

# Mirror Symmetry for Gromov-Witten Invariants of a Quintic Threefold

Aleksey Zinger

Stony Brook University

December 6, 2007

# From String Theory to Gromov-Witten Theory

**Mirror Symmetry Principle** of String Theory produces predictions for GW-Invariants

- especially for Calabi-Yau 3-fold
- especially for quintic 3-fold  $X_5 \subset \mathbb{P}^4$   
 $X_5 =$  degree 5 hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^4$

# From String Theory to Gromov-Witten Theory

**Mirror Symmetry Principle** of String Theory produces predictions for GW-Invariants

- especially for Calabi-Yau 3-fold
- especially for quintic 3-fold  $X_5 \subset \mathbb{P}^4$   
 $X_5 =$  degree 5 hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^4$

# From String Theory to Gromov-Witten Theory

**Mirror Symmetry Principle** of String Theory produces predictions for GW-Invariants

- especially for Calabi-Yau 3-fold
- especially for quintic 3-fold  $X_5 \subset \mathbb{P}^4$   
 $X_5 =$  degree 5 hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^4$

# From String Theory to Gromov-Witten Theory

**Mirror Symmetry Principle** of String Theory produces predictions for GW-Invariants

- especially for Calabi-Yau 3-fold
- especially for quintic 3-fold  $X_5 \subset \mathbb{P}^4$   
 $X_5 =$  degree 5 hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^4$

# Some Predictions of String Theory

- Candelas-de la Ossa-Green-Parkes'91:  $g = 0$  for  $X_5$
- Bershadsky-Cecotti-Ooguri-Vafa'93 (BCOV):  $g = 1$  for  $X_5$
- Huang-Klemm-Quackenbush'06:  $g \leq 52$  for  $X_5$
- Klemm-Pandharipande'07:  $g = 1$  for  $X_6$   
 $X_6 =$  degree 6 hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^5$

# Some Predictions of String Theory

- Candelas-de la Ossa-Green-Parkes'91:  $g = 0$  for  $X_5$
- Bershadsky-Cecotti-Ooguri-Vafa'93 (BCOV):  $g = 1$  for  $X_5$
- Huang-Klemm-Quackenbush'06:  $g \leq 52$  for  $X_5$
- Klemm-Pandharipande'07:  $g = 1$  for  $X_6$   
 $X_6 =$  degree 6 hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^5$

# Some Predictions of String Theory

- Candelas-de la Ossa-Green-Parkes'91:  $g = 0$  for  $X_5$
- Bershadsky-Cecotti-Ooguri-Vafa'93 (BCOV):  $g = 1$  for  $X_5$
- Huang-Klemm-Quackenbush'06:  $g \leq 52$  for  $X_5$
- Klemm-Pandharipande'07:  $g = 1$  for  $X_6$   
 $X_6 =$  degree 6 hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^5$



# Some Predictions of String Theory

- Candelas-de la Ossa-Green-Parkes'91:  $g = 0$  for  $X_5$
- Bershadsky-Cecotti-Ooguri-Vafa'93 (BCOV):  $g = 1$  for  $X_5$
- Huang-Klemm-Quackenbush'06:  $g \leq 52$  for  $X_5$
- Klemm-Pandharipande'07:  $g = 1$  for  $X_6$   
 $X_6 =$  degree 6 hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^5$

# Some Predictions of String Theory

- Candelas-de la Ossa-Green-Parkes'91:  $g = 0$  for  $X_5$
- Bershadsky-Cecotti-Ooguri-Vafa'93 (BCOV):  $g = 1$  for  $X_5$
- Huang-Klemm-Quackenbush'06:  $g \leq 52$  for  $X_5$
- Klemm-Pandharipande'07:  $g = 1$  for  $X_6$   
 $X_6 =$  degree 6 hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^5$

# Mirror Symmetry Verifications

Theorem (Givental'96, Lian-Liu-Yau'97,.....~'00)

$g = 0$  predict. holds for  $X_5$ ; generalizes to other hypersurfaces

Theorem (Z.07)

$g = 1$  predictions hold for  $X_5, X_6$ ; generalize to  $X_n$

$X_n =$  degree  $n$  hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ :  $c_1(X) = 0$

# Mirror Symmetry Verifications

Theorem (Givental'96, Lian-Liu-Yau'97,.....~'00)

$g = 0$  predict. holds for  $X_5$ ; generalizes to other hypersurfaces

Theorem (Z.'07)

$g = 1$  predictions hold for  $X_5, X_6$ ; generalize to  $X_n$

$X_n =$  degree  $n$  hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ :  $c_1(X) = 0$

# Mirror Symmetry Verifications

Theorem (Givental'96, Lian-Liu-Yau'97,.....~'00)

$g = 0$  predict. holds for  $X_5$ ; generalizes to other hypersurfaces

Theorem (Z.'07)

$g = 1$  predictions hold for  $X_5, X_6$ ; generalize to  $X_n$

$X_n =$  degree  $n$  hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ :  $c_1(X) = 0$

# A Curious Identity for $n = 3$

- $X_3 =$  cubic in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , smooth curve of genus 1
- genus 1 GWs  $\longleftrightarrow$  counts of unbranched covers
- comparison with  $n = 3$  case of  $g = 1$  thm gives identity for

$$\mathbb{I}_0(q) \equiv 1 + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} q^d \frac{(3d)!}{(d!)^3}, \quad \mathbb{I}_1(q) \equiv \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} q^d \left( \frac{(3d)!}{(d!)^3} \sum_{r=d+1}^{3d} \frac{3}{r} \right)$$

