

MAT 545: Complex Geometry Fall 2008

Notes on Connections

1 Connections in real vector bundles

1.1 Connections and splittings

Suppose M is a smooth manifold and $\pi: E \rightarrow M$ is a vector bundle. Trivializations of M induce a bundle inclusion $\pi^*E \rightarrow TE$ so that the sequence of vector bundles over E

$$0 \rightarrow \pi^*E \rightarrow TE \xrightarrow{d\pi} \pi^*TM \rightarrow 0 \quad (1.1)$$

is exact. For each $f \in C^\infty(M)$, define

$$m_f: E \rightarrow E \quad \text{by} \quad m_f(v) = f(\pi(x)) \cdot v \quad \forall v \in E. \quad (1.2)$$

We then have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi^*E & \longrightarrow & TE & \xrightarrow{d\pi} & \pi^*TM \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \pi^*m_f & & \downarrow dm_f & & \downarrow \text{id} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi^*E & \longrightarrow & m_f^*TE & \xrightarrow{d\pi} & \pi^*TM \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (1.3)$$

of bundle maps over E .

A connection in E is an \mathbb{R} -linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla: \Gamma(M; E) &\longrightarrow \Gamma(M; T^*M \otimes E) \quad \text{s.t.} \\ \nabla(f\xi) &= df \otimes \xi + f\nabla\xi \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(M), \xi \in \Gamma(M; E). \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

The Leibnitz property implies that any two connections in E differ by a one-form on M . In other words, if ∇ and $\tilde{\nabla}$ are connections in E there exists

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &\in \Gamma(M; T^*M \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(E, E)) \quad \text{s.t.} \\ \tilde{\nabla}_v \xi &= \nabla_v \xi + \{\theta(v)\}\xi \quad \forall \xi \in \Gamma(M; E), v \in T_x M, x \in M. \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

A connection ∇ in E is necessarily a local differential operator, i.e. the value of $\nabla\xi$ at a point $x \in M$ depends only on the restriction of ξ to any neighborhood \mathcal{U} of x . If f is a smooth function on M supported in \mathcal{U} and such that $f(x)=1$, then

$$\nabla\xi|_x = \nabla(f\xi)|_x - df|_x \otimes \xi(x) \quad (1.6)$$

by (1.4). The right-hand side of (1.6) depends only on $\xi|_{\mathcal{U}}$.

In fact, a connection ∇ in E is a first-order differential operator. Suppose \mathcal{U} is an open subset of M and $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}; E)$ is a frame for E on \mathcal{U} , i.e.

$$\xi_1(x), \dots, \xi_n(x) \in E_x$$

is a basis for E_x for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$. By definition of ∇ , there exist

$$\theta_l^k \in \Gamma(M; T^*M) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \nabla \xi_l = \sum_{k=1}^{k=n} \xi_k \theta_l^k \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{k=n} \theta_l^k \otimes \xi_k \quad \forall l=1, \dots, n.$$

We will call

$$\theta \equiv (\theta_l^k)_{k,l=1,\dots,n} \in \Gamma(\Sigma; T^*M \otimes \text{Mat}_n \mathbb{R})$$

the connection one-form of ∇ with respect to the frame $(\xi_k)_k$. For an arbitrary section

$$\xi = \sum_{l=1}^{l=n} f^l \xi_l \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}; E),$$

by (1.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \xi &= \sum_{k=1}^{k=n} \xi_k \left(df^k + \sum_{l=1}^{l=n} \theta_l^k f^l \right), \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \nabla(\underline{\xi} \cdot \underline{f}^t) = \underline{\xi} \cdot \{d + \theta\} \underline{f}^t, \quad (1.7) \\ \text{where} \quad \underline{\xi} &= (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n), \quad \underline{f} = (f^1, \dots, f^n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, ∇ is a first-order differential operator. It is immediate from (1.4) that the symbol of ∇ is given by

$$\sigma_\nabla: T^*M \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(E, T^*M \otimes E), \quad \{\sigma_\nabla(\eta)\}(f) = \eta \otimes f.$$

Since $M \subset E$ as the zero section, there is a natural splitting

$$TE|_M \approx TM \oplus E \quad (1.8)$$

of the exact sequence (1.1) restricted to M . If $x \in M$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(M; E)$ is such that $\xi(x) = 0$, then

$$\nabla \xi|_x = \pi_2|_x \circ d\xi|_x, \quad (1.9)$$

where $\pi_2|_x: T_x E \longrightarrow E_x$ is the projection onto the second component in (1.8). This observation follows from (1.5), as well as from (1.7).

