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We propose a new approach to the question of prescribing Gaussian curvature on the 2sphere with at least three conical singularities and angles less than $2 \pi$, the main result being sufficient conditions for a positive function of class at least $C^{2}$ to be the Gaussian curvature of such a conformal conical metric on the round sphere. Our methods particularly differ from the variational approach in that they don't rely on the Moser-Trudinger inequality. Along the way, we also prove a general precompactness theorem for compact Riemann surfaces with at least three conical singularities and angles less than $2 \pi$.
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## Chapter 1

## INTRODUCTION

Conical surfaces have been extensively studied by many in different contexts, ranging from compactness theorems to Teichmuller dynamics. The simplest example of a conical surface is that obtained by taking the quotient of a Riemann surface by a discrete group of isometries. The general geometry of such quotients is that of a smooth surface with metric singularities that arise at the points $p_{1}, \ldots p_{n}$ where the isometry group has nontrivial stabilizer. From a local perspective, these singularities can be characterized by the existence of neighborhoods around each $p_{i}$ where the metric takes the form $g=e^{2 u}|z|^{2 \beta_{i}}|d z|^{2}$. Conical surfaces are the more general class of objects- not necessarily only those that arise as quotients- that enjoy this local conical geometry near a finite set of isolated points. The points $p_{1}, \ldots p_{n}$ are referred to as cone points with corresponding cone angles $2 \pi\left(\beta_{i}+1\right)$.

A classical problem for conical surfaces is characterizing those smooth functions which arise as Gaussian curvatures of a pointwise conformal metric. Equivalently, one asks for necessary and sufficient conditions for existence of solutions to the Gaussian curvature equation of a pointwise conformal metric $\tilde{g}=e^{2 u} g$, with $g$ being some fixed background conical metric. Such equation is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=e^{-2 u}\left(K_{g}-\Delta_{g} u\right) \tag{1.0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{g}$ is the curvature of the metric $g$. In the next section, we will discuss some of the existence and non-existence results for Equation 1.0.1, due to M. Troyanov, which are
very similar in spirit to the analogous results due to Kazdan-Warner in the case of smooth Riemann surfaces. A particularly delicate case is when the conical surface is a sphere, referred to in the literature as the singular or conical Nirenberg problem. The classical Nirenberg problem asks to characterize those smooth functions on the sphere which arise as the Gaussian curvature of a metric that is pointwise conformal to the round metric of curvature 1. Even though much progress has been done over the years, the problem still remains open in full generality. In passing to the singular case, one now allows metrics in a given conformal class to have conical singularities. While there are some results on this topic in the literature, many questions remain unanswered. In this work, we propose a different approach that recovers the known sufficient condition established by Troyanov, namely, if $K$ is assumed to be strictly positive, then one can solve the singular Nirenberg problem on a conical 2 -sphere with cone angles less than $2 \pi$ and at least three cone points. In contrast to the standard variational approaches, we follow the ideas in recent work of Anderson [And17] where our methods now hinge on the degree theory of proper Fredholm operators and compactness theorems for quasi-conformal mappings. In what follows, we briefly highlight some of the results in the long history of the Nirenberg problem that either directly pertain to our work or serve as a point of contrast. We conclude this chapter with a summary of our own results on the subject and some suggestions for how to proceed in the future.

### 1.1 Prescribing Curvature on Riemann Surfaces

The problem of describing the set of possible curvatures on a given manifold has been studied extensively over the past 40 years or so. While there are numerous results for general dimension $n$ (see for instance [KW75]), in this work we restrict our attention to compact (closed), connected surfaces. On such spaces there is essentially one notion of curvature and the question then reduces to characterizing the set of possible Gaussian curvatures. Formally,
one asks for necessary and sufficient conditions for a function $K$ on a Riemann surface $\Sigma$ to arise as the Gaussian curvature of some metric $g$ on $\Sigma$.

In order to make the problem more tangible, Kazdan and Warner [KW75] propose to realize $K$ in a very specific way, by first prescribing a metric $g_{0}$ on $\Sigma$ and asking whether there exists a metric $g$ which is conformally equivalent to $g_{0}$ (or even, pointwise conformal to $g_{0}$ ) with Gaussian curvature $K$. In this context, we say two metrics $g, g_{0}$ are conformally equivalent on $\Sigma$ if there exists a diffeomorphism $\phi$ of $\Sigma$ and a smooth function $u$ such that $\phi^{*} g=e^{2 u} g_{0}$. On the other hand, $g, g_{0}$ are pointwise conformal if there exists a smooth function $u$ such that $g=e^{2 u} g_{0}$. To put it another way, the pointwise conformal case is the special case of conformal equivalence in which we take the diffeomorphism $\phi$ to be the identity.

One of the main advantages in realizing $K$ as the curvature of a pointwise metric $g=$ $e^{2 u} g_{0}$ is that now our question can be phrased in terms of finding solutions $u$ to the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u=K_{0}-K e^{2 u} \tag{1.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta$ and $K_{0}$ are the Gaussian curvature and Laplacian of the background metric $g_{0}$. On the other hand, the conformally equivalent case asks for a diffeomorphism $\phi$ such that the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u=K_{0}-(K \circ \phi) e^{2 u} \tag{1.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a solution (since then the pullback of the metric $e^{2 u} g_{0}$ by $\phi$ will have curvature $K$ ).
To address the question of necessity first, observe that the Gauss-Bonnet theorem imposes a restriction on the possible signs of $K$ : if the Euler characteristic, $\chi(\Sigma)$, is positive, then $K$ is positive somewhere, while if $\chi(\Sigma)<0, K$ is negative somewhere. Moreover, if $\chi(\Sigma)=0$ then $K$ must change sign (unless $K \equiv 0$ ). In light of this, it is natural to ask whether these sign conditions are also sufficient. In the conformal equivalent case, it turns out that this is indeed true when the Euler characteristic $\chi(\Sigma) \leq 0$. Explicitly, we have

Theorem 1.1.1. (Kazdan and Warner, 1974). Let $\Sigma$ be a compact Riemann surface and $g_{0}$ a given metric on $\Sigma$. Denote by $K_{0}$ the Gaussian curvature of $g_{0}$. Then,

1. If $\chi(\Sigma)=0$, then a smooth function $K$ is the curvature of a metric $g$ conformally equivalent to $g_{0}$ if and only if either $K$ changes sign or $K \equiv 0$
2. If $\chi(\Sigma)<0$, then a smooth function $K$ is the curvature of a metric $g$ conformally equivalent to $g_{0}$ if and only if $K$ is negative somewhere.

