

## How long are the arms in DLA?

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text.

1987 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 20 L29

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/20/1/007>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 129.49.5.35

This content was downloaded on 20/02/2017 at 03:28

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

You may also be interested in:

[The 'active perimeter' in cluster growth models: a rigorous bound](#)

F Leyvraz

[Self-similarity of the loop structure of diffusion-limited aggregates](#)

Albert-L Barabasi and T Vicsek

[Monte Carlo renormalisation group approach to multifractal structure of growth probability distribution in DLA](#)

T Nagatani

[A renormalisation group approach to the scaling structure of diffusion-limited aggregation](#)

T Nagatani

[Evolution criterion and renormalisation group for DLA](#)

T Nagatani

[Deterministic model for left-sided multifractality in generalized diffusion-limited aggregation](#)

T Nagatani

[Langevin and Fokker-Planck equations for kinetic growth and aggregation processes](#)

Y Shapir

[The crumpled state of some non-equilibrium fractal surfaces](#)

M A F Gomes, T I Jyh and T I Ren

[The backbone and conductivity of random clusters](#)

M Sahimi

## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

### How long are the arms in DLA?

Harry Kesten

Department of Mathematics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA

Received 20 October 1986

**Abstract.** We show that the maximal length of the arms of DLA can grow at most at a rate of  $n^{2/3}$  in dimension 2 and at a rate of  $n^{2/d}$  in dimension  $d > 2$ . Here  $n$  denotes the volume or mass of the aggregate.

Much attention has been paid in the last few years to the growth model known as diffusion-limited aggregation (DLA) (see for instance the many articles in Stanley and Ostrowsky (1986) (in particular Witten (1986) and Meakin (1986))) and the many talks at the 1986 *Statistical Physics Meeting* in Boston. We discuss here only the most classical of the DLA models, the Witten-Sander model on the hypercubic lattice  $z^d$  (Witten and Sander 1981). We denote the aggregate of  $n$  particles by  $A_n$ .  $A_1$  consists only of the origin  $0$  in  $z^d$ . In the Witten-Sander model  $A_{n+1}$  is formed from  $A_n$  by releasing a particle 'at infinity' and letting it perform a (nearest-neighbour) symmetric random walk on  $z^d$  until it reaches a boundary site of  $A_n$ . (A boundary site of  $A_n$  is a vertex of  $z^d$  adjacent to  $A_n$ , but not in  $A_n$ .) If  $y$  is the first boundary site visited by the particle, then  $A_{n+1} = A_n \cup \{y\}$ , i.e.  $A_{n+1}$  is formed by adding  $y$  to  $A_n$ . We shall give a more formal description in remark 3, but first we state our result.

*Theorem.* Let

$$r_n := \max\{|x|: x \in A_n\}$$

denote the 'radius' of  $A_n$ . There exists a constant  $C_d$ , depending only on the dimension  $d$ , such that

$$\frac{1}{n^{2/3}} r_n \leq C_2 \quad \text{eventually with probability 1 in dimension 2} \quad (1)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n^{2/d}} r_n \leq C_d \quad \text{eventually with probability 1 in dimension } d > 2. \quad (2)$$

*Remark 1.* Family (1986) has shown that if  $A_n$  looks like a cross with needlelike arms along the coordinate axes in  $d = 2$  then the length of the arms of  $A_n$  should grow at the rate  $n^{2/3}$ . Turkevich (1986) assumed that  $A_n$  was diamondlike, which resulted in a growth rate of  $n^{3/5}$  for  $r_n$  in dimension two. Here we make *no a priori assumptions on the shape of  $A_n$* . It will be seen later that our estimates are quite crude, so that it seems quite possible to us that the actual growth rate of  $r_n$  is smaller than  $n^{2/3}$  for  $d = 2$ .

*Remark 2.* Various people (cf Witten (1986, pp 65, 66), Meakin (1986, p 120) and the references cited there) have argued that, for large  $d$ ,  $r_n$  should grow at most like  $n^{1/(d-1)}$ , and perhaps for  $d = 2, 3, 4$  like  $n^{(d+1)/(d^2+1)}$ . Clearly (2) is a much worse estimate.