- With  $Q \equiv q \cdot e^{\mathbb{I}_1(q)/\mathbb{I}_0(q)}$ ,

$$q^3 (1 - 27q) \mathbb{I}_0(q)^{12} = Q^3 \prod_{d=1}^{\infty} (1 - Q^{3d})^{24}$$

# A Curious Identity for $n = 3$

- $X_3 =$  cubic in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , smooth curve of genus 1
- genus 1 GWs  $\longleftrightarrow$  counts of unbranched covers
- comparison with  $n = 3$  case of  $g = 1$  thm gives identity for

$$\mathbb{I}_0(q) \equiv 1 + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} q^d \frac{(3d)!}{(d!)^3}, \quad \mathbb{I}_1(q) \equiv \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} q^d \left( \frac{(3d)!}{(d!)^3} \sum_{r=d+1}^{3d} \frac{3}{r} \right)$$

- With  $Q \equiv q \cdot e^{\mathbb{I}_1(q)/\mathbb{I}_0(q)}$ ,

$$q^3 (1 - 27q) \mathbb{I}_0(q)^{12} = Q^3 \prod_{d=1}^{\infty} (1 - Q^{3d})^{24}$$

# A Curious Identity for $n = 3$

- $X_3 =$  cubic in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , smooth curve of genus 1
- genus 1 GWs  $\longleftrightarrow$  counts of unbranched covers
- comparison with  $n = 3$  case of  $g = 1$  thm gives identity for

$$\mathbb{I}_0(q) \equiv 1 + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} q^d \frac{(3d)!}{(d!)^3}, \quad \mathbb{I}_1(q) \equiv \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} q^d \left( \frac{(3d)!}{(d!)^3} \sum_{r=d+1}^{3d} \frac{3}{r} \right)$$

- With  $Q \equiv q \cdot e^{\mathbb{I}_1(q)/\mathbb{I}_0(q)}$ ,

$$q^3 (1 - 27q) \mathbb{I}_0(q)^{12} = Q^3 \prod_{d=1}^{\infty} (1 - Q^{3d})^{24}$$



# A Curious Identity for $n = 3$

- $X_3 =$  cubic in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , smooth curve of genus 1
- genus 1 GWs  $\longleftrightarrow$  counts of unbranched covers
- comparison with  $n = 3$  case of  $g = 1$  thm gives identity for

$$\mathbb{I}_0(q) \equiv 1 + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} q^d \frac{(3d)!}{(d!)^3}, \quad \mathbb{I}_1(q) \equiv \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} q^d \left( \frac{(3d)!}{(d!)^3} \sum_{r=d+1}^{3d} \frac{3}{r} \right)$$

- With  $Q \equiv q \cdot e^{\mathbb{I}_1(q)/\mathbb{I}_0(q)}$ ,

$$q^3 (1 - 27q) \mathbb{I}_0(q)^{12} = Q^3 \prod_{d=1}^{\infty} (1 - Q^{3d})^{24}$$

## A Curious Identity for $n = 3$

- $X_3 =$  cubic in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , smooth curve of genus 1
- genus 1 GWs  $\longleftrightarrow$  counts of unbranched covers
- comparison with  $n = 3$  case of  $g = 1$  thm gives identity for

$$\mathbb{I}_0(q) \equiv 1 + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} q^d \frac{(3d)!}{(d!)^3}, \quad \mathbb{I}_1(q) \equiv \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} q^d \left( \frac{(3d)!}{(d!)^3} \sum_{r=d+1}^{3d} \frac{3}{r} \right)$$

- With  $Q \equiv q \cdot e^{\mathbb{I}_1(q)/\mathbb{I}_0(q)}$ ,

$$q^3(1 - 27q)\mathbb{I}_0(q)^{12} = Q^3 \prod_{d=1}^{\infty} (1 - Q^{3d})^{24}$$

# A Curious Identity for $n = 3$

- $X_3 =$  cubic in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , smooth curve of genus 1
- genus 1 GWs  $\longleftrightarrow$  counts of unbranched covers
- comparison with  $n = 3$  case of  $g = 1$  thm gives identity for

$$\mathbb{I}_0(q) \equiv 1 + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} q^d \frac{(3d)!}{(d!)^3}, \quad \mathbb{I}_1(q) \equiv \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} q^d \left( \frac{(3d)!}{(d!)^3} \sum_{r=d+1}^{3d} \frac{3}{r} \right)$$

- With  $Q \equiv q \cdot e^{\mathbb{I}_1(q)/\mathbb{I}_0(q)}$ ,

$$q^3(1 - 27q)\mathbb{I}_0(q)^{12} = Q^3 \prod_{d=1}^{\infty} (1 - Q^{3d})^{24}$$

## A Curious Identity for $n = 3$

- $X_3 =$  cubic in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , smooth curve of genus 1
- genus 1 GWs  $\longleftrightarrow$  counts of unbranched covers
- comparison with  $n = 3$  case of  $g = 1$  thm gives identity for

$$\mathbb{I}_0(q) \equiv 1 + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} q^d \frac{(3d)!}{(d!)^3}, \quad \mathbb{I}_1(q) \equiv \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} q^d \left( \frac{(3d)!}{(d!)^3} \sum_{r=d+1}^{3d} \frac{3}{r} \right)$$

