

# SPECTRAL THEORY, HAUSDORFF DIMENSION AND THE TOPOLOGY OF HYPERBOLIC 3-MANIFOLDS

RICHARD D. CANARY, YAIR N. MINSKY, AND EDWARD C. TAYLOR

ABSTRACT. Let  $M$  be a compact 3-manifold whose interior admits a complete hyperbolic structure. We let  $\Lambda(M)$  be the supremum of  $\lambda_0(N)$  where  $N$  varies over all hyperbolic 3-manifolds homeomorphic to the interior of  $N$ . Similarly, we let  $D(M)$  be the infimum of the Hausdorff dimensions of limit sets of Kleinian groups whose quotients are homeomorphic to the interior of  $M$ . We observe that  $\Lambda(M) = D(M)(2 - D(M))$  if  $M$  is not handlebody or a thickened torus. We characterize exactly when  $\Lambda(M) = 1$  and  $D(M) = 1$  in terms of the characteristic submanifold of the incompressible core of  $M$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

When a closed 3-manifold admits a hyperbolic structure, this structure is unique by Mostow's rigidity theorem [24]. It follows that any invariant of the hyperbolic structure is automatically a topological invariant. One example of this is the hyperbolic volume, which agrees with Gromov's simplicial norm (see [14]).

In this paper we will consider geometrically derived invariants for compact 3-manifolds with boundary whose interiors admit complete hyperbolic metrics of infinite volume. In this case the hyperbolic structure is not unique, and in fact, Thurston's geometrization theorem together with the Ahlfors-Bers quasiconformal deformation theory guarantee that there is at least a 1-complex dimensional space of hyperbolic structures.

To obtain a topological invariant in this context, one may begin with a natural geometric invariant of a hyperbolic metric, and minimize (or maximize) it over the class of all hyperbolic metrics on a given 3-manifold. In particular, given a hyperbolic 3-manifold  $N = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma$  we will consider the bottom  $\lambda_0(N)$  of the  $L^2$  spectrum of the Laplacian, and the Hausdorff dimension  $d(N)$  of the limit set  $L_\Gamma$  of  $\Gamma$ . (See section 2 for more precise definitions). Although these two geometric invariants seem very different, the work of Patterson, Sullivan and others has established that they are closely related.

Call a compact, orientable 3-manifold  $M$  *hyperbolizable* if its interior admits a complete hyperbolic metric. This is a topological condition: Thurston's geometrization theorem asserts that an orientable compact 3-manifold with non-empty boundary is hyperbolizable if and only

---

First author partially supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation and a fellowship from the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation. Second author partially supported by an NSF Postdoctoral Fellowship. Third author partially supported by a Rackham fellowship.

if it is irreducible and atoroidal. Given a hyperbolizable  $M$ , we will define

$$\Lambda(M) = \sup \lambda_0(N)$$

and

$$D(M) = \inf d(N),$$

where  $N$  varies over the space of all hyperbolic 3-manifolds homeomorphic to the interior of  $M$ .

Very roughly speaking, we will find that  $D$  increases with topological complexity, and  $\Lambda$  decreases. The purpose of this paper is to establish some quantitative aspects of this intuition. In particular we will characterize exactly which manifolds have  $\Lambda(M) = 1$ , the maximal possible value.

Let us introduce some topological notation. A compact irreducible manifold  $M$  has an *incompressible core*, which is a (possibly disconnected) submanifold with incompressible boundary, from which  $M$  is obtained by adding 1-handles. A compact irreducible 3-manifold  $M$  with incompressible boundary is called a *generalized book of  $I$ -bundles* if one may find a disjoint collection  $A$  of essential annuli in  $M$  such that each component  $R$  of the manifold obtained by cutting  $M$  along  $A$  is either a solid torus, a thickened torus, or homeomorphic to an  $I$ -bundle such that  $\partial R \cap \partial M$  is the associated  $\partial I$ -bundle.

The following is a “scorecard” for the basic facts about  $\Lambda$  and  $D$  for hyperbolizable manifolds.

$M$ (hyperbolizable)	$\Lambda$	$D$	Relation
Handlebody or thickened torus	1	0	
Not solid or thickened torus, but $\partial M$ is a union of tori	0	2	$\Lambda = D(2 - D)$
Incompressible core consists of generalized books of $I$ -bundles	1	1	
Any other manifold with boundary	$0 < \Lambda < 1$	$1 < D < 2$	

Our main theorems fill in the first two entries in the last two rows. The rest of the entries follow from known results, as explained in section 2.

**Main Theorem I:** *If  $M$  be a compact, orientable, hyperbolizable 3-manifold, then  $\Lambda(M) = 1$  if and only if every component of the incompressible core of  $M$  is a generalized book of  $I$ -bundles. Otherwise,  $\Lambda(M) < 1$ .*

**Main Theorem II:** *Let  $M$  be a compact, orientable, hyperbolizable 3-manifold which is not a handlebody or a thickened torus.  $D(M) = 1$  if and only if every component of its incompressible core is a generalized book of  $I$ -bundles. Otherwise,  $D(M) > 1$ .*

One could think of these results as analogues of the fact that the Gromov norm of a closed, irreducible 3-manifold  $M$  is zero if and only if there exists a collection  $T$  of incompressible tori in  $M$  such that each component of  $M - T$  is a Seifert fibre space. In particular, if  $M$  has

incompressible boundary, then  $D(M) = 1$  if and only if the Gromov norm of the double of  $M$  is 0.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we will more carefully define our invariants, derive their basic properties and summarize the proof of the main theorems.

**2.1. Definitions.** If  $N$  is a complete orientable hyperbolic 3-manifold, then it is isometric to the quotient of hyperbolic 3-space  $\mathbf{H}^3$  by a group  $\Gamma$  of orientation-preserving isometries. Any orientation-preserving isometry extends continuously to a conformal transformation of the sphere at infinity  $S_\infty^2$  of hyperbolic 3-space. We define the *regular set*  $\Omega_\Gamma$  to be the maximal open subset of  $S_\infty^2$  on which  $\Gamma$  acts discontinuously. The *limit set*  $L_\Gamma$  of  $\Gamma$  is  $S_\infty^2 - \Omega_\Gamma$ . We define  $d(N)$  to be the Hausdorff dimension of the limit set  $L_\Gamma$  of  $\Gamma$ .

Define  $\lambda_0(N)$  to be the largest value of  $\lambda$  for which there exists a positive  $C^\infty$  function  $f$  on  $N$  such that  $\Delta f + \lambda f = 0$ . (Here  $\Delta = \text{div} \circ \text{grad}$  denotes the Laplacian. See Sullivan [28] for a discussion of why this is equivalent to other definitions of  $\lambda_0(N$ .) Note that  $\lambda_0 \geq 0$ .

It is clear that if  $\tilde{N}$  covers  $N$  then  $\lambda_0(N) \leq \lambda_0(\tilde{N})$ . In particular,

$$\lambda_0(N) \leq \lambda_0(\mathbf{H}^3) = 1$$

for any hyperbolic 3-manifold  $N$ .

If  $M$  is a compact, orientable, hyperbolizable 3-manifold then we let  $TT(M)$  denote the set of complete hyperbolic 3-manifolds homeomorphic to the interior of  $M$ . Our invariants can now be written as:

$$\Lambda(M) = \sup\{\lambda_0(N) \mid N \in TT(M)\}$$

and

$$D(M) = \inf\{d(N) \mid N \in TT(M)\}.$$

We will say that an element  $N = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma$  of  $TT(M)$  is *geometrically finite* if there exists a finite collection  $P$  of incompressible annuli and tori in  $\partial M$  such that  $\hat{N} = (\mathbf{H}^3 \cup \Omega_\Gamma)/\Gamma$  is homeomorphic to  $M - P$ .

We say that a hyperbolic 3-manifold is *topologically tame* if it is homeomorphic to the interior of a compact 3-manifold. Notice that by definition each hyperbolic 3-manifold in  $TT(M)$  is topologically tame. It is conjectured that every hyperbolic 3-manifold with finitely generated fundamental group is topologically tame.

**2.2. Basic properties of  $\Lambda$  and  $D$ .** Remarkably,  $\lambda_0$  and the Hausdorff dimension of the limit set are intimately related. The following theorem, which records that relationship, is due to Sullivan in the case that  $N$  is geometrically finite. If  $N$  is topologically tame but not geometrically finite, then Canary [11] proved that  $\lambda_0(N) = 0$ , while Bishop and Jones [4] proved that if  $N$  has finitely generated fundamental group and is not geometrically finite then  $d(N) = 2$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *If  $N$  is a topologically tame hyperbolic 3-manifold, then  $\lambda_0(N) = d(N)(2 - d(N))$  unless  $d(N) < 1$ , in which case  $\lambda_0(N) = 1$ .*

Thus  $\lambda_0$  completely determines  $d$  unless  $d < 1$ . The situation when  $d \leq 1$  was analyzed by Sullivan [26] and Braam [8] in the case where  $N$  is convex cocompact, by Canary and Taylor [13] when  $N$  is geometrically finite, and for all hyperbolic 3-manifolds with finitely generated fundamental groups by Bishop and Jones [4]:

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $M$  be a compact, orientable, hyperbolizable 3-manifold. If  $N \in TT(M)$  and  $d(N) < 1$ , then  $M$  is a handlebody or a thickened torus. If  $d(N) = 1$ , then  $M$  is either a handlebody or an  $I$ -bundle.*

The above two theorems assure us that  $D(M)$  and  $\Lambda(M)$  are essentially the same invariant:

**Corollary 2.3.** *If  $M$  is a compact, orientable, hyperbolizable 3-manifold, then*

$$\Lambda(M) = D(M)(2 - D(M))$$

*unless  $M$  is a handlebody or a thickened torus.*

Theorem 2.2 also has the following consequence:

**Corollary 2.4.** *If  $M$  is a compact, orientable, hyperbolizable 3-manifold which is not a handlebody or a thickened torus, then  $D(M) \geq 1$ .*

We note that it follows from work of Beardon [2] that if  $M$  is a handlebody, then  $D(M) = 0$  and therefore  $\Lambda(M) = 1$ . If  $M$  is a thickened torus and  $N = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma \in TT(M)$ , then  $L_\Gamma$  is a single point, so again  $D(M) = 0$  and  $\Lambda(M) = 1$ . This completes the first row of the scorecard.

The next proposition completes the second row:

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $M$  be a compact, orientable, hyperbolizable 3-manifold which is not a solid torus or a thickened torus. Then  $D(M) = 2$  if and only if any boundary component of  $M$  is a torus.*

*Proof.* We first suppose that any boundary component of  $M$  is toroidal. This implies that if  $N \in TT(M)$  then  $N = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma$  has finite volume (see Proposition D.3.18 in [3]). In this case  $L_\Gamma = S_\infty^2$ , which implies that  $d(N) = 2$  and hence  $D(M) = 2$ .

We now suppose that  $M$  has a non-toroidal boundary component. In this case,  $N$  has infinite volume for any  $N \in TT(M)$ . Thurston's geometrization theorem (see [22]) guarantees there is a geometrically finite manifold  $N$  in  $TT(M)$ . Sullivan [27] and Tukia [35] proved that if  $N$  is geometrically finite and has infinite volume, then  $d(N) < 2$ . Hence  $D(M) < 2$ .  $\square$

It is also useful to note that  $D(M)$  and  $\Lambda(M)$  behave monotonically under passage to covers.

