed, connected subgroup $\mathcal{C}$ and denote by $\mathrm{dist}^s_\mathcal{C}$ the geodesic distance of the submanifold $(\mathcal{C}, G^s)$. Then $(\mathcal{C}, \mathrm{dist}^s_\mathcal{C})$ is a complete metric space as well. This follows from the closedness of $\mathcal{C}$ and the inequality $\mathrm{dist}^s(\varphi, \psi) \leq \mathrm{dist}^s_\mathcal{C}(\varphi, \psi)$, which holds for all $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{C}$.

Similar to Remark 7.3, this applies in particular to the groups $\mathcal{D}^s_\mu(M)$ and $\mathcal{D}^s_\omega(M)$ of diffeomorphisms preserving a given volume form or symplectic structure.

We can now collect the various completeness properties of diffeomorphism groups endowed with strong smooth Sobolev-type Riemannian metrics.

Corollary 7.6. *Let $(\mathcal{D}^s(M), G^s)$ satisfy hypothesis (H). Then:*

(1) *$(\mathcal{D}^s(M), G^s)$ is geodesically complete.*
(2) *$(\mathcal{D}^s(M)_0, \mathrm{dist}^s)$ is a complete metric space.*
(3) *Any two elements of $\mathcal{D}^s(M)_0$ can be joined by a minimizing geodesic.*

Proof. Geodesic completeness follows from metric completeness [Lan99]. It is also shown in [GBR15, Lem. 5.2] that every strong right-invariant metric on a manifold that is a topological group with a smooth right-multiplication, is geodesically complete.

Metric completeness is shown in Theorem 7.4 and the existence of minimizing geodesics in Theorem 7.2. For the statements about subgroups see Remarks 7.3 and 7.5. $\qquad\square$

Following Remarks 7.3 and 7.5 the methods of proof can also be applied to the subgroups $\mathcal{D}^s_\mu(M)$ and $\mathcal{D}^s_\omega(M)$ of diffeomorphisms preserving a volume form μ or a symplectic structure ω.

8. Applications to diffeomorphic image matching

8.1. The group generated by an admissible vector space. Let $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}})$ be a Hilbert space of vector fields such that the norm on $\mathcal{H}$ is stronger than the uniform C^1-norm, i.e., $\mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$. We call such an $\mathcal{H}$ an *admissible vector space*. This embedding implies that pointwise evaluations are continuous $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued forms on $\mathcal{H}$: for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathrm{ev}_x : \mathcal{H} \ni f \mapsto f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is continuous and $\mathrm{ev}_x^v(f) := \langle f(x), v \rangle$ is a linear form on $\mathcal{H}$; here $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the Euclidean scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Such a space is called a *reproducing kernel Hilbert space* and is completely defined by its kernel. This kernel is defined as follows: denoting $K : \mathcal{H}^* \to \mathcal{H}$ the Riesz isomorphism between $\mathcal{H}^*$ (the dual of $\mathcal{H}$) and $\mathcal{H}$, the reproducing kernel of $\mathcal{H}$ evaluated at points $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, denoted by $\mathsf{k}(x, y) \in L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$, is defined by $\mathsf{k}(x, y)v = \mathrm{ev}_y(K \, \mathrm{ev}_x^v)$.

Given a time-dependent vector field $u \in L^1([0, 1], \mathcal{H})$, it admits a flow, i.e., there exists a curve $\varphi \in C([0, 1], \mathrm{Diff}_+^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$ solving

$$\partial_t \varphi(t) = u(t) \circ \varphi(t), \qquad \varphi(0) = \mathrm{Id}, \tag{8.1}$$

t-almost everywhere for $t \in [0, 1]$.

We define the group $\mathcal{G}_H$ of all flows that can be generated by $\mathcal{H}$-valued vector fields,

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}} = \{\varphi(1) : \varphi(t) \text{ is the solution of (8.1) with } u \in L^1([0, 1], \mathcal{H})\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}} \subseteq \mathrm{Diff}_+^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and one can show that $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a group. We can define a distance on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}$ via

$$\mathrm{dist}^{\mathcal{H}}(\varphi, \psi) = \inf \left\{ \int_0^1 \|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \, dt : u \in L^1([0, 1], \mathcal{H}), \ \psi = \mathrm{Fl}_1(u) \circ \psi \right\}. \tag{8.2}$$

Then $(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}, \mathrm{dist}^{\mathcal{H}})$ is a complete metric space and the infimum in (8.2) is always attained; furthermore there always exist minima with $\|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ constant in t. See [You10, Sect. 8] for details and full proofs.