- With  $Q \equiv q \cdot e^{\mathbb{I}_1(q)/\mathbb{I}_0(q)}$ ,

$$q^3(1 - 27q)\mathbb{I}_0(q)^{12} = Q^3 \prod_{d=1}^{\infty} (1 - Q^{3d})^{24}$$

# Approach to GWs of $X_n$

Step 1: relate GWs of  $X_n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  to GWs of  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$

Step 2: use  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ -action on  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  to compute each GW by localization

Step 3: find some degree-recursive feature(s) to compute all GWs for fixed genus

# Approach to GWs of $X_n$

**Step 1:** relate GWs of  $X_n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  to GWs of  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$

Step 2: use  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ -action on  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  to compute each GW by localization

Step 3: find some degree-recursive feature(s) to compute all GWs for fixed genus

# Approach to GWs of $X_n$

- Step 1:** relate GWs of  $X_n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  to GWs of  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$
- Step 2:** use  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ -action on  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  to compute each GW by localization
- Step 3:** find some degree-recursive feature(s) to compute all GWs for fixed genus

# Approach to GWs of $X_n$

- Step 1:** relate GWs of  $X_n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  to GWs of  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$
- Step 2:** use  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ -action on  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  to compute each GW by localization
- Step 3:** find some degree-recursive feature(s) to compute all GWs for fixed genus



# Base Spaces

- $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) = \{\text{deg. } d \text{ genus-} g \text{ } k\text{-pointed maps to } \mathbb{P}^{n-1}\}$
- $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \subset \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,k}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)$  **main** irred. component  
closure of  $\{[u: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}] : \Sigma \text{ is smooth}\}$
- $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)$  natural desingularization  
 $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) = \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,k}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)$
- $\text{ev}_i: \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  evaluation at  $i$ th marked pt  
 $[u: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}; x_1, \dots, x_k] \rightarrow u(x_i)$

# Base Spaces

- $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) = \{\text{deg. } d \text{ genus-} g \text{ } k\text{-pointed maps to } \mathbb{P}^{n-1}\}$
- $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \subset \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,k}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)$  **main** irred. component  
closure of  $\{[u: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}] : \Sigma \text{ is smooth}\}$
- $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)$  natural desingularization  
 $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) = \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,k}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)$
- $\text{ev}_i: \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  evaluation at  $i$ th marked pt  
 $[u: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}; x_1, \dots, x_k] \rightarrow u(x_i)$

# Base Spaces

- $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) = \{\text{deg. } d \text{ genus-}g \text{ } k\text{-pointed maps to } \mathbb{P}^{n-1}\}$
- $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \subset \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,k}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)$  **main** irred. component  
closure of  $\{[u: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}]: \Sigma \text{ is smooth}\}$
- $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)$  natural desingularization  
 $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) = \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,k}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)$
- $\text{ev}_i: \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  evaluation at  $i$ th marked pt  
 $[u: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}; x_1, \dots, x_k] \rightarrow u(x_i)$

# Base Spaces

- $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) = \{\text{deg. } d \text{ genus-} g \text{ } k\text{-pointed maps to } \mathbb{P}^{n-1}\}$
- $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \subset \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,k}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)$  **main** irred. component  
closure of  $\{[u: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}]: \Sigma \text{ is smooth}\}$
- $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)$  natural desingularization  
 $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) = \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,k}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)$
- $\text{ev}_i: \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  evaluation at  $i$ th marked pt  
 $[u: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}; x_1, \dots, x_k] \rightarrow u(x_i)$

# Base Spaces

- $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) = \{\text{deg. } d \text{ genus-} g \text{ } k\text{-pointed maps to } \mathbb{P}^{n-1}\}$
- $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \subset \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,k}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)$  **main** irred. component  
closure of  $\{[u: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}]: \Sigma \text{ is smooth}\}$
- $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)$  natural desingularization  
 $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) = \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,k}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)$
- $\text{ev}_i: \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  evaluation at  $i$ th marked pt  
 $[u: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}; x_1, \dots, x_k] \rightarrow u(x_i)$

# Base Spaces

- $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) = \{\text{deg. } d \text{ genus-} g \text{ } k\text{-pointed maps to } \mathbb{P}^{n-1}\}$
- $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \subset \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,k}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)$  **main** irred. component  
closure of  $\{[u: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}]: \Sigma \text{ is smooth}\}$
- $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)$  natural desingularization  
 $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) = \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,k}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)$
- $\text{ev}_i: \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  evaluation at  $i$ th marked pt  
 $[u: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}; x_1, \dots, x_k] \rightarrow u(x_i)$

# From $X_n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ to $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{L} \equiv \mathcal{O}(n) \\ \downarrow \pi \\ \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \end{array}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{g,k}^0(\mathcal{L}, d)$$

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{g,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)$$

$g = 1$  Hyperplane Property: sufficient to compute

$$F(Q) \equiv \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} Q^d \int_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{1,1}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)} e(\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{1,d}) \text{ev}_1^* x$$

$x \in H^2(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$  hyperplane class

# From $X_n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ to $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{L} \equiv \mathcal{O}(n) \\ \downarrow \pi \\ \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{g,k}^0(\mathcal{L}, d) \\ \downarrow \tilde{\pi} \\ \widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{g,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \end{array}$$