Lemma 1.1 *Suppose M is a smooth manifold and $\pi: E \longrightarrow M$ is a vector bundle. A connection ∇ in E induces a splitting*

$$TE \approx \pi^* TM \oplus \pi^* E \quad (1.10)$$

of the exact sequence (1.1) extending the splitting (1.8) such that

$$\nabla \xi|_x = \pi_2|_x \circ d\xi|_x \quad \forall \xi \in \Gamma(M; E), \quad x \in M, \quad (1.11)$$

where $\pi_2|_x: T_x E \longrightarrow E_x$ is the projection onto the second component in (1.10), and

$$dm_t \approx \pi^* \text{id} \oplus \pi^* m_t \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.12)$$

i.e. the splitting is consistent with the commutative diagram (1.3).

Proof: For each $x \in M$ and $v \in E_x$, choose $\xi \in \Gamma(M; E)$ such that $\xi(x) = v$ and let

$$T_v E^h = \text{Im} \{d\xi - \nabla \xi\}|_x \subset T_v E.$$

Since $\pi \circ \xi = \text{id}_M$,

$$d\pi|_v \circ \{d\xi - \nabla \xi\} = \text{id}_{T_x M} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad T_v E \approx T_v E^h \oplus E_x \approx T_x M \oplus E_x.$$

If $v = 0$, then by (1.9)

$$T_v E^h = T_v M.$$

If $v \neq 0$, $\zeta \in \Gamma(M; E)$ is another section such that $\zeta(x) = v$, and \mathcal{U} is sufficiently small, then $\zeta = f\xi$ for some $f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{U})$ with $f(x) = 1$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \{d\zeta - \nabla \zeta\}|_x &= \{d(f\xi) - \nabla(f\xi)\}|_x = \{df|_x \otimes \xi(x) + f(x)d\xi|_x\} - \{df|_x \otimes \xi(x) + f(x)\nabla \xi|_x\} \\ &= d\xi - \nabla \xi. \end{aligned}$$

The second equality above is obtained by considering a trivialization of E near x . Thus, $T_v E^h$ is independent of the choice of ξ in either case and we obtain a well-defined splitting (1.10) of (1.1) that satisfies (1.11) and extends (1.8).

It remains to verify (1.12). Since $\pi \circ m_t = \pi$, $d\pi \circ dm_t = d\pi$, i.e. the first component of dm_t vanishes on TE and is the identity on π^*TM . On the other hand, if $\xi \in \Gamma(M; E)$ and $x \in M$, then

$$\begin{aligned} T_{t\xi(x)} E^h &\equiv \{d(m_t \circ \xi) - \nabla(t\xi)\}|_x = \{dm_t \circ d\xi - m_t \nabla \xi\}|_x = dm_t \circ \{d\xi - \nabla \xi\}|_x \\ &\equiv dm_t(T_{t\xi(x)} E^h). \end{aligned}$$

The last equality on the first line follows from (1.3). These two observations imply (1.12).