The proof, as many of the existing results on the topic, relies on variational methods to find a solution. In this context, the variational formulation involves studying the critical points of the functional $J$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(u)=\int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^{2} d A-K_{0} A \log \int_{\Sigma} K e^{v} d A+K_{0} \cdot A \log \left(K_{0} A\right) \tag{1.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is not difficult to show that critical points of $J$ are weak solutions of Equation 1.1.1. Using the Sobolev embedding theorem and standard elliptic regularity, one can further show that any critical point of $J$ is in fact smooth and therefore a classical solution of Equation 1.1.1. The underlying details of variational methods will lead us too far astray from our main goal, but we highlight here the main two ingredients of such an approach in this context. First, one shows the functional $J$ is bounded from below. This step has been classically dealt with using the Trudinger inequality:

Theorem 1.1.2. The Trudinger Inequality. If $M$ has dim $=2$, then there exist positive constants $\beta, \gamma$ such that for any $u \in W^{1,2}(M)$ with $\bar{u}=0$ and $|\nabla u|_{L^{2}} \leq 1$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} e^{\beta u^{2}} d A \leq \gamma \tag{1.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, with some work, it follows from the Trudinger inequality that $J$ is bounded below if $K_{0} \leq \frac{2 \beta}{A}$, where $A$ denotes the area of $\Sigma$. The second main step is to use some form of compactness criteria in order to guarantee a minimum. It turns out that in the situation where $K_{0}<\frac{2 \beta}{A}$, one can show that minimizing sequences remain in a fixed ball in
$W^{1,2}$, which is weakly compact. At this point, one can simply select a weakly converging subsequence and use standard arguments to show the existence of a solution $u \in C^{\infty}(\Sigma)$.

In the case where $K_{0}=\frac{2 \beta}{A}$, the question of compactness is much more complicated, since the functional $J$ may have no minimum (in fact, no critical points whatsoever). The optimal value of the constant $\beta$ was later found by J. Moser in his very different proof of Trudinger's inequality, where he further shows that if $M=S^{2}$ or $\mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$ then the best constant in both cases is $\beta=4 \pi$. These two cases will be discussed in more detail in the next section, where we introduce the Nirenberg problem.

### 1.1.1 The Nirenberg Problem

In 1974, L. Nirenberg asked the following question, "Is any given strictly positive function $K$ on $S^{2}$ the Gaussian curvature of some metric that is pointwise conformal to the standard metric?" In other words, can we solve equation (1.0.1) on $S^{2}$ where $g_{0}$ is the round metric of curvature 1 under the assumption that $K>0$ ?

Observe that requiring that $K$ be strictly positive is stronger than the necessary condition imposed by the Gauss-Bonnet theorem. If we asked Nirenberg's question in the conformally equivalent case, then this condition is in fact sufficient: under the assumption that $K>0$, H. Gluck [Glu72] shows that given a smooth function $f$ one can find a diffeomorphism $\phi$ of $S^{2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S^{2}}(f \circ \phi) \cdot n d A=0 \tag{1.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is the unit normal vector field. With $f=\frac{1}{K}$, one only needs Equation 1.1.5 to hold in order to prove the existence of a convex surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ whose curvature is $K \circ \phi$. Pulling back the round metric by $\phi$ then gives the desired solution.

The pointwise case is however not as straightforward. In fact, the answer to Nirenberg's original question is "no": Kazdan and Warner have shown that one can construct strictly positive functions $K$, which are known to be curvatures by Gluck's work, and cannot be realized as curvatures of a metric pointwise conformal to the round metric (see Theorem
8.8 in [KW74]). Their work led to a now well-known obstruction to existence: if $K$ is the Gaussian curvature of a metric pointwise conformal to the round metric $g_{0}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S^{2}} X(K) d A_{0}=0 \tag{1.1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any conformal Killing field $X$ on $\left(S^{2}, g_{0}\right)$. As an explicit example of this obstruction, consider the vector field $X=\nabla l$, where $l$ is any linear function on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ restricted to $\left(S^{2}, g_{0}\right)$. Then any function $K$ of the form $K=1+l$ cannot be the Gaussian curvature of a pointwise conformal metric on $\left(S^{2}, g_{0}\right)$.

Interestingly, under the further restriction that $K(x)=K(-x)$ (that is, that $K$ is also an even function), D. Koutroufiotis proved the result for $K$ sufficiently close to 1 while the general case was established by J. Moser using the methods discussed in the previous section.

What are then sufficient and necessary conditions which characterize Gaussian curvatures of pointwise conformal metrics on the 2 -sphere? This more general question has been the subject of much study and is referred to as the Nirenberg problem. The literature on the topic is vast, so we refer the interested reader to [CGY93], [CL93], [CY87], [Han90], [Ji04], [And17] for a more comprehensive story.

Moser's aforementioned work serves as a stepping stone for many of the subsequent results on the Nirenberg problem. As we hinted at previously, the difficulty here is in obtaining some sort of compactness criteria that guarantees existence of a minimum of $J$. To elaborate on this, suppose $\phi$ is a conformal transformation of $\left(S^{2}, g_{0}\right)$, where $g_{0}$ is again the round metric of curvature 1 . This means that $\phi^{*} g_{0}=e^{2 \Psi_{\phi}} g_{0}$ for some function $\Psi_{\phi} \in C^{\infty}\left(S^{2}\right)$. Therefore, if $g=e^{2 u} g_{0}$, then $\phi^{*} g=e^{2 u_{\phi}} g_{0}$, where $u_{\phi}=u \circ \phi+\Psi_{\phi}$. One can show that the functional $J$ of Equation 1.1.3 satisfies

$$
J(u)=J\left(u_{\phi}\right)
$$

In other words, $J$ is invariant under the conformal group of $\left(S^{2}, g_{0}\right)$. Moreover, since the conformal group in this case is noncompact, $J$ is in fact invariant under the action of a noncompact group. As a consequence, $J$ fails to satisfy the Palais-Smale condition, which
is a compactness-kind of condition that is usually employed in proving the existence of stationary points in the variational approach (for more details refer to [Nir01]).

One can concretely see this through the following example. Let $p \in S^{2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $\sigma_{p}: S^{2}-\{p\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be the stereographic projection from $p$. Let $\delta_{\lambda}(x)=\lambda x$ for $\lambda>0$ be a dilation of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\lambda}:=\sigma_{p}^{-1} \circ \delta_{\lambda} \circ \sigma: S^{2} \rightarrow S^{2} \tag{1.1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the composition is extended to the whole sphere by sending $p \rightarrow p$. One can compute that

$$
\phi_{\lambda}^{*} g_{0}=\Psi_{\lambda}^{2} g_{0}
$$

where

$$
\Psi_{\lambda}(x, y, z)=\frac{2 \lambda}{(1+z)+\lambda^{2}(1-z)}
$$

It follows that $\phi_{\lambda}$ is a conformal transformation for each $\lambda$. Moreover, observe that as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ the conformal factors $\Psi_{\lambda}$ will concentrate (or "bubble") at $p$ while converging to zero at all other points. In regards to $J$, we see that since these metrics arise from pulling back the round metric $g_{0}, J\left(\log \Psi_{\lambda}\right)=0$ for all $\lambda$.

Many of the existing results in the literature address the conformal invariance of the problem by directly studying this type of bubbling phenomenon. The results are rather technical and outside of the scope of our work, and we refer the reader to [CGY93], [CL93], [CL93], to mention a few.

Recently, M. Anderson has proposed a new non-variational approach to the Nirenberg problem. Since the ideas in his work are at the core of our generalization to conical singularities, we will be discussing this approach in a more technical setting in the subsequent chapters, rather than in this introductory framework.