$g = 1$  Hyperplane Property: sufficient to compute

$$F(Q) \equiv \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} Q^d \int_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}_{1,1}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)} e(\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_{1,d}) \text{ev}_1^* x$$

$x \in H^2(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$  hyperplane class



# From $X_n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ to $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{L} \equiv \mathcal{O}(n) \\ \downarrow \pi \\ \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{g,d} \equiv \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}^0(\mathcal{L}, d) \\ \downarrow \tilde{\pi} \\ \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \end{array}$$

$g = 1$  Hyperplane Property: sufficient to compute

$$F(Q) \equiv \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} Q^d \int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,1}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)} e(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{1,d}) \text{ev}_1^* x$$

$x \in H^2(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$  hyperplane class

# From $X_n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ to $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{L} \equiv \mathcal{O}(n) \\ \downarrow \pi \\ \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{g,d} \equiv \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}^0(\mathcal{L}, d) \\ \downarrow \tilde{\pi} \\ \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \end{array}$$

$g = 1$  Hyperplane Property: sufficient to compute

$$F(Q) \equiv \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} Q^d \int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,1}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)} e(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{1,d}) \text{ev}_1^* x$$

$x \in H^2(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$  hyperplane class

# From $X_n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ to $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{L} \equiv \mathcal{O}(n) \\ \downarrow \pi \\ \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{g,d} \equiv \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}^0(\mathcal{L}, d) \\ \downarrow \tilde{\pi} \\ \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \end{array}$$

$g = 1$  Hyperplane Property: sufficient to compute

$$F(Q) \equiv \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} Q^d \int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,1}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)} e(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{1,d}) \text{ev}_1^* x$$

$x \in H^2(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$  hyperplane class

# From $X_n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ to $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{L} \equiv \mathcal{O}(n) \\ \downarrow \pi \\ \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{g,d} \equiv \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}^0(\mathcal{L}, d) \\ \downarrow \tilde{\pi} \\ \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \end{array}$$

$g = 1$  Hyperplane Property: sufficient to compute

$$F(Q) \equiv \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} Q^d \int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,1}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)} e(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{1,d}) \text{ev}_1^* x$$

$x \in H^2(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$  hyperplane class

# Torus Actions

- $\mathbb{T} \equiv (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  acts on  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  (with  $n$  fixed pts)
- $\implies$  on  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{g,d} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)$  by composition with simple fixed loci
- $\implies$  Atiyah-Bott Localization Thm reduces

$$\int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)} e(\mathcal{V}_{g,d}) \eta$$

to integrals over fixed loci  $\rightsquigarrow \sum_{\text{graphs}}$

# Torus Actions

- $\mathbb{T} \equiv (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  acts on  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  (with  $n$  fixed pts)
- $\implies$  on  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{g,d} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)$  by composition with simple fixed loci
- $\implies$  Atiyah-Bott Localization Thm reduces

$$\int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)} e(\mathcal{V}_{g,d}) \eta$$

to integrals over fixed loci  $\rightsquigarrow \sum_{\text{graphs}}$

# Torus Actions

- $\mathbb{T} \equiv (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  acts on  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  (with  $n$  fixed pts)
- $\implies$  on  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{g,d} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)$  by composition with simple fixed loci
- $\implies$  Atiyah-Bott Localization Thm reduces

$$\int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)} e(\mathcal{V}_{g,d}) \eta$$

to integrals over fixed loci  $\rightsquigarrow \sum_{\text{graphs}}$

# Torus Actions

- $\mathbb{T} \equiv (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  acts on  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  (with  $n$  fixed pts)
- $\implies$  on  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{g,d} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)$  by composition with simple fixed loci
- $\implies$  Atiyah-Bott Localization Thm reduces

$$\int_{\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{g,k}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d)} e(\mathcal{V}_{g,d}) \eta$$

to integrals over fixed loci  $\rightsquigarrow \sum_{graphs}$



# Summing over all Genus 1 Graphs

- split genus 1 graphs into **many** genus 0 graphs at special vertex
- make use of good properties of genus 0 numbers to eliminate infinite sums
- extract non-equivariant part of elements in  $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$

# Summing over all Genus 1 Graphs

- split genus 1 graphs into **many** genus 0 graphs at special vertex
- make use of good properties of genus 0 numbers to eliminate infinite sums
- extract non-equivariant part of elements in  $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$

# Summing over all Genus 1 Graphs

- split genus 1 graphs into **many** genus 0 graphs at special vertex
- make use of good properties of genus 0 numbers to eliminate infinite sums
- extract non-equivariant part of elements in  $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{P}^{n-1})$

# Genus 0 Data

## What we know

- $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}) = \mathbb{Q}[x, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] / \prod_k (x - \alpha_k)$
- With  $ev_1, ev_2: \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,2}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ ,
  - Givental'96:

$$Z^*(h, x, Q) \equiv \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} Q^d ev_{1*} \left( \frac{e(\mathcal{V}_{0,d})}{h - \psi_1} \right) \in \mathbb{Q}(x, \alpha) [[h^{-1}, Q]]$$

- Z'07:

$$\tilde{Z}^* \equiv \frac{1}{2h_1 h_2} \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} Q^d \{ev_1 \times ev_2\}_* \left( \frac{e(\mathcal{V}_{0,d})}{(h_1 - \psi_1)(h_2 - \psi_2)} \right)$$