*Remark 3.* The description of the formation of  $A_{n+1}$  from  $A_n$  was somewhat informal, in that we cannot release a particle at infinity. Moreover, for  $d \geq 3$  such a particle will never hit the boundary of  $A_n$ . To circumvent these difficulties we take limits of hitting probabilities. Let  $S_0, S_1, \dots$  be the successive positions of a particle performing a nearest-neighbour symmetric random walk on  $z^d$  (starting at  $S_0$ ). Let  $B$  be a collection of lattice sites and let  $T = T(B)$  be the *hitting time* of  $B$ , i.e.

$$T(B) = \min\{n \geq 0: S_n \in B\}$$

( $T(B) = \infty$  if  $S_n$  never is in  $B$ ). The *hitting position* of  $B$  is therefore  $S_{T(B)}$ . The *hitting distribution* is given by

$$H_B(x, y) := \Pr\{S_{T(B)} = y \mid S_0 = x\} \quad y \in B.$$

It is known (Spitzer 1976, theorem 14.1) that for  $d = 2$  and any finite  $B$

$$\mu_B(y) := \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} H_B(x, y) \quad \text{exists} \quad y \in B \tag{3}$$

$$\sum_{y \in B} \mu_B(y) = 1. \tag{4}$$

Thus  $\mu_B$  defines an honest probability distribution. For  $d = 2$  we apply this with  $B = \partial A_n :=$  boundary of  $A_n$ . We form  $A_{n+1}$  by adding to  $A_n$  a site  $y_{n+1}$ , where  $y_{n+1}$  is chosen according to the distribution  $\mu_{\partial A_n}$ .

For  $d \geq 3$  the limit of  $H_B(x, y)$  for  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  is identically zero (Spitzer 1976, prop. 25.3). One obtains a non-trivial limit distribution for  $y$  by conditioning on  $\{T_B < \infty\}$ , the event that  $B$  is visited at some time. In fact, for  $d \geq 3$  the limit

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_B(y) &:= \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} H_B(x, y) \left( \sum_{z \in B} H(x, z) \right)^{-1} \\ &= \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \Pr\{S_{T(B)} = y \mid S_0 = x, T(B) < \infty\} \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

exists and satisfies (4) (cf Spitzer (1976, prop. 26.2) when  $d = 3$ ; the same proof works for  $d > 3$ ). Again we choose the point  $y_{n+1}$ , to be added to  $A_n$ , according to the distribution  $\mu_{\partial A_n}$ .

In order to prove this, first one derives a uniform upper bound for  $\mu_{\partial A_n}(y)$  in terms of  $r_n$  or  $n$ , and then one shows how this limits the growth rate as described in (1) and (2). We describe some more details in the following steps. Steps (i) and (ii) consider  $d = 2$  only, while step (iii) discusses  $d \geq 3$ .

*Step (i).* Take  $d = 2$  and let  $A_n$ , and hence  $\partial A_n$  be fixed, and also fix a point  $y \in \partial A_n$ . Then there exists a site  $z_0$  in  $A_n$  which is adjacent to  $y$  and a path  $z_0, z_1, \dots, z_m$  of vertices of  $z^2$  in  $A_n$  such that  $|z_0 - z_m| \geq \frac{1}{2}r_n$  ( $z_{i+1}$  and  $z_i$  are adjacent on  $z^2$ ,  $0 \leq i < m$ ). This is so because  $A_n$  is connected and has radius  $r_n$ . Thus, there exists a path  $z_0, z_1, \dots, z_l$  in  $A_n$  from  $z_0$  through  $\mathbf{0}$  to some point  $z_l$  with  $|z_l| = r_n$ . Then  $|z_0 - \mathbf{0}| \geq \frac{1}{2}r_n$  or  $|z_0 - z_l| \geq \frac{1}{2}r_n$ , so that we can take for  $z_0, \dots, z_m$  either the first part of  $z_0, \dots, z_l$  (connecting  $z_0$  to  $\mathbf{0}$ ) or the whole path  $z_0, \dots, z_l$ . If the random walk particle starts outside  $A_n$  and hits  $\partial A_n$  first in  $y$ , then it actually hits  $y$  before it hits the path  $\{z_0, z_1, \dots, z_m\} \subset A_n$ . Therefore, if we take  $B = \{y, z_0, z_1, \dots, z_m\}$ , then

$$\mu_{\partial A_n}(y) \leq \mu_B(y). \tag{6}$$

Technically the hardest part of the proof is to show that, no matter what the path  $B$  with end-to-end distance  $\geq \frac{1}{2}r_n$  is,  $\mu_B(y)$  cannot be much larger than the hitting probability at  $y = \mathbf{0}$ , when  $B$  is a straight line segment along the negative  $x$  axis of length  $\frac{1}{2}r_n$ . Specifically, there exists a constant  $K^*$ , such that

$$\mu_B(y) \leq K^* \mu_C(\mathbf{0})$$

when

$$C = \{\mathbf{0}, (-1, 0), (-2, 0), \dots, (-\frac{1}{2}r_n, 0)\}. \tag{7}$$

$\mu_C(\mathbf{0})$  can be estimated explicitly and (6) and (7) together result in

$$\mu_{\partial A_n}(y) \leq \frac{K}{\sqrt{r_n}} \tag{8}$$

for some fixed  $K$ . This estimate holds for all connected sets  $A_n$  with  $\mathbf{0} \in A_n$  and radius  $r_n$ , and  $y \in \partial A_n$ .

