

DIMENSIONS OF JULIA SETS OF HYPERBOLIC ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

GWYNETH M. STALLARD

ABSTRACT

It is known that, if f is a hyperbolic rational function, then the Hausdorff, packing and box dimensions of the Julia set $J(f)$ are equal. It is also known that there is a family of hyperbolic transcendental meromorphic functions with infinitely many poles for which this result fails to be true. In this paper, new methods are used to show that there is a family of hyperbolic transcendental entire functions f_K , $K \in \mathbb{N}$, such that the box and packing dimensions of $J(f_K)$ are equal to two, even though as $K \rightarrow \infty$ the Hausdorff dimension of $J(f_K)$ tends to one, the lowest possible value for the Hausdorff dimension of the Julia set of a transcendental entire function.

1. Introduction

Let f be a meromorphic function which is not rational of degree one, and denote by f^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the n th iterate of f . The set of normality $N(f)$ is defined to be the set of points $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $(f^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is well defined and meromorphic, and forms a normal family in some neighbourhood of z . The complement $J(f)$ of $N(f)$ is called the *Julia set* of f . An introduction to the properties of these sets can be found in, for example, [1] for rational functions and in [2] for transcendental meromorphic functions.

We denote the Hausdorff dimension of a set A by $\dim_{\text{H}}A$, the packing dimension of A by $\dim_{\text{P}}A$ and, if it exists, the box dimension of A by $\dim_{\text{B}}A$. The upper box dimension $\overline{\dim}_{\text{B}}A$ and the lower box dimension $\underline{\dim}_{\text{B}}A$ are defined for all sets A ; if they agree, then their common value is the box dimension. These dimensions are related by the inequalities

$$\dim_{\text{H}}A \leq \dim_{\text{P}}A \leq \overline{\dim}_{\text{B}}A.$$

We use the following notation concerning singularities:

$$S(f) = \{z : z \text{ is a finite singularity of } f^{-1}\},$$
$$P(f) = \{z : z \text{ is a finite singularity of } f^{-n} \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

For a rational function f , it follows fairly easily from results of Sullivan [11, Theorems 3 and 4] that $\dim_{\text{B}}J(f) = \dim_{\text{H}}J(f)$ if f is *hyperbolic*; that is, if f satisfies the following equivalent conditions (see [1, Section 9.7] and [3, Section 5.2]):

- (1) $\overline{P}(f) \cap J(f) = \emptyset$;
- (2) $\overline{P}(f)$ is a compact subset of $N(f)$;
- (3) f is expanding, in the sense that there exist $K > 1$ and $c > 0$ such that $|(f^n)'(z)| > cK^n$ for each $z \in J(f)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

These conditions are not in general equivalent for a transcendental meromorphic function f , but in [7] we showed that, if f is in the class

$$\hat{B} = \{f : f \text{ is a transcendental meromorphic function with } S(f) \text{ bounded and } \overline{P}(f) \cap J(f) = \emptyset\},$$

then

- (1) $P(f)$ is bounded;
- (2) f is expanding in the sense that there exist $K > 1$ and $c > 0$ such that $|(f^n)'(z)| > cK^n(|f^n(z)| + 1)/(|z| + 1)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $z \in J(f)$ such that f^n is analytic at z .

Thus it makes sense to say that functions in the class \hat{B} are hyperbolic.

In [10, Theorem 3] we showed that, if f is a meromorphic function and $\overline{P}(f) \cap J(f) = \emptyset$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{P}} J(f) = \overline{\dim}_{\mathbb{B}} J(f)$. We also gave examples in [10] of meromorphic functions in \hat{B} for which the upper box and packing dimensions of the Julia set are strictly greater than the Hausdorff dimension of the Julia set. The arguments used in [10] can be applied only to meromorphic functions with poles, and more subtle arguments are needed in order to show that there is a hyperbolic transcendental entire function for which the Hausdorff and box dimensions of the Julia set are different.