The space $\mathcal{H}$ where k is the Gaussian kernel

$$\mathsf{k}(x, y) = \exp(-|x - y|^2/\sigma^2)\mathrm{Id}_{d \times d},$$

or a sum of Gaussian kernels, is widely used for diffeomorphic image matching. For numerical reasons, the kernel associated with Sobolev spaces is used less often.

Note that from an analytic point of view the class of admissible vector spaces is rather large. It contains finite-dimensional vector spaces as well as spaces of real-analytic vector fields; it involves no assumptions about the decay of the vector fields at infinity other than that they are bounded; any closed subspace of an admissible vector space is itself admissible. Therefore there are limits to how far a general theory can be developed: $\mathcal{G}_H$ need not have a differentiable structure; $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}$ with the topology induced by the metric $\mathrm{dist}^{\mathcal{H}}$ need not be a topological group; there is no known natural topology on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}$ making it a topological group.

8.2. Equivalence of groups. The situation is more promising if $\mathcal{H}$ is a Sobolev space. In this case we can use Theorem 4.4 to characterize the group generated by $\mathcal{H}$: the group $\mathcal{G}_{H^s}$ coincides with the connected component of the identity of the group of Sobolev diffeomorphisms.

Theorem 8.3. *Let $s > d/2 + 1$. Then*

$$\mathcal{G}_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)} = \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)_0.$$

Proof. Let U be a convex neighbourhood of Id in $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then every $\psi \in U$ can be reached from Id via the smooth path $\varphi(t) = (1 - t)\mathrm{Id} + t\psi$. Since $\varphi(t)$ is the flow of the associated vector field $u(t) = \partial_t \varphi(t) \circ \varphi(t)^{-1}$ and $u \in C([0, 1], H^s)$, it follows that $\psi \in \mathcal{G}_{H^s}$. Thus $U \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{H^s}$, and since $\mathcal{G}_{H^s}$ is a group, the same holds for the whole connected component containing U. This shows the inclusion $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)_0 \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}$.

For the inclusion $\mathcal{G}_{H^s} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have to show that given a vector field $u \in L^1([0, 1], H^s(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d))$, the flow defined by (8.1) is a curve not only on $\mathrm{Diff}^1_+(\mathbb{R}^d)$, but also in $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$. This is the content of Theorem 4.4. $\qquad\square$

So when $\mathcal{H} = H^s$ is a Sobolev space, then the group $\mathcal{G}_{H^s}$ is a smooth Hilbert manifold as well as a topological group. If additionally the right-invariant metric induced by the inner product on H^s is smooth, then the distance defined in (8.2) coincides with the geodesic distance. In particular paths of minimal length are smooth in time.

Open question. When $\mathcal{H}$ is a Sobolev space and the induced right-invariant metric is smooth on $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^s)$, the corresponding geodesic equation is called the EPDiff equation. In order to write the geodesic equation, one only needs the kernel $\mathsf{k}(\cdot, \cdot)$ and it would be of interest to study its solutions for those kernels, where the induced groups do not carry a smooth structure.

8.4. Karcher means of images. Diffeomorphic image matching solves the minimization problem [BMTY05]

$$\mathcal{J}(\varphi) = \tfrac{1}{2}\operatorname{dist}^s(\mathrm{Id}, \varphi)^2 + S(I \circ \varphi^{-1}, J), \tag{8.3}$$

where $I, J : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ are respectively the source image and the target image. The term S measures the similarity between the deformed image $I \circ \varphi^{-1}$ and J. Its simplest form is the L^2-distance between the two functions. Therefore, optimal paths are geodesics on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}$. At a formal level, the situation can be understood as follows: The composition $I \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is a left action of the group $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{H}}$ of diffeomorphisms on the space of images. The strong Riemannian structure on the group $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of diffeomorphisms and its completeness enable the application of results showed using proximal calculus on Riemannian manifolds [AF05].

Proposition 8.5. *Let $I \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ be an image and $\mathcal{O}_I$ its orbit under the action of $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$. There exists a dense set $D \subset \mathcal{O}_I^n$ such that if $(I_1, \ldots, I_n) \in D$, then there exists a unique minimizer in $\mathcal{O}_I$ of*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} d(J, I_k)^2, \tag{8.4}$$

where d is the induced distance on the orbit $\mathcal{O}_I$ defined by

$$d(I, J) = \inf_{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \{\text{dist}^s(\text{Id}, \varphi) \mid I \circ \varphi^{-1} = J\}.$$

In other words, the Karcher mean of a set of images in D is unique.

