

MAT 531: Topology & Geometry, II

Spring 2006

Problem Set 8

Due on Thursday, 4/6, in class

1. Suppose X is a topological space and $\mathcal{P} = \{S_U; \rho_{U,V}\}$ is a presheaf on X . Let

$$\tilde{S}_U = \left\{ (\mathcal{U}_\alpha, f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{U}_\alpha \subset U \text{ open, } U = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{U}_\alpha; \right.$$

$$\left. f_\alpha \in S_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha}, \rho_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}_\beta, \mathcal{U}_\alpha} f_\alpha = \rho_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}_\beta, \mathcal{U}_\beta} f_\beta \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A} \right\} / \sim,$$

where $(\mathcal{U}_\alpha, f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \sim (\mathcal{U}'_\beta, f'_\beta)_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}'}$ if $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{A}, \beta \in \mathcal{A}', p \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}'_\beta$

$$\exists W \subset \mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}'_\beta \text{ s.t. } p \in W, \rho_{W, \mathcal{U}_\alpha} f_\alpha = \rho_{W, \mathcal{U}'_\beta} f'_\beta.$$

Whenever $U \subset V$ are open subsets of M , the homomorphisms $\rho_{U,V}$ induce homomorphisms

$$\bar{\rho}_{U,V} : \bar{S}_V \longrightarrow \bar{S}_U,$$

so that $\bar{\mathcal{P}} \equiv \{\bar{S}_U; \bar{\rho}_{U,V}\}$ is a presheaf on M .

- (a) Show that if \mathcal{P} is a complete presheaf, then $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{P} .
 (b) Show that $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ is necessarily a complete presheaf.
 (c) If \mathcal{R} is a subsheaf of \mathcal{S} , show that

$$\alpha(\mathcal{S}/\mathcal{R}) \approx \overline{\alpha(\mathcal{S})/\alpha(\mathcal{R})}.$$

Hint: You may want to use Chapter 5, #2,5 (p216).

Note: The presheaf $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ is isomorphic to $\alpha(\beta(\mathcal{P}))$, where α and β are as in Subsection 5.6.

2. We have defined Čech cohomology for sheafs or presheafs of K -modules. All such objects are abelian. The sets \check{H}^0 and \check{H}^1 can be defined for sheafs or presheafs of non-abelian groups as well. The main example of interest is the sheaf \mathcal{S} of germs of smooth (or continuous) functions to a Lie group G .¹ If $\underline{U} = \{\mathcal{U}_\alpha\}$ is an open cover, $f \in \check{C}^0(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S})$, and $g \in \check{C}^1(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S})$, define

$$\partial_0 f \in \check{C}^1(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S}) \quad \text{by} \quad (\partial_0 f)_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1} = f_{\alpha_0}|_{\mathcal{U}_{\alpha_0} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\alpha_1}} \cdot f_{\alpha_1}^{-1}|_{\mathcal{U}_{\alpha_0} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\alpha_1}},$$

$$\partial_1 g \in \check{C}^2(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S}) \quad \text{by} \quad (\partial_1 g)_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1 \alpha_2} = g_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}|_{\mathcal{U}_{\alpha_0} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\alpha_1} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\alpha_2}} \cdot g_{\alpha_0 \alpha_2}^{-1}|_{\mathcal{U}_{\alpha_0} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\alpha_1} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\alpha_2}} \cdot g_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1}|_{\mathcal{U}_{\alpha_0} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\alpha_1} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\alpha_2}},$$

where for all $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{A}$, $f \in \check{C}^0(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S})$, $g \in \check{C}^1(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S})$, and $h \in \check{C}^2(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S})$,

$$f_{\alpha_0} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}_{\alpha_0}; \mathcal{S}), \quad g_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}_{\alpha_0} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\alpha_1}; \mathcal{S}), \quad h_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1 \alpha_2} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}_{\alpha_0} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\alpha_1} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\alpha_2}; \mathcal{S}).$$

Define an action of $\check{C}^0(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S})$ on $\check{C}^1(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S})$ by

$$\{f * g\}_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1} = f_{\alpha_0}|_{\mathcal{U}_{\alpha_0} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\alpha_1}} \cdot g_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1} \cdot f_{\alpha_1}^{-1}|_{\mathcal{U}_{\alpha_0} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\alpha_1}} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{U}_{\alpha_0} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\alpha_1}; \mathcal{S}).$$

