Math53: Ordinary Differential Equations Winter 2004

Unit 1 Summary

First-Order Ordinary Differential Equations

Finding Solutions of Special First-Order ODEs

(1) The simplest first-order ODEs to solve are those of the form

$$y' = f(t), \qquad y = y(t).$$
 (1)

These ODEs are solved by taking the indefinite integral of both sides:

$$y' = f(t), \quad y = y(t) \implies \qquad y = \int f(t)dt$$

The solution curves of (1) differ by vertical shifts. An initial-value problem for (1) is solved by

$$y' = f(t), \quad y(t_0) = y_0 \implies y(t) = y_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(s) ds$$

(2) *Linear* first-order ODEs are the equations of the form

$$y' + a(t) \cdot y = f(t), \qquad y = y(t).$$
 (2)

Note that only the first powers of the function y and its *t*-derivative appear in (2). For example, there are no terms y^2 , yy', $\sin y$, etc. Equation (2) can be reduced to (1) by multiplying by an integrating factor

$$P_a = P_a(t) = e^{\int a(t)dt}$$

We need only one such integrating factor. Its key property is that

$$P'_a(t) = a(t) \cdot P_a(t) \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad (P_a y)' = P_a y' + a \cdot P_a y. \tag{3}$$

Equation (2) is solved by multiplying both sides by P_a and using the second identity in (3):

$$P_a = P_a(t) = e^{\int a(t)dt} \qquad \qquad y' + a(t) \cdot y = f(t), \quad y = y(t) \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad (P_a y)' = P_a(t)f(t)$$

The last equation above is solved by integrating both sides with respect to t. An initial-value problem for (2) is solved by

For this choice of P_a , $P_a(t_0) = 1$.

Caution: Before computing the integrating factor, you need to put the ODE into the form (2),

which is *not* its normal form; see (18) below.

(3) Separable first-order ODEs are the equations of the form

$$y' = f(y) \cdot g(t), \qquad y = y(t). \tag{4}$$

Equation (4) is solved by writing $y' = \frac{dy}{dt}$, moving all expressions involving y to LHS and all expressions involving t to RHS, and integrating both sides:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(y) \cdot g(t), \quad y = y(t) \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \frac{dy}{f(y)} = g(t)dt \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \int \frac{dy}{f(y)} = \int g(t)dt$$

Once the two integrals are computed, one obtains a relation between y and t of the form

$$F(y) = G(t) + C \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad F(y) - G(t) = C.$$
(5)

These relations define solutions y = y(t) of (4) *implicitly*. In some cases, it is possible to solve (5) for y = y(t). An initial-value problem for (4) is solved by

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(y) \cdot g(t), \quad y(t_0) = y_0 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \frac{dy}{f(y)} = g(t)dt \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \int_{y_0}^y \frac{dz}{f(z)} = \int_{t_0}^t g(s)ds$$

Caution: (i) This method involves division by f = f(y) and may miss some of the constant solutions of (4). Such solutions are necessarily of the form $y = y^*$, where y^* is real number such that $f(y^*) = 0$.

(ii) If you are solving an IVP and it is possible to solve for y = y(t) explicitly, make sure you take the correct branch, if there is more than one, of the appropriate level curve of H = F - G, e.g. the positive or negative square root, and not both. The correct branch is the one satisfying the initial condition $y(t_0) = y_0$.

(4) The first-order ODE

$$P(t,y) + Q(t,y)y' = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad P(t,y)dx + Q(t,y)dy = 0, \qquad y = y(t), \tag{6}$$

is *exact* if there exists a smooth function H = H(t, y) such that

$$H_t \equiv \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = P \text{ and } H_y \equiv \frac{\partial H}{\partial y} = Q, \text{ or } \vec{\nabla} H = P\hat{i} + Q\hat{j}, \text{ or } dH \equiv H_t dt + H_y dy = P dt + Q dy.$$

These three conditions are exactly the same. The equality of mixed partial derivatives, $H_{yt} = H_{ty}$, implies that

If
$$P(t,y) + Q(t,y)y' = 0$$
 is exact, then $P_y = Q_t$ (7)

In particular, if $P_y \neq Q_t$, (6) is not exact. On the other hand, if P and Q are defined on a rectangle R, the converse of (7) is true as well:

$$P_y = Q_t \quad \text{and} \quad H(t, y) = \int_{t_0}^t P(s, y_0) ds + \int_{y_0}^y Q(t, z) dz \quad \Longrightarrow \quad H_t = P \quad \text{and} \quad H_y = Q$$
(8)

If (6) is exact, it is *implicitly* solved by

$$P(t,y) + Q(t,y)y' = 0, \quad y = y(t) \implies H(t,y) = C \quad \text{if} \quad H_t = P \text{ and } H_y = Q$$

An initial-value problem for (6) is solved implicitly by

$$P(t,y) + Q(t,y)y' = 0, \quad y(t_0) = y_0 \implies H(t,y) \equiv \int_{t_0}^t P(s,y_0)ds + \int_{y_0}^y Q(t,z)dz = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad P_y = Q_t$$

Caution: (i) While we are looking for H such that $H_t = P$ and $H_y = Q$, the derivative test for exactness is $P_y = Q_t$, i.e. the derivatives are taken in the "opposite" way.