Proof. Since the action of $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ on $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ is continuous, the isotropy subgroup of I, denoted $\mathcal{D}_I$, is a closed subset of $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Since each image I_k lies in the orbit $\mathcal{O}_I$, there exist $\varphi_k \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $I_k = I \circ \varphi_k^{-1}$. Define

$$C = \varphi_1 \circ \mathcal{D}_I \times \cdots \times \varphi_k \circ \mathcal{D}_I$$

Clearly, the set $C \subset \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)^n$ is closed and nonempty. Note that the product distance $\text{dist}^{s,n}$ on $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)^n$ derives from a smooth Riemannian metric with the property that any two points can be joined by a minimizing geodesic. By [AF05, Thm. 3.5], there exists a dense subset $D' \subset \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)^n$ such that $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d) \ni \Psi \mapsto \text{dist}^{s,n}(\Phi, C)$ is differentiable at each $\Phi \in D'$ and there exists a unique minimizing geodesic between Φ and C. We have

$$\text{dist}^{s,n}(\Phi, C)^2 = \inf_{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \text{dist}^s(\varphi_k, \varphi \mathcal{D}_I)^2 = \inf_{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \text{dist}^s(\varphi_k \mathcal{D}_I, \varphi \mathcal{D}_I)^2$$

$$= \inf_{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \sum_{k=1}^{n} d(I \circ \varphi_k^{-1}, I \circ \varphi^{-1})^2. \tag{8.5}$$

Therefore, the image of D' by action on I gives the desired subset D dense in $\mathcal{O}_I^n$. □

This is a weak generalization of Ekeland's result [Eke78] on generic uniqueness of geodesics.

Appendix. Carathéodory differential equations

Let I be an interval, X a Banach space and $U \subseteq X$ an open subset of X. If $f : I \times U \to X$ is continuous and satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$\|f(t, x) - f(t, y)\|_X \leq L\|x - y\|_X$$

for all $t \in I$ and $x, y \in U$, then the ODE

$$\partial_t x(t) = f(t, x(t)), \quad x(t_0) = x_0,$$

with $t_0 \in I$ and $x_0 \in U$ has a unique solution on some small interval $[t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta]$. This result is a straightforward generalization from ODEs in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and can be found in several books (see, e.g., [Mar76] or [Dei77]).

To apply techniques from variational calculus it is convenient to work with vector fields $u \in L^2(I, \mathcal{H})$ where $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space of C_b^1-vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^d$. The flow equation of these vector fields,

$$\partial_t \varphi(t) = u(t) \circ \varphi(t),$$

leads to differential equations whose right hand side is not continuous in t any more, but only measurable. Such ODEs are called differential equations of *Carathéodory type*. Since Carathéodory differential equations might be unfamiliar to some readers, we will state here the results that are used in this article. Following the exposition of [AW96] we define:

Definition A.1. Let I be a nonempty interval, X a Banach space and $U \subseteq X$ an open subset. A mapping $f : I \times U \to X$ is said to have the *Carathéodory property* if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- For every $t \in I$ the mapping $f(t, \cdot) : U \to X$ is continuous.
- For every $x \in U$ the mapping $f(\cdot, x) : I \to X$ is strongly measurable (with respect to the Borel σ-algebras), i.e., $f(\cdot, x)$ is measurable and the image $f(I, x)$ is separable.

We have the following basic existence result for Carathéodory type differential equations.

Theorem A.2. *Given an interval $I = [a, b]$ and a Banach space X, let $U \subseteq X$ be an open subset and let $f : I \times U \to X$ have the Carathéodory property. Given $x_0 \in U$ let ε be such that $B_\varepsilon(x_0) = \{x : |x - x_0| < \varepsilon\} \subseteq U$. Furthermore let $m, \ell : I \to \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ be locally integrable functions such that the estimates*

$$\|f(t, x_1) - f(t, x_2)\|_X \le \ell(t) \|x_1 - x_2\|_X, \quad \|f(t, x)\|_X \le m(t)$$

are valid for almost all $t \in I$ and all $x, x_1, x_2 \in B_\varepsilon(x_0)$. Finally let $\delta > 0$ be such that

$$\int_a^{a+\delta} m(t)\, dt < \varepsilon. \tag{A.1}$$

Then the differential equation

$$\partial_t x(t) = f(t, x(t))$$

has a unique solution $\lambda : [a, a + \delta] \to B_\varepsilon(x_0)$ satisfying the initial condition $\lambda(a) = x_0$, i.e.

$$\lambda(t) = x_0 + \int_a^t f(\tau, \lambda(\tau))\, d\tau$$

for all $t \in [a, a + \delta]$. The function λ is absolutely continuous.