1.2 Metric-compatible connections

Suppose $E \rightarrow M$ is a smooth vector bundle. Let g be a metric on E , i.e.

$$g \in \Gamma(M; E^* \otimes E^*) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad g(v, w) = g(w, v), \quad g(v, v) > 0 \quad \forall v, w \in E_x, \quad v \neq 0, \quad x \in M.$$

A connection ∇ in E is g -compatible if

$$d(g(\xi, \zeta)) = g(\nabla \xi, \zeta) + g(\xi, \nabla \zeta) \in \Gamma(M; T^*M) \quad \forall \xi, \zeta \in \Gamma(M; E).$$

Suppose \mathcal{U} is an open subset of M and $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}; E)$ is a frame for E on \mathcal{U} . For $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, let

$$g_{ij} = g(\xi_i, \xi_j) \in C^\infty(\mathcal{U}).$$

If ∇ is a connection in E and θ_{kl} is the connection one-form for ∇ with respect to the frame $\{\xi_k\}_k$, then ∇ is g -compatible on \mathcal{U} if and only if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k=n} (g_{ik} \theta_j^k + g_{jk} \theta_i^k) = dg_{ij} \quad \forall i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (1.13)$$

1.3 Torsion-free connections

If M is a smooth manifold, a connection ∇ in TM is **torsion-free** if

$$\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X = [X, Y].$$

If $(x_1, \dots, x_n): \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a coordinate chart on M , let

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}; TM)$$

be the corresponding frame for TM on \mathcal{U} . If ∇ is a connection, the corresponding connection one-form θ can be written as

$$\theta_j^k = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} \Gamma_{ij}^k dx^i, \quad \text{where} \quad \nabla_{\partial/\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} = \sum_{k=1}^{k=n} \Gamma_{ij}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}.$$

The connection ∇ is torsion-free on $TM|_{\mathcal{U}}$ if and only if

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k = \Gamma_{ji}^k \quad \forall i, j, k = 1, \dots, n. \quad (1.14)$$

Lemma 1.2 *If (M, g) is a Riemannian manifold, there exists a unique torsion-free g -compatible connection ∇ in TM .*

Proof: (1) Suppose ∇ and $\tilde{\nabla}$ are torsion-free g -compatible connections in TM . By (1.5), there exists

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &\in \Gamma(M; T^*M \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(TM, TM)) \quad \text{s.t.} \\ \tilde{\nabla}_X Y - \nabla_X Y &= \{\theta(X)\}Y \quad \forall Y \in \Gamma(M; TM), X \in T_x M, x \in M. \end{aligned}$$

Since ∇ and $\tilde{\nabla}$ are torsion-free,

$$\{\theta(X)\}Y = \{\theta(Y)\}X \quad \forall X, Y \in T_x M, x \in M. \quad (1.15)$$

Since ∇ and $\tilde{\nabla}$ are g -compatible,

$$\begin{cases} g(\{\theta(X)\}Y, Z) + g(Y, \{\theta(X)\}Z) = 0 \\ g(\{\theta(Y)\}X, Z) + g(X, \{\theta(Y)\}Z) = 0 \\ g(\{\theta(Z)\}X, Y) + g(X, \{\theta(Z)\}Y) = 0 \end{cases} \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in T_x M, x \in M. \quad (1.16)$$

Adding the first two equations in (1.16), subtracting the third, and using (1.15) and the symmetry of g , we obtain

$$2g(\{\theta(X)\}Y, Z) = 0 \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in T_x M, x \in M \quad \implies \quad \theta \equiv 0.$$

Thus, $\tilde{\nabla} = \nabla$.

(2) Let $(x_1, \dots, x_n): \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a coordinate chart on M . With notation as in the paragraph preceding Lemma 1.2, ∇ is g -compatible on $TM|_{\mathcal{U}}$ if and only if

$$\sum_{l=1}^{l=n} (g_{il}\Gamma_{kj}^l + g_{jl}\Gamma_{ki}^l) = \partial_{x_k}g_{ij}; \quad (1.17)$$

see (1.13). Define a connection ∇ in $TM|_{\mathcal{U}}$ by

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{l=n} g^{kl} (\partial_{x_i}g_{jl} + \partial_{x_j}g_{il} - \partial_{x_l}g_{ij}) \quad \forall i, j, k = 1, \dots, n,$$

where g^{ij} is the (i, j) -entry of the inverse of the matrix $(g_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$. By direct computation, Γ_{ij}^k satisfies (1.14) and (1.17). Therefore, ∇ is a torsion-free g -compatible connection on $TM|_{\mathcal{U}}$. In this way, we can define a torsion-free g -compatible connection on every coordinate chart. By the uniqueness property, these connections agree on the overlaps.