# Genus 0 Data

## What we know

- $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}) = \mathbb{Q}[x, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] / \prod_k (x - \alpha_k)$
- With  $ev_1, ev_2: \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,2}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ ,
  - Givental'96:

$$Z^*(h, x, Q) \equiv \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} Q^d ev_{1*} \left( \frac{e(\mathcal{V}_{0,d})}{h - \psi_1} \right) \in \mathbb{Q}(x, \alpha) [[\hbar^{-1}, Q]]$$

- Z'07:

$$\tilde{Z}^* \equiv \frac{1}{2h_1 h_2} \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} Q^d \{ev_1 \times ev_2\}_* \left( \frac{e(\mathcal{V}_{0,d})}{(h_1 - \psi_1)(h_2 - \psi_2)} \right)$$

# Genus 0 Data

## What we know

- $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}) = \mathbb{Q}[x, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] / \prod_k (x - \alpha_k)$
- With  $\text{ev}_1, \text{ev}_2: \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,2}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ ,
  - Givental'96:

$$Z^*(\hbar, x, Q) \equiv \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} Q^d \text{ev}_{1*} \left( \frac{e(\mathcal{V}_{0,d})}{\hbar - \psi_1} \right) \in \mathbb{Q}(x, \alpha) [[\hbar^{-1}, Q]]$$

- Z'07:

$$\tilde{Z}^* \equiv \frac{1}{2\hbar_1 \hbar_2} \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} Q^d \{ \text{ev}_1 \times \text{ev}_2 \}_* \left( \frac{e(\mathcal{V}_{0,d})}{(\hbar_1 - \psi_1)(\hbar_2 - \psi_2)} \right)$$

# Genus 0 Data

## What we know

- $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}) = \mathbb{Q}[x, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] / \prod_k (x - \alpha_k)$
- With  $\text{ev}_1, \text{ev}_2: \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,2}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ ,
  - Givental'96:

$$\mathcal{Z}^*(\hbar, x, Q) \equiv \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} Q^d \text{ev}_{1*} \left( \frac{e(\mathcal{V}_{0,d})}{\hbar - \psi_1} \right) \in \mathbb{Q}(x, \alpha)[[\hbar^{-1}, Q]]$$

- Z'07:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^* \equiv \frac{1}{2\hbar_1 \hbar_2} \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} Q^d \{ \text{ev}_1 \times \text{ev}_2 \}_* \left( \frac{e(\mathcal{V}_{0,d})}{(\hbar_1 - \psi_1)(\hbar_2 - \psi_2)} \right)$$

# Genus 0 Data

What we know

- $H_{\mathbb{T}}^*(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}) = \mathbb{Q}[x, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] / \prod_k (x - \alpha_k)$
- With  $\text{ev}_1, \text{ev}_2: \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,2}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ ,
  - Givental'96:

$$\mathcal{Z}^*(\hbar, x, Q) \equiv \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} Q^d \text{ev}_{1*} \left( \frac{e(\mathcal{V}_{0,d})}{\hbar - \psi_1} \right) \in \mathbb{Q}(x, \alpha)[[\hbar^{-1}, Q]]$$

- Z'07:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^* \equiv \frac{1}{2\hbar_1 \hbar_2} \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} Q^d \{ \text{ev}_1 \times \text{ev}_2 \}_* \left( \frac{e(\mathcal{V}_{0,d})}{(\hbar_1 - \psi_1)(\hbar_2 - \psi_2)} \right)$$



# Good Properties of $\mathcal{Z}^*$

$\mathcal{Z}_i^* \equiv \mathcal{Z}(x = \alpha_i)$  satisfies: for all  $a \geq 0$

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m(m-1)} \sum_{\substack{\sum a_l = m-2-a \\ a_l \geq 0}} \frac{(-1)^{a_l}}{a_l!} \mathfrak{R}_{\hbar=0} \{ \hbar^{-a_l} \mathcal{Z}_i^*(\hbar) \} \\ = a! \mathfrak{R}_{\hbar=0} \{ \hbar^{a+1} \mathcal{Z}_i^*(\hbar) \}$$

$a$

$\mathfrak{R}_{\hbar=0} \equiv$  residue at  $\hbar=0$

# Good Properties of $\mathcal{Z}^*$

$\mathcal{Z}_i^* \equiv \mathcal{Z}(x=\alpha_i)$  satisfies: for all  $a \geq 0$

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m(m-1)} \sum_{\substack{\sum a_l = m-2-a \\ a_l \geq 0}} \frac{(-1)^{a_l}}{a_l!} \mathfrak{R}_{\hbar=0} \{ \hbar^{-a_l} \mathcal{Z}_i^*(\hbar) \}$$

$$= a! \mathfrak{R}_{\hbar=0} \{ \hbar^{a+1} \mathcal{Z}_i^*(\hbar) \}$$

$a$

$\mathfrak{R}_{\hbar=0} \equiv$  residue at  $\hbar=0$

# Good Properties of $\mathcal{Z}^*$

$\mathcal{Z}_i^* \equiv \mathcal{Z}(x=\alpha_i)$  satisfies: for all  $a \geq 0$

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m(m-1)} \sum_{\substack{\sum a_l = m-2-a \\ a_l \geq 0}} \frac{(-1)^{a_l}}{a_l!} \mathfrak{R}_{\hbar=0} \{ \hbar^{-a_l} \mathcal{Z}_i^*(\hbar) \} \\ = a! \mathfrak{R}_{\hbar=0} \{ \hbar^{a+1} \mathcal{Z}_i^*(\hbar) \}$$