Proof. This is essentially [AW96, Thm. 2.4]. Condition (A.1) is taken from [Fil88, Thm. 1.1.1] to ensure that the mapping

$$T(\mu)(t) := x_0 + \int_a^t f(\tau, \mu(\tau))\, d\tau$$

maps continuous functions $\mu : [a, a + \delta) \to B_\varepsilon(x_0)$ to continuous functions with values in $B_\varepsilon(x_0)$. The rest of the proof in [AW96] can be used without change. $\square$

For linear equations it is enough that the right hand side be integrable. See [AW96, p. 55f].

Theorem A.3. *Given an interval $I = [a, b]$, a Banach space X and an element $x_0 \in X$, let $A : I \to L(X)$ and $b : I \to X$ be Bochner integrable functions, i.e. both functions are strongly measurable and the real-valued functions $\|A(\cdot)\|_{L(X)}$ and $\|b(\cdot)\|_X$ are integrable. Then the differential equation*

$$\partial_t x(t) = A(t).x(t) + b(t)$$

has a unique solution $\lambda : I \to X$ satisfying the initial condition $\lambda(a) = x_0$.

The theory of Carathéodory type differential equations can be found in [CL55] and [Fil88] for $\dim X < \infty$ and in [AW96], [Dei77] or [You10] for infinite-dimensional spaces.

References

[ARS86a] Adams, M., Ratiu, T., Schmid, R.: A Lie group structure for Fourier integral operators. Math. Ann. **276**, 19–41 (1986) Zbl 0619.58010 MR 0863703

[ARS86b] Adams, M., Ratiu, T., Schmid, R.: A Lie group structure for pseudodifferential operators. Math. Ann. **273**, 529–551 (1986) Zbl 0587.58047 MR 0826458

[Arn66]   Arnold, V.: Sur la géométrie différentielle des groupes de Lie de dimension infinie et ses applications à l'hydrodynamique des fluides parfaits. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **16**, no. 1, 319–361 (1966) Zbl 0218.58004 MR 0202082

[Atk75]   Atkin, C. J.: The Hopf–Rinow theorem is false in infinite dimensions. Bull. London Math. Soc. **7**, 261–266 (1975) Zbl 0374.58006 MR 0400283

[AW96]   Aulbach, B., Wanner, T.: Integral manifolds for Carathéodory type differential equations in Banach spaces. In: Six Lectures on Dynamical Systems (Augsburg, 1994), World Sci., River Edge, NJ, 45–119 (1996) Zbl 1016.34048 MR 1441123

[AF05]   Azagra, D., Ferrera, J.: Proximal calculus on Riemannian manifolds. Mediterr. J. Math. **2**, 437–450 (2005) Zbl 1167.49307 MR 2192524

[BBM14]   Bauer, M., Bruveris, M., Michor, P. W.: Overview of the geometries of shape spaces and diffeomorphism groups. J. Math. Imaging Vision **50**, 60–97 (2014) Zbl 1310.58005 MR 3233135

[BHM11]   Bauer, M., Harms, P., Michor, P. W.: Sobolev metrics on shape space of surfaces. J. Geom. Mech. **3**, 389–438 (2011) Zbl 1262.58004 MR 2888014

[BHM12]   Bauer, M., Harms, P., Michor, P. W.: Sobolev metrics on shape space, II: weighted Sobolev metrics and almost local metrics. J. Geom. Mech. **4**, 365–383 (2012) Zbl 1281.58003 MR 3011892

[BR84]   Beals, M., Reed, M.: Microlocal regularity theorems for nonsmooth pseudodifferential operators and applications to nonlinear problems. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **285**, 159–184 (1984) Zbl 0562.35093 MR 0748836

[BMTY05] Beg, M. F., Miller, M. I., Trouvé, A., Younes, L.: Computing large deformation metric mappings via geodesic flows of diffeomorphisms. Int. J. Comput. Vision **61**, 139–157 (2005)