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $M$  and  $M'$  be compact, orientable, hyperbolizable 3-manifolds, such that the interior of  $M'$  covers the interior of  $M$ . Then  $D(M) \geq D(M')$  and  $\Lambda(M) \leq \Lambda(M')$ .*

*Proof.* If  $N = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma$  is any hyperbolic 3-manifold homeomorphic to the interior of  $M$ , then it has a cover  $N' = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma'$  which is homeomorphic to the interior of  $M'$ . Since  $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ ,  $L_{\Gamma'} \subset L_\Gamma$ , so  $d(N) \geq d(N')$ . The assertion that  $D(M) \geq D(M')$  then follows immediately from the definition of our invariant  $D$ .

The proof of the assertion that  $\Lambda(M) \leq \Lambda(M')$  is similar.  $\square$

**2.3. Outline of proof of the main theorems.** We will break the argument up into several steps. First we reduce to considering manifolds with incompressible boundary.

We say that  $M$  is obtained from two manifolds  $M_0$  and  $M_1$  by *adding a 1-handle* if  $M$  is obtained from  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  and  $D^2 \times [0, 1]$  by identifying  $D^2 \times \{i\}$  with an embedded disk in  $\partial M_i$  (for  $i = 0, 1$ .)  $M$  is said to be obtained from  $M_0$  by adding a 1-handle if  $M$  is obtained from  $M_0$  and  $D^2 \times [0, 1]$  by identifying  $D^2 \times \{0\}$  and  $D^2 \times \{1\}$  with disjoint embedded disks in  $\partial M_0$ .  $M$  is said to be obtained from  $\{M_1, \dots, M_n\}$  by adding 1-handles if it is obtained by applying the above two topological operations finitely many times using the manifolds  $\{M_1, \dots, M_n\}$  as building blocks.

Bonahon [5] and McCullough-Miller [20] showed that if  $M$  is a compact irreducible 3-manifold, then there exists a collection  $\{M_1, \dots, M_n\}$  of submanifolds of  $M$  such that  $M$  is obtained from  $\{M_1, \dots, M_n\}$  by adding 1-handles and each  $M_i$  has incompressible boundary. (The boundary of a 3-manifold  $M$  is incompressible if the fundamental group of any component injects in  $\pi_1(M)$ .) The union  $\cup M_i$  is called the *incompressible core* of  $M$ . If  $M$  is a handlebody its incompressible core is a ball, and otherwise we will assume that no  $M_i$  is a ball. With this convention, the incompressible core is unique up to isotopy.

In section 3 we will use work of Patterson [25] to show that one may analyze our invariants on  $M$  simply by studying the invariants of the components of the incompressible core of  $M$ :

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $M$  be a compact, orientable, hyperbolizable 3-manifold. Denoting by  $\{M_1, \dots, M_n\}$  the components of the incompressible core of  $M$ , we have*

$$\Lambda(M) = \min\{\Lambda(M_1), \dots, \Lambda(M_n)\}.$$

The idea is to “pull apart” the groups uniformizing the components of the incompressible core. For example, if  $M$  is obtained from  $M_0$  and  $M_1$  by adding a 1-handle, we first find  $N_0 \in TT(M_0)$  and  $N_1 \in TT(M_1)$  with  $\lambda_0(N_i)$  near  $\Lambda(M_i)$ . We then construct a sequence  $\{N^i\}$  of hyperbolic 3-manifolds homeomorphic to the interior of  $M$  by removing half-spaces from  $N_0$  and  $N_1$  which lie farther and farther from a fixed point in each, and gluing the boundary planes together. Patterson’s result is used to show that  $\{\lambda_0(N^i)\}$  converges to  $\min\{\lambda_0(N_0), \lambda_0(N_1)\}$  and hence that  $\Lambda(M) = \min\{\Lambda(M_0), \Lambda(M_1)\}$ .

We now turn to 3-manifolds with incompressible boundary, for which we will need a bit more notation. If  $X$  is an annulus or torus, we say that a map  $f : (X, \partial X) \rightarrow (M, \partial M)$  is *essential* if  $f_* : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(M)$  is injective and  $f$  is not properly homotopic to a map of  $X$  with image in  $\partial M$ . We will say that an embedding  $f : R \rightarrow M$  of an  $I$ -bundle  $R$  into  $M$  is *admissible* if  $f^{-1}(\partial M)$  is the associated  $\partial I$ -bundle of  $R$ . We will say that an embedding  $f : R \rightarrow M$  of a Seifert-fibred space  $R$  into  $M$  is admissible if  $f^{-1}(\partial M)$  is a collection of fibres in  $\partial S$ . In either case, we will say that  $f$  is *essential* if  $f_* : \pi_1(R) \rightarrow \pi_1(M)$  is injective and whenever  $X$  is a component of  $\partial R - f^{-1}(\partial M)$  then  $f|_X$  is an essential map of a torus or annulus into  $M$ .

A compact submanifold  $\Sigma$  of  $M$  is said to be a *characteristic submanifold* if  $\Sigma$  consists of a minimal collection of admissibly embedded essential  $I$ -bundles and Seifert fibre spaces with the property that every essential, admissible embedding  $f : R \rightarrow M$  of a Seifert fibre space or  $I$ -bundle into  $M$  is properly homotopic to an admissible map with image in  $\Sigma$ . Jaco-Shalen [16] and Johannson [17] showed that every compact, orientable, irreducible 3-manifold with

incompressible boundary contains a characteristic submanifold and that any two characteristic submanifolds are isotopic. Hence, we often speak of *the* characteristic submanifold  $\Sigma(M)$  of  $M$ . If  $M$  is hyperbolizable then every Seifert fibred component of  $\Sigma(M)$  is homeomorphic to either a solid torus or a thickened torus (see Morgan [22]).

We will say that a compact, orientable, irreducible 3-manifold with incompressible boundary is a *generalized book of  $I$ -bundles* if the closure of any component of  $M - \Sigma(M)$  is homeomorphic to a solid torus or a thickened torus, and every component of  $\Sigma(M)$  is a solid torus, a thickened torus, or an  $I$ -bundle.

In section 4 we prove:

**Theorem 2.8.** *If  $M$  is a hyperbolizable generalized book of  $I$ -bundles, then  $\Lambda(M) = 1$ .*

The proof is by explicit construction. We build a hyperbolic structure for  $M$  by piecing together structures on each of the  $I$ -bundle pieces, and show that if the parameters are chosen appropriately (essentially “pulling apart” the  $I$ -bundles) the Hausdorff dimension  $d$  can be made arbitrarily close to 1. The result then follows via the connection between  $D$  and  $\Lambda$ .

In section 6 we prove:

**Theorem 2.9.** *If  $M$  is a compact, orientable, hyperbolizable 3-manifold with incompressible boundary which is not a generalized book of  $I$ -bundles, then  $\Lambda(M) < 1$ .*

Here is an outline of the proof in the case that  $M$  is acylindrical. Arguing by contradiction, we assume the existence of a sequence  $N_i \in TT(M)$  with  $\lambda_0(N_i) \rightarrow 1$ . By a theorem of Thurston the deformation space of  $M$  is compact and we can extract a limit manifold  $N$  which is homeomorphic to the interior of  $M$  with  $\lambda_0(N) = 1$ . This contradicts the assumption that  $M$  is not a handlebody, thickened torus or  $I$ -bundle, by theorem 2.2.

If  $M$  is not acylindrical, we must apply the Jaco-Shalen-Johannson characteristic submanifold theory, and Thurston’s relative compactness theorem. In general, the limit manifold we obtain will be homeomorphic to a submanifold of  $M$ , rather than to  $M$  itself.

Theorems 2.7, 2.8 and 2.9 combine to give a complete proof of Main Theorem I. Main Theorem II follows immediately from Main Theorem I and corollary 2.3.

In section 7 we will make further comments and conjectures about the invariants.

### 3. REDUCTION TO THE INCOMPRESSIBLE CASE

In this section we prove theorem 2.7, which assures us that our invariants are determined by their value on the components of the incompressible core. We do this by showing that if  $M$  is obtained by adding a 1-handle to  $M_0$  and  $M_1$  (or by adding a 1-handle to  $M_0$ ) then  $\Lambda(M) = \min\{\Lambda(M_0), \Lambda(M_1)\}$  (or  $\Lambda(M) = \Lambda(M_0)$ ).

The topological operation of adding a 1-handle is realized geometrically by Klein combination. Throughout this section we work in the ball model of  $\mathbf{H}^3$ ; the Euclidean boundary in this model is  $S^2$ , and  $\overline{\mathbf{H}^3} = \mathbf{H}^3 \cup S^2$ . If  $F \subset \mathbf{H}^3$  is a (convex) *fundamental polyhedron* of  $\Gamma$ , then  $\text{int}(F)$  denotes the interior of  $F$ ,  $\overline{F}$  denotes the Euclidean closure of  $F$ , and  $F^c = \mathbf{H}^3 - F$ . We refer the reader to sections IV.F and VI.A of [19] for a full discussion of fundamental polyhedra.

**Theorem 3.1.** (*Klein Combination, Theorem VII.A.3 in [19]*) Let  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\Gamma_1$  be discrete subgroups of  $PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$ . Suppose there are (convex) fundamental polyhedra  $F_i$  for  $\Gamma_i$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ), so that  $\text{int}(F_0) \cup \text{int}(F_1) = \mathbf{H}^3$ . Then the group  $\Gamma$  generated by  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\Gamma_1$  is discrete and is isomorphic to  $\Gamma_0 * \Gamma_1$ . Moreover,  $F = F_0 \cap F_1$  is a fundamental polyhedron for  $\Gamma$ .

If  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\Gamma_1$  satisfy the hypotheses of theorem 3.1 we will say that they are *Klein-combinable*.

The main tool in the proof of theorem 2.7 is a result of Patterson. Let  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\Gamma_1$  be two Klein-combinable groups and suppose  $N_i = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma_i$ . The intuitive content of Patterson's result is that, if we "pull away"  $\Gamma_1$  from  $\Gamma_0$  by a suitable sequence of conjugations  $h_k\Gamma_1h_k^{-1}$ , then  $\lambda_0$  of the combination of  $\Gamma_0$  and  $h_k\Gamma_1h_k^{-1}$  approaches  $\min\{\lambda_0(N_0), \lambda_0(N_1)\}$ .

The statement we give is a version of Theorem 1 in [25]. (Patterson actually proves his result for the critical exponents of the groups involved. However, see [28], the critical exponent of  $\Gamma$  determines  $\lambda_0(\mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma)$  and we have translated Patterson's result into a result about  $\lambda_0$ .) Let  $|g'(x)|$  denote the Euclidean norm of the derivative of  $g$  at  $x$ , where  $g \in PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$  and  $x \in \mathbf{H}^3$ . Let  $d(A_1, A_2)$  denote the Euclidean distance between sets  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  in  $\overline{\mathbf{H}^3}$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\Gamma_1$  be discrete, torsion-free subgroups of  $PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$  with convex fundamental polyhedra  $F_0$  and  $F_1$ . Let  $N_i = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma_i$ . Suppose there is a sequence  $\{h_k\}_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_+}$  in  $PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$  so that  $\text{int}(F_0) \cup h_k(\text{int}(F_1)) = \mathbf{H}^3$  for all  $k$  and

$$\frac{\sup_{w \in F_1^c} |h'_k(w)|}{d(F_0^c, h_k F_1^c)} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $\Gamma^k$  be the discrete group generated by  $\Gamma_0$  and  $h_k\Gamma_1h_k^{-1}$  and let  $N^k = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma^k$ . Then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_0(N^k) = \min\{\lambda_0(N_0), \lambda_0(N_1)\}.$$

We begin by studying the case where  $M$  is obtained from  $M_0$  and  $M_1$  by adding a 1-handle. Combining theorems 3.1 and 3.2, we obtain:

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $M_0$  and  $M_1$  be compact, orientable, hyperbolizable 3-manifolds. If  $M$  is hyperbolizable and is obtained from  $M_0$  and  $M_1$  by adding a 1-handle then  $\Lambda(M) = \min\{\Lambda(M_0), \Lambda(M_1)\}$ .