We point out that the analogue of (7) for planar Brownian motion (even with  $K^* = 1$ ) is a known inequality for harmonic measures. It is sometimes called the Beurling circular projection theorem (cf Ahlfors 1973, theorem 3.6). The rather technical proof of (7) which mimicks Beurling's proof will be given in a separate paper.

*Step (ii).* Equation (8) supplies us with a limit on the growth rate of  $r_n$ . In fact, we claim that with probability 1 there exists a random but finite  $k_0$  such that

$$r(2^{k+1}) - r(l) \leq \frac{K2^{k+4}}{\sqrt{r(l)}} + 2^{k/2} \quad \text{for all } k \geq k_0, 2^k \leq l \leq 2^{k+1}. \tag{9}$$

Here we have written  $r(n)$  instead of  $r_n$  for typographical reasons. Once one has (9) it is not too difficult to show that any sequence of positive  $r_n$  which is increasing and satisfies  $r_{n+1} - r_n \leq 1$  as well as (9) must also satisfy (1) with  $C_2 = 28(1 + 5K^{2/3})$ .

Let  $u_1, \dots, u_s$  be a path without double points on  $z^2$ . We say that this path is *filled in order* if each  $u_i$  eventually belongs to  $A_n$ , and if  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_s$ , where  $n_i$  denotes the smallest  $n$  for which  $u_i \in A_n$ . To obtain (9) note that for any vertex  $x \in A_n$  there exists a path  $u_1 = \mathbf{0}, u_2, \dots, u_s = x$ , from  $\mathbf{0}$  to  $x$ , in  $z^2$  which is contained in  $A_n$  and filled in order (in particular  $s \leq n$ ). The existence of such a path is easily established by induction on  $n$ . If we now take  $n = 2^{k+1}$ ,  $2^k \leq l < 2^{k+1}$ , and  $x \in A_{2^{k+1}}$  such that  $|x| = r(2^{k+1})$ , then the piece of the path leading to this  $x$  from its last crossing of the circle of radius  $r_l$  is a path without double points,  $u_t, u_{t+1}, \dots, u_s$ , with the following properties:

$$r(l) < |u_t| \leq r(l) + 1 \tag{10}$$

$$r(l) < |u_i| \leq r(2^{k+1}) \quad t \leq i \leq s \tag{11}$$

and

$$u_t, \dots, u_s \text{ is filled in order during the time interval } [l+1, 2^{k+1}]. \tag{12}$$

If

$$r(2^{k+1}) - r(l) \geq \frac{K2^{k+4}}{\sqrt{r(l)}} + 2^{k/2} + 1 \tag{13}$$

is to occur, then in addition we must have

$$s - t \geq \frac{K2^{k+4}}{\sqrt{r(l)}} + 2^{k/2}. \tag{14}$$

Set

$$m = \frac{K2^{k+4}}{\sqrt{r(l)}} + 2^{k/2}. \tag{15}$$

Then (13) can occur only if there exists a path  $u_t, u_{t+1}, \dots, u_{t+m}$  which satisfies (10)–(12) with  $s$  replaced by  $t + m$ . The number of paths of length  $m$  satisfying (10) and (11) is at most

$$4\pi^2(r_l + 1)4^m. \tag{16}$$

Now fix a path  $u_t, \dots, u_{t+m}$  with the properties (10) and (11) for  $k = t + m$ . We shall estimate the probability of (12) for this path. Assume that at time  $n \in [l + 1, 2^{k+1}]$ ,  $A_{n-1}$  already contains  $u_t, \dots, u_\nu$ , but not yet  $u_{\nu+1}$ . Define

$$I_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u_{\nu+1} \text{ is the vertex added to } A_{n-1} \text{ to form } A_n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$I_n$  is the indicator function of a successful filling of a new site of the given path in order at time  $n$ . For (12) to occur it is necessary that

$$\sum_{l+1}^{2^{k+1}} I_n \geq m. \tag{17}$$

However, if we write  $\Pr\{I_n = 1 | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\}$  for the conditional probability of  $\{I_n = 1\}$ , given  $A_{n-1}$ , then (8) tells us that

$$\Pr\{I_n = 1 | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\} \leq \frac{K}{\sqrt{r(n-1)}} \leq \frac{K}{\sqrt{r(l)}} \quad n > l.$$