In this paper we consider the function defined by

$$E(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{\exp(e^t)}{t - z} dt,$$

where L is the boundary of the half-strip

$$G = \{x + iy : x > 0, -\pi < y < \pi\}$$

described in a clockwise direction, and $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{G}$. As shown in [6], E can be analytically continued to a transcendental entire function, which we will also denote by E . In [9] we showed that, if f_K is the function defined by

$$f_K(z) = E(z) - K,$$

then, as $K \rightarrow \infty$, $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} J(f_K)$ tends to one, which is the lowest possible value for the Hausdorff dimension of the Julia set of a transcendental entire function. In this paper we prove the following result.

THEOREM 1.1. *If K is sufficiently large, then $f_K \in \hat{B}$ and is therefore hyperbolic, and $\dim_{\mathbb{B}} J(f_K) = \dim_{\mathbb{P}} J(f_K) = 2$.*

REMARK 1.1. The Julia set of a transcendental meromorphic function is unbounded and so, strictly speaking, the box dimension of $J(f_K)$ is not well defined. In [10], however, we showed that the Julia set of a hyperbolic meromorphic function has constant local upper and lower box dimensions; so, if we can find a subset of $J(f_K)$ that has box dimension two, then it makes sense to say that the whole Julia set has box dimension two.

REMARK 1.2. In [10, Theorem 3] we showed that, if f is a meromorphic function and $\overline{P}(f) \cap J(f) = \emptyset$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{P}} J(f) = \overline{\dim}_{\mathbb{B}} J(f)$. Since $\overline{\dim}_{\mathbb{B}} J(f) \leq 2$ for

any meromorphic function f , it follows that, in order to prove Theorem 1.1, it is sufficient to show that $\underline{\dim}_{\mathbb{B}} J(f_K) = 2$.

2. Preliminary results

In this section we give some results that will be useful in the proof of Theorem 1.1. We begin with the following result, which is known as Koebe’s distortion theorem; see, for example [4]. (Note that $B(z, r)$ denotes the disk of radius r , centred at z .)

LEMMA 2.1. *If f is univalent in $B(z, r)$, then, for $0 \leq s \leq r$,*

$$\sup_{v,w \in B(z,s)} \left| \frac{f'(v)}{f'(w)} \right| \leq \left(\frac{r+s}{r-s} \right)^4 = L(s/r).$$

In particular, we will use the fact that $L(1/2) = 81 < 100$.

The next result follows from the discussion in [9, Section 2].

LEMMA 2.2. *If K is sufficiently large, then $P(f_K)$ is contained in a bounded subset of $\{x + iy : x < 0\}$ and $J(f_K) \subset G$.*

We note that it follows from Lemma 2.2 that, for large K , f_K belongs to \hat{B} and is therefore hyperbolic.

We now consider the function h defined by $h(z) = \exp(e^z) - K$. The following result follows from [9, Equation (3.5)].

LEMMA 2.3. *There exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that, for $z \in G$,*

$$|f_K(z) - h(z)| < C_1 \quad \text{and} \quad |f'_K(z) - h'(z)| < C_1.$$

We now show that $J(f_K)$ contains all large real values of z . To do this, we use the following two results proved in [8, Theorems 3(a) and 4(a)].

LEMMA 2.4. *If D is a closed disk in $N(f)$, then there exists $0 < C < \infty$ such that*

$$\frac{\ln(|f^n(z_2)| + 1)}{\ln(|f^n(z_1)| + 2)} \leq C \quad \text{for } z_1, z_2 \in D \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

LEMMA 2.5. *Let $\phi : (t_0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an increasing function satisfying $\phi(t) > t$. If there exists $C > 1$ and $t'_0 \geq t_0$ such that*

$$\phi'(t) \geq C \frac{\phi(t) \ln \phi(t)}{t \ln t} \quad \text{for } t \geq t'_0,$$

then, for $t_0 < t_1 < t_2$,

$$\frac{\ln \phi^n(t_2)}{\ln \phi^n(t_1)} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using these two results, we are able to prove the following.