*Proof.* We first note that proposition 2.6 implies that

$$\Lambda(M) \leq \min\{\Lambda(M_0), \Lambda(M_1)\},$$

since the interior of  $M$  is covered by both the interior of  $M_0$  and the interior of  $M_1$ .

Without loss of generality assume  $\Lambda(M_0) = \min\{\Lambda(M_0), \Lambda(M_1)\}$ . Then proposition 2.5 ensures that  $\Lambda(M_0) > 0$ . Fix a positive  $\epsilon < \Lambda(M_0)$ , and choose  $N_i = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma_i \in TT(M_i)$  so that  $\lambda_0(N_1) \geq \lambda_0(N_0) > \Lambda(M_0) - \epsilon > 0$ . Canary [11] showed that if a complete hyperbolic 3-manifold is topologically tame but not geometrically finite, then  $\lambda_0 = 0$ . Therefore,  $N_0$  and  $N_1$  are both geometrically finite.

It follows that there exist homeomorphisms  $\psi_i : \hat{N}_i \rightarrow M_i - P_i$ , where  $\hat{N}_i = (\mathbf{H}^3 \cup \Omega_{\Gamma_i})/\Gamma_i$  and  $P_i$  is a collection of disjoint incompressible annuli and tori in  $\partial M_i$ . Because  $M$  is hyperbolizable, the attaching disks of the 1-handle are not contained in toroidal boundary components of  $M_i$ . Hence, we may choose disks  $D_i$  in  $M_i - P_i$ , such that  $M$  is obtained from  $M_0$  and  $M_1$  by

attaching a 1-handle to the disks  $D_0$  and  $D_1$ . We can also assume that the pre-images  $\psi_i^{-1}(D_i)$  are “round” disks (i.e. they are the quotients of round disks in  $\Omega_{\Gamma_i}$ .)

We choose lifts  $\widetilde{D}_i$  of  $\psi^{-1}(D_i)$  to  $\Omega_{\Gamma_i}$ . We may assume, by conjugating  $\Gamma_1$ , that the round disks  $\widetilde{D}_0$  and  $\widetilde{D}_1$  intersect only along their common boundary circle  $J$ . One can find (convex) fundamental polyhedra  $F_i$  for  $\Gamma_i$  such that  $\widetilde{D}_i$  are contained in the interiors of the intersection of the Euclidean closures of  $F_i$  with  $S^2$ . Therefore  $H_i \subset \text{int}(F_i)$ , where  $H_i$  denotes the closed half-space for which  $\overline{H_i} \cap S^2 = \widetilde{D}_i$ . Thus,  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\Gamma_1$  are Klein-combinable. Since  $F_0 \cap F_1$  is a fundamental polyhedron for the group  $\Gamma$  generated by  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\Gamma_1$ , we see that  $N = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma \in TT(M)$ .

Fix points  $z_i$  in the interior of  $\widetilde{D}_i$ . Let  $\gamma$  be a hyperbolic Möbius transformation with  $z_0$  as its attracting fixed point and  $z_1$  as its repelling fixed point. We may further choose  $\gamma$  so that  $\gamma(\widetilde{D}_0)$  is contained in the interior of  $\widetilde{D}_0$ . We will apply Patterson’s theorem 3.2 to the sequence  $\{h_k = \gamma^k\}$ .

Note that  $\gamma^k(\widetilde{D}_0) \subset \gamma(\widetilde{D}_0) \subset \text{int}\widetilde{D}_0$  for all  $k \geq 1$  which implies that  $\gamma^k(H_0) \subset \gamma(H_0) \subset H_0$ . Since  $\gamma^k(F_1)$  is a fundamental polyhedron for  $\gamma^k\Gamma_1\gamma^{-k}$  and  $\gamma^k(F_1)^c$  is contained in  $\gamma^k(H_0) \subset \text{int}(H_0) \subset F_0$ , we see that  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\gamma^k\Gamma_1\gamma^{-k}$  are Klein-combinable and that  $F_0 \cap \gamma^k(F_1)$  is a fundamental polyhedron for the group  $\Gamma^k$  generated by  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\gamma^k\Gamma_1\gamma^{-k}$ . In particular, one may readily observe that  $N^k = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma^k \in TT(M)$  for all  $k$ .

Since  $F_0^c \subset H_1$  and  $\gamma^k(F_1)^c \subset \gamma^k(H_0) \subset \gamma(H_0) \subset \text{int}(H_0)$ , we can see that  $d(F_0^c, \gamma^k(F_1^c)) \geq \delta$  for all  $k \geq 1$ , where  $\delta = d(H_1, \gamma(H_0)) > 0$ .

One may easily check that  $\{(\gamma^k)'\}$  converges to 0 uniformly on all compact subsets of  $\overline{\mathbf{H}^3} - \{z_1\}$ . Since  $F_1^c \subset H_0$  and  $\overline{H_0}$  is a compact subset of  $\overline{\mathbf{H}^3} - \{z_1\}$ , then  $\sup_{w \in F_1^c} |(\gamma^k)'(w)|$  converges to 0.

We may combine the observations above to establish that

$$\frac{\sup_{w \in F_1^c} |(\gamma^k)'(w)|}{d(F_0^c, h_k F_1^c)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Patterson’s theorem 3.2 then allows us to conclude that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_0(N^k) = \min\{\lambda_0(N_0), \lambda_0(N_1)\} \geq \Lambda(M_0) - \epsilon.$$

Therefore,  $\Lambda(M) \geq \min\{\Lambda(M_0), \Lambda(M_1)\} - \epsilon$ . Since  $\epsilon$  can be arbitrarily small, this completes the proof of proposition 3.3.  $\square$

We will also need the following direct analogue of Theorem 1 in [25], which can be deduced from Patterson’s arguments.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $\Gamma_0$  be a torsion-free discrete subgroup of  $PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$  with convex fundamental polyhedron  $F_0$ , and let  $\{h_k\}$  be an infinite sequence in  $PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$ . Suppose that  $F_k$  is a convex fundamental polyhedra for  $\langle h_k \rangle$  such that  $\text{int}(F_0) \cup \text{int}(F_k) = \mathbf{H}^3$  for all  $k$ , and there exists a  $\delta > 0$  so that  $d(F_0^c, F_k^c) \geq \delta$ . Also, assume there exists a  $w$  lying in  $F_0 \cap F_k$  for all index  $k$ , such that for any fixed  $s > 0$ ,*

$$\sum_{j \neq 0} |(h_k^j)'(w)|^s \rightarrow 0$$



as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Denote by  $\Gamma^k$  the discrete group generated by  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\langle h_k \rangle$ , and let  $N_0 = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma_0$  and  $N^k = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma^k$ . Then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_0(N^k) = \lambda_0(N_0).$$

Theorem 3.4 allows us to handle the case where  $M$  is obtained from  $M_0$  by adding a 1-handle.

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $M_0$  be a compact, irreducible, hyperbolizable 3-manifold with non-empty boundary. If  $M$  is a compact hyperbolizable 3-manifold obtained by adding a 1-handle to  $M_0$ , then  $\Lambda(M) = \Lambda(M_0)$ .*

*Proof.* As in proposition 3.3, we observe that proposition 2.6 implies that  $\Lambda(M) \leq \Lambda(M_0)$  and proposition 2.5 guarantees that  $\Lambda(M_0) > 0$ .

Fix a positive  $\epsilon < \Lambda(M_0)$ , and choose  $N_0 \in TT(M_0)$  such that  $\lambda_0(N_0) \geq \Lambda(M_0) - \epsilon$ . As before, by [11],  $N_0$  is necessarily geometrically finite. Thus, there exists a collection  $P$  of disjoint incompressible annuli and tori in  $\partial M_0$  and a homeomorphism  $\psi : \hat{N}_0 \rightarrow M_0 - P$ , where  $\hat{N}_0 = (\mathbf{H}^3 \cup \Omega_{\Gamma_0})/\Gamma_0$ . Since  $M$  is hyperbolizable, the attaching disks of the 1-handle are not contained in toroidal boundary components of  $M$ . Hence, we may choose disks  $D_0$  and  $D_1$  in  $\partial M_0 - P$  such that  $M$  is formed by attaching a 1-handle to the disks  $D_0$  and  $D_1$ . Since the interior of  $\bar{F} \cap \Omega_{\Gamma_0}$  is a fundamental domain for the action of  $\Gamma_0$  on  $\Omega_{\Gamma_0}$  (Proposition VI.A.3 in [19]), we may further choose  $D_0$  and  $D_1$  so that there are lifts  $\tilde{D}_0$  and  $\tilde{D}_1$  of  $\psi^{-1}(D_0)$  and  $\psi^{-1}(D_1)$  which are round disks in the interior of  $\bar{F} \cap \Omega_{\Gamma_0}$ .

Find a loxodromic element  $\gamma$  that takes the exterior of  $\tilde{D}_0$  to the interior of  $\tilde{D}_1$ . Let  $H_i$  be the closed half-spaces whose Euclidean closures intersect  $S^2$  in  $\tilde{D}_i$ . Then the region  $F_k = \mathbf{H}^3 - (H_0 \cup \gamma^{k-1}(H_1))$  is a fundamental polyhedron for  $\langle \gamma^k \rangle$ . Since  $\text{int}(F_k) \cup \text{int}(F_0) = \mathbf{H}^3$ ,  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\langle \gamma^k \rangle$  are Klein-combinable and  $F_k \cap F_0$  is a convex fundamental polyhedra for the group  $\Gamma^k$  generated by  $\Gamma$  and  $\langle \gamma^k \rangle$ . It is now easy to check that  $N_k = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma^k \in TT(M)$ .

We will apply theorem 3.4 with  $\{h_k = \gamma^k\}$ . Let  $\delta = d(F_0^c, H_0 \cup H_1) > 0$  (recall  $H_i \subset F_0$ ). Because the  $H_i$  are disjoint and  $F_k^c \subset H_0 \cup H_1$ , then

$$d(F_0^c, F_k^c) \geq \delta$$

for all  $k$ .

Fix  $w \in F_0 \cap F_k$  for all  $k > 0$  and fix  $s > 0$ . It is well-known that  $\sum_{j \neq 0} |(\gamma^j)'(w)|^s$  is finite. It follows immediately that

$$\sum_{j \neq 0} |(h_k^j)'(w)|^s = \sum_{j \neq 0} |(\gamma^{jk})'(w)|^s \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, Theorem 3.4 implies that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_0(N^k) = \lambda_0(N_0).$$

Therefore,  $\Lambda(M) > \Lambda(M_0) - \epsilon$ . Since  $\epsilon > 0$  was chosen arbitrarily, we have completed the proof of proposition 3.5.  $\square$

Notice that one need only apply propositions 3.3 and 3.5 finitely many times in order to prove theorem 2.7.