[BB74]   Bourguignon, J. P., Brezis, H.: Remarks on the Euler equation. J. Funct. Anal. **15**, 341–363 (1974) Zbl 0279.58005 MR 0344713

[CH93]   Camassa, R., Holm, D. D.: An integrable shallow water equation with peaked solitons. Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 1661–1664 (1993) Zbl 0972.35521 MR 1234453

[CL55]   Coddington, E. A., Levinson, N.: Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations. McGraw-Hill (1955)   Zbl 0064.33002   MR 0069338

[CK03]   Constantin, A., Kolev, B.: Geodesic flow on the diffeomorphism group of the circle. Comment. Math. Helv. **78**, 787–804 (2003)   Zbl 1037.37032   MR 2016696

[Dei77]   Deimling, K.: Ordinary Differential Equations in Banach Spaces. Lecture Notes in Math. 596, Springer, Berlin (1977)   Zbl 0361.34050   MR 0463601

[DU77]   Diestel, J., Uhl, J. J., Jr.: Vector Measures. Math. Surveys 15, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1977)   Zbl 0369.46039   MR 0453964

[Ebi70]   Ebin, D. G.: The manifold of Riemannian metrics. In: Global Analysis (Berkeley, CA, 1968), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 15, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 11–40 (1970)   Zbl 0205.53702   MR 0267604

[Ebi12]   Ebin, D. G.: Geodesics on the symplectomorphism group. Geom. Funct. Anal. **22**, 202–212 (2012)   Zbl 1252.58006   MR 2899686

[EM70]   Ebin, D. G., Marsden, J.: Groups of diffeomorphisms and the motion of an incompressible fluid. Ann. of Math. **92**, 102–163 (1970)   Zbl 0211.57401   MR 0271984

[EP15]   Ebin, D. G., Preston, S. C.: Riemannian geometry of the contactomorphism group. Arnold Math. J. **1**, 5–36 (2015)   Zbl 06467541   MR 3331965

[Eel66]   Eells, J., Jr.: A setting for global analysis. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **72**, 751–807 (1966)   Zbl 0191.44101   MR 0203742

[Eke78]   Ekeland, I.: The Hopf–Rinow theorem in infinite dimension. J. Differential Geom. **13**, 287–301 (1978)   Zbl 0393.58004   MR 0540948

[EK11]   Escher, J., Kolev, B.: The Degasperis–Procesi equation as a non-metric Euler equation. Math. Z. **269**, 1137–1153 (2011)   Zbl 1234.35220   MR 2860280

[EK14]   Escher, J., Kolev, B.: Geodesic completeness for Sobolev H^s-metrics on the diffeomorphism group of the circle. J. Evol. Equations **14**, 949–968 (2014)   Zbl 1318.58003   MR 3276867

[Fil88]   Filippov, A. F.: Differential Equations with Discontinuous Righthand Sides. Math. Appl. (Soviet Ser.) 18, Kluwer, Dordrecht (1988)   Zbl 0664.34001   MR 1028776

[FM72]   Fischer, A. E., Marsden, J. E.: The Einstein evolution equations as a first-order quasi-linear symmetric hyperbolic system. I. Comm. Math. Phys. **28**, 1–38 (1972)   Zbl 0247.35082   MR 0309507

[GBR15]   Gay-Balmaz, F., Ratiu, T. S.: The geometry of the universal Teichmüller space and the Euler–Weil–Petersson equation. Adv. Math. **279**, 717–778 (2015)   Zbl 1320.32018   MR 3345193

[GM98]   Grenander, U., Miller, M. I.: Computational anatomy: an emerging discipline. Quart. Appl. Math. **56**, 617–694 (1998)   Zbl 0952.92016   MR 1668732

[Inc12]   Inci, H.: On the well-posedness of the incompressible Euler equation. Ph.D. thesis, Univ. Zürich (2012)

[IKT13]   Inci, H., Kappeler, T., Topalov, P.: On the regularity of the composition of diffeomorphisms. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **226**, no. 1062, vi+60 pp. (2013)   Zbl 1293.58004   MR 3135704

[KLT08]   Kappeler, T., Loubet, E., Topalov, P.: Riemannian exponential maps of the diffeomorphism groups of $\mathbb{T}^2$. Asian J. Math. **12**, 391–420 (2008)   Zbl 1161.58008   MR 2453562