2 Complex structures

2.1 Complex linear connections

Suppose M is a smooth manifold and $\pi: (E, i) \rightarrow M$ is a complex vector bundle. Similarly to Subsection 1.1, there is an exact sequence of vector bundles over E

$$0 \rightarrow \pi^*E \rightarrow TE \xrightarrow{d\pi} \pi^*TM \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.1)$$

is exact. If $f \in C^\infty(M; \mathbb{C})$ and $m_f: E \rightarrow E$ is defined as in (1.2), we then have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi^*E & \longrightarrow & TE & \xrightarrow{d\pi} & \pi^*TM \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \pi^*m_f & & \downarrow dm_f & & \downarrow \text{id} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi^*E & \longrightarrow & m_f^*TE & \xrightarrow{d\pi} & \pi^*TM \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (2.2)$$

of bundle maps over E .

Suppose

$$\nabla: \Gamma(M; E) \rightarrow \Gamma(M; T^*M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} E)$$

is a \mathbb{C} -linear connection (with respect to the complex structure in E on both sides). If \mathcal{U} is an open subset of M and $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}; E)$ is a \mathbb{C} -frame for E on \mathcal{U} , then there exist

$$\theta_l^k \in \Gamma(M; T^*M) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \nabla \xi_l = \sum_{k=1}^{k=n} \xi_k \theta_l^k \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{k=n} \theta_l^k \otimes \xi_k \quad \forall l=1, \dots, n.$$

We will call

$$\theta \equiv (\theta_l^k)_{k,l=1,\dots,n} \in \Gamma(\Sigma; T^*M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \text{Mat}_n \mathbb{C})$$

the complex connection one-form of ∇ with respect to the frame $(\xi_k)_k$. For an arbitrary section

$$\xi = \sum_{l=1}^{l=n} f^l \xi_l \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}; E),$$

by (1.4) and \mathbb{C} -linearity of ∇ we have

$$\nabla \xi = \sum_{k=1}^{k=n} \xi_k \left(df^k + \sum_{l=1}^{l=n} \theta_l^k f^l \right), \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \nabla(\underline{\xi} \cdot \underline{f}^t) = \underline{\xi} \cdot \{d + \theta\} \underline{f}^t, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\text{where} \quad \underline{\xi} = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n), \quad \underline{f} = (f^1, \dots, f^n). \quad (2.4)$$

Let h be a hermitian metric on E , i.e.

$$h \in \Gamma(M; \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \bar{E}, \mathbb{C})) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad h(v, w) = \overline{h(w, v)}, \quad h(v, v) > 0 \quad \forall v, w \in E_x, \quad v \neq 0, \quad x \in M.$$

A \mathbb{C} -linear connection ∇ in E is h -compatible if

$$d(h(\xi, \zeta)) = h(\nabla \xi, \zeta) + h(\xi, \nabla \zeta) \in \Gamma(M; T^*M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}) \quad \forall \xi, \zeta \in \Gamma(M; E).$$

With notation as in the previous paragraph, let

$$h_{ij} = h(\xi_i, \xi_j) \in C^\infty(\mathcal{U}; \mathbb{R}) \quad \forall i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then ∇ is h -compatible on \mathcal{U} if and only if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k=n} (h_{ik} \bar{\theta}_j^k + \bar{h}_{jk} \theta_i^k) = dh_{ij} \quad \forall i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (2.5)$$