4. GENERALIZED BOOKS OF  $I$ -BUNDLES

In this section we will prove theorem 2.8, which says that  $\Lambda(M) = 1$  for any hyperbolizable generalized book of  $I$ -bundles  $M$ . The key step in the proof is:

**Theorem 4.1.** *If  $M$  is a hyperbolizable generalized book of  $I$ -bundles then for any  $\alpha > 1$ , there exists a hyperbolic manifold  $N$  homeomorphic to  $\text{int}(M)$  with  $d(N) < \alpha$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 2.8.* Let  $M$  be a hyperbolizable generalized book of  $I$ -bundles which is not a thickened torus. Theorem 4.1 implies that  $D(M) \leq 1$ . On the other hand, since  $M$  is not a handlebody or a thickened torus, Corollary 2.4 guarantees that  $D(M) \geq 1$ . Hence,  $D(M) = 1$  and we conclude that  $\Lambda(M) = 1$  by applying Corollary 2.3. If  $M$  is a thickened torus we have already observed that  $\Lambda(M) = 1$ .  $\square$

The remainder of the section is taken up with the proof of Theorem 4.1.

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* The characteristic submanifold of  $M$  is a union of solid tori, thickened tori, and  $I$ -bundles whose bases have negative Euler characteristic. For each  $I$ -bundle the subbundle over the boundary of the base surface is a union of annuli, which are glued to the boundary of a solid torus or thickened torus (for a thickened torus, note that only one of its boundaries participates in the gluing). The union of the bases of the  $I$ -bundles, with boundaries glued together inside each solid torus, and torus boundaries of the thickened tori, comprise a 2-skeleton for  $M$ , and we note that  $M$  is a thickening of this 2-skeleton.

We shall put a hyperbolic structure on the interior of  $M$ , for which each  $I$ -bundle base determines a Fuchsian or extended Fuchsian group, and each thickened torus corresponds to a rank-2 parabolic group. (We recall that a discrete subgroup of  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbf{C})$  is *Fuchsian* if it preserves a half-space in  $\mathbf{H}^3$  and *extended Fuchsian* if it has a Fuchsian subgroup of index 2. In either case there is a totally geodesic hyperplane preserved by the group.) By changing the parameters of this construction we will obtain Hausdorff dimension arbitrarily close to 1.

For each solid torus, the cores of annuli glued to it describe some number  $m$  of parallel  $(p, q)$  curves, for some relatively prime  $p, q$  (where  $(1, 0)$  denotes a meridian and  $p$  is well-defined mod  $q$ ). Consider the following hyperbolic structure on this solid torus: Begin with a geodesic  $L$  in  $\mathbf{H}^3$  which is the boundary of  $m$  half-planes equally spaced around it (more generally the angles between them can vary, but we will avoid this for ease of exposition). Let  $\gamma$  be a loxodromic with axis  $L$ , translation distance  $\ell/m$ , for some (small)  $\ell > 0$ , and rotation angle  $2\pi p/q$ . The quotient of a neighborhood of  $L$  by  $\gamma$  is a solid torus, which the quotients of the half-planes meet in a collection of annuli with boundaries glued together at the core. The intersection of these annuli with the torus boundary give the  $m$  desired  $(p, q)$  curves.

For each thickened torus we choose (large)  $d > 0$  and consider a horoball in  $\mathbf{H}^3$  with a rank 2 parabolic group acting so that a fundamental domain on the boundary is a rectangle with one sidelength  $\mu_0$  and one sidelength  $md > 0$ . In the horoball we consider  $m$  planes orthogonal to the boundary, parallel to the  $\mu_0$  side, and equally spaced (by distance  $d$  along the boundary). In the quotient these give  $m$  parallel cusps with boundary length  $\mu_0$ . Here  $\mu_0$  denotes a fixed number less than the Margulis constant for  $\mathbf{H}^2$ .

Choose a list of parameters  $\{\ell_i\}$  for the solid tori and  $\{d_i\}$  for the thickened tori. For each base surface  $S$ , let  $S'$  denote  $S$  minus the boundary components that attach to thickened tori, and choose a finite-area hyperbolic structure on  $S'$  so that a neighborhood of each missing boundary component is a cusp, and each remaining boundary component that glues to a solid torus with parameter  $\ell_i$  is a geodesic of length  $\ell_i$ . For each base surface we can find a Fuchsian or extended Fuchsian group such that the convex core of its quotient realizes the given hyperbolic structure. (The convex core of a hyperbolic manifold is the quotient of the convex hull of the limit set by the associated group action.) Note that the boundary components correspond to pure translations. We then truncate each cuspidal end so that the boundaries corresponding to thickened tori are horocycles of length  $\mu_0$ . We may obtain an incomplete structure on each  $I$ -bundle by considering the embedding of our truncated region in the full quotient of the associated Fuchsian or extended Fuchsian group.

For each solid torus we can then identify neighborhoods of the corresponding boundaries of  $I$ -bundle bases to the annuli arranged around its core, and for each thickened torus we can glue the horocycles to the boundaries of the cusps embedded in the horoball. This extends consistently to the thickenings of the  $I$ -bundle bases so that we obtain an (incomplete) hyperbolic structure on the interior of  $M$ , in which each  $I$ -bundle base is totally geodesic. With proper choice of the parameters, we will show that this gives rise to a complete structure.

The developing map for this structure maps the universal cover  $\tilde{M}$  to  $\mathbf{H}^3$  by a locally isometric immersion (see e.g. Benedetti-Petronio [3] §B.1). Let  $\Gamma$  denote the holonomy group. Each component of the lift  $\tilde{S}$  of a base surface  $S$  maps to a totally geodesic subset of  $\mathbf{H}^3$ . These subsets, which we will call *flats*, are arranged in a “tree”, in this sense: For a given flat  $F$ , at each lift of a geodesic boundary of its base surface there is a collection of  $m_q - 1$  other flats, equally spaced (where  $m$  is the number of annuli glued to the corresponding torus, and  $(p, q)$  describes the slopes of these annuli, as above). At each parabolic fixed point corresponding to one of the boundaries glued to a thickened torus, there is an bi-infinite sequence of other flats, arranged with equal spacing around a horoball based at this point. The corresponding graph of adjacencies is a tree (of infinite valence).

**4.1. Discrete holonomy.** Let  $\ell_0 = \max \ell_i$  and  $d_0 = \min d_i$ . Let  $\theta_0 = \min 2\pi/q_i m_i$  where  $\{m_i\}$  and  $\{(p_i, q_i)\}$  describe the gluings for the solid tori. We will show that, if  $\ell_0$  is sufficiently small and  $d_0$  sufficiently large, the holonomy group  $\Gamma$  is discrete, and the quotient manifold is homeomorphic to  $M$ .

We first make the following geometric observation, which is a standard type of fact for broken geodesics in  $\mathbf{H}^n$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *Given  $\theta \in (0, \pi]$  there exists  $K \geq 0$  such that the following holds. Let  $\gamma$  be a broken geodesic in  $\mathbf{H}^3$  composed of a chain of  $n$  segments  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$  of lengths  $k_i > K$  that meet at angles  $\theta_i \geq \theta$ . Let  $P_i$  denote the orthogonal bisecting plane to  $\gamma_i$ . Then the  $P_i$  are all disjoint, and each  $P_j$  separates  $P_i$  and  $\gamma_i$  from  $P_k$  and  $\gamma_k$  whenever  $i < j < k$ . Furthermore  $\text{dist}(P_i, P_{i+1}) \geq \frac{1}{2}(k_i + k_{i+1}) - K$ .*

*Proof.* Choose  $K$  by the formula

$$\cosh^2 K/2 = \frac{2}{1 - \cos \theta}.$$

A little hyperbolic trigonometry shows that if two segments meet at their endpoints at angle  $\theta$  then the planes orthogonal to the segments at a distance  $K/2$  from the intersection point meet at a single point at infinity, and if the angle is greater than  $\theta$  the planes are disjoint.

Now consider for each segment of  $\gamma$  the family of planes orthogonal to it, excluding the ones closer than  $K/2$  to either endpoint (a nonempty family since  $k_i > K$ ). The planes meeting any segment thus separate the planes meeting the previous segment from those meeting the next segment, and the distance between the first and last plane for segment  $i$  is  $k_i - K$ . The statement for the bisecting planes follows from this.  $\square$

Recall that the  $\mu$ -thin part of a flat  $F$  denotes the points where some element of the stabilizer of  $F$  acts with translation  $\mu$  or less. If  $\mu$  is smaller than the Margulis constant, this set consists of a union of disjoint pieces, each of which is either a horodisk around a parabolic fixed point or a neighborhood of an axis of a translation. The  $\mu$ -thick part is the complement of the  $\mu$ -thin part.

Given any two points  $x, y$  in two flats  $F, F'$ , let  $F = F_1, \dots, F_n = F'$  denote the sequence of flats in the tree connecting them. Each  $F_i$  and  $F_{i+1}$  share either a geodesic boundary or a parabolic fixed point at infinity, called  $F_i \cap F_{i+1}$  in either case. There is a chain of geodesics  $\{\alpha_i\}$  connecting  $x$  to  $y$  such that  $\alpha_i \subset F_i$ , and  $\alpha_i$  meets  $\alpha_{i+1}$  at  $F_i \cap F_{i+1}$  (possibly at infinity). The chain is uniquely determined by the condition that, whenever  $F_i \cap F_{i+1}$  is a geodesic boundary,  $\alpha_i$  meets it orthogonally. Whenever  $\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}$  meet in a parabolic point, adjust them as follows: Truncate each at the point where it enters the  $\mu_1$ -horoball of the corresponding parabolic group ( $\mu_1 < \mu_0$  will be determined shortly), and join the new endpoints with a geodesic, which we note makes an angle greater than  $\pi/2$  with  $\alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_{i+1}$ . When  $i = n - 1$ ,  $\alpha_n$  may be entirely contained in the  $\mu_1$ -horoball, and in that case we remove  $\alpha_n$  entirely and join  $y$  directly to the truncated  $\alpha_{n-1}$ . Call the resulting chain of geodesics  $\gamma_{x,y}$ .

Suppose that  $x$  is in the  $\mu_0$ -thick part of  $F$ . We claim that, given any  $k$ , if  $\ell_0$  and  $\mu_1$  are sufficiently small and  $d_0$  is sufficiently large, each segment of  $\gamma_{x,y}$ , except possibly the last, has length at least  $k$ . By the collar lemma for hyperbolic surfaces, if  $\ell_0$  and  $\mu_1$  are sufficiently small then the  $\mu_0$ -thick part of each quotient surface is separated from its boundary by at least  $c \log \mu_0 / \ell_0$ , and from the  $\mu_1$ -thin parts of the cusps by  $c \log \mu_0 / \mu_1$ , for a fixed constant  $c$ . This bounds from below the length of each segment in  $\gamma_{x,y}$ , except possibly the last segment containing  $y$ , and the additional segments added in horoballs. Each segment of the latter type has length at least  $c \log d_0 \mu_1 / \mu_0$  (for a constant  $c$ ) since the horospherical distance between flats on the boundary of the  $\mu_0$ -horoball is a multiple of  $d_0$  by construction. Thus, choosing  $d_0$  large enough (after the choice of  $\mu_1$  is made) this gives a high lower bound for the horoball segments, and establishes our claim.

Any two segments meet at angle at least  $\theta = \min\{\theta_0, \pi/2\}$ , so let  $K = K(\theta)$  be the constant given in lemma 4.2 and suppose  $k \geq 2K$  and  $\ell_0, d_0$  and  $\mu_1$  are determined as above. Lemma 4.2 then provides a sequence of planes with definite spacing that separate  $x$  from  $y$ .