LEMMA 2.6. *Let $K \geq 2C_1$. There exists $K_1 > 5C_1$ such that*

$$f_K([K_1, \infty)) \subset [K_1, \infty) \subset J(f_K).$$

Proof. We begin by noting that $f_K(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbb{R}$ (see [6]). We now put $\phi(t) = f_K(t)$, and we note that it follows from Lemma 2.3 that if K_1 is sufficiently large, then $\phi : [K_1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an increasing function satisfying $\phi(t) > t$. Also, from Lemma 2.3,

$$\phi'(t) \geq e^t \exp(e^t) - C_1$$

and, since $K \geq 2C_1$,

$$\phi(t) \leq \exp(e^t) - K + C_1 \leq \exp(e^t) - C_1$$

so that, for t sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(t) \ln \phi(t) &\leq e^t (\exp(e^t) - C_1) \\ &< e^t \exp(e^t) - C_1 \leq \phi'(t). \end{aligned}$$

It now follows from Lemma 2.5 that, for $K_1 < t_1 < t_2$,

$$\frac{\ln \phi^n(t_2)}{\ln \phi^n(t_1)} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The result now follows from Lemma 2.4.

We end this section with the following result.

LEMMA 2.7. *There exist $C_2 > 200$ and $K_2 \geq K_1$ such that, for all $x \geq K_2$, $|Y| \leq \pi/4$,*

$$\{z = x + iy : Y \leq y \leq Y + C_2/e^x\} \cap h^{-1}([K_1, \infty)) \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. This follows from the fact that, if $z = x + iy$, then $\exp(e^z)$ is real and positive if

$$e^x \sin y = 2\pi r, \quad \text{where } r \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We begin this section with a formal definition of the box dimension of a bounded set $A \subset \mathbb{C}$. Suppose that we have a grid composed of lines parallel to the axes, so that each box in the grid has sides of length d . We count the number $N_d(A)$ of boxes in this grid that meet the set A . The box dimension of A is defined to be

$$\dim_B A = \lim_{d \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log N_d(A)}{-\log d},$$

if this limit exists. There are several equivalent definitions of the box dimension of a set; for more details see, for example, [5].

Now let f be a function f_K as defined in Section 1, with K sufficiently large for Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.6 to apply. In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we take a point $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $b_D(x, n)$ denote the box centred at x with sides parallel to the axes and of length

$$D(x, n) = \frac{\pi}{400(f^n)'(x)}.$$

We then let $b_d(x, n)$ denote a box within $b_D(x, n)$ centred at a point $z_d(x, n)$ with sides parallel to the axes and of length

$$d(x, n) = \frac{600C_2}{\exp(f^n(x))(f^n)'(x)}.$$

The key step in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is to show that, if x is sufficiently large, then any box of the form $b_d(x, n)$ meets $J(f)$.

LEMMA 3.1. *If x is sufficiently large and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then*

$$b_d(x, n) \cap J(f) \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. For simplicity, we will let $b_D = b_D(x, n)$, $b_d = b_d(x, n)$, $z_d = z_d(x, n)$ and $d = d(x, n)$ throughout the proof. We will show that $f^n(b_d)$ meets a curve in $f^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$, and then we deduce from Lemma 2.6 that, if x is sufficiently large, then b_d meets $J(f)$. We first note that, if x is sufficiently large, then it follows from Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 that

$$f^{-n} \left(B \left(f^n(x), \frac{\pi}{4} \right) \right) \supset B \left(x, \frac{\pi}{400(f^n)'(x)} \right) \supset b_D \supset b_d$$

and so

$$f^n(b_d) \subset B(f^n(x), \pi/4). \tag{3.1}$$

It also follows from Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 that, if x is sufficiently large, then

$$f^{-n} \left(B \left(f^n(z_d), \frac{3C_2}{\exp(f^n(x))} \right) \right) \subset B \left(z_d, \frac{300C_2}{\exp(f^n(x))(f^n)'(x)} \right) \subset b_d,$$

and so

$$f^n(b_d) \supset B \left(f^n(z_d), \frac{3C_2}{\exp(f^n(x))} \right). \tag{3.2}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.7 and (3.1) that, if x is sufficiently large, then there exists a point z' such that