$a$

$\mathfrak{R}_{\hbar=0} \equiv$  residue at  $\hbar=0$

# Good Properties of $\mathcal{Z}^*$

Lemma 1:  $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathbb{Q} \cdot \mathbb{Q}(\hbar)[[Q]]$  satisfies  $\boxed{a} \forall a \geq 0$  iff

$\exists \eta \in \mathbb{Q} \cdot \mathbb{Q}[[Q]]$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{Z}} \in \mathbb{Q} \cdot \mathbb{Q}(\hbar)[[Q]]$  regular at  $\hbar=0$  s.t.

$$1 + \mathcal{Z} = e^{\eta/\hbar} (1 + \bar{\mathcal{Z}}(\hbar))$$

such  $(\eta, \bar{\mathcal{Z}})$  must be unique

Lemma 2: If  $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathbb{Q} \cdot \mathbb{Q}(\hbar)[[Q]]$  satisfies above, then  $\forall a > 0$

# Good Properties of $\mathcal{Z}^*$

Lemma 1:  $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{Q}(\hbar)[[Q]]$  satisfies  $\boxed{a} \forall a \geq 0$  iff

$\exists \eta \in \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{Q}[[Q]]$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{Z}} \in \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{Q}(\hbar)[[Q]]$  regular at  $\hbar=0$  s.t.

$$1 + \mathcal{Z} = e^{\eta/\hbar} (1 + \bar{\mathcal{Z}}(\hbar))$$

such  $(\eta, \bar{\mathcal{Z}})$  must be unique

Lemma 2: If  $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{Q}(\hbar)[[Q]]$  satisfies above, then  $\forall a \geq 0$

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{a_j = m - a \\ a_j \geq 0}} \frac{(-1)^{a_j}}{a_j!} \mathfrak{D}_{\hbar=0} \{ \hbar^{-a_j} \mathcal{Z}_j^*(\hbar) \} = \dots$$

# Good Properties of $\mathcal{Z}^*$

Lemma 1:  $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{Q}(\hbar)[[Q]]$  satisfies  $\boxed{a} \forall a \geq 0$  iff

$\exists \eta \in \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{Q}[[Q]]$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{Z}} \in \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{Q}(\hbar)[[Q]]$  regular at  $\hbar=0$  s.t.

$$1 + \mathcal{Z} = e^{\eta/\hbar} (1 + \bar{\mathcal{Z}}(\hbar))$$

such  $(\eta, \bar{\mathcal{Z}})$  must be unique

Lemma 2: If  $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{Q}(\hbar)[[Q]]$  satisfies above, then  $\forall a \geq 0$

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{a_j = m - a \\ a_j \geq 0}} \frac{(-1)^{a_j}}{a_j!} \mathfrak{R}_{n=0} \{ h^{-a_j} \mathcal{Z}_j^*(h) \} = \dots$$

# Good Properties of $\mathcal{Z}^*$

Lemma 1:  $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{Q}(\hbar)[[\mathbf{Q}]]$  satisfies  $\boxed{a} \forall a \geq 0$  iff

$\exists \eta \in \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{Q}[[\mathbf{Q}]]$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{Z}} \in \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{Q}(\hbar)[[\mathbf{Q}]]$  regular at  $\hbar=0$  s.t.

$$1 + \mathcal{Z} = e^{\eta/\hbar} (1 + \bar{\mathcal{Z}}(\hbar))$$

such  $(\eta, \bar{\mathcal{Z}})$  must be unique

Lemma 2: If  $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{Q}(\hbar)[[\mathbf{Q}]]$  satisfies above, then  $\forall a \geq 0$

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{a_j=m-a \\ a_j \geq 0}} \frac{(-1)^{a_j}}{a_j!} \mathfrak{R}_{n=0} \{ h^{-a_j} \mathcal{Z}_j^*(h) \} = \dots$$

# Good Properties of $\mathcal{Z}^*$

**Lemma 1:**  $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathcal{Q} \cdot \mathbb{Q}(\hbar)[[Q]]$  satisfies  $\boxed{a} \forall a \geq 0$  iff

$\exists \eta \in \mathcal{Q} \cdot \mathbb{Q}[[Q]]$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{Z}} \in \mathcal{Q} \cdot \mathbb{Q}(\hbar)[[Q]]$  regular at  $\hbar=0$  s.t.

$$1 + \mathcal{Z} = e^{\eta/\hbar} (1 + \bar{\mathcal{Z}}(\hbar))$$

such  $(\eta, \bar{\mathcal{Z}})$  must be unique

**Lemma 2:** If  $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathcal{Q} \cdot \mathbb{Q}(\hbar)[[Q]]$  satisfies above, then  $\forall a \geq 0$

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{a_j=m-a \\ a_j \geq 0}} \frac{(-1)^{a_j}}{a_j!} \mathfrak{R}_{\hbar=0} \{ \hbar^{-a_j} \mathcal{Z}_i^*(\hbar) \} = \frac{\eta^a}{1 + \bar{\mathcal{Z}}(\hbar=0)}$$