In particular we can deduce that any two flats which are non-adjacent in the tree are disjoint, and more generally, fixing  $x$  in the  $\mu_0$ -thick part of  $F$ , for any path  $F = F_1, \dots, F_n$  of successively adjacent flats in the tree, that

$$\text{dist}(x, F_n) \geq (n-2)(k-K) + k - K/2.$$

In particular the entire tree of flats is (properly) embedded in  $\mathbf{H}^3$ , and therefore  $\Gamma$  is discrete.

It remains to show that  $N = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma$  is homeomorphic to  $M$ . Bonahon's theorem [6] guarantees that  $N$  is topologically tame (we could also deduce this directly by showing that  $\Gamma$  is geometrically finite). Since a neighborhood of the tree of flats embeds, it must be the homeomorphic developing image of a neighborhood of the lift to the universal cover of the 2-skeleton of  $M$ . It follows that  $M$  embeds in  $N$ , by a map which is a homotopy equivalence. By a theorem of McCullough-Miller-Swarup [21], this implies that  $N$  is homeomorphic to the interior of  $M$ .

*Remark:* Another approach to this construction is by means of Klein-Maskit combinations (see Maskit [19].)

**4.2. Hausdorff dimension.** We next show that, with further restrictions on  $\ell_0$  and  $d_0$ , we can obtain upper bounds on Hausdorff dimension. This will be done directly, by exhibiting an appropriate family of coverings.

Choose one flat  $F_0$  as the root of the tree. Choose a point  $x_0$  in the  $\mu_0$ -thick part of  $F_0$ . Normalize the picture in the upper half-space model so that the plane  $H_0$  containing  $F_0$  is a hemisphere meeting the complex plane in the unit circle  $C_0$ , and so that  $x_0$  is the point  $(0, 0, 1)$ . Each child  $F'$  of  $F_0$  in the tree structure is of one of two types: Type (1): if  $F'$  meets  $F_0$  along a geodesic  $L$ ,  $F'$  is contained in a half-plane  $H'$  which meets the complex plane in a circle  $C'$ . There are a finite number (at most  $2\pi/\theta_0$ ) of other flats adjoined at  $L$ . Type (2): If  $F'$  meets  $F_0$  along a parabolic fixed point, it is part of a sequence of flats  $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}$  meeting at that point, where  $F_n$  are all children of  $F_0$  for  $n \neq 0$ . These meet the plane in a family of concentric circles  $\{C_n\}$  tangent at the same point. These divide into  $C_0$  itself, and the circles outside and inside. Call the set of outer ones (and of inner ones) an "earring".

The same description holds for the children of any flat. We thus get a family of circular arcs  $\{C\}$  arranged in a tree structure with root  $C_0$ . For any  $C$  let  $s(C)$  denote the set of its children, and similarly  $s^2(C) = s(s(C))$ , etc. Let  $r(C)$  denote the diameter of  $C$ , which of course is uniformly comparable to the length of  $C$ .

The limit set  $L_\Gamma$  of  $\Gamma$  is contained in the closure  $\hat{L}_\Gamma$  of the union of these arcs  $C$ .

Fix any positive  $\rho < 1/2$ . We claim that we can choose the parameter  $d_0$  sufficiently large and  $\ell_0$  sufficiently small, so that the following holds (where  $c_0$  is a fixed constant):

- For any  $C$  and  $D \in s(C)$ ,

$$r(D) \leq \rho r(C). \tag{4.1}$$

- If  $D_1, D_2, \dots$  are the nested circles in an earring, with  $D_1$  the outermost, then

$$r(D_n) \leq c_0 \frac{r(D_1)}{n}. \tag{4.2}$$

*Proof of (4.1).* Let  $\mu_2 < \mu_0$  be a constant to be chosen later. Let  $H$  and  $H'$  be the hemispheres containing  $C$  and  $D$ , respectively. They meet either in a geodesic  $g$  or at a parabolic fixed point.

Let  $J(H, H')$  denote the component of the  $\mu_2$ -thin part associated to the intersection in either case. Let  $x$  be the top point of  $H$  (in the upper half-space). Suppose that  $x$  is outside  $J(H, H')$ . Then the geodesic chain  $\gamma_{x,y}$  for any  $y \in H'$ , constructed as in §4.1 but with  $\mu_2$  taking the place of  $\mu_0$ , has initial segment  $\gamma_1$  of length at least  $k$ , where  $k$  can be made arbitrarily large by making  $\ell_0/\mu_2$  and  $\mu_1/\mu_2$  small, and  $d_0$  large. Given these choices, we conclude via lemma 4.2 that all of  $H'$  is separated from  $x$  by the bisecting hemisphere of  $\gamma_1$ , which is distance at least  $k/2$  from  $x$ , and is thus of Euclidean diameter at most  $ce^{-k/2} \text{diam}(H)$  for a fixed  $c$ . This gives the desired bound on  $r(D)$ , if  $k$  is chosen so that  $ce^{-k/2} \leq \rho$ .

It remains to show that, with appropriate choice of  $\mu_2$ , the top  $x$  of each  $H$  is outside  $J(H, H')$  for any child  $H'$  of  $H$ . For the root of the tree this holds by our normalization. We argue by induction. Suppose that  $H_1, H_2, H_3$  are hemispheres such that  $H_i$  is the parent of  $H_{i+1}$  and  $x_i$  are the tops of  $H_i$ . If the inductive hypothesis holds for  $H_1$  then, in particular,  $\text{diam}(H_2) \leq \text{diam}(H_1)$  by the above paragraph. It follows that  $\text{dist}(x_2, H_1)$  is bounded from above by a fixed number  $a$ . However, if  $x_2$  were contained in  $J(H_2, H_3)$  then there would be a separation between  $x_2$  and  $J(H_1, H_2)$  of at least  $c \log(\mu_0/\mu_2)$ , since  $J(H_1, H_2)$  and  $J(H_2, H_3)$  meet  $H_2$  in two distinct, and hence disjoint, components of the  $\mu_0$  thin part. Assuming that  $\mu_2$  is sufficiently short this distance is long enough that we can construct a geodesic chain  $\gamma_{x_2,y}$  from  $x_2$  to any  $y \in H_1$  with long initial segment, and apply lemma 4.2 to conclude  $\text{dist}(x_2, H_1) > a$ , a contradiction. Thus there is an a-priori choice of  $\mu_2$  which guarantees that  $x_2$  will be outside  $J(H_2, H_3)$ , and we are done by induction.  $\square$

*Proof of (4.2).* We observe that since, by (4.1),  $D_1$  is at most half the size of the parent  $C$ , we may re-normalize, by a Möbius transformation whose derivative is within a universally bounded ratio of a constant on all of the  $D_i$ , so that  $C$  becomes a straight line meeting the  $D_i$  at the origin. The  $D_i$ , and  $C$ , are then taken by the map  $z \mapsto 1/z$  to a sequence of equally spaced parallel lines. An easy computation gives (4.2), where the constant  $c_0$  comes from the initial re-normalization.  $\square$

We now claim the following, for any  $2 \geq \alpha > 1$ :

$$\sum_{D \in s(C)} r(D)^\alpha \leq a_0 \rho^{\alpha-1} \frac{\zeta(\alpha)}{2^{\alpha-1} - 1} r(C)^\alpha \quad (4.3)$$

where  $a_0$  is a fixed constant and  $\zeta(\alpha) = \sum 1/n^\alpha$  is the usual Zeta function.

To prove this consider first the children of type (1): these are arranged in groups of bounded number which subtend a common interval on  $C$ , and any two such intervals are disjoint. The lower bound  $\theta_0$  on the angle at which any such child meets  $C$  implies that its diameter is comparable to the diameter of the interval. The sum of lengths of intervals is at most the length of  $C$ , so there is some constant  $a_1$  such that  $\sum r(D) \leq a_1 r(C)$ , for  $D$  of type (1). Since also  $r(D) \leq \rho r(C)$ , we make the following observation: If  $\sum x_i \leq ax$  and each  $x_i \leq \rho x$ , then  $\sum x_i^\alpha \leq \sum x_i (\rho x)^{\alpha-1} \leq a \rho^{\alpha-1} x^\alpha$ . Thus we can bound the contribution of type (1) children by:

$$\sum_{\text{type 1}} r(D)^\alpha \leq a_1 \rho^{\alpha-1} r(C)^\alpha. \quad (4.4)$$

For the children of type (2) the sum of lengths is infinite and we must take more care. Consider first an earring  $D_1, D_2, \dots$  with  $D_1$  outermost. By (4.2), we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r(D_n)^\alpha \leq c_0^\alpha \zeta(\alpha) r(D_1)^\alpha. \quad (4.5)$$

At each parabolic point  $p$  on  $C$  there are two earrings (inside and outside the circle). Let  $D_p$  denote the outermost circle of the outside earring. Clearly it just remains to bound  $\sum_p r(D_p)^\alpha$ .

Note first that all the  $D_p$  are disjoint, by the argument of §4.1 showing that the tree of flats embeds. It follows, we claim, for any  $\delta > 0$ , that

$$\sum_{\frac{r(D_p)}{r(C)} \in [\delta/2, \delta]} r(D_p)^\alpha \leq a_2 \delta^{\alpha-1} r(C)^\alpha. \quad (4.6)$$

Each  $D_p$  projects radially to an interval on  $C$ , and the condition that diameters lie in  $[r(C)\delta/2, r(C)\delta]$ , together with disjointness of the  $D_p$ , means that these intervals cover  $C$  with multiplicity at most 2. This implies  $\sum r(D_p) \leq a_2 r(C)$  for this subset with some constant  $a_2$ , and (4.6) follows, using the same observation as for the type (1) children.

Summing over  $\delta = \rho/2^k$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , we obtain a bound for the sum over all outer circles of (outside) earrings:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_p r(D_p)^\alpha &\leq a_2 r(C)^\alpha \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\rho}{2^k}\right)^{\alpha-1} \\ &\leq \frac{2a_2 \rho^{\alpha-1}}{2^{\alpha-1} - 1} r(C)^\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

The same argument works for the outer circles of earrings contained inside  $C$ , doubling our bound. Combining with the bound (4.5) for the sum over each earring, we obtain the inequality (4.3) for the sum over type (2) children. Now combining with (4.4) we get (4.3) over all the children of  $C$  (note that in (4.4) the factor  $\zeta(\alpha)/(2^{\alpha-1} - 1)$  does not appear, but this does not matter since it has a positive lower bound when  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ ).

We shall now define, for any  $\epsilon_0 > 0$ , a covering of the closure  $\hat{L}_\Gamma$  of the union of arcs  $C$ , by balls of radius less than or equal to  $\epsilon_0$ .

For each arc  $C$  we shall inductively assign a number  $\epsilon(C)$  with which to cover  $C$ . Let  $\epsilon(C_0) = \epsilon_0$ . For a child  $D$  of  $C$  let

$$\epsilon(D) = \frac{r(D)}{\rho r(C)} \epsilon(C).$$

Note that  $\epsilon(D) \leq \epsilon(C)$  by (4.1). Furthermore we observe by induction that if  $C \in s^j(C_0)$ , the  $j$ -th level of the tree, then

$$\epsilon(C) = \frac{r(C)}{\rho^j r(C_0)} \epsilon_0 \leq \epsilon_0.$$

Recall that  $\rho < 1/2$ . If  $D$  is a child of  $C$  and  $T(D)$  is the union of all arcs in the subtree whose root is  $D$ , we immediately have by (4.1) that  $T(D)$  is contained in a ball of radius

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho^k r(D) < 2r(D)$$

around any point of  $D$ . Thus there is some fixed  $a_3$  for which there exists a covering of  $C$  by  $a_3 r(C)/\epsilon(C)$  balls of radius  $\epsilon(C)$ , which also covers the closure of any subtree descended from  $C$  with root  $D$ , provided  $r(D) \leq \epsilon(C)/2$ .