2.2 Generalized $\bar{\partial}$ -operators

If (M, j) is an almost complex manifold, let

$$\begin{aligned} T^*M^{1,0} &\equiv \{\eta \in T^*M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} : \eta \circ j = i\eta\}, \\ T^*M^{0,1} &\equiv \{\eta \in T^*M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} : \eta \circ j = -i\eta\} \end{aligned}$$

be the bundle of \mathbb{C} -linear and \mathbb{C} -antilinear 1-forms on M . If (M, j) and (E, J) are smooth almost complex manifolds and $u: M \rightarrow E$ is a smooth function, define

$$\bar{\partial}_{J,j} u \in \Gamma(M; T^*M^{0,1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} u^*TE) \quad \text{by} \quad \bar{\partial}_{J,j} u = \frac{1}{2}(du + J \circ du \circ j).$$

A smooth map $u: (M, j) \rightarrow (E, J)$ will be called (J, j) -holomorphic if $\bar{\partial}_{J,j} u = 0$.

Definition 2.1 Suppose (M, j) is an almost complex manifold and $\pi: (E, i) \rightarrow M$ is a complex vector bundle. A $\bar{\partial}$ -operator on (E, i) is a \mathbb{C} -linear map

$$\bar{\partial}: \Gamma(M; E) \rightarrow \Gamma(M; T^*M^{0,1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} E)$$

such that

$$\bar{\partial}(f\xi) = (\bar{\partial}f) \otimes \xi + f(\bar{\partial}\xi) \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(M), \quad \xi \in \Gamma(M; E), \quad (2.6)$$

where $\bar{\partial}f = \bar{\partial}_{i,j} f$ is the usual $\bar{\partial}$ -operator on complex-valued functions.

Similarly to Subsection 1.1, a $\bar{\partial}$ -operator on (E, \mathfrak{i}) is necessarily a first-order differential operator. If \mathcal{U} is an open subset of M and $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}; E)$ is a \mathbb{C} -frame for E on \mathcal{U} , then there exist

$$\theta_l^k \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}; T^*M^{0,1}) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \bar{\partial}\xi_l = \sum_{k=1}^{k=n} \xi_k \theta_l^k \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{k=n} \theta_l^k \otimes \xi_k \quad \forall l=1, \dots, n.$$

We will call

$$\theta \equiv (\theta_l^k)_{k,l=1, \dots, n} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}; T^*M^{0,1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Mat}_n \mathbb{C})$$

the connection one-form of $\bar{\partial}$ with respect to the frame $(\xi_k)_k$. For an arbitrary section

$$\xi = \sum_{l=1}^{l=n} f^l \xi_l \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}; E),$$

by (2.6) we have

$$\bar{\partial}\xi = \sum_{k=1}^{k=n} \xi_k \left(\bar{\partial}f^k + \sum_{l=1}^{l=n} \theta_l^k f^l \right), \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \bar{\partial}(\underline{\xi} \cdot \underline{f}^t) = \underline{\xi} \cdot \{ \bar{\partial} + \theta \} \underline{f}^t, \quad (2.7)$$

where $\underline{\xi}$ and \underline{f} are as in (2.4). It is immediate from (2.6) that the symbol of $\bar{\partial}$ is given by

$$\sigma_{\bar{\partial}}: T^*M \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(E, T^*M^{0,1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} E), \quad \{ \sigma_{\bar{\partial}}(\eta) \}(f) = \frac{1}{2}(\eta + \mathfrak{i}\eta \circ \mathfrak{j}) \otimes f = \eta^{0,1} \otimes f.$$

In particular, $\bar{\partial}$ is an elliptic operator (i.e. $\sigma_{\bar{\partial}}(\eta)$ is an isomorphism for $\eta \neq 0$) if (M, \mathfrak{j}) is a Riemann surface.

Lemma 2.2 *Suppose (M, \mathfrak{j}) is an almost complex manifold and $\pi: (E, \mathfrak{i}) \longrightarrow M$ is a complex vector bundle. If*

$$\bar{\partial}: \Gamma(M; E) \longrightarrow \Gamma(M; T^*M^{0,1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} E)$$

*is a $\bar{\partial}$ -operator on (E, \mathfrak{i}) , there exists a unique almost complex structure $J = J_{\bar{\partial}}$ on (the total space of) E such that π is a (\mathfrak{j}, J) -holomorphic map, the restriction of J to the vertical tangent bundle $TE^v \approx \pi^*E$ agrees with \mathfrak{i} , and*

$$\bar{\partial}_{J, \mathfrak{j}} \xi = 0 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}; T^*M^{0,1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \xi^*TE) \quad \iff \quad \bar{\partial}\xi = 0 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}; T^*M^{0,1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} E) \quad (2.8)$$

for every open subset \mathcal{U} of Σ and $\xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}; E)$.