Consequently, for  $l \geq 2^k$

$$\sum_{l+1}^{2^{k+1}} \Pr\{I_n = 1 | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}\} \leq K(2^{k+1} - l) \frac{1}{\sqrt{r(l)}} \leq K2^k \frac{1}{\sqrt{r(l)}}$$

and also the expected number of sites  $u_i$  which are successfully filled up in order during  $[l + 1, 2^{k+1}]$  is at most  $K2^k(r(l))^{-1/2}$ . A direct application of known exponential bounds, e.g. using Freedman (1973, theorem 4b) with

$$a = m = K2^{k+4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r(l)}} + 2^{k/2} \quad b = K2^k \frac{1}{\sqrt{r(l)}} \leq \frac{m}{16}$$

now shows that conditionally on  $A_l$

$$\Pr\{(12) \text{ occurs for the given path } u_t, \dots, u_{t+m}\} \leq \Pr\{(17) \text{ occurs}\} \leq (\frac{1}{16}e)^m.$$

In view of (15), (16) and  $r_l \leq l < 2^{k+1}$ , it now follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr\{r(2^{k+1}) - r(l) \geq K2^{k+4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r(l)}} + 2^{k/2} + 1\} \\ \leq \Pr\{(12) \text{ occurs for some path } u_t, \dots, u_{t+m} \text{ satisfying (10) and (11)}\} \\ \leq 4\pi^2 2^{k+1} (\frac{4}{16}e)^m \leq 4\pi^2 2^{k+1} (\frac{1}{4}e)^{2^{k/2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

The sum of the right-hand side of (18) over  $l$  in  $2^k \leq l < 2^{k+1}$  and then over  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  is finite. This implies (9) by means of the Borel–Cantelli lemma (see Feller 1968, lemma VIII.3.1).

Step (iii). When  $d \geq 3$  (8) has to be replaced by

$$\mu_{\partial A_n}(y) \leq Kn^{-1+2/d} \quad (19)$$

for each connected set  $A_n$  in  $\mathbf{z}^d$  of  $n$  sites, and  $y \in \partial A_n$ . (19) is obtained from the identification of  $\mu_B(y)$  in (5) as

$$e_B(y) \left( \sum_{z \in B} e_B(z) \right)^{-1} \quad (20)$$

where  $e_B(y)$ , the escape probability of  $B$  from  $y$ , is the probability that a random walk  $S_0, S_1, \dots$  starting from  $S_0 = y$  never returns to  $B$  (see Spitzer 1976, prop. 26.2). The numerator in (20) is clearly  $\leq 1$ , while Spitzer shows that the denominator equals the 'capacity' of  $B$ . Since the hitting distribution of  $\partial A_n$  is the same as that of  $A_n \cup \partial A_n$ , we find that

$$\mu_{\partial A_n}(y) \leq [\text{capacity of } (A_n \cup \partial A_n)]^{-1}$$

from which it is not hard to obtain (19). The proof of (2) from (19) is similar to step (ii).

## References

- Ahlfors L V 1973 *Conformal Invariants* (New York: McGraw-Hill)  
 Family F 1986 *Statistical Physics Meeting, Boston Paper*  
 Feller W 1968 *An Introduction To Probability Theory And Its Applications* (New York: Wiley) 3rd edn  
 Freedman D 1973 *Ann. Prob.* 910  
 Meakin P 1986 *On Growth And Form* ed H E Stanley and H Ostrowski (Amsterdam: Martinus Nijhoff) pp 111-35  
 Spitzer F 1976 *Principles of Random Walk* (Berlin: Springer) 2nd edn  
 Stanley H E and Ostrowski H (ed) 1986 *On Growth And Form* (Amsterdam: Martinus Nijhoff)  
 Turkevich L A 1986 *On Growth And Form* ed H E Stanley and H Ostrowski (Amsterdam: Martinus Nijhoff) pp 293-8  
 Witten T A 1986 *On Growth And Form* ed H E Stanley and H Ostrowski (Amsterdam: Martinus Nijhoff) pp 54-68  
 Witten T A and Sander L M 1981 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 47 1400