

**MAT 532, Monday Sept 26, 2022, Stony Brook University**

**REAL ANALYSIS I**

**Christopher Bishop**

## 2.5: PRODUCT MEASURES

Main points today:

- Define product measure on product spaces
- Monotone class lemma (useful proof technique)
- Fubini-Tonelli Theorem
- Examples of how they can fail (if we drop a hypothesis)

Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  and  $(X, \mathcal{N}, \nu)$  be measure spaces.

We already discussed product  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ .

Now we want to define a product measure  $\mu \times \nu$ .

**Defn:** a (measurable) **rectangle** is a set of the form  $A \times B$  where  $A \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{N}$

Recall that an elementary family of sets  $\mathcal{E}$  (page 23) is a collection satisfying

$$\emptyset \in \mathcal{E}$$

$$E, F \in \mathcal{E} \Rightarrow E \cap F \in \mathcal{E}$$

if  $E \in \mathcal{E}$  then  $E^c$  is a finite union of sets in  $\mathcal{E}$

Rectangles are an elementary family:

$$\emptyset \times \emptyset = \emptyset$$

$$(A \times B) \cap (E \times F) = (A \cap E) \times (B \cap F),$$

$$(A \times B)^c = (X \times B^c) \cup (A^c \times X)$$

Recall Proposition 1.7: If  $\mathcal{E}$  is an elementary family then the collection of finite unions of members of  $\mathcal{E}$  is an algebra (closed under finite unions and complements).

Therefore the collection  $\mathcal{A}$  of finite disjoint unions of rectangles is an algebra, and the  $\sigma$ -algebra it generates is  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ .

Suppose  $A \times B$  is a rectangle that is a (finite or countable) disjoint union of rectangles  $A_j \times B_j$ .

Then for  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ ,

$$\chi_A(x)\chi_B(y) = \chi_{A \times B}(x, y) = \sum_j \chi_{A_j \times B_j}(x, y) = \sum_j \chi_{A_j}(x)\chi_{B_j}(y).$$

Integrate over  $x$  and use Theorem 2.15 ( $\int \sum f_j = \sum \int f_j$  if  $f_j \geq 0$ ),

$$\mu(A)\chi_B(y) = \int \chi_A(x)\chi_B(y)d\mu(x) = \sum_j \int \chi_{A_j}(x)\chi_{B_j}(y)d\mu(x) = \sum_j \mu(A_j)\chi_{B_j}(y)$$

In the same way, integration in  $y$  gives

$$\mu(A)\nu(B) = \sum_j \mu(A_j)\nu(B_j).$$

It follows that if  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  is the disjoint, finite union of rectangles and we set

$$\pi = \sum_1^n \mu(A_j)\nu(B_j),$$

then  $\pi$  is well defined and is a pre-measure.

According to Theorem 1.14,  $\pi$  generates an outer measure on  $X \times Y$  whose restriction to  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$  is a measure that extends  $\pi$ .

Easy to check that if  $\mu, \nu$  are  $\sigma$ -finite so is  $\mu \times \nu$ .

In this case, by Theorem 1.14,  $\mu \times \nu$  is the unique measure on  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$  so that

$$\mu \times \nu(A \times B) = \mu(A)\nu(B)$$

for all rectangles  $A \times B$ .

The same construction works for any finite number of factors.

Define a rectangle

$$A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n$$

and set

$$(\mu_1 \times \cdots \times \mu_n)(A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n) = \mu_1(A_1) \cdots \mu_n(A_n).$$

However, for the rest of today we will deal with just two factors.

If  $E \subset X \times Y$  we define the  $x$ -section and  $y$ -sections as

$$E_x = \{y \in Y : (x, y) \in E\}, \quad E_y = \{x \in X : (x, y) \in E\}.$$

If  $f$  is a function on  $X \times Y$  we define the  $x$ -section and  $y$ -section as

$$f_x(y) = f^y(x) = f(x, y).$$

### 2.34 Proposition:

- (a) If  $E \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$  then  $E_x \in \mathcal{N}$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $E_y \in \mathcal{M}$  for all  $y \in Y$ .
- (b) If  $f$  is  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$  measurable, then  $f_x$  is  $\mathcal{N}$ -measurable for all  $x \in X$  and  $f_y$  is  $\mathcal{M}$ -measurable for all  $y \in Y$ .

**Proof:** For (a) it suffices to show that the collection of  $E$ 's for which this is true is a  $\sigma$ -algebra that contains all rectangles, hence contains  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ .

It clearly contains all rectangles since  $(A \times B)_x = B$  or  $\emptyset$ . Also  $(E^c)_x = (E_x)^c$  and  $(\cup_j E_j)_x = \cup_j (E_j)_x$ , so it is closed under complements and countable unions. Same for  $y$ -sections.