Now given  $k > 0$  let  $\epsilon_0 = \rho^k r(C_0)$  and let  $U_k$  denote the covering which is the union of these coverings for all  $C$  in levels 0 through  $k$ . We claim that  $U_k$  is in fact a covering of all of  $\hat{L}_\Gamma$ . For, if  $D \in s(C)$  and  $C$  is at level  $k$ ,  $r(D) \leq \rho r(C)$ , and  $\epsilon(C) = (r(C)/\rho^k r(C_0))\epsilon_0 = r(C)$ . Thus the covering for  $C$  covers the closure of  $T(D)$ , as above.

We shall now compute the  $\alpha$ -dimensional mass of this covering. Let  $M_k$  denote the sum  $\sum r_i^\alpha$  over the balls of  $U_k$ , where  $r_i$  denotes the radius of the  $i$ -th ball and let  $M_k(C)$  for any arc  $C$  denote the sum over just the subset of balls covering  $C$ .

For each  $C$  we have

$$M_k(C) = a_3 (r(C)/\epsilon(C)) \epsilon(C)^\alpha = a_3 r(C) \epsilon(C)^{\alpha-1}.$$

If  $D \in s(C)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} M_k(D) &= a_3 r(D) \left( \frac{r(D)\epsilon(C)}{\rho r(C)} \right)^{\alpha-1} \\ &= a_3 \left( \frac{\epsilon(C)}{\rho r(C)} \right)^{\alpha-1} r(D)^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Summing over all  $D \in s(C)$  and using (4.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{D \in s(C)} M_k(D) &\leq a_3 \left( \frac{\epsilon(C)}{\rho r(C)} \right)^{\alpha-1} a_0 \rho^{\alpha-1} \frac{\zeta(\alpha)}{2^{\alpha-1} - 1} r(C)^\alpha \\ &= a_0 \frac{\zeta(\alpha)}{2^{\alpha-1} - 1} a_3 r(C) \epsilon(C)^{\alpha-1} \\ &= A(\alpha) M_k(C). \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Where we abbreviate  $A(\alpha) = a_0 a_3 \zeta(\alpha)/(2^{\alpha-1} - 1)$ . Applying this inductively, we get

$$\sum_{D \in s^j(C_0)} M_k(D) \leq A(\alpha)^j M_k(C_0) \tag{4.9}$$

For any  $j \leq k$ . Let us assume  $A(\alpha) \geq 2$  (since we may always enlarge  $a_0$ ). Then  $\sum_{j=0}^k A(\alpha)^j \leq A(\alpha)^{k+1}$ , and summing up (4.9) over levels 0 through  $k$  for the covering  $U_k$ , we get

$$M_k \leq A(\alpha)^{k+1} M_k(C_0). \tag{4.10}$$

By the choice of  $\epsilon_0 = r(C_0)\rho^k$ , we have

$$M_k(C_0) = a_3 r(C_0)^\alpha (\rho^{\alpha-1})^k.$$



Thus, given any  $\alpha > 1$ , we may choose  $\rho$  small enough that  $\rho^{\alpha-1} < 1/A(\alpha)$ , and then  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M_k = 0$ , and  $\hat{L}_\Gamma$  (hence  $L_\Gamma$ ) has zero Hausdorff measure in dimension  $\alpha$ . Thus  $d(\mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma) \leq \alpha$ , which concludes the proof of Theorem 4.1.  $\square$

## 5. MORE PRELIMINARIES

In this section we recall more of the background which will be needed to handle manifolds with incompressible boundary which are not books of I-bundles. We first recall Bonahon's theorem about topological tameness and some basic facts about geometric convergence. In section 5.3 we recall Thurston's relative compactness theorem and prove an unmarked version of it which will be the key technical tool in the proof of theorem 2.9.

**5.1. Bonahon's theorem.** Bonahon [6] proved that a hyperbolic 3-manifold  $\mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma$  is topologically tame if  $\Gamma$  satisfies Bonahon's condition (B), which is the following: whenever  $\Gamma = A * B$  is a non-trivial free decomposition of  $\Gamma$ , there exists a parabolic element of  $\Gamma$  which is not conjugate to an element of either  $A$  or  $B$ .

Bonahon provided the following topological interpretation of his condition (B) (see proposition 1.2 in [6].)

**Lemma 5.1.** *Suppose that  $M$  is a compact 3-manifold,  $P$  is a collection of homotopically non-trivial annuli in  $\partial M$ , no two of which are homotopic in  $M$  and every component of  $\partial M - P$  is incompressible in  $M$ . Then, if there exists an isomorphism  $\phi : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \Gamma$  such that  $\phi(g)$  is parabolic if  $g$  is conjugate to an element of  $\pi_1(P)$ , then  $\Gamma$  satisfies Bonahon's condition (B).*

**5.2. Geometric convergence.** We say that a sequence of Kleinian groups  $\{\Gamma_j\}$  converges *geometrically* to a Kleinian group  $\Gamma$  if for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , there exists a sequence  $\{\gamma_j \in \Gamma_j\}$  converging to  $\gamma$  and if any accumulation point of any sequence  $\{\gamma_j \in \Gamma_j\}$  is contained in  $\Gamma$ . We will need the following observation, whose proof we sketch. For a complete argument, from a slightly different point of view, see Taylor [30].

**Lemma 5.2.** *Suppose that  $\Gamma_i$  is a sequence of torsion-free Kleinian groups converging geometrically to a torsion-free Kleinian group  $\Gamma$ . Let  $N_i = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma_i$  and  $N = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma$ . Then*

$$\limsup \lambda_0(N_i) \leq \lambda_0(N).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\{N_j\}$  be a subsequence of  $\{N_i\}$  such that  $\{\lambda_0(N_j)\}$  converges to  $L = \limsup \lambda_0(N_i)$ . Let  $f_j$  be a positive  $C^\infty$ -function such that  $\Delta f_j + \lambda_0(N_j)f_j = 0$  and let  $\tilde{f}_j$  be the lift of  $f_j$  to a map  $\tilde{f}_j : \mathbf{H}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ . We may scale  $\tilde{f}_j$  so that  $\tilde{f}_j(\vec{0}) = 1$  where  $\vec{0}$  denotes the origin of  $\mathbf{H}^3$ . Yau's Harnack inequality [36] and basic elliptic theory guarantee that there is a subsequence of  $\{\tilde{f}_j\}$  which converges to a positive  $C^\infty$ -function  $\tilde{f}$  such that  $\Delta \tilde{f} + L\tilde{f} = 0$ . Since  $\tilde{f}_j$  was  $\Gamma_j$ -invariant and  $\Gamma_j$  converges geometrically to  $\Gamma$ ,  $\tilde{f}$  is  $\Gamma$ -invariant and hence descends to a  $C^\infty$ -function on  $N$  such that  $\Delta f + Lf = 0$ . It follows that  $\lambda_0(N) \geq L$ .  $\square$

**5.3. Deformation theory of Kleinian groups.** In the proof of theorem 2.9 we will consider sequences of Kleinian groups in an algebraic deformation space. Let us therefore introduce the following terminology. If  $G$  is a group, let  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  denote the space of discrete, faithful representations of  $G$  into  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{C})$ . Let  $AH(G) = \mathcal{D}(G)/\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{C})$  where  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{C})$  acts by conjugation of the image. If  $c$  is a loop in  $M$  represented by the element  $g \in \pi_1(M)$  and  $\rho \in AH(\pi_1(M))$ , then  $l_\rho(c)$  is the translation length of  $\rho(g)$  if  $\rho(g)$  is hyperbolic and 0 if  $\rho(g)$  is parabolic.

We will use the following basic lemma which relates convergence in  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  and geometric convergence (see [18]).

**Lemma 5.3.** *Suppose that  $G$  is a torsion-free, non-abelian group and  $\{\rho_j\}$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  which converges to  $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(G)$ . Then there is a subsequence of  $\{\rho_j(G)\}$  which converges geometrically to a torsion-free Kleinian group  $\Gamma$  which contains  $\rho(G)$ .*

In order to state Thurston's relative compactness theorem we need to introduce the *window*  $W$  of a compact hyperbolizable 3-manifold  $M$  with incompressible boundary.  $W$  consists of the  $I$ -bundle components of  $\Sigma(M)$  together with a thickened neighborhood of every essential annulus in  $\partial\Sigma(M) - \partial M$  which is not the boundary of an  $I$ -bundle component of  $\Sigma(M)$ . The window is itself an  $I$ -bundle over a surface  $w$  called the *window base*.

The following is Thurston's relative compactness theorem for hyperbolic structures on  $M$  (see [34] or Morgan-Shalen [23].)

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $M$  be a compact, orientable, hyperbolizable 3-manifold with window  $W$ . If  $G$  is any subgroup of  $\pi_1(M)$  which is conjugate to the fundamental group of a component of  $M - W$  whose closure is not a thickened torus, then the induced map from  $AH(\pi_1(M))$  into  $AH(G)$  has bounded image.*

We wish to extend this theorem to the following “unmarked” version of Thurston's theorem.

**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $\{\rho_i\}$  be a sequence in  $AH(\pi_1(M))$ . We may then find a subsequence  $\{\rho_j\}$ , a sequence of elements  $\{\phi_j\}$  of  $\mathrm{Out}(\pi_1(M))$ , and a collection  $x$  of disjoint, non-parallel, homotopically non-trivial simple closed curves in the window base  $w$  such that if  $G$  is any subgroup of  $\pi_1(M)$  which is conjugate to the fundamental group of a component of  $M - X$  whose closure is not a thickened torus (where  $X$  is the total space of the  $I$ -bundle over  $x$ ), then  $\{\rho_j \circ \phi_j|_G\}$  converges in  $AH(G)$ . Moreover, if  $c$  is a curve in  $x$ , then  $\{l_{\rho_j \circ \phi_j}(c)\}$  converges to 0.*

*Proof.* The following proposition states the corresponding fact for hyperbolic surfaces. This fact is reasonably standard, and one may construct a proof using techniques described in Abikoff [1] and Harvey [15].

**Proposition 5.6.** *Let  $S$  be a compact surface with boundary and let  $\{\psi_i\}$  be a sequence of discrete faithful representations of  $\pi_1(S)$  into  $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbf{H}^2)$ . If there exists  $K$  such that  $l_{\psi_i}(\partial S) \leq K$  for all  $i$ , then there exists a subsequence  $\{\psi_k\}$  of  $\{\psi_i\}$ , a collection  $y$  of disjoint, homotopically distinct curves in  $S$  and a collection of homeomorphisms  $h_k : S \rightarrow S$  which are the identity on  $\partial S$  such that if  $R$  is a component of  $S - y$ , then  $\{\psi_k \circ (h_k)_*|_{\pi_1(R)}\}$  converges in  $AH(\pi_1(R))$ . Moreover,  $\{l_{\psi_k \circ (h_k)_*}(y_0)\}$  converges to 0, for any component  $y_0$  of  $y$ .*

To prove theorem 5.5, we look at the components of  $w$  one by one and successively pass to subsequences of  $\{\rho_i\}$ . Along the way we produce  $x$  and a sequence  $\{f_j : w \rightarrow w\}$  of homeomorphisms which will be used to construct  $\{\phi_j\}$ .