- (a) Show that under this action $\check{C}^0(\underline{U}; \mathcal{S})$ maps $\ker \partial_1$ into itself.
 (b) Show that for every Čech 1-cocycle g (i.e. $g \in \ker \partial_1$) for an open cover $\underline{U} = \{\mathcal{U}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$,

$$g_{\alpha\alpha} = e|_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha}, \quad g_{\alpha\beta} g_{\beta\alpha} = e|_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}_\beta}, \quad g_{\alpha\beta} g_{\beta\gamma} g_{\gamma\alpha} = e|_{\mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{U}_\beta \cap \mathcal{U}_\gamma}, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathcal{A},$$

¹This means that G is a smooth manifold and a group so that the group operations are smooth. Examples include $O(k)$, $SO(k)$, $U(k)$, $SU(k)$.

where e is the “zero” (or “identity”) section of \mathcal{S} (i.e. $e(m)$ is the identity element of the group \mathcal{S}_m for every $m \in M$).

By part (a), we can define

$$\check{H}^0(\underline{\mathcal{U}}; \mathcal{S}) = \ker \partial_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \check{H}^1(\underline{\mathcal{U}}; \mathcal{S}) = \ker \partial_1 / \check{C}^0(\underline{\mathcal{U}}; \mathcal{S}).$$

The first set is a group being the kernel of a group homomorphism. If $\underline{\mathcal{U}}' = \{\mathcal{U}'_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}'}$ is a refinement of $\underline{\mathcal{U}} = \{\mathcal{U}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$, any refining map $\mu: \mathcal{A}' \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ induces group homomorphisms

$$\mu_p^*: \check{C}^p(\underline{\mathcal{U}}; \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \check{C}^p(\underline{\mathcal{U}}'; \mathcal{S}),$$

which commute with ∂_0 , ∂_1 , and the action of $\check{C}^0(\cdot; \mathcal{S})$ on $\check{C}^1(\cdot; \mathcal{S})$, similarly to Section 5.33. Thus, μ induces a group homomorphism and a map

$$R_{\underline{\mathcal{U}}, \underline{\mathcal{U}}}'^0: \check{H}^0(\underline{\mathcal{U}}; \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \check{H}^0(\underline{\mathcal{U}}'; \mathcal{S}) \quad \text{and} \quad R_{\underline{\mathcal{U}}, \underline{\mathcal{U}}}'^1: \check{H}^1(\underline{\mathcal{U}}; \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \check{H}^1(\underline{\mathcal{U}}'; \mathcal{S}).$$

(c) Show that these maps are independent of the choice of μ .

Thus, we can again define $\check{H}^0(M; \mathcal{S})$ and $\check{H}^1(M; \mathcal{S})$ by taking the direct limit of all $\check{H}^0(\underline{\mathcal{U}}; \mathcal{S})$ and $\check{H}^1(\underline{\mathcal{U}}; \mathcal{S})$ over open covers of M . The first set is a group, while the second need not be (unless \mathcal{S} is a sheaf of abelian groups). These sets will be denoted by $\check{H}^0(M; G)$ and $\check{H}^1(M; G)$ if \mathcal{S} is the sheaf of germs of smooth (or continuous) functions into a Lie group G . As in the abelian case, $\check{H}^0(M; \mathcal{S})$ is the space of global sections of \mathcal{S} .

(d) Show that there is a natural correspondence

$$\{\text{isomorphism classes of rank-}k\text{ real vector bundles over } M\} \longleftrightarrow \check{H}^1(M; O(k)).$$

(e) What are the analogues of these statements for complex vector bundles? (state them and indicate the changes in the argument; do not re-write the entire solution).

Hint: For (d) and (e), you might want to look over Sections 3 and 5 in *Notes on Vector Bundles*. Do not forget that $\check{H}^1(M; \mathcal{S})$ is a *direct limit*.

3. (a) Show that the set of isomorphism classes of line bundles on M forms an abelian group under the tensor product (i.e. satisfies 3 properties for a group and another for abelian). Show that in the real case all nontrivial elements are of order two.

(b) Show that the correspondence

$$\{\text{isomorphism classes of real line bundles over } M\} \longleftrightarrow \check{H}^1(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

of the previous problem is a group isomorphism.

(c) Show that there is a natural group isomorphism

$$\{\text{isomorphism classes of complex line bundles over } M\} \longleftrightarrow \check{H}^2(M; \mathbb{Z}).$$

Hint: ses/les

Note: The groups $\check{H}^1(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $\check{H}^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ are naturally isomorphic to the singular cohomology groups $H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$. The image of a real line bundle L

$$w_1(L) \in H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

is the *first Stiefel-Whitney class* of L ; the image of a complex line bundle

$$c_1(L) \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$$

is the *first Chern class* of L . However, this is not how these *characteristic classes* are normally defined.