(ii) Check the conclusion in (8). You'll see that the assumption $P_y = Q_t$ is critical.

(iii) The assumption that P and Q are defined on a rectangle is essential for the validity of (8), though it is also true for some other domains as well.

(iv) Note that in the constructions of H above, the two integrands are $P(s, y_0)$ and Q(t, z), and not $Q(t_0, z)$. If you have taken Math52, you might recognize H(t, y) as the line integral of Pdt+Qdyalong the horizontal line $s \longrightarrow (s, y_0)$, with $t_0 \le s \le t$, followed by the vertical line $z \longrightarrow (t, z)$, with $y_0 \le z \le y$. Due to our assumptions on P and Q, the line integral of Pdt+Qdy depends only on the end points, (t_0, y_0) and (t, y), and not the path between them.

(v) The method for finding the function H = H(t, y) described above is different from the one described in lecture and in the text. The method described above is more direct and quicker, but it is also less safe, as it defines H whether or not $P_y = Q_t$. However, if $P_y \neq Q_t$, we will not have $H_t = P$. If you use the method described previously to find H, you will get an equation of the form

$$\phi'(y) = f(t, y),$$

with f depending on t if $P_{y} \neq Q_{t}$. In such a case, this equation has no solution.

(5) While many first-order ODEs are neither linear, separable, nor exact, it may be possible to reduce some of them to linear, separable, or exact ODEs by making a change of variables or by multiplying by a nonzero function. For example, the ODE

$$y' = \frac{y}{t+y}, \qquad y = y(t), \tag{9}$$

is neither linear, separable, nor exact. However, if we set z = z(t) = y(t)/t or $y = t \cdot z$, (9) becomes

$$z' = -\frac{z^2}{1+z}t^{-1}, \qquad z = z(t).$$
(10)

Please check this! Equation (10) is separable and can be solved implicitly as H(t, z) = 0, for some function H. Plugging in z = y/t, we obtain implicit solutions y = y(t) of (9). Another example is

$$ty + (t^2 + y^2)y' = 0, \qquad y = y(t).$$
 (11)

This equation is again neither linear, separable, nor exact. However, multiplying both sides of (11) by y, we obtain

$$ty^{2} + (t^{2}y + y^{3})y' = 0, \qquad y = y(t).$$

This equation is equivalent to (11) and is exact. Please check this!

Qualitative Descriptions

(1) There is no problem with existence and uniqueness of solutions for the initial value problems involving first-order linear ODEs. In other words, every IVP

$$y' = a(t) \cdot y + f(t), \qquad y(t_0) = y_0,$$
(12)

has a *unique* solution, provided that a and f are defined and continuous near t_0 . Furthermore, the interval of existence for the solution of (12) is the largest interval containing t_0 on which a and f are defined and continuous. More generally, the IVP

$$y' = Q(t, y), \qquad y(t_0) = y_0,$$
(13)

has a solution, if the function Q is continuous in a rectangle R containing (t_0, y_0) . If in addition the partial derivative $\partial Q/\partial y$ exists and continuous in R, (13) has a unique solution on an interval (a, b) containing t_0 .

Caution: (i) It makes sense to talk about existence and uniqueness of solutions only for IVPs, such as (13). Otherwise, there will be lots of solutions, which we usually describe by the constant C.

(ii) Note that the uniqueness statement involves only the partial $\partial Q/\partial y$.

(2) A homogeneous linear first-order ODE is an ODE of the form

$$y' = a(t)y, \qquad y = y(t).$$
 (14)

If y_1 and y_2 are solutions of (14), so is $C_1y_1+C_2y_2$, for any real numbers C_1 and C_2 . Please check this directly, without solving the equation! This property of the set of all solutions of homogeneous linear ODEs, of any order, makes it a vector space, i.e. the sum of two solutions is again a solution and any multiple of a solution is also solution. This is not the case for other ODEs. The general solution of any linear equation

$$y' = a(t)y + f(t), \qquad y = y(t),$$
(15)

has the form $y = y_h + y_p$, where y_p is a fixed *particular* solution of (15) and y_h is the general solution of the corresponding homogeneous equation, i.e. (14) with the same a = a(t) as in (15). In order to check this claim, you need to show two things. The first one is that if y_p is a solution of (15) and y_h is a solution of (14), then $y_h + y_p$ is a solution of (15). The second statement is that if y_p and y are solution of (15), then $y - y_p$ is a solution of (14). Please check these two statements directly, without solving the two equations!