For (b) note that  $(f_x)^{-1}(B) = (f^{-1}(B))_x$  and  $(f^y)^{-1}(A) = (f^{-1}(A))_y$ . □

What we just did is a common technique: to show some property holds for all measurable sets, show it holds for a generating sets and show that the property is preserved under complements and countable unions. Thus it holds on some  $\sigma$ -algebra containing the generating sets, and hence on the minimal one.

However, sometime is more convenient to take a “short cut” and only consider nested unions (and intersections) instead of arbitrary ones.

A **monotone class** on a space  $X$  is a collection of subsets that is closed under countable increasing unions and countable decreasing intersections, i.e., if  $E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots$  are in the collection, so is  $\cup_j E_j$  and if  $E_1 \supset E_2 \supset \dots$  are in the collection, so is  $\cap_j E_j$ .

Any  $\sigma$ -algebra is a monotone class.

Any intersection of monotone classes is a monotone class, so there is a smallest one containing any given collection of subsets.

**2.35 The Monotone Class Lemma:** If  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra of subsets of  $X$ , then the monotone class  $\mathcal{C}$  generated by  $\mathcal{A}$  coincides with the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  generated by  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\mathcal{M}$  is a monotone class, we have  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{M}$ . Thus it is enough to show  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra (since  $\mathcal{M}$  is the smallest containing  $\mathcal{A}$ ).

For  $E \in \mathcal{C}$  define

$$C(E) = \{F \in \mathcal{C} : E \setminus F, F \setminus E, E \cap F \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

Clearly  $\emptyset$  and  $E$  are in  $C(E)$  and  $E \in C(F)$  iff  $F \in C(E)$

Also, it is easy to check that  $C(E)$  is a monotone class.

If  $E \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $F \in C(E)$  for all  $F \in \mathcal{A}$  because  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra. Thus  $\mathcal{A} \subset C(E)$ , and hence  $\mathcal{C} \subset C(E)$ .

So if  $F \in \mathcal{C}$  then  $F \in C(E)$  for every  $E \in \mathcal{A}$ . But this implies  $E \in C(F)$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  and hence  $\mathcal{A} \subset C(F)$  for any  $F \in \mathcal{C}$ .

Therefore  $\mathcal{C} \subset C(F)$  since these are both monotone classes containing  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  is the minimal such, by definition.

**Conclusion:** If  $E, F \in \mathcal{C}$  then so are,  $E \setminus F$  and  $E \cap F$ .

Since  $X \in \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$  is therefore closed under complements. Since

$$(A \cup B)^c = (A^c \cap B^c)$$

$\mathcal{C}$  is also closed under finite unions, hence is an algebra.

If  $\{E_j\} \in \mathcal{C}$ , then  $\cup_1^n E_j \in \mathcal{C}$  for all finite  $n$  and since these unions are nested sets, the infinite union is also in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. □

**2.36 Theorem:** Suppose  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{N}, \nu)$  are  $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces. If  $E \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ , then the functions  $x \rightarrow \nu(E_x)$  and  $y \rightarrow \mu(E^y)$  are measurable on  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively, and

$$\mu \times \nu(E) = \int \nu(E_x) d\mu(x) = \int \mu(E^y) d\nu(y).$$

**Proof.** First suppose that  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are finite. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the set of all  $E \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$  for which the conclusions of the theorem are true. Since rectangles are an algebra, it will suffice to show

- (1) finite unions of rectangles are in  $\mathcal{C}$
- (2)  $\mathcal{C}$  is a monotone class

Then by the monotone class lemma  $\mathcal{C}$  equals  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ .

If  $E = A \times B$ , is a rectangle, then

$$\nu(E_x) = \chi_A(x)\nu(B), \text{ and } \mu(E^y) = \mu(A)\chi_B(y),$$

so clearly  $E \in \mathcal{C}$ . Any finite union of rectangles can be written as a finite disjoint union of rectangles.

In this case  $x \rightarrow \nu(E_x)$  and  $y \rightarrow \mu(E^y)$  are simple functions, hence measurable,

If  $E = \cup_j E_j = \cup_j (A_j \times B_j)$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \int \sum \nu(E_x) d\mu(x) &= \int \sum_j \nu(\cup(E_j)_x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \sum_j \int \nu(\cup(E_j)_x) d\mu(x) = \sum_j \int \nu(B_j) \mu(A_j) = \mu(E). \end{aligned}$$

The same for the  $y$ -sections. This gives (1).

Next we show  $\mathcal{C}$  is a monotone class.

**Unions:** If  $\{E_n\}$  is an increasing sequence in  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $E = \cup E_n$ , then the functions  $f_n(y) = \mu((E_n)^y)$  are measurable and increase pointwise to  $f(y) = \mu(E^y)$ . Hence  $f$  is measurable, and by the monotone convergence theorem,

$$\int \mu(E^y) d\nu(y) = \lim \int \mu((E_n)^y) d\nu(y) = \lim \mu \times \nu(E_n) = \mu \times \nu(E).$$

Likewise for  $\int \nu(E_x) d\mu(x) = \mu \times \nu(E)$ . Thus  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under countable increasing unions.