### 1.1.2 Extension to Orbifolds and Conical Metrics

It follows from the result of Moser mentioned above on antipodally symmetric functions on $S^{2}$, that the answer to Nirenberg's original question for $\mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$ is "yes". In fact, $\mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$ is the only 2-manifold for which the conditions imposed by Gauss-Bonnet are necessary and sufficient in the pointwise conformal case. The fundamental difference between the two spaces is that by taking the quotient of $S^{2}$ and passing to $\mathbb{R P}^{2}$, one "kills" the noncompactness of the conformal group discussed above. A natural question is then how far one can extend this line of reasoning: given a subgroup of isometries of $S^{2}$, such that the quotient has compact conformal group, can we answer Nirenberg's problem?

The question can be posed for an even more general class objects that enjoy a similar local geometry and are known as conical surfaces. Many of the usual topological invariants defined for smooth surfaces extend to conical ones. For instance, one defines the generalized Euler characteristic for the conical surface $(\Sigma, g, \beta)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(\Sigma, \beta):=\chi(\Sigma)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} \tag{1.1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the smooth case, one can ask for necessary and sufficient conditions for existence of solutions to the Gaussian curvature equation of a pointwise conformal metric $\tilde{g}=e^{2 u} g$, with $g$ a conical metric representing a given divisor $\beta$. As mentioned in the introduction, this equation is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=e^{-2 u}\left(K_{g}-\Delta_{g} u\right) \tag{1.1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{g}$ is the curvature of the metric $g$. Using a variational approach, M. Troyanov proves several existence and uniqueness results for Equation 1.1.9. Once again, a particularly delicate case is when the conical surface is a sphere. We summarize in the next theorem the known results obtained by Troyanov in the case $\Sigma=S^{2}$.

Theorem 1.1.3. Suppose $\beta$ is a divisor on $S^{2}$ and $K$ is a function on $S^{2}$. Then

1. Negative Curvature: If $\sup K<0$, then there exists a unique conformal metric representing $\beta$ with Gaussian curvature $K$ if and only if $\chi\left(S^{2}, \beta\right)<0$.
2. Zero Curvature: If $K=0$, then there exists a conformal flat metric representing the divisor $\beta$ if and only if $\chi\left(S^{2}, \beta\right)=0$. The metric is unique up to homothety.

Remark. Conditions (1) and (2) in Theorem 1.1.3 imply that if $K \leq 0$ then the cone angles $\theta_{i}=2 \pi\left(\beta_{i}+1\right)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{i} \leq(2 n-4) \pi \tag{1.1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

so in particular the number $n$ of prescribed cone points must be at least 3 .
As before, the case of positive curvature is not as simple. The following result of Troyanov generalizes Moser's result for $\mathbb{R P}^{2}$ to conical spheres satisfying a special inequality.

Theorem 1.1.4. Suppose $\beta=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} p_{i}$ is a divisor on $S^{2}$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\chi\left(S^{2}, \beta\right)<\min \left(2,2 \beta_{1}+2\right) \tag{1.1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

then any function $K$ on $S^{2}$ which is positive somewhere is the curvature of a conformal conical metric $g$ representing the divisor $\beta$.

The upper bound on inequality (1.1.11) is a consequence of Trundinger's inequality in the conical case, which, as in the smooth case, plays a central role in prescribing curvature on conical surfaces via a variational approach. Since the pioneering work of Troyanov in [Tro91] several other methods have been employed. These include complex analytic ideas [Ere04], minmax theory [CM12] and recently, synthetic geometric methods when the surface is a sphere [MP19]. In the case of constant curvature, there is a complete existence theory developed over the years [Ere04; McO88; LT92; Tro91] for conical surfaces with at least three conical singularities and angles less than $2 \pi$. In particular, it has been observed by many that a necessary condition for the existence and uniqueness of such conformal conical metric of constant curvature 1 on $S^{2}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i \neq j} \beta_{i}<\beta_{j}, \text { for all } j \tag{1.1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Condition (1.1.12) has come to be known as the Troyanov condition and we refer the reader to [MW15] for a geometric interpretation.

We conclude this chapter with some examples of conical metrics on $S^{2}$ that will hopefully illuminate some of the previous discussion on this topic.

### 1.1.3 Examples of Conical Metrics on $S^{2}$

Example 1: The football. Let $\Sigma=S^{2}(1) / \mathbb{Z}_{k}$ where $\mathbb{Z}_{k}$ acts by rotations. The quotient is known as the American football and it is a topological sphere with two conical singularities, each of angle $\frac{2 \pi}{k}$. If $\bar{g}$ is the induced metric on the quotient, i.e. $\pi^{*} \bar{g}=g_{+1}$, where $\pi: S^{2} \rightarrow$ $S^{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{k}$ is the quotient map, then $\operatorname{Conf}\left(S^{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{k}, \bar{g}\right)$ is noncompact. Indeed, let $\phi_{\lambda}: S^{2} \rightarrow S^{2}$ be as in 1.1.7. The action of $\mathbb{Z}_{k}$ on $S^{2}$ can be viewed as an action of $\mathbb{Z}_{k}$ on $\mathbb{C}$ after identifying $S^{2}-p$ with the complex plane via the stereographic projection. From this point of view, for each element $[m] \in \mathbb{Z}_{k}$ we get a map $\psi_{m}(z)=\zeta^{m} \cdot z$, where $\zeta$ is a $k$ th root of unity. Then one can check that

$$
\phi_{\lambda} \circ \psi_{m}=\psi_{m} \circ \phi_{\lambda}
$$

for every $[m] \in \mathbb{Z}_{k}$. In particular, the map $\phi_{\lambda}$ descends to the quotient and it will be a conformal map of $\left(S^{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{k}, \bar{g}\right)$.

Example 2. Variation on the Football. Another way to obtain the American football of Example 1 is by cutting out two neighborhoods of say, the north and south pole and gluing back two different cones, e.g we can replace a neighborhood $U_{1}$ of the north pole by a quotient of the disk $D / \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ and a neighborhood $U_{2}$ of the south pole by the quotient $D / \mathbb{Z}_{m}$. When $n=m$, upon choosing appropriate gluing maps and metrics on the cone pieces, this space is just the quotient $S^{2} / Z_{n}$ of Example 1. In the case when $n \neq m$, we cannot represent it as a global quotient by a subgroup of isometries anymore, although we can still define
an orbifold structure. More importantly, the conformal group with respect to the induced orbifold metric is now compact.

Example 3. Double of a Spherical Triangle. Let $T$ be a spherical triangle in $S^{2}(1)$ with angles $\alpha=\frac{2 \pi}{n}, \beta=\frac{2 \pi}{m}, \gamma=\frac{2 \pi}{p}$. Construct the double of $T$ by identifying $T$ with itself via the identity. The resulting space $M$ is a topological sphere with 3 conical singularities of angles $2 \alpha, 2 \beta, 2 \gamma$. The conformal group is the dihedral group $D_{6}$.