$$z' \in h^{-1}([K_1, \infty)) \cap B \left(f^n(z_d), \frac{2C_2}{\exp(f^n(x))} \right). \tag{3.3}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.3 that $|f(z') - h(z')| < C_1$ and so $B(f(z'), C_1) \cap [K_1, \infty) \neq \emptyset$. It follows from Lemma 2.6 that

$$B(f(z'), C_1) \cap J(f) \neq \emptyset. \tag{3.4}$$

Since $\Re(f(z')) \geq h(z') - C_1 \geq K_1 - C_1$ and $K_1 > 5C_1$ it follows from Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 that

$$f^{-1}(B(f(z'), C_1)) \subset B \left(z', \frac{100C_1}{|f'(z')|} \right) \subset B \left(z', \frac{200C_1}{|e^{z'} f(z')|} \right). \tag{3.5}$$

It follows from equations (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) that $z' \in B(f^n(x), \pi/4)$. We have also just seen that $|f(z')| > 4C_1$, and so

$$\frac{200C_1}{|e^{z'} f(z')|} \leq \frac{200C_1 e^{\pi/4}}{\exp(f^n(x))4C_1} \leq \frac{200}{\exp(f^n(x))}.$$

Since $C_2 > 200$, it now follows from equation (3.5) that

$$f^{-1}(B(f(z'), C_1)) \subset B \left(z', \frac{C_2}{\exp(f^n(x))} \right). \tag{3.6}$$

It follows from (3.4) and (3.6) that

$$B\left(z', \frac{C_2}{\exp(f^n(x))}\right) \cap J(f) \neq \emptyset.$$

We also know from (3.2) and (3.3) that

$$B\left(z', \frac{C_2}{\exp(f^n(x))}\right) \subset B\left(f^n(z_d), \frac{3C_2}{\exp(f^n(x))}\right) \subset f^n(b_d).$$

Thus $f^n(b_d) \cap J(f) \neq \emptyset$, and hence $b_d \cap J(f) \neq \emptyset$ as claimed.

LEMMA 3.2. *If $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is sufficiently large, then, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$d(f^2(x), n) \leq d(x, n + 1) \leq d(x, n)/2.$$

Proof. We have

$$\frac{d(x, n + 1)}{d(f^2(x), n)} = \frac{\exp(f^{n+2}(x))(f^n)'(f^2(x))}{\exp(f^{n+1}(x))(f^{n+1})'(x)} = \frac{\exp(f^{n+2}(x))f'(f^{n+1}(x))}{\exp(f^{n+1}(x))f'(x)f'(f(x))}.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.3 that, if x is sufficiently large, then, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\frac{\exp(f^{n+2}(x))f'(f^{n+1}(x))}{\exp(f^{n+1}(x))f'(x)f'(f(x))} \geq 1,$$

and hence $d(x, n + 1) \geq d(f^2(x), n)$ as claimed.

Similarly, if x is sufficiently large, then

$$\frac{d(x, n)}{d(x, n + 1)} = \frac{\exp(f^{n+1}(x))(f^{n+1})'(x)}{\exp(f^n(x))(f^n)'(x)} = \frac{\exp(f^{n+1}(x))f'(f^n(x))}{\exp(f^n(x))} \geq 2,$$

and hence $d(x, n) \geq 2d(x, n + 1)$ as claimed.

We now put

$$R(X) = \{x + iy : X - 1 \leq x \leq f^2(X) + 1, |y| \leq 1\}$$

and put $A(X) = J(f) \cap R(X)$. Using Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we show that, for large values of X , the set $A(X)$ has box dimension equal to two. This is sufficient to prove Theorem 1.1.