**Intersections:** Suppose  $\{E_n\} \subset \mathcal{C}$  is decreasing and set  $E = \cap E_n$ . Since

$$\mu(E^y) \leq \mu((E_1)^y) \leq \mu(X) < \infty,$$

the function  $y \rightarrow \mu((E_n)^y)$  are dominated by the  $L^1$  (constant) function  $\mu(X)$ .

The dominated convergence theorem implies  $E \in \mathcal{C}$ , so  $\mathcal{C}$  is a monotone class.

This is (2).

We have now finished the proof for finite measures.

If  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are  $\sigma$ -finite, we can write  $X \times Y$  as the union of an increasing sequence  $\{X_j \times Y_j\}$  of rectangles of finite measure. If  $E \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ , the preceding argument applies to  $E_j = E \cap (X_j \times Y_j)$ . Thus

$$\mu \times \nu(E_j) = \int \chi_{X_j} \nu(E_x \cap Y_j) d\mu(x) = \int \chi_{Y_j} \mu(E^y \cap X_j) d\nu(y).$$

Now apply the Monotone Convergence Theorem to get the result. □

**2.37 The Fubini-Tonelli Theorem:** Suppose that  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{N}, \nu)$   $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces.

(Tonelli) If  $f \in L^+(X \times Y)$ , then the functions  $g(x) = \int f_x d\nu$  and  $h(y) = \int f^y d\mu$  are in  $L^+(X)$  and  $L^+(Y)$ , respectively, and

$$(0.1) \quad \int f d(\mu \times \nu) = \int \left[ \int f(x, y) d\nu(y) \right] d\mu(x)$$

$$(0.2) \quad = \int \left[ \int f(x, y) d\mu(x) \right] d\nu(y)$$

(Fubini) If  $f \in L^1(\mu \times \nu)$ , then  $f^y \in L^1(\mu)$  for  $\nu$  a.e.  $y \in Y$  and  $f_x \in L^1(\nu)$  for  $\mu$  a.e.  $x \in X$ . The a.e. defined functions  $g(x) = \int f_x d\nu$  and  $h(y) = \int f^y d\mu$  are in  $L^1(\mu)$  and  $L^1(\nu)$  respectively, and (0.1) holds.

**Proof:** Tonelli's theorem reduces to Theorem 2.36 in case  $f$  is a characteristic function, and it therefore holds for nonnegative simple functions by linearity.

If  $f \in L^+(X \times Y)$ , let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of simple functions that increase pointwise to  $f$  (Theorem 2.10). The corresponding  $g_n$  and  $h_n$  increase to  $g$  and  $h$ , so they are measurable.

By the monotone convergence theorem

$$\int g d\mu = \lim \int g_n d\mu = \lim \int f_n d(\mu \times \nu) = \int f d(\mu \times \nu).$$

This proves Tonelli's theorem.

The preceding argument also shows that if  $f \in L^+(X \times Y)$  and  $\int f d(\mu \times \nu) < \infty$ , then  $g < \infty$  a.e. and  $h < \infty$  a.e. In other words,  $f_x \in L^1(\nu)$  a.e. and  $f^y \in L^1(\mu)$  a.e.

If  $f \in L^1(\mu \times \nu)$  then Fubini's theorem follows by applying these results to the positive and negative parts of the real and imaginary parts of  $f$ .  $\square$

$L^1$  is needed in Fubini's theorem. "Checkerboard" example.

$\sigma$ -finiteness is needed. See Exercise 2.46. Take  $X = Y = [0, 1]$ ,  $\mu =$  Lebesgue measure and  $\nu =$  counting measure.

$E$  need not be measurable even if every section  $E_x$  and  $E^y$  is. See Exercise 2.27:  
well order  $[0, 1]$  and let  $E = \{(x, y) : x < y\}$ .

Product measures of complete measures need not be complete.

For example, take  $m \times m$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . If  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  is non-measurable, then  $E \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$  is a subset of a measure zero set, but it is not in the product  $\sigma$ -algebra (because there is a section that equals  $E$ ).

The Tonelli-Fubini theorem can be reformulated to work in the setting of the completion of a product measure. See the textbook. The main difference is that now all the sections are only measurable a.e., not for all  $x$  and  $y$ .

Lebesgue proved that a function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is Riemann integrable iff the set of discontinuities has measure zero.

There is a Riemann integrable function on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  so that not all of its  $x$  and  $y$  sections are Riemann integrable

What if we rotate the axes? Is there a Riemann integrable function on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  that fails to be Riemann integrable on some line in every direction?