Let  $w_0$  be a component of  $w$ . If  $w_0$  is an annulus or a Mobius strip, let  $c_0$  be a core curve of  $w_0$ . Thurston's relative compactness theorem implies that  $l_{\rho_i}(c_0)$  is bounded above. Choose a subsequence  $\{\rho_k\}$  such that  $\{\rho_k|_{\pi_1(w_0)}\}$  converges in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Z})$ . Replace  $\{\rho_i\}$  by this subsequence. We include  $\partial w_0$  in  $x$  if and only if  $l_{\rho_k}(c_0)$  converges to 0. Let  $f_i|_{w_0}$  be the identity map.

Now suppose that  $w_0$  is not an annulus or a Mobius strip. We may pass to a subsequence of  $\{\rho_i\}$  such that if  $z_0$  is a boundary component of  $w_0$  then either  $l_{\rho_i}(z_0) = 0$  for all  $i$  or  $l_{\rho_i}(z_0) \neq 0$  for all  $i$ . Let  $w'_0$  be obtained from  $w_0$  by removing any component  $z_0$  of  $\partial w_0$  such that  $l_{\rho_i}(z_0) = 0$  for all  $i$ . One can then find, for each  $i$ , a complete finite-area hyperbolic metric  $\tau_i$  on  $w'_0$  with geodesic boundary, and a path-wise isometry  $r_i : (w'_0, \tau_i) \rightarrow N_i$  in the homotopy class determined by  $\rho_i|_{\pi_1(w_0)}$ , such that  $r_i(\partial w'_0)$  is a collection of closed geodesics. (A map between Riemannian manifolds is a *pathwise isometry* if any rectifiable path in the domain is taken by the map to a path of equal length. Typically one takes  $r_i$  to be a pleated surface, see [10] or [32]. In particular, note that neighborhoods of the missing boundaries of  $w'_0$  are cusps, which map into cusps in  $N_i$ .)

Then  $(w'_0, \tau_i)$  is isometric to the convex core of  $\mathbf{H}^2/\Theta_i$  for some discrete subgroup  $\Theta_i$  of  $Isom(\mathbf{H}^2)$  and there is an induced discrete faithful representation  $\psi_i : \pi_1(w_0) \rightarrow Isom(\mathbf{H}^2)$  with image  $\Theta_i$  such that  $l_{\psi_i}(c) \geq l_{\rho_i}(r_i(c))$  for any simple closed curve  $c$  in  $w_0$  and all  $i$ .

Thurston's relative compactness theorem guarantees that there exists  $K$  such that  $l_{\psi_i}(\partial w_0) = l_{\rho_i}(\partial w_0) \leq K$ . Given the sequence  $\{\psi_i\}$ , let  $h_k$  and  $y$ , and the subsequence  $\{\rho_k\}$ , be as in proposition 5.6. Replace  $\{\rho_i\}$  by  $\{\rho_k\}$  and add  $y$  to  $x$ . We also add to  $x$  any component  $z_0$  of  $\partial w_0$  such that  $l_{\rho_k}(z) = l_{\psi_k}(z)$  converges to 0. Let  $f_k|_{w_0} = h_k$ .

Let  $\{\rho_k\}$  be the final subsequence and let  $\{f_k : w \rightarrow w\}$  be the resulting sequence of homeomorphisms.  $f_k$  induces a homeomorphism  $F_k : W \rightarrow W$  preserving  $\partial M - \partial W$ , which is homotopic to the identity on each component of  $\partial W - \partial M$ . Hence,  $F_k$  extends to a homotopy equivalence  $\hat{F}_k$  of  $M$  which is equal to the identity on the complement of a regular neighborhood of  $W$ . Let  $\phi_k = (\hat{F}_k)_*$ . We now claim that we can pass to a subsequence  $\{\rho_j\}$  of  $\{\rho_k\}$  such that the theorem holds with  $\phi_j$  and  $x$  constructed as above.

Let  $M'$  be a component of  $M - X$  whose closure is not a thickened torus and let  $Z$  be the  $I$ -bundle over  $\partial w - x$ .  $M'$  may be written as the union of components of  $M - (Z \cup X)$  together with annuli in  $Z$ . Thurston's relative compactness theorem and our construction of  $Z \cup X$  imply that  $\{\rho_k \circ \phi_k\}$  converges up to subsequence restricted to the fundamental group of any component of  $M - (Z \cup X)$  whose closure is not a thickened torus. If  $g$  is any element of  $\pi_1(Z)$ , then  $\{\rho_k \circ \phi_k(g)\}$  converges, up to subsequence and conjugation, to a hyperbolic element of  $PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$ . The proof is then completed by repeatedly applying the following elementary lemma. (Notice that if the closure of  $M'$  is not a thickened torus, then neither is the closure of any component of  $M' - Z$ , since no annulus in  $Z$  can lie in the boundary of a thickened torus.)

**Lemma 5.7.** *Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two finitely generated subgroups of a group  $G$  and let  $\{\rho_i\}$  be a sequence in  $AH(G)$  such that  $\{\rho_i|_{G_m}\}$  converges in  $AH(G_m)$  for  $m = 1, 2$ . If  $g \in G_1 \cap G_2$  and*

$\{\rho_i(g)\}$  converges, up to conjugation, to a hyperbolic element of  $PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$ , then  $\{\rho_i|_{\langle G_1, G_2 \rangle}\}$  has a convergent subsequence in  $AH(\langle G_1, G_2 \rangle)$ .

□

## 6. 3-MANIFOLDS WHICH ARE NOT GENERALIZED BOOKS OF I-BUNDLES

The main result of this section asserts that hyperbolizable 3-manifolds with incompressible boundary which are not generalized books of  $I$ -bundles have  $\Lambda$ -invariant strictly less than 1.

**Theorem 2.9.** *If  $M$  is a compact, orientable, hyperbolizable 3-manifold with incompressible boundary which is not a generalized book of  $I$ -bundles, then  $\Lambda(M) < 1$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 2.9.* Let  $M$  be a compact, orientable, hyperbolizable 3-manifold with incompressible boundary which is not a generalized book of  $I$ -bundles. We will assume that  $\Lambda(M) = 1$  and arrive at a contradiction.

If  $\Lambda(M) = 1$ , there exists a sequence  $\{N_i\}$  in  $TT(M)$  such that  $\{\lambda_0(N_i)\}$  converges to 1 where  $N_i = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma_i$ . Let  $\rho_i : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$  be a discrete faithful representation with image  $\Gamma_i$ . Let  $\{\rho_j\}$ ,  $\{\phi_j\}$ ,  $X$ , and  $x$  be as in theorem 5.5.

Let  $M_0$  be a component of  $M - X$  which contains a component  $V$  of  $M - \Sigma(W)$  whose closure is not a solid torus or a thickened torus. Note that the fundamental group of  $V$  must be non-abelian, since any compact hyperbolizable 3-manifold with abelian fundamental group is a solid torus or a thickened torus. In particular, the closure of  $M_0$  cannot be a thickened torus. Thus, theorem 5.5 implies that  $\{\rho_j \circ \phi_j|_{\pi_1(M_0)}\}$  converges to a discrete faithful representation  $\rho : \pi_1(M_0) \rightarrow PSL_2(\mathbf{C})$  such that  $\rho(\pi_1(M_0 \cap X))$  is parabolic. Let  $\Gamma^0 = \rho(\pi_1(M_0))$  and  $N^0 = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma^0$ . Let  $\hat{\Gamma}$  be a geometric limit of some subsequence of  $\{\Gamma_j^0 = \rho_j(\phi_j(\pi_1(M_0)))\}$  and let  $N_j^0 = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma_j^0$  and  $\hat{N} = \mathbf{H}^3/\hat{\Gamma}$ . Since  $1 \geq \lambda_0(N_j^0) \geq \lambda_0(N_j)$  and  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_0(N_j) = 1$ , we see that  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_0(N_j^0) = 1$ . Lemma 5.2 then implies that  $\lambda_0(\hat{N}) = 1$  and hence that  $\lambda_0(N^0) = 1$ .

Let  $M'_0$  denote  $M_0 - \mathcal{N}(X)$  where  $\mathcal{N}(X)$  is a regular neighborhood of  $X$  and let  $Y$  denote the intersection of the closure of  $\mathcal{N}(X)$  with  $M'_0$ . Since  $\partial M'_0 - Y$  is incompressible and the elements of  $\Gamma^0$  corresponding to  $\pi_1(Y)$  are parabolic, lemma 5.1 implies that  $\Gamma^0$  satisfies Bonahon's condition (B). Therefore,  $N^0$  is topologically tame. Since,  $\lambda_0(N^0) = 1$ , the results of [13] imply that  $\Gamma^0$  is either a Fuchsian group or an extended Fuchsian group. Thus  $N^0$  contains a compact submanifold  $R$  which is a strong deformation retract of  $N^0$  and is an  $I$ -bundle with base surface  $B$ , such that an element of  $\Gamma^0$  is parabolic if and only if it is conjugate to an element of  $\pi_1(\partial B)$ . (If  $Z$  is the totally geodesic hyperplane preserved by  $\Gamma^0$ , then we can take  $B$  to be a compact core for  $Z/\Gamma^0$  and  $R$  to be a regular neighborhood of  $B$ .)

Since  $\Gamma^0 = \rho(\pi_1(M_0))$  and every element of  $\Gamma^0$  corresponding to an element of  $\pi_1(Y)$  is parabolic, there is a homotopy equivalence  $h : M'_0 \rightarrow R$  such that every element of  $Y$  maps into a component of  $S$  the sub-bundle over  $\partial B$ . If we let  $S_0$  denote the set of components of  $S$  which contain images of elements of  $Y$ , then  $h : (M'_0, Y) \rightarrow (R, S_0)$  is a homotopy equivalence of pairs. Since every component of  $\partial M'_0 - Y$  is incompressible and  $h$  is a homotopy equivalence of pairs, every component of  $\partial R - S_0$  is incompressible (see proposition 1.2 in [6] or section 2 of Canary-McCullough [12]), which implies that  $S_0 = S$ . Thus,  $(M'_0, Y)$  is homotopy equivalent

to the  $I$ -pair  $(R, S)$  which implies that  $(M'_0, Y)$  is homeomorphic to  $(R, S)$  (see corollary 5.8 in Johannson [17]). This implies that  $M'_0$  is an admissibly embedded essential  $I$ -bundle and hence may be properly homotoped into  $\Sigma(M)$ . This however contradicts the fact that there exist curves in  $V$  which are not homotopic into  $\Sigma(M)$ . This contradiction completes the proof of Theorem 2.9.  $\square$

## 7. REMARKS AND CONJECTURES

**1.** The most natural analogue of the Gromov norm is the invariant  $V(M)$  which is defined to be the infimum of the volumes of the convex cores of hyperbolic manifolds homeomorphic to the interior of  $M$ . One would make the following conjecture in the spirit of the paper.

**Conjecture:**  $V(M) = 0$  if and only if every component of  $M$ 's incompressible core is a generalized book of  $I$ -bundles.