### 1.2 OUTLINE OF THE DISSERTATION AND MAIN RESULTS

In this work we propose a new approach to the singular Nirenberg problem when there are at least three cone points and the angles are less than $2 \pi$. Using our methods we find sufficient conditions for a function $K$ to arise as the Gaussian curvature of a conformal conical metric in this setting. Specifically, we show

Theorem 1.2.1. Suppose $n \geq 3$, and $\beta=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} p_{i}$ is a divisor on $S^{2}$ satisfying the Troyanov condition (1.1.12) and there exists $i, j, k$ distinct for which $\beta_{i}, \beta_{j}, \beta_{k}$ are all distinct. Assume $\chi\left(S^{2}, \beta\right)>0$ and let $g_{\beta}$ be the unique conical metric on $S^{2}$ representing the divisor $\beta$ of Gaussian curvature $K_{\beta}=1$. Then a function $K$ on $S^{2}$ is the Gaussian curvature of a metric $g$ conformal to $g_{\beta}$ if $K$ is a positive function in $C_{\gamma}^{m, \alpha}, k \geq 2, \alpha \in(0,1)$, where $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \gamma_{i} \neq \frac{m}{\beta_{j}}, \gamma_{i}>0$ and $m$ is an integer.

The space $C_{\gamma}^{m, \alpha}$ consists of Hölder continuous functions which are $(k-2)$-times differentiable and satisfy growth conditions near the cone points that are determined by the weights $\gamma$ (for a precise definition see Chapter 2). Following the ideas in recent work of Anderson [And17], our approach is to choose appropriate Banach spaces such that the differential operator defined by (1.1.9) is a proper Fredholm map of index zero. For such operators, there is a well-defined notion of degree, and one can perform a degree count in order to study the surjectivity of this map. In establishing properness, a preliminary step is a compactness theorem for conical surfaces. Although compactness theorems for conical surfaces have been
shown in [Deb20] for the uniform topology and in [Ram18] for the $C^{m, \alpha}$ topology but angles less than $\pi$, to our knowledge no result of the form required for our proof of Thm 1.2.1 exists in the literature. Thus in Chapter 2, we show

Theorem 1.2.2. : Let $\Sigma$ be a compact Riemann surface without boundary and fix a divisor $\beta=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{j} p_{j}$ on $\Sigma$ such that $-1<\beta_{j}<0$ for all $j$. If $M_{i}=\left(\Sigma, g_{i}, \beta\right)$ is a sequence of smooth conformal conical metrics on $\Sigma$ representing the divisor $\beta$ such that there exist constants $D_{0}, \Lambda, v_{0}>0$ for which

1. $\operatorname{diam}\left(M_{i}\right) \leq D_{0}$
2. there exists $t_{0}>0$ such that vol $_{g_{i}}(B(r)) \geq v_{0}$ for every $r \leq t_{0}$
3. $\left\|K_{g_{i}}(x)\right\|_{0} \leq \Lambda$ away from the cone points

Then for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, there exists a subsequence of $\left(g_{i}\right), C^{2, \alpha}$ diffeomorphisms $F_{i}: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ and a $C_{\gamma}^{1, \alpha}$ conformal conical metric $g$ representing a divisor $\beta^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(F_{i}^{*} g_{i}\right)_{s t}-g_{s t}\right\|_{1, \alpha ; \gamma} \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $i \rightarrow \infty$, where $\beta^{\prime}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \beta_{j}^{\prime} q_{j}$ with $-1<\beta_{j}^{\prime} \leq 0, m \leq n$.

This result, however, only guarantees control of the metrics $g=e^{2 u_{i}} g_{\beta}$ in a weighted $C^{m, \alpha}$ topology modulo diffeomorphisms. In order to obtain properness of the differential operator defined by (1.3), one needs to ensure the conformal factors $u_{i}$ themselves converge on a subsequence. As in [And17], one can further show that also in the conical case we actually have control of the metrics modulo the conformal group of $g_{\beta}$, where Astala's theorem ([Ast94]) plays a central role in this step. To obtain that the conformal factors $u_{i}$ themselves converge, we rely on the fact that a conformal conical metric on a sphere with at least three cone points has compact conformal group (see Thm 3.1.4).

## Chapter 2

## A Compactness Theorem for Conical Singularities

A fundamental step in proving an existence theorem is that of finding an appropriate compactness criteria, which usually comes in the form of a priori bounds on the solutions. In our setting, such control is initially obtained, modulo diffeomorphisms, by making use of the analogs of Cheeger-Gromov and Anderson-Cheeger compactness theorems for conical surfaces. As no such results existed in the literature for conical surfaces -at the level of generality required for our analysis of the conical Nirenberg problem- we present a proof here of a precompactness theorem for conical surfaces.

We further note that the existence of an associated compactness or precompactness theorem for the class of conical Riemann surfaces with curvature, diameter and volume bounds is interesting in its own right, and independent of the results in the subsequent chapter.

### 2.1 Conformal Metrics with Conical Singularities

We begin with a formal, more technical definition of a conical surface than the one given in the introduction. Let $\Sigma$ be a compact Riemann surface without boundary and $g_{0}$ be a fixed smooth metric on $\Sigma$. Given points $p_{1}, \ldots p_{n} \in \Sigma$, set $\Sigma^{*}=\Sigma-\left\{p_{1}, \ldots p_{n}\right\}$. For $R>0$ small enough, let $B_{R}(p)$ be a geodesic ball in $\Sigma$ of radius $R$ centered at $p$ with respect to the
metric $g_{0}$ and set $B_{R}^{*}(p):=B_{R}(p)-\{p\}$. Moreover, let $\Sigma_{R}:=\Sigma-\cup_{i=1}^{n} \bar{B}_{R}\left(p_{i}\right)$, where $\bar{B}_{R}(p)$ is the closure of $B_{R}(p)$ in $\Sigma$.

Definition 2.1.1. Given points $p_{1}, \ldots p_{n}$ on the Riemann surface $\Sigma$, we say a smooth function $\rho: \Sigma^{*} \rightarrow(0,1]$ is a radius function on $\left(\Sigma^{*}, g_{0}\right)$, if $\rho(z) \equiv 1$ on $\Sigma_{R}$ and $\rho(z)=O(|z|)$ in isothermal coordinates $z$ on each $B_{R}\left(p_{i}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. We further define $\rho^{\gamma}$ for $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}\right)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ as follows

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho^{\gamma}=\rho^{\gamma_{i}} \text { on } B_{R}\left(p_{i}\right) \\
\rho^{\gamma} \equiv 1 \text { otherwise }
\end{gathered}
$$

Moreover, for $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we define $\gamma+a:=\left(\gamma_{1}+a, \ldots \gamma_{n}+a\right)$.
Definition 2.1.2. Given a divisor $\beta=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} p_{i}$ on $\left(\Sigma, g_{0}\right)$, we say $g_{\beta}$ is a conical metric on ( $\Sigma, g_{0}$ ) representing the divisor $\beta$ if there exists a radius function $\rho: \Sigma^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
g_{\beta}=\rho^{2} g_{0}
$$

where $\rho$ is smooth and positive outside of the set of cone points $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}$ and if $z$ is a holomorphic coordinate in a neighborhood of $p_{i}$ such that $z\left(p_{i}\right)=0$, then $\rho(z)=O\left(|z|^{\beta_{i}}\right)$ as $z \rightarrow 0$. We say $g$ is a conical conformal metric on $(\Sigma, \rho)$ if there exists a smooth positive function $u: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=e^{2 u} g_{\beta} \tag{2.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{\beta}$ is a conical metric on $\Sigma$ representing the divisor $\beta$.
The pair $\left(\Sigma, g_{\beta}\right)$ will be referred to as a conical surface for brevity, where $g_{\beta}$ is always assumed to be a conical metric on $\left(\Sigma, g_{0}\right)$ representing the divisor $\beta$ unless otherwise specified.