# Good Properties of $\mathcal{Z}^*$

Lemma 1:  $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathcal{Q} \cdot \mathbb{Q}(\hbar)[[\mathcal{Q}]]$  satisfies  $\boxed{a} \forall a \geq 0$  iff

$\exists \eta \in \mathcal{Q} \cdot \mathbb{Q}[[\mathcal{Q}]]$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{Z}} \in \mathcal{Q} \cdot \mathbb{Q}(\hbar)[[\mathcal{Q}]]$  regular at  $\hbar=0$  s.t.

$$1 + \mathcal{Z} = e^{\eta/\hbar} (1 + \bar{\mathcal{Z}}(\hbar))$$

such  $(\eta, \bar{\mathcal{Z}})$  must be unique

Lemma 2: If  $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathcal{Q} \cdot \mathbb{Q}(\hbar)[[\mathcal{Q}]]$  satisfies above, then  $\forall a \geq 0$

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{a_j = m - a \\ a_j \geq 0}} \frac{(-1)^{a_j}}{a_j!} \mathfrak{R}_{\hbar=0} \{ \hbar^{-a_j} \mathcal{Z}_i^*(\hbar) \} = \frac{\eta^a}{1 + \bar{\mathcal{Z}}(\hbar=0)}$$

# Good Properties of $\mathcal{Z}^*$

Lemma 1:  $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathcal{Q} \cdot \mathbb{Q}(\hbar)[[Q]]$  satisfies  $\boxed{a} \forall a \geq 0$  iff

$\exists \eta \in \mathcal{Q} \cdot \mathbb{Q}[[Q]]$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{Z}} \in \mathcal{Q} \cdot \mathbb{Q}(\hbar)[[Q]]$  regular at  $\hbar=0$  s.t.

$$1 + \mathcal{Z} = e^{\eta/\hbar} (1 + \bar{\mathcal{Z}}(\hbar))$$

such  $(\eta, \bar{\mathcal{Z}})$  must be unique

Lemma 2: If  $\mathcal{Z} \in \mathcal{Q} \cdot \mathbb{Q}(\hbar)[[Q]]$  satisfies above, then  $\forall a \geq 0$

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{a_j = m - a \\ a_j \geq 0}} \frac{(-1)^{a_j}}{a_j!} \mathfrak{R}_{\hbar=0} \{ \hbar^{-a_j} \mathcal{Z}_i^*(\hbar) \} = \frac{\eta^a}{1 + \bar{\mathcal{Z}}(\hbar=0)}$$

# What We Know

If  $\text{ev}_1, \text{ev}_2: \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,2}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ :

$$\mathcal{Z}^*(\alpha; \hbar, x, Q) \equiv \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} Q^d \text{ev}_{1*} \left( \frac{e(\mathcal{V}_{0,d})}{\hbar - \psi_1} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_i^{(a)} \equiv \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{a_l = m - a \\ a_l \geq 0}} \frac{(-1)^{a_l}}{a_l!} \mathfrak{R}_{\hbar=0} \left\{ \hbar^{-a_l} \mathcal{Z}^*(x = \alpha_i) \right\}$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^* \equiv \frac{1}{2\hbar_1 \hbar_2} \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} Q^d \{ \text{ev}_1 \times \text{ev}_2 \}_* \left( \frac{e(\mathcal{V}_{0,d})}{(\hbar_1 - \psi_1)(\hbar_2 - \psi_2)} \right)$$

# What We Know

If  $\text{ev}_1, \text{ev}_2: \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,2}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ :

$$\mathcal{Z}^*(\alpha; \hbar, x, Q) \equiv \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} Q^d \text{ev}_{1*} \left( \frac{e(\mathcal{V}_{0,d})}{\hbar - \psi_1} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_i^{(a)} \equiv \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{a_l = m - a \\ a_l \geq 0}} \frac{(-1)^{a_l}}{a_l!} \mathfrak{R}_{\hbar=0} \{ \hbar^{-a_l} \mathcal{Z}^*(x = \alpha_i) \}$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^* \equiv \frac{1}{2\hbar_1 \hbar_2} \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} Q^d \{ \text{ev}_1 \times \text{ev}_2 \}_* \left( \frac{e(\mathcal{V}_{0,d})}{(\hbar_1 - \psi_1)(\hbar_2 - \psi_2)} \right)$$

# What We Know

If  $\text{ev}_1, \text{ev}_2: \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,2}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ :

$$\mathcal{Z}^*(\alpha; \hbar, x, Q) \equiv \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} Q^d \text{ev}_{1*} \left( \frac{e(\mathcal{V}_{0,d})}{\hbar - \psi_1} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_i^{(a)} \equiv \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{a_j = m - a \\ a_j \geq 0}} \frac{(-1)^{a_j}}{a_j!} \mathfrak{R}_{\hbar=0} \{ \hbar^{-a_j} \mathcal{Z}^*(x = \alpha_j) \}$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^* \equiv \frac{1}{2\hbar_1 \hbar_2} \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} Q^d \{ \text{ev}_1 \times \text{ev}_2 \}_* \left( \frac{e(\mathcal{V}_{0,d})}{(\hbar_1 - \psi_1)(\hbar_2 - \psi_2)} \right)$$