Proof: (1) With notation as above, define

$$\varphi: \mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow E|_{\mathcal{U}} \quad \text{by} \quad \varphi(x, c^1, \dots, c^n) = \underline{\xi}(x) \cdot \underline{c}^t \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{k=n} c^k \xi_k(x) \in E_x.$$

The map φ is a trivialization of E over \mathcal{U} . If $J_{\bar{\partial}}$ is an almost complex structure on E with the desired properties, let \tilde{J} be the almost complex structure on $\mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{C}^n$ given by

$$\tilde{J}|_{(x, \underline{c})} = \{ d\varphi|_{(x, \underline{c})} \}^{-1} \circ J_{\bar{\partial}}|_{\varphi(x, \underline{c})} \circ d\varphi|_{(x, \underline{c})} \quad \forall (x, \underline{c}) \in \mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (2.9)$$

Since $J_{\bar{\partial}}$ restricts to \mathfrak{i} on TE^v ,

$$\tilde{J}|_{(x,\underline{c})}w = iw \in T_{\underline{c}}\mathbb{C}^n \subset T_{(x,\underline{c})}(\mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{C}^n) \quad \forall w \in T_{\underline{c}}\mathbb{C}^n. \quad (2.10)$$

Since the projection map π is $(j, J_{\bar{\partial}})$ -holomorphic, there exists

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J}_{2,1} &\in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}; \text{Hom}(\pi_{\mathcal{U}}^*T\mathcal{U}, \pi_{\mathbb{C}^n}^*T\mathbb{C}^n)) \quad \text{s.t.} \\ \tilde{J}|_{(x,\underline{c})}w &= jw + \tilde{J}_{2,1}w \quad \forall w \in T_x\mathcal{U} \subset T_{(x,\underline{c})}(\mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{C}^n). \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

If $\xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}; E)$, let

$$\tilde{\xi} \equiv \varphi^{-1} \circ \xi \equiv (\text{id}_{\mathcal{U}}, \underline{f}), \quad \text{where} \quad \underline{f} \in C^\infty(\mathcal{U}; \mathbb{C}^n).$$

By (2.9)-(2.11),

$$\begin{aligned} 2\bar{\partial}_{J,j}\xi|_x &= d\varphi|_{\tilde{\xi}(x)} \circ 2\bar{\partial}_{\tilde{J},j}\tilde{\xi}|_x = d\varphi|_{\tilde{\xi}(x)} \circ \{(\text{Id}_{T_x\mathcal{U}}, d\underline{f}|_x) + \tilde{J}|_{\tilde{\xi}(x)} \circ (\text{Id}_{T_x\mathcal{U}}, d\underline{f}|_x) \circ j|_x\} \\ &= d\varphi|_{\tilde{\xi}(x)} \circ (0, 2\bar{\partial}\underline{f}|_x + \tilde{J}_{2,1}|_{\tilde{\xi}(x)} \circ j|_x). \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

On the other hand, by (2.7),

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}\xi|_x &= \bar{\partial}(\underline{\xi} \cdot f^t)|_x = \underline{\xi}(x) \cdot \{\bar{\partial} + \theta\}f^t|_x \\ &= \varphi(\bar{\partial}f|_x + \theta_x \cdot f(x)^t). \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

By (2.12) and (2.13), the property (2.8) is satisfied for all $\xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}; E)$ if and only if

$$\tilde{J}_{2,1}|_{(x,\underline{c})} = 2(\theta_x \cdot \underline{c}^t) \circ (-j|_x) = 2\mathfrak{i}\theta_x \cdot \underline{c}^t \quad \forall (x, \underline{c}) \in \mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{C}^n.$$