Any conformal conical metric has an associated curvature function defined on the complement of the cone points. This curvature function $K$ is just the Gaussian curvature of the smooth metric on $\Sigma-\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}$. In fact, if we write $g_{\beta}=e^{2 v} g_{0}$ for a conformal conical metric representing the divisor $\beta$, then $K$ can be defined such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K d A=d A_{1}-d * d v \tag{2.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d A_{1}$ is the area element for the metric $g_{0}, d A$ the area element for the conformal conical metric and ${ }^{*}$ is the Hodge star operator on forms: in the coordinate $z$

$$
* d v=-i\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial z}\right) d z+i\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial \bar{z}}\right) d \bar{z}
$$

Moreover, we can explicitly compute the Gaussian curvature in a neighborhood of any given point as follows: by the uniformization theorem, for any point $p \in \Sigma$ we can find a neighborhood of $p$ and a holomorphic coordinate $z$ such that $g_{0}=e^{2 \psi}|d z|^{2}$ for some $\psi>0$ and smooth. Hence, locally, we can assume that conformal conical metrics $g_{\beta}$ can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\beta}=e^{2 u}|z|^{2 \beta}|d z|^{2} \tag{2.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\beta \in(-1,0]$ which depends on $p$.
Lemma 2.1.3. If $z$ is a holomorphic coordinate in a neighborhood of $p \in\left(S^{2}, g\right)$ such that $z(p)=0$ and the conformal conical metric $g=e^{2 u}|z|^{2 \beta}|d z|^{2}$, then the Gaussian curvature $K_{g}$ of $g$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{g}=-\frac{e^{-2 u} \Delta u}{|z|^{2 \beta}} \tag{2.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \neq 0$.
Proof. Let $f(z)=2\left(u+\log |z|^{\beta}\right)$. By rewriting $g=e^{2 f}|d z|^{2}$, we compute that the scalar curvature of $g$ is

$$
R_{g}=e^{-2 f}(-2 \Delta f)=e^{-2 f}\left(-2 \Delta\left(u(z)+\log |z|^{\beta}\right)\right)
$$

where $\Delta$ is the laplacian with respect to the flat metric $|d z|^{2}$. Now observe that

$$
\Delta \log |z|=0
$$

Indeed, $\Delta \log |z|=0$ if and only if $\Delta \log |z|^{2}=0$. Since $\Delta=4 \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta \log |z|^{2} & =4 \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}} \log z \bar{z} \\
& =4 \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\frac{1}{|z|^{2}} z\right) \\
& =4 \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\frac{1}{z \bar{z}} z\right)=4 \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
R_{g}=e^{-2 f}(-2 \Delta u)=e^{-2 u}|z|^{-2 \beta}(-2 \Delta u)
$$

Since the Gaussian curvature $K_{g}=\frac{R_{g}}{2}$, this gives the result.
One can further prove a corresponding Gauss-Bonnet theorem for conical surfaces, which we record below for completeness.

Theorem 2.1.4. If $\left(\Sigma, g_{\beta}\right)$ is a conical surface with Gaussian curvature $K$ and area element $d A$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Sigma} K d A=2 \pi \chi(\Sigma)+2 \pi \sum \beta_{i}=2 \pi \chi(\Sigma, \beta) \tag{2.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.2 Compactness Theorems for Conical Surfaces

To begin, a chart $H$ will be referred to as an isothermal chart if there exists a holomorphic coordinate $z$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H^{-1}\right)^{*} g=e^{2 \phi(z)} \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left|z-z^{j}\right|^{2 \alpha_{j}}|d z|^{2} \tag{2.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that the existence of such charts is guaranteed by the uniformization theorem.
In order to directly apply our results in the next chapter, we will work with weighted Hölder spaces, which we define here as follows. For $g_{\beta}$ a conical metric on $\left(\Sigma, g_{0}\right)$ representing a divisor $\beta$ and $\rho$ a radius function on $\left(\Sigma^{*}, g_{0}\right)$ such that $g_{\beta}=\rho^{2 \beta} g_{0}$, if $u \in C_{l o c}^{k}\left(\Sigma^{*}\right)$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{C_{\gamma}^{k}}:=\sum_{j=0}^{k} \sup _{x \in \Sigma^{*}}\left|\rho(x)^{-\gamma+j} \nabla^{j} u(x)\right| \tag{2.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the space of $C_{\gamma}^{k, \alpha}(\Sigma, \beta)$ functions on $(\Sigma, \beta)$ to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\gamma}^{k, \alpha}(\Sigma, \beta)=\left\{u \in C_{l o c}^{k}\left(\Sigma^{*}\right):\|u\|_{k, \alpha ; \gamma}<\infty\right\} \tag{2.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the norm $\|\cdot\|_{k, \alpha ; \gamma}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{k, \alpha ; \gamma}:=\|u\|_{C_{\gamma}^{k, \alpha}}=\|u\|_{C_{\gamma}^{k}}+\left[\nabla^{k} u\right]_{\alpha, \gamma-k} \tag{2.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\nabla^{k} u\right]_{\alpha ; \gamma}=\sup _{x \neq y, d(x, y)<i n j(x)} \min \left(\rho(x)^{-\gamma}, \rho(y)^{-\gamma}\right) \frac{\left|\nabla^{k} u(x)-\nabla^{k} u(y)\right|}{d(x, y)^{\alpha}} \tag{2.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known that the normed spaces $\left(C_{\gamma}^{k, \alpha},\|\cdot\|_{k, \alpha ; \gamma}\right)$ are Banach for any $\gamma$ (see for instance [Pac06]). One can further define the weighted Sobolev spaces $W_{\gamma}^{k, p}$, as in [Beh11]. Next, we introduce the notion of the isothermal radius, which plays the same role as that of the harmonic radius in [And90a; AC92].

Definition 2.2.1. The Isothermal Radius: Let $(\Sigma, g, \beta)$ be a complete Riemann surface without boundary with $g$ a conformal conical metric on $\Sigma$ representing the divisor $\beta=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} p_{i}$. Let $x \in \Sigma$. Given a constant $C>1, \alpha \in(0,1), \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we define the isothermal radius $r_{I}=r_{I}(g, x, C, \alpha, \gamma)$ as the largest number such that on the geodesic ball $B\left(x, r_{I}(x)\right)$ there exists an isothermal coordinate chart $H: B\left(x, r_{I}(x)\right) \rightarrow B_{0}(R) \subset \mathbb{C}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H^{-1}\right)^{*} g=e^{2 \phi(z)} \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left|z-z^{j}\right|^{2 \alpha_{j}}|d z|^{2} \tag{2.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z$ is a holomorphic coordinate on $B_{0}(R), z^{j}=H\left(p_{j}\right)$ correspond to cone points, $1 \leq m \leq n,-1<\alpha_{j}$ and $\phi(z): B_{0}(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth and satisfies

A1. $\frac{1}{C} \leq \phi(z) \leq C$
B1. $\sum_{0 \leq|\mu| \leq 1} r_{I}^{-\gamma+|\mu|} \sup _{x}\left|\partial^{\mu} \phi(x)\right|+\sum_{|\mu|=1} r_{I}^{-\gamma+\alpha+1} \sup _{x \neq y} \frac{\left|\partial^{\mu} \phi(x)-\partial^{\mu} \phi(y)\right|}{d(x, y)^{\alpha}} \leq C-1$
We also define the isothermal radius of $(\Sigma, \beta, g)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{I}(\Sigma)=\inf _{x \in \Sigma} r_{I}(x) \tag{2.2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that $\alpha_{j}$ either coincide with the $\beta_{j}$ or are zero in neighborhoods with no conical points. In the following lemma we prove properties of the isothermal radius that will be needed for the upcoming blow-up argument in the proof of Theorem 2.2.6. All of these facts are true for the harmonic radius and the proofs presented here are essentially the same as in the works of [And90a; AC92; HH97], only slightly modified to fit our setting.