(3) An autonomous first-order ODE is an ODE of the form

$$y' = f(y), \qquad y = y(t).$$
 (16)

This equation is of course separable. Thus, we can solve it implicitly for y = y(t) as F(y) = t + C. However, a lot of descriptive information about (16) can be obtained without solving it. First of all, since RHS of (16) does not involve t, the direction field of (16) does not change under horizontal shifts. Thus, a *horizontal* shift of a solution curve is again a solution curve. Furthermore, if y^* is a real number such that $f(y^*) = 0$, the constant function $y(t) = y^*$ is a solution of (16). Such a number y^* is an equilibrium point for (16) and $y(t) = y^*$ is an equilibrium solution of (16). The corresponding solution curve is the horizontal line $y = y^*$ in (t, y)-plane. The horizontal graphs of the equilibrium solutions of (16) partition the (t, y)-plane into horizontal bands $y_1^* < y < y_2^*$. In each band, the function f(y) does not change sign. Thus, in each single band, all solution curves of (16) either descend and approach the line $y = y_1^*$ or ascend and approach the line $y = y_2^*$ as t approaches ∞ . The equilibrium point y^* and the equilibrium solution $y = y^*$ are stable if the solution curves in the two bands surrounding the horizontal line $y = y^*$ approach $y = y^*$ as t approaches ∞ . Otherwise, they are unstable. If $f'(y^*) < 0$, $y = y^*$ is a stable equilibrium solution. If $f'(y^*) > 0$, $y = y^*$ is an unstable equilibrium solution. If $f'(y^*) = 0$, whether $y = y^*$ is stable or unstable can be determined by taking higher derivatives.

Terminology

(1) A first-order ordinary differential equation is a relation of the form

$$R(t, y, y') = 0, \qquad y = y(t),$$
(17)

that cannot be simplified, through algebraic means, to a relation $\hat{R}(t, y) = 0$. In (17), R is a function of three variables. The normal form of a first-order ODE is an expression

$$y' = Q(t, y), \qquad y = y(t),$$
 (18)

where Q is a function of two variables. Most first-order ODEs arising in applications can be put into the normal form. An initial-value problem, for a first-order ODE, is a set of conditions:

$$R(t, y, y') = 0$$
 or $y' = Q(t, y), \quad y = y(t), \quad y(t_0) = y_0.$ (19)

The last condition in (19) is the *initial-value requirement* for (19).

(2) A solution of (17), or of (18), is a function y = y(t) that satisfies (17), or (18). A solution of the initial-value problem (19) is a function y = y(t) that satisfies the ODE and the initial-value requirement in (19). Typically, but not always, (19) will have a unique solution. A solution curve for the first-order ODE (17), or for (18), is the graph, in ty-plane, of a solution y=y(t) of (17), or of (18). Typically, but not always, solution curves for the same first-order ODE will not intersect. A solution curve for the initial-value problem (19) is the graph of a solution y=y(t) of (19). Such a graph must pass through the point (t_0, y_0) . The direction field for the ODE (18) is usually thought of as a diagram, in the ty-plane, consisting of short line segments of slope y' = Q(t, y) through a number of points (t, y). Since the derivative of a function y=y(t) is the slope of the tangent line to the graph of y, a solution curve for (18) is everywhere tangent to the direction field. In particular, if the direction field is drawn at sufficiently many points, one can pretty much see solution curves. Caution: While the solution curves for the simplest ODEs, i.e. (1), differ by vertical shifts, this is not the case for other ODEs. (3) The *interval of existence* of a solution of an ODE is the largest interval on which the solution is defined. If you are asked to find all solution of an ODE, you may end up with several intervals of existence for the same expression for y=y(t). For example,

$$y' = \frac{2}{t(t+2)} \implies y(t) = \ln|t| - \ln|t+2| + C, \quad t \in (-\infty, -2), (-2, 0), (0, \infty)$$

Please check this! In this case, there are three solutions, and thus three intervals of existence, for each constant C. In some cases, if the range for C is not all real numbers, you should be specify it. For example,

$$t + yy' = 0 \implies y(t) = \pm \sqrt{C - t^2}, \quad t \in (-\sqrt{C}, \sqrt{C}), \quad C > 0$$

Please check this! For an initial value problem, the interval of existence *must* contain the initial value of the parameter. For example,

$$y' = \frac{2}{t(t+2)}, \quad y(-1) = 1 \implies y(t) = \ln|t| - \ln|t+2| + 1, \qquad t \in (-2,0)$$

In some cases, you may need to pick the correct branch of an implicitly defined solution. For example,

 $t + yy' = 0, \quad y(0) = -2 \implies y(t) = -\sqrt{4 - t^2}, \quad t \in (-2, 2)$