# What We Know

If  $\text{ev}_1, \text{ev}_2: \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,2}(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ :

$$\mathcal{Z}^*(\alpha; \hbar, x, Q) \equiv \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} Q^d \text{ev}_{1*} \left( \frac{e(\mathcal{V}_{0,d})}{\hbar - \psi_1} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_i^{(a)} \equiv \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{a_l = m - a \\ a_l \geq 0}} \frac{(-1)^{a_l}}{a_l!} \mathfrak{R}_{\hbar=0} \{ \hbar^{-a_l} \mathcal{Z}^*(x = \alpha_i) \}$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^* \equiv \frac{1}{2\hbar_1 \hbar_2} \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} Q^d \{ \text{ev}_1 \times \text{ev}_2 \}_* \left( \frac{e(\mathcal{V}_{0,d})}{(\hbar_1 - \psi_1)(\hbar_2 - \psi_2)} \right)$$

# Genus 1 Setup

- What we want to know: if  $ev_1 : \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,1}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$

$$F(Q) \equiv \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} Q^d ev_{1*}(e(\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}_{1,d}))$$

- Atiyah-Boot reduces  $F$  to  $\sum$  over genus 1 graphs  
w. special node

# Genus 1 Setup

- What we want to know: if  $ev_1 : \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,1}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$

$$F(Q) \equiv \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} Q^d ev_{1*}(e(\tilde{\nu}_{1,d}))$$

- Atiyah-Boot reduces  $F$  to  $\sum$  over genus 1 graphs  
w. special node



# Genus 1 Setup

- What we want to know: if  $ev_1 : \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,1}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$

$$F(Q) \equiv \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} Q^d ev_{1*}(e(\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}_{1,d}))$$

- Atiyah-Boot reduces  $F$  to  $\sum$  over genus 1 graphs  
w. special node

# Genus 1 Setup

- What we want to know: if  $ev_1 : \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,1}^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, d) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$

$$F(Q) \equiv \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} Q^d ev_{1*}(e(\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}_{1,d}))$$

- Atiyah-Boot reduces  $F$  to  $\sum$  over genus 1 graphs  
w. special node

# From Genus 1 to 0

Each genus 1 graphs **breaks** at special node into genus 0 strands:

- each genus 0 strand contributes to  $\mathcal{Z}^*$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^*$ , or  $h_2^{-2}$ -coefficient of  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^*$
- at most **one** strand contributes to  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^*$ ,  $\text{Coeff}_{h_2^{-2}}(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^*)$  each
- remaining stands make up either Log of something simple or  $\mathcal{A}_j^{(a)}$ !

# From Genus 1 to 0

Each genus 1 graphs **breaks** at special node into genus 0 strands:

- each genus 0 strand contributes to  $\mathcal{Z}^*$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^*$ , or  $h_2^{-2}$ -coefficient of  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^*$
- at most **one** strand contributes to  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^*$ ,  $\text{Coeff}_{h_2^{-2}}(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^*)$  each
- remaining stands make up either Log of something simple or  $\mathcal{A}_j^{(a)}$ !

# From Genus 1 to 0

Each genus 1 graphs **breaks** at special node into genus 0 strands:

- each genus 0 strand contributes to  $\mathcal{Z}^*$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^*$ , or  $\hbar_2^{-2}$ -coefficient of  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^*$
- at most **one** strand contributes to  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^*$ ,  $\text{Coeff}_{\hbar_2^{-2}}(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^*)$  each
- remaining stands make up either Log of something simple or  $\mathcal{A}_j^{(a)}$ !

# From Genus 1 to 0

Each genus 1 graphs **breaks** at special node into genus 0 strands:

- each genus 0 strand contributes to  $\mathcal{Z}^*$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^*$ , or  $\hbar_2^{-2}$ -coefficient of  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^*$
- at most **one** strand contributes to  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^*$ ,  $\text{Coeff}_{\hbar_2^{-2}}(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^*)$  each
- remaining stands make up either Log of something simple or  $\mathcal{A}_j^{(a)}$ !

# From Genus 1 to 0

Each genus 1 graphs **breaks** at special node into genus 0 strands:

- each genus 0 strand contributes to  $\mathcal{Z}^*$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^*$ , or  $\hbar_2^{-2}$ -coefficient of  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^*$
- at most **one** strand contributes to  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^*$ ,  $\text{Coeff}_{\hbar_2^{-2}}(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^*)$  each
- remaining stands make up either Log of something simple or  $\mathcal{A}_j^{(a)}$ !

# From Genus 1 to 0

Each genus 1 graphs **breaks** at special node into genus 0 strands:

- each genus 0 strand contributes to  $\mathcal{Z}^*$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^*$ , or  $\hbar_2^{-2}$ -coefficient of  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^*$
- at most **one** strand contributes to  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^*$ ,  $\text{Coeff}_{\hbar_2^{-2}}(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^*)$  each
- remaining stands make up either Log of something simple or  $\mathcal{A}_i^{(a)}$  !



# From Genus 1 to 0

Each genus 1 graphs **breaks** at special node into genus 0 strands:

- each genus 0 strand contributes to  $\mathcal{Z}^*$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^*$ , or  $\hbar_2^{-2}$ -coefficient of  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^*$
- at most **one** strand contributes to  $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^*$ ,  $\text{Coeff}_{\hbar_2^{-2}}(\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}^*)$  each
- remaining stands make up either Log of something simple or  $\mathcal{A}_i^{(a)}$  !