Lemma 2.2.2. Let $(\Sigma, \beta, g)$ be a Riemann surface with a conformal conical metric $g$ representing the divisor $\beta$ and let $r_{I}: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the isothermal radius. Then the following hold

1. $r_{I}(x)$ is positive and pointwise continuous on $\Sigma$
2. If $F:(\Sigma, g) \rightarrow\left(\Sigma^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)$ is an isometry, then

$$
F^{*} r_{I}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)=r_{I}(\Sigma)
$$

3. $r_{I}$ scales as the distance: $r_{I}\left(\lambda^{2} g, x\right)=\lambda r_{I}(g, x)$

Proof. Proof of (1). For the positivity, observe that for any $x \in \Sigma$ we can find a $0 \geq \mu>-1$, a neighborhood $U$ of $x$ and a holomorphic coordinate $z: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $g=e^{2 \phi}|z|^{2 \mu}|d z|^{2}$ for some smooth $\phi(z): U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Clearly, if $x$ is any of the cone points, such coordinates exist by definition once we choose $\mu=\frac{\theta}{2 \pi}$ where $\theta$ is the cone angle. If on the other hand $x$ is a smooth point, then such coordinates are guaranteed by the Uniformization Theorem: we can find a neighborhood $U$ of $x$ and a holomorphic coordinate $z: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $g=e^{2 \phi}|d z|^{2}$. Finally observe that conditions $A 1, B 1$ always hold on a fixed conical surface in a given isothermal chart.

For the continuity, given any $x$ close enough to $y$, we can find a ball of radius $a$ centered at $x$ which contains all the cone points in $B\left(y, r_{I}(y)\right)$ and is contained in $B\left(y, r_{I}(y)\right)$. Therefore if $z$ is a holomorphic coordinate in $B\left(y, r_{I}(y)\right)$ such that

$$
g=e^{2 \phi(z)} \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left|z-z_{i}\right|^{2 \alpha_{i}}|d z|^{2}
$$

with $\phi(z)$ satisfying conditions $A 1, B 1$ of Definition 2.2 .1 , by restricting the coordinates $z$ to $B(x, a)$, we get a holomorphic coordinate on this ball such that in this coordinate the same function $\phi(z)$ still satisfies conditions $A 1, B 1$ for the same $C, \alpha, \gamma$. Therefore $a \leq r_{I}(x)$. Using this one can directly show

$$
\left|r_{I}(y)-r_{I}(x)\right|=\left|a+d(x, y)-r_{I}(x)\right| \leq \epsilon
$$

as wanted.
Proof of (2). We will actually prove something stronger than the statement of (2): computing the isothermal radius with respect to $g^{\prime}$ at a point $F(x)$ gives the same result as computing the isothermal radius with respect to $g$ at the point $x$. To begin, fix $x \in \Sigma$ and let $H^{\prime}: B\left(F(x), r_{I} F(x)\right) \rightarrow B_{0}(R)$ be an isothermal coordinate chart where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H^{\prime-1}\right)^{*} g^{\prime}=e^{2 \phi(z)} \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left|z-z_{i}\right|^{2 \alpha_{i}}|d z|^{2} \tag{2.2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\phi(z)$ satisfies conditions $A 1, B 1$ of Definition 2.2.1. The set $U=F^{-1}\left(B\left(F(x), r_{I} F(x)\right)\right)$ is open in $\Sigma$ and contains $x$. If $B(x, R)$ is the largest geodesic ball centered at $x$ which is still contained in $U$, then $H:=H^{\prime} \circ F: B(x, R) \rightarrow B_{0}(R)$ is an isothermal coordinate chart for the metric $g$ on $B(x, R)$. Moreover, the metric $g$ on the ball $B(x, R)$ has the form (2.2.6) above, where the conformal factor can be computed to be $2 \phi(F(z))+\|D F\|^{2}$ and satisfies the bounds $A 1, B 1$ in these coordinates since $\|D F\|_{\left(F^{-1}\right)^{*} g}=1$. We have thus found a ball centered at $x$ in which all the conditions of the definition of the isothermal radius are satisfied, so we conclude that its radius $R \leq r_{I}(x)$. In particular, $r_{I}\left(F(x), g^{\prime}\right) \leq r_{I}(x, g)$. To obtain the opposite inequality, we just follow the same argument with $F^{-1}$ in place of $F$. Proof of (3). We want to show that $r_{I}\left(\lambda^{2} g, x\right)=\lambda r_{I}(g, x)$ for any nonzero $\lambda$. Start with a conical metric $g$ and after having chosen a holomorphic coordinate for which we can write the metric $g$ as in (2.2.6) of Definition 2.2.1, with $\phi(z)$ satisfying the bounds $A 1, B 1$, it is straightforward to check that the metric $\lambda^{2} g$ satisfies the same bounds in the coordinates $w=\lambda z$.

We now turn to the question of convergence. For $k \geq 2, \alpha \in(0,1), \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we say a conformal conical metric $g$ on $\left(\Sigma, g_{0}\right)$ representing the divisor $\beta$ is of class $C_{\gamma}^{k, \alpha}$ if in an isothermal chart the coefficients $g_{i j}$ of $g$ are bounded in $C_{\gamma}^{k, \alpha}$. Moreover, a sequence of conformal conical metrics $\left(\Sigma, g_{i}, \beta\right)$ of class $C_{\gamma}^{k, \alpha}$ converges in $C_{\gamma}^{k, \alpha}$ to a surface $\left(\Sigma^{\prime}, g\right)$ provided that there exists a sequence of $C^{k+1, \alpha}$ diffeomorphisms $F_{i}: \Sigma^{\prime} \rightarrow \Sigma$ such that for
all $i$ large enough

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(F_{i}^{*} g_{i}\right)-g\right\|_{k, \alpha ; \gamma} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

in any chart of a $C^{\infty}$ subatlas of the complete $C^{\infty}$ atlas of $\Sigma$. The following lemma addresses the continuity of the isothermal radius in the $C_{\gamma}^{1, \alpha}$ topology.

Lemma 2.2.3. For $\alpha \in(0,1), \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, the isothermal radius is continuous under $C_{\gamma}^{1, \alpha}$ convergence of a sequence of conical metrics $\left(\Sigma, g_{i}, \beta\right)$ representing the divisor $\beta$.