It seems likely that an argument similar to that in section 4 would give that  $V(M) = 0$  whenever  $M$  is a generalized book of  $I$ -bundles. Whereas an argument similar to that in section 6, along with work of Taylor [29], should give that  $V(M) > 0$  if  $M$  has incompressible boundary but is not a generalized book of  $I$ -bundles. As the direct analogue of the results in section 3 is false, one would then have to show more explicitly that  $V(M) = 0$  if  $M$  is obtained from generalized books of  $I$ -bundles by adding 1-handles.

**2.** The work of Canary [11] and Burger-Canary [9] exhibits relationships between  $V(M)$  and  $\Lambda(M)$ . The work of [11] gives the following upper bound for all  $M$ ,

$$\Lambda(M) \leq \frac{4\pi|\chi(\partial M)|}{V(M)}$$

(where  $\chi(\partial M)$  denotes the Euler characteristic of  $\partial M$ ), while the work of [9] shows that there exist constants  $A > 0$  and  $B > 0$  such that if  $M$  has incompressible boundary then

$$\Lambda(M) \geq \frac{A}{(V(M) + B|\chi(\partial M)|)^2}.$$

**3.** If  $M$  is acylindrical then there is a unique hyperbolic manifold  $N$  whose convex core has totally geodesic boundary and is homeomorphic to  $M$ . One expects that  $D(M) = d(N)$ ,  $\Lambda(M) = \lambda_0(N)$ , and that  $V(M)$  is the volume  $vol(C(N))$  of the convex core  $C(N)$  of  $N$ . In fact, Bonahon [7] has shown that  $N$  is a local minimum for the volume (of the convex core) function on the space  $GF(M)$  of geometrically finite hyperbolic 3-manifolds homeomorphic to the interior of  $M$ . More generally, if  $M$  has incompressible boundary and  $M_i$  is a component of  $M - \Sigma(M)$  which is not homeomorphic to a solid torus, then there exists a unique hyperbolic 3-manifold  $N_i$  such that the convex core  $C(N_i)$  has totally geodesic boundary and  $C(N_i)$  is homeomorphic to  $M_i$ .

**Conjecture:** If  $M$  has incompressible boundary,  $\{M_1, \dots, M_n\}$  are the components of  $M - \Sigma(M)$  which aren't homeomorphic to solid tori, and  $N_i$  are as above, then  $V(M) = \sum_{i=1}^n vol(C(N_i))$  and  $\Lambda(M) = \sup\{\lambda_0(N_i)\}$ .

A positive solution to the conjecture above would imply that  $\Lambda$  is a homotopy invariant, since the incompressible cores of homotopy-equivalent hyperbolic 3-manifolds are homotopy-equivalent, and Johannson's theorem [17] implies that the complements of the characteristic submanifolds of homotopy-equivalent hyperbolizable 3-manifolds with incompressible boundary are homeomorphic.

We note that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \text{vol}(C(N_i))$  is equal to half the Gromov norm of the double of  $M$ . One can generalize this conjecture to obtain a similar conjecture for arbitrary compact hyperbolizable 3-manifolds.

4. It would be interesting to know more about the distribution of the set of values assumed by the invariant  $\Lambda$ . In this remark we show that the set of values accumulates at 0.

Let  $M_i$  be a compact hyperbolizable 3-manifold whose boundary is incompressible and has  $i$  toroidal boundary components and two genus two boundary components. One may obtain such manifolds by removing suitably chosen collections of boundary-parallel curves from a product  $S \times [0, 1]$  where  $S$  is a surface of genus 2. We will show that  $V(M_i) \rightarrow \infty$ , by observing that all but a bounded number of the cusps contribute a definite amount to the volume of the convex core of *any* hyperbolic structure on  $M_i$ .

If  $x \in N$ , then  $\text{inj}_N(x)$  denotes the injectivity radius of the  $N$  at the point  $x$ . The Margulis lemma asserts that there exists a constant  $\mathcal{M}_3$  such that if  $\epsilon < \mathcal{M}_3$  and  $N$  is a complete hyperbolic 3-manifold, then every non-compact component of  $N_{\text{thin}(\epsilon)} = \{x \in N \mid \text{inj}_N(x) < \epsilon\}$  is the quotient of a horoball by a group of parabolic transformations fixing the horoball. Moreover, for all  $\mathcal{M}_3 > \epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $D(\epsilon) > 0$  such that any non-compact component of  $N_{\text{thin}(\epsilon)}$  has volume at least  $D(\epsilon)$ . There also exists  $K(\epsilon) > 0$  such that at most  $K(\epsilon)|\chi(\partial C(N_i))|$  components of  $N_{\text{thin}(\epsilon)}$  intersect  $\partial C(N)$ . If  $N_i \in TT(M_i)$  then there are at least  $i$  non-compact components of  $(N_i)_{\text{thin}(\epsilon)}$  (one for each toroidal component of  $\partial M_i$ .) Since  $|\chi(\partial C(N_i))| \leq 4$  and every component of  $(N_i)_{\text{thin}(\epsilon)}$  intersects  $C(N_i)$ , it follows that

$$\text{vol}(C(N_i)) > (i - 4K(\epsilon))D(\epsilon).$$

Hence,  $V(M_i) \geq (i - 4K(\epsilon))D(\epsilon)$ , so  $V(M_i)$  converges to infinity and  $\Lambda(M_i) \leq \frac{16\pi}{V(M_i)}$  converges to 0. On the other hand,  $\Lambda(M_i) \neq 0$ , by proposition 2.5. Thus 0 is an accumulation point of the set of  $\Lambda$  values.

5. If  $\Gamma$  is a quasi-Fuchsian group, denote by  $K(\Gamma)$  the minimal  $K$  for which there exists a  $K$ -quasiconformal map conjugating  $\Gamma$  to a Fuchsian group. One may use the methods of section 4 to construct a sequence  $\{\Gamma_j\}$  of quasiconformally conjugate quasi-Fuchsian groups such that the Hausdorff dimensions of the limit sets of the  $\Gamma_j$  converge to 1, but  $\{K(\Gamma_j)\}$  converges to  $\infty$ . We will discuss this more fully in a future note.

## REFERENCES

- [1] W. Abikoff, *The Real Analytic Theory of Teichmüller Space*, Springer-Verlag Lecture Notes in Mathematics No. 820, 1980.
- [2] A.F. Beardon, "The Hausdorff dimension of singular sets of properly discontinuous groups," *Amer. J. Math.* **88**(1966), 722–736.
- [3] R. Benedetti and C. Petronio, *Lectures on Hyperbolic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag Universitext, 1992.

- [4] C. Bishop and P.W. Jones, “Hausdorff dimension and Kleinian groups,” preprint.
- [5] F. Bonahon, “Cobordism of automorphisms of surfaces,” *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.* **16**(1983), 237–270.
- [6] F. Bonahon, “Bouts des varietes hyperboliques de dimension 3,” *Ann. of Math.* **124**(1986), 71–158.
- [7] F. Bonahon, “A Schläfli type formula for volumes of 3-dimensional convex cores,” in preparation.
- [8] P. Braam, “A Kaluza-Klein approach to hyperbolic three-manifolds,” *L’Enseign. Math.* **34**(1988), 275–311.
- [9] M. Burger and R. Canary, “A lower bound for  $\lambda_0$  for geometrically finite hyperbolic  $n$ -manifolds,” *J. reine angew. Math.* **454**(1994), 37–57.
- [10] R.D. Canary, D.B.A. Epstein, and P. Green, “Notes on notes of Thurston,” in *Analytical and Geometrical Aspects of Hyperbolic Spaces*, Cambridge University Press, 1987, 3–92.
- [11] R.D. Canary, “On the Laplacian and the geometry of hyperbolic 3-manifolds,” *J. Diff. Geom.* **36**(1992), 349–367.
- [12] R.D. Canary and D. McCullough, “Homotopy equivalences of 3-manifolds and deformation theory of Kleinian groups,” in preparation.
- [13] R.D. Canary and E. Taylor, “Kleinian groups with small limit sets,” *Duke Math. J.* **73**(1994), 371–381.
- [14] M. Gromov, “Volume and bounded cohomology,” *Publ. I.H.E.S.* **56**(1982), 5–99.
- [15] W.J. Harvey, “Spaces of discrete groups,” in *Discrete Groups and Automorphic Functions*, ed. by W.J. Harvey, Academic Press, 1977, 295–348.
- [16] W. Jaco and P. Shalen, “Seifert fibered spaces in 3-manifolds,” *Memoirs of the A.M.S.* **21**(1979).
- [17] K. Johannson, *Homotopy equivalences of 3-manifolds*, Springer-Verlag Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 761, 1979.
- [18] T. Jørgensen and A. Marden, “Algebraic and geometric convergence of Kleinian groups,” *Math. Scand.* **66**(1990), 47–72.
- [19] B. Maskit, *Kleinian Groups*, Springer-Verlag, 1988.
- [20] D. McCullough and A. Miller, “Homeomorphisms of 3-manifolds with compressible boundary,” *Memoirs of the A.M.S.* **344**(1986).
- [21] D. McCullough, A. Miller and G.A. Swarup, “Uniqueness of cores of noncompact 3-manifolds,” *Journal of the L.M.S.*, **32**(1985), 548–556.
- [22] J.W. Morgan, “On Thurston’s uniformization theorem for three-dimensional manifolds,” in *The Smith Conjecture*, ed. by J. Morgan and H. Bass, Academic Prexeds, 1984, 37–125.
- [23] J.W. Morgan and P.B. Shalen, “Degenerations of hyperbolic structures I, II, III,” *Ann. of Math.* **120**(1984), 401–476 **127**(1988) 403–456, **127**(1988) 457–519.
- [24] G.D. Mostow, “Quasiconformal mappings in  $n$ -space and the rigidity of hyperbolic space forms,” *Publ. I.H.E.S.* **34**(1968), 53–104.
- [25] S.J. Patterson, “Further remarks on the exponent of convergence of Poincaré series,” *Tohoku Math. J.* **35**(1983). 357–373.
- [26] D. Sullivan, “The density at infinity of a discrete group of hyperbolic motions,” *Publ. I.H.E.S.* **50**(1979), 419–450.
- [27] D. Sullivan, “Entropy, Hausdorff measures old and new, and limit sets of geometrically finite Kleinian groups,” *Acta. Math.* **153**(1984), 259–277.
- [28] D. Sullivan, “Aspects of positivity in Riemannian geometry,” *J. Diff. Geom.* **25**(1987) 327–351.
- [29] E. Taylor, “Geometric finiteness and the convergence of Kleinian groups,” preprint.
- [30] E. Taylor, in preparation.
- [31] W.P. Thurston, *The Geometry and Topology of 3-manifolds*, lecture notes.
- [32] W.P. Thurston, “Hyperbolic structures on 3-manifolds I: Deformation of acylindrical 3-manifolds,” *Ann. of Math.* **124**(1986), 203–246.
- [33] W.P. Thurston, “Hyperbolic structures on 3-manifolds II: Surface groups and 3-manifolds which fibre over the circle,” preprint.
- [34] W.P. Thurston, “Hyperbolic structures on 3-manifolds III: Deformations of 3-manifolds with incompressible boundary,” preprint.

- [35] P. Tukia, "The Hausdorff dimension of the limit sets of geometrically finite Kleinian groups," *Acta Math.* **152**(1984), 127–140.
- [36] S.T. Yau, "Harmonic functions on complete Riemannian manifolds," *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **28**(1975), 201–228.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, ANN ARBOR, MI 48109

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SUNY-STONY BROOK, STONY BROOK, NY 11794

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, ANN ARBOR, MI 48109