In summary, the almost complex structure $J = J_{\bar{\partial}}$ on E has the three desired properties if and only if for any trivialization of E over an open subset \mathcal{U} of Σ

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J}|_{(x,\underline{c})}(w_1, w_2) &= (jw_1, iw_2 + 2\mathfrak{i}\theta_x(w_1) \cdot \underline{c}^t) \\ \forall (x, \underline{c}) \in \mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{C}^n, (w_1, w_2) \in T_x\mathcal{U} \oplus T_{\underline{c}}\mathbb{C}^n &= T_{(x,\underline{c})}(\mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{C}^n), \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

where \tilde{J} is the almost complex structure on $\mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{C}^n$ induced by J via the trivialization and θ is the connection-one form corresponding to $\bar{\partial}$ with respect to the frame inducing the trivialization.

(2) By (2.14), there exists at most one almost complex structure J satisfying the three properties. Conversely, (2.14) determines such an almost complex structure on E . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J}|_{(x,\underline{c})}^2(w_1, w_2) &= \tilde{J}|_{(x,\underline{c})}(jw_1, iw_2 + 2\theta_x(w_1) \cdot \underline{c}^t) = (j^2w_1, \mathfrak{i}(iw_2 + 2\mathfrak{i}\theta_x(w_1) \cdot \underline{c}^t) + 2\mathfrak{i}\theta_x(jw_1) \cdot \underline{c}^t) \\ &= -(w_1, w_2), \end{aligned}$$

\tilde{J} is indeed an almost complex structure for $\bar{\partial}$ -operator on (E, \mathfrak{i}) . The almost complex structure induced by \tilde{J} on $E|_{\mathcal{U}}$ must satisfy the three properties by part (a). By the uniqueness property, the almost complex structures on E induced by the different trivializations must agree on the overlaps. Therefore, they define an almost complex structure $J = J_{\bar{\partial}}$ on the total space of E with the desired properties.

2.3 Connections and $\bar{\partial}$ -operators

Suppose (Σ, j) is an almost complex manifold, $\pi: (E, i) \rightarrow \Sigma$ is a complex vector bundle, and

$$\bar{\partial}: \Gamma(\Sigma; E) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\Sigma; T^{0,1}\Sigma \otimes E)$$

is a $\bar{\partial}$ -operator on (E, i) . A \mathbb{C} -linear connection ∇ in (E, i) is $\bar{\partial}$ -compatible if

$$\bar{\partial}\xi = \bar{\partial}_{\nabla}\xi \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\nabla\xi + i\nabla\xi \circ j) \quad \forall \xi \in \Gamma(M; \Sigma). \quad (2.15)$$

Lemma 2.3 *Suppose (M, j) is an almost complex manifold, $\pi: (E, i) \rightarrow M$ is a complex vector bundle,*

$$\bar{\partial}: \Gamma(M; E) \longrightarrow \Gamma(M; T^*M^{0,1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} E)$$

is a $\bar{\partial}$ -operator on (E, i) , and $J_{\bar{\partial}}$ is the complex structure in the vector bundle $TE \rightarrow E$ provided by Lemma 2.2. A \mathbb{C} -linear connection ∇ in (E, i) is $\bar{\partial}$ -compatible if and only if the splitting (1.10) determined by ∇ respects the complex structures.

Proof: Since $J_{\bar{\partial}} = \pi^*i$ on $\pi^*E \subset TE$ by definition $J_{\bar{\partial}}$, by the construction of the splitting (1.10) it is sufficient to check that

$$J_{\bar{\partial}}|_v \circ \{d\xi - \nabla\xi\}|_x = \{d\xi - \nabla\xi\}|_x \circ j_x: T_xM \longrightarrow T_vE$$

for all $x \in M$, $v \in E_x$, and $\xi \in \Gamma(M; E)$ such that $\xi(x) = v$. This identity is equivalent to

$$\bar{\partial}_{J_{\bar{\partial}}, j}\xi = \bar{\partial}_{\nabla}\xi \quad \forall \xi \in \Gamma(M; E). \quad (2.16)$$

On the other hand, by the proof of Lemma 2.2,

$$\bar{\partial}_{J_{\bar{\partial}}, j}\xi = \bar{\partial}\xi \quad \forall \xi \in \Gamma(M; E); \quad (2.17)$$

see (2.12)-(2.14). The lemma follows immediately from (2.16) and (2.17).