Proof. Let $\left(\Sigma, g_{i}, \beta\right)$ be a sequence of conformal conical metrics on $\Sigma$ representing the divisor $\beta$ and $x \in \Sigma$. As before, $\Sigma^{*}=\Sigma-\left\{p_{1}, \ldots p_{n}\right\}$, where $p_{1}, \ldots p_{n}$ are the cone points. Fix $\alpha \in(0,1), \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Assume that the sequence $\left(g_{i}\right)$ converges in $C_{\gamma}^{1, \alpha}$ to a $C_{\gamma}^{1, \alpha}$ metric $g$ on $\Sigma$. By the continuity of the isothermal radius we mean explicitly that the following two inequalities hold: given $C>1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{I}(g, x, C) \geq \limsup _{i \rightarrow \infty} r_{I}\left(g_{i}, x, C\right) \tag{2.2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for any $0<\epsilon<C-1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{I}(g, x, C-\epsilon) \leq \liminf _{i \rightarrow \infty} r_{I}\left(g_{i}, x, C\right) \tag{2.2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For simplicity, set $r_{i}:=r_{I}\left(g_{i}, x, C\right)$ and let $H_{i}: B\left(x, r_{i}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, H_{i}(y)=\left(H_{i}^{1}(y), H_{i}^{2}(y)\right)=$ $z_{i} \in \mathbb{C}$ be isothermal coordinate charts in which the metrics $g_{i}$ satisfy $A 1, B 1$ of Definition 2.2.1.

We begin with some preliminary claims, the first being that for any $r \leq \lim \sup r_{i}$, a subsequence of the isothermal charts $H_{i}$ converges in $C^{2, \alpha}$ to an isothermal chart $H: B(x, r) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{C}$, where $B(x, r)$ is a geodesic ball for the metric $g$. To this end, suppose $\left(x_{1}(y), x_{2}(y)\right) \in \mathbb{C}$ is any given local coordinate chart on $B(x, r)$. Observe that it is implicit in the fact that the charts $H_{i}$ are isothermal that the coordinate functions $H_{i}^{k}$, for $k=1,2$ are harmonic. In other words,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g_{i}\right)^{s t} \frac{\partial^{2} H_{i}^{k}}{\partial x_{s} \partial x_{t}}=\left(g_{i}\right)^{s t}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)_{s t}^{l} \frac{\partial H_{i}^{k}}{\partial x_{l}} \tag{2.2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(g_{i}\right)^{s t}$ are the components of $g_{i}$ in the coordinates $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)_{s t}^{l}$ are the Christoffel symbols for $g_{i}$ in these coordinates. Now, condition $A 1$ of Definition (2.2.1) implies that in the charts $H_{i}$, the components of the metrics $g_{i}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{C} \prod_{j=1}^{m_{i}}\left|z_{i}-z_{i}^{j}\right|^{\alpha_{j}} \delta_{k l} \leq\left(g_{i}\right)_{k l} \leq C \prod_{j=1}^{m_{i}}\left|z_{i}-z_{i}^{j}\right|^{\alpha_{j}} \delta_{k l} \tag{2.2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we write $z_{i}=H_{i}^{1}+i H_{i}^{2}$ and the inequality holds as bilinear forms. It then follows from (2.2.13) and the fact that the metrics converge in $C_{\gamma}^{1, \alpha}$ that the charts $H_{i}$ are bounded in $C^{1}$ on $\Sigma^{*}$. Using standard elliptic estimates for (2.2.12) (see [GT83], for instance), we obtain that for each $k=1,2$, the sequence $\left(H_{i}^{k}\right)$ is bounded in $C^{2, \alpha}\left(\Sigma^{*}\right)$. Therefore, by the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, we have that for each $k=1,2$, the sequences $\left(H_{i}^{k}\right)$ converge weakly on a subsequence in $C^{2, \alpha^{\prime}}$ on $\Sigma^{*}$ for $\alpha^{\prime} \leq \alpha$. In fact, repeating this argument for $H_{q}^{k}-H_{n}^{k}$ in place of $H_{i}^{k}$ one can see that for each $k=1,2$, the sequences $\left(H_{i}^{k}\right)$ are in fact Cauchy. Therefore, they converge strongly on a subsequence in $C_{l o c}^{2, \alpha}$ to a limiting map $H: B(x, r)-\left\{p_{1}, \ldots p_{m}\right\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where $H_{i}\left(p_{j}\right)=z^{j}$ for all $i$. Since the property of being an isothermal chart is preserved under $C^{2, \alpha}$ convergence, $H$ is an isothermal chart for the metric $g$. Moreover, since there are finitely many cone points, by passing to a subsequence, we may assume $m_{i}$ in (2.2.13) is independent of $i$ and $z_{i}^{j}=z^{j}$ for all $i$. Therefore, $g$ can be written in the chart given by $H$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H^{-1}\right)^{*} g=e^{2 \phi(z)} \prod_{j=1}^{m}\left|z-z^{j}\right|^{\alpha_{j}}|d z|^{2} \tag{2.2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi(z)$ is a smooth function that satisfies conditions $A 1, B 1$ of Definition 2.2.1. Hence for any $r \leq \limsup r_{i}$, a subsequence of the isothermal charts $H_{i}$ converges in $C^{2, \alpha}$ to an isothermal chart $H: B(x, r) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for the metric $g$. Observe that this argument also shows that if a sequence of conformal conical metrics representing a fixed divisor $\beta$ converges in $C_{\gamma}^{1, \alpha}$ to a metric $g$, then $g$ has at most as many cone points as the sequence $g_{i}$ and no other types of singularities.

Our second preliminary claim is that if $(\Sigma, g, \beta)$ is any complete Riemann surface without
boundary with a conformal conical metric $g$ representing the divisor $\beta, x \in \Sigma, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, then for any $1 \leq C^{\prime} \leq C<\infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{I}\left(C^{\prime}\right)(x) \leq r_{I}(C)(x) \tag{2.2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for any $C>1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} r_{I}(C+\epsilon)(x)=r_{I}(C)(x) \tag{2.2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first inequality follows from the definition, hence to prove the claim, it's enough to show that for any $C>1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} r_{I}(C+\epsilon, x) \leq r_{I}(C, x) \tag{2.2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $r<\limsup r_{I}(C+\epsilon, x)$. For a decreasing sequence of $\epsilon>0$ converging to 0 , there are isothermal coordinate charts $H_{\epsilon}$ on $B_{x}(r)$ satisfying conditions $A 1, B 1$ of Definition 2.2.1 with $C+\epsilon$ in place of $C$ and $r$ in place of $r_{I}$. By the same arguments as above, we get that a subsequence of $H_{\epsilon}$ converges in $C_{l o c}^{2, \alpha}$ to a limiting chart $H$. As before, the bounds $A 1, B 1$ are preserved under $C^{2, \alpha}$ convergence, hence $r_{I}(C, x) \geq r$. Since $r<\limsup r_{I}(C+\epsilon, x)$ was arbitrary, this proves the claim.