[KLMP13]   Khesin, B., Lenells, J., Misiołek, G., Preston, S. C.: Curvatures of Sobolev metrics on diffeomorphism groups. Pure Appl. Math. Quart. **9**, 291–332 (2013)   Zbl 1287.58007   MR 3138478

[Kli95]   Klingenberg, W. P. A.: Riemannian Geometry. 2nd ed., de Gruyter Stud. Math. 1, de Gruyter, Berlin (1995)   Zbl 0911.53022   MR 1330918

[Lan99]   Lang, S.: Fundamentals of Differential Geometry. Grad. Texts in Math. 191, Springer, New York (1999)   Zbl 0932.53001   MR 1666820

[Lan06]   Lannes, D.: Sharp estimates for pseudo-differential operators with symbols of limited smoothness and commutators. J. Funct. Anal. **232**, 495–539 (2006)   Zbl 1099.35191   MR 2200744

[Mar76]   Martin, R. H., Jr.: Nonlinear Operators and Differential Equations in Banach Spaces. Wiley, York (1976)   Zbl 0333.47023   MR 0887947

[Mis93]   Misiołek, G.: Stability of flows of ideal fluids and the geometry of the group of diffeomorphisms. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **42**, 215–235 (1993)   Zbl 0799.58019   MR 1218713

[MP10]   Misiołek, G., Preston, S. C.: Fredholm properties of Riemannian exponential maps on diffeomorphism groups. Invent. Math. **179**, 191–227 (2010)   Zbl 1183.58006   MR 2563764

[MM13]   Mumford, D., Michor, P. W.: On Euler's equation and 'EPDiff'. J. Geom. Mech. **5**, 319–344 (2013)   Zbl 1274.35277   MR 3110104

[Omo78]   Omori, H.: On Banach–Lie groups acting on finite dimensional manifolds. Tôhoku Math. J. **30**, 223–250 (1978)   Zbl 0409.58009   MR 0579603

[OK87]   Ovsienko, V. Y., Khesin, B. A.: Korteweg–de Vries superequations as an Euler equation. Funct. Anal. Appl. **21**, 329–331 (1987)   Zbl 0655.58018   MR 0925082

[Pal59]   Palais, R. S.: Natural operations on differential forms. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **92**, 125–141 (1959)   Zbl 0092.30802   MR 0116352

[Pal68]   Palais, R. S.: Foundations of Global Non-linear Analysis. W. A. Benjamin, New York (1968)   Zbl 0164.11102   MR 0248880

[Pre04]   Preston, S. C.: For ideal fluids, Eulerian and Lagrangian instabilities are equivalent. Geom. Funct. Anal. **14**, 1044–1062 (2004)   Zbl 1078.37046   MR 2105952

[Pre13]   Preston, S. C.: The geometry of barotropic flow. J. Math. Fluid Mech. **15**, 807–821 (2013)   Zbl 1286.35208   MR 3127021

[Ryc99]   Rychkov, V. S.: On restrictions and extensions of the Besov and Triebel–Lizorkin spaces with respect to Lipschitz domains. J. London Math. Soc. (2) **60**, 237–257 (1999)   Zbl 0940.46017   MR 1721827

[SY05]   Schwabik, S., Ye, G.: Topics in Banach Space Integration. Ser. Real Anal. 10, World Sci., Hackensack, NJ (2005)   Zbl 1088.28008   MR 2167754

[Seg91]   Segal, G.: The geometry of the KdV equation. Int. J. Modern Phys. A **6**, 2859–2869 (1991)   Zbl 0741.35073   MR 1117753

[Shk98]   Shkoller, S.: Geometry and curvature of diffeomorphism groups with H^1 metric and mean hydrodynamics. J. Funct. Anal. **160**, 337–365 (1998)   Zbl 0933.58010   MR 1658676

[Tro98]   Trouvé, A.: Diffeomorphic groups and pattern matching in image analysis. Int. J. Comput. Vision **28**, 213–221 (1998)

[TY05]   Trouvé, A., Younes, L.: Local geometry of deformable templates. SIAM J. Math. Anal. **37**, 17–59 (2005)   Zbl 1090.58008   MR 2176922

[Viz08]   Vizman, C.: Geodesic equations on diffeomorphism groups. Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **4**, paper 030, 22 pp. (2008)   Zbl 1153.58006   MR 2393297

[You10]   Younes, L.: Shapes and Diffeomorphisms. Springer (2010)   Zbl 1205.68355   MR 2656312