2.4 Holomorphic vector bundles

Let (Σ, j) be a complex manifold. A holomorphic vector bundle (E, i) on (Σ, j) is a complex vector bundle with a collection of trivializations that overlap holomorphically.

A collection of holomorphically overlapping trivializations of (E, i) determines a holomorphic structure J on the total space of E and a $\bar{\partial}$ -operator

$$\bar{\partial}: \Gamma(\Sigma; E) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\Sigma; T^{0,1}\Sigma \otimes E).$$

The latter is defined as follows. If ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n is a holomorphic complex frame for E over an open subset \mathcal{U} of M , then

$$\bar{\partial} \sum_{k=1}^{k=n} f^k \xi_k = \sum_{k=1}^{k=n} \bar{\partial} f^k \otimes \xi_k \quad \forall f^1, \dots, f^k \in C^\infty(\mathcal{U}; \mathbb{C}).$$

In particular, for all $\xi \in \Gamma(M; E)$

$$\bar{\partial}_{J, j}\xi = 0 \quad \iff \quad \bar{\partial}\xi = 0.$$

Thus, $J = J_{\bar{\partial}}$; see Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 2.4 *Suppose (Σ, j) is a Riemann surface and $\pi: (E, i) \rightarrow \Sigma$ is a complex vector bundle. If*

$$\bar{\partial}: \Gamma(\Sigma; E) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Sigma; T^{0,1}\Sigma \otimes E)$$

is a $\bar{\partial}$ -operator on (E, i) , the almost complex structure $J = J_{\bar{\partial}}$ on E is integrable. With this complex structure, $\pi: E \rightarrow \Sigma$ is a holomorphic vector bundle and $\bar{\partial}$ is the corresponding $\bar{\partial}$ -operator.

Proof: By (2.8), it is sufficient to show that there exists a (J, j) -holomorphic local section through every point $v \in E$, i.e. there exist a neighborhood \mathcal{U} of $x \equiv \pi(v)$ in Σ and $\xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}; E)$ such that

$$\xi(x) = v \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\partial}_{J,j}\xi = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.2 and (2.13), this is equivalent to showing that the equation

$$\{\bar{\partial} + \theta\}f^t = 0, \quad f(x) = v, \quad f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{U}; \mathbb{C}^n), \quad (2.18)$$

has a solution for every $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$. We can assume that \mathcal{U} is a small disk contained in S^2 . Let

$$\eta: S^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$$

be a smooth function supported in \mathcal{U} and such that $\eta \equiv 1$ on a neighborhood of x . Then,

$$\eta\theta \in \Gamma(S^2; T^{0,1}S^2 \otimes \text{Mat}_n\mathbb{C}).$$

Choose $p > 2$. The operator

$$\Theta: L_1^p(S^2; \mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow L^p(S^2; T^{0,1}S^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^n) \oplus \mathbb{C}^n, \quad \Theta(f) = (\bar{\partial}_{i,j}f, f(x)),$$

is surjective. If η has sufficiently small support, so is

$$\Theta_\eta: L_1^p(S^2; \mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow L^p(S^2; T^{0,1}S^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^n) \oplus \mathbb{C}^n, \quad \Theta_\eta(f) = (\{\bar{\partial}_{i,j} + \eta\theta\}f, f(x)).$$

Then, the restriction of $\Theta_\eta^{-1}(0, v)$ to a neighborhood of x on which $\eta \equiv 1$ is a solution of (2.18). By elliptic regularity, $\Theta_\eta^{-1}(0, v) \in C^\infty(S^2; \mathbb{C}^n)$.