LEMMA 3.3. *If X is sufficiently large, then $\dim_B A(X) = 2$.*

Proof. Take $d > 0$. If d is sufficiently small and X is sufficiently large, then it follows from Lemma 3.2 that there exist $x \in (X, f^2(X))$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x, n) = d$. It now follows from Lemma 3.1 that, if X is sufficiently large, then $N_d(A(X))$ is greater than or equal to the largest number of disjoint boxes of the form $b_d(x, n)$ which can be fitted inside $b_D(x, n)$. Thus, if X is sufficiently large,

then

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_d(A(X)) &\geq \inf_{x \in (X, f^2(X))} \left(\frac{D(x, n)}{d(x, n)} - 1 \right)^2 \\
 &= \inf_{x \in (X, f^2(X))} \left(\frac{\pi \exp(f^n(x))(f^n)'(x)}{400(f^n)'(x) \times 600C_2} - 1 \right)^2 \\
 &= \inf_{x \in (X, f^2(X))} \left(\frac{\pi \exp(f^n(x))}{2.4 \times 10^5 C_2} - 1 \right)^2 \\
 &\geq \inf_{x \in (X, f^2(X))} \frac{\exp(2f^n(x))}{10^{11}C_2^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\log N_d(A(X))}{-\log d} &\geq \inf_{x \in (X, f^2(X))} \frac{\log(\exp(2f^n(x))/(10^{11}C_2^2))}{-\log(600C_2/(\exp(f^n(x))(f^n)'(x)))} \\
 &= \inf_{x \in (X, f^2(X))} \frac{2f^n(x) - \log(10^{11}C_2^2)}{f^n(x) + \log(f^n)'(x) - \log(600C_2)} \\
 &\geq \inf_{x \in (X, f^2(X))} \frac{2 - \log(10^{11}C_2^2)/f^n(X)}{1 + \log(f^n)'(x)/f^n(x) - \log(600C_2)/f^{n+2}(X)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If X is sufficiently large, then it follows from Lemma 2.3 that for $x \in (X, f^2(X))$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (f^n)'(x) &= \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} f'(f^j(x)) \\
 &\leq \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} 2 \exp(f^j(x)) f^{j+1}(x) \\
 &\leq \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (f^{j+1}(x))^2 \\
 &\leq (f^n(x))^{2n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that, if X is sufficiently large, then for $x \in (X, f^2(X))$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\log(f^n)'(x)}{f^n(x)} &\leq \frac{2n \log f^n(x)}{f^n(x)} \\
 &\leq \frac{2n \log f^n(X)}{f^n(X)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if X is sufficiently large, then

$$\liminf_{d \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log N_d(A(X))}{-\log d} \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2 - \log(10^{11}C_2^2)/f^n(X)}{1 + 2n \log f^n(X)/f^n(X) - \log(600C_2)/f^{n+2}(X)} = 2.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

References

1. A. F. BEARDON, *Iteration of rational functions* (Springer, 1991).
2. W. BERGWELER, 'Iteration of meromorphic functions', *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 29 (1993) 151–188.

3. L. CARLESON and T. W. GAMELIN, *Complex Dynamics* (Springer, 1993).
4. P. L. DUREN, *Univalent functions* (Springer, 1953).
5. K. J. FALCONER, *Fractal geometry: Mathematical foundations and applications* (Wiley, 1990).
6. G. PÓLYA and G. SZEGÖ, *Problems and theorems in analysis I*, Part III (Springer, New York, 1972) problems 158–160.
7. P. J. RIPPON and G. M. STALLARD, ‘Iteration of a class of hyperbolic meromorphic functions’, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 127 (1999) 3251–3258.
8. P. J. RIPPON and G. M. STALLARD, ‘On sets where iterates of a meromorphic function zip towards infinity’, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 32 (2000) 528–536.
9. G. M. STALLARD, ‘The Hausdorff dimension of Julia sets of entire functions’, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 11 (1991) 769–777.
10. G. M. STALLARD, ‘Dimensions of Julia sets of hyperbolic meromorphic functions’, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 33 (2001) 689–694.
11. D. SULLIVAN, ‘Conformal dynamical systems’, *Geometric dynamics*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1007 (Springer, New York, 1983) 725–752.

G. M. Stallard
Department of Pure Mathematics
The Open University
Walton Hall
Milton Keynes MK7 6AA
g.m.stallard@open.ac.uk