We're now ready to prove the first inequality (2.2.10). As before, let $r_{i}=r_{I}\left(g_{i}\right)$. We may suppose $\lim \sup r_{i}>0$. The arguments above show that convergence of the metrics in $C_{\gamma}^{1, \alpha}$ implies convergence of the isothermal charts $H_{i}$ in $C_{l o c}^{2, \alpha}$. Once again, the bounds $A 1, B 1$ are preserved, so that $r_{I}(g, C) \geq r$ for any $r \leq \lim \sup r_{i}$. Therefore we get the first inequality $r_{I}(g, C) \geq \lim \sup r_{I}\left(g_{i}, C\right)$.

Now fix $r<r_{I}(g, C)$. To obtain the second inequality (2.2.11), let $H: B(x, r) \rightarrow B_{0}$ be an isothermal coordinate chart for $g$, so that $\left(H^{-1}\right)^{*} g=e^{2 \phi(z)} \prod\left|z-z^{j}\right|^{2 \alpha_{j}}|d z|^{2}$, with $z$ a holomorphic coordinate on $B_{0} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Let $\Delta_{i}$ be the Laplace operator for the metric $g_{i}$. In the coordinate $z$, the Laplacian for the metrics $g_{i}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{i}=e^{-2\left(\phi_{i}(z)+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_{j} \log \left|z-z^{j}\right|\right)} \Delta \tag{2.2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta$ is the Euclidean laplacian. As observed before, we may assume the cone points $z^{j}$ are the same for each $i$ after passing to a subsequence. Now, if $w_{i}$ are solutions to

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{i} w_{i} & =0 \text { on } B  \tag{2.2.19}\\
w_{i} & =z \text { on } \partial B \tag{2.2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

then the functions $u_{i}:=z-w_{i}$ are harmonic and vanish on the boundary of $B$. Since, for each $i$, the function $z$ also solves the boundary value problem (2.22-2.23) it follows from uniqueness that in fact $u_{i}=0$. Therefore, $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{2, \alpha}=0$ and we have that for any compact subset $B^{\prime} \subset B$ and for any $i, H$ is an isothermal coordinate chart for $g_{i}$. Now, since the metrics $g_{i}$ converge to $g$ in $C_{\gamma}^{1, \alpha}, H$ is an isothermal coordinate chart for $g_{i}$ in which the bounds of Definition 2.2 .1 are satisfied with constants $C_{i} \rightarrow C$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Using (2.2.15), (2.2.16), we have that for any $\epsilon>0$

$$
r \leq \liminf r_{I}\left(g_{i}, C_{i}\right) \leq \liminf r_{I}\left(g_{i}, C+\epsilon\right)
$$

Since $r \leq r_{I}(g, C)$ was arbitrary, this ends the proof of the second inequality.

An important property of the harmonic radius in the smooth case is that Euclidean space has infinite harmonic radius. The isothermal radius satisfies an analogous condition, but in this case the model space is a flat Riemann surface $M$ with finitely many cone points and angles less than $2 \pi$, which is noncompact, complete and of quadratic area growth, in the sense that for any $x \in M$ and any $r>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{V} r^{2} \leq \operatorname{vol}(B(r, x)) \leq V r^{2} \tag{2.2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.2.4. Any noncompact conical surface with a finite number of conical singularities and angles less than $2 \pi$ which is flat, complete and of quadratic area growth has infinite isothermal radius.

Proof. Suppose $M$ is a complete flat conical surface of quadratic area growth, so that there exist $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$ in $M$ such that near each $p_{i}$ we can find a coordinate $z$ and a harmonic
function $u$ with $g=e^{2 u}\left|z-z_{i}\right|^{2 \beta_{i}}|d z|^{2}$ where $z_{i}=z\left(p_{i}\right)$ and (2.2.21) is satisfied. If we smooth out the singularities $p_{i}$, the resulting surface $M^{\prime}$ is still noncompact and complete. Moreover, since the cone angles are less than $2 \pi$, the curvature can only increase upon smoothing the singularities, thus $M^{\prime}$ has Gaussian curvature $K \geq 0$. It further follows from the volume comparison theorem of Bishop-Gromov [Pet16] that if the original (singular) surface has quadratic area growth, then any smoothing $M^{\prime}$ has at most quadratic area growth.

Now fix a smoothing $M^{\prime}$ of $M$ and let $\tilde{M}$ be its universal cover. Since $M^{\prime}$ is complete and noncompact, the pullback of the metric on $M^{\prime}$ to $\tilde{M}$ by the covering map makes $\tilde{M}$ into a simply connected, complete, noncompact surface with Gaussian curvature $K \geq 0$. A complete surface is hyperbolic if it admits a positive Green's function. On the other hand, a theorem of Yau [Yau75] (see also [BF42]) asserts that a complete surface of nonnegative Gaussian curvature admits no non-constant positive superharmonic functions. Thus $\tilde{M}$ cannot be hyperbolic and by the uniformization theorem, we have $\tilde{M}$ is parabolic, i.e. it is conformally equivalent to the complex plane.

We actually claim that $M^{\prime}$ is simply connected, so that $M^{\prime}$ is parabolic. By BishopGromov again, the universal cover $\tilde{M}$ of $M^{\prime}$ has at most quadratic area growth. Moreover, since $M^{\prime}$ has at least quadratic area growth, by Proposition 1.2 in [And90b] we then have that $M^{\prime}$ is the quotient of $\tilde{M}$ by a finite group of isometries $\Gamma$. Since $M^{\prime}$ is smooth, $\Gamma$ must be trivial.

Since smoothing out the singularities doesn't change the topology or the conformal structure, $M$ is also simply connected and parabolic. Therefore, there exists a global coordinate $z$ such that the metric on $M$ has the form $e^{2 v}|d z|^{2}$. Since $M$ has conical singularities, $e^{2 v}=e^{2 u} \prod\left|z-z_{i}\right|^{2 \alpha_{i}}$. Hence, there exist global coordinates on $M$ for which the metric has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=e^{2 u} \prod\left|z-z_{i}\right|^{2 \alpha_{i}}|d z|^{2} \tag{2.2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u$ is harmonic. At this point, we have shown that a noncompact, complete, flat conical surface with cone angles less than $2 \pi$ and quadratic area growth is conformally equivalent
to the complex plane. Our final claim is that the function $u$ in (2.2.22) has to be constant. To see this, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\prod\left|z-z_{i}\right|^{-\alpha_{i}} g \tag{2.2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ is the metric in (2.2.22). In other words, $h=e^{2 u}|d z|^{2}$. Since $g$ is flat by assumption, the function $u$ is harmonic. Therefore, the Gaussian curvature $K_{h}$ of $h$ satisfies $K_{h}=$ $e^{-2 u} \Delta u=0$. On the other hand, since the cone angles are less than $2 \pi, \alpha_{i}<0$ for all $i$. Therefore, if $\gamma$ is any $C^{1}$ curve, then far away from the cone points,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int h(\dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t))^{\frac{1}{2}} d t=\int \prod\left|z(\gamma(t))-z_{i}(\gamma(t))\right|^{\frac{-\alpha_{i}}{2}} g(\dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t))^{\frac{1}{2}} d t \geq \int g(\dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t))^{\frac{1}{2}} d t \tag{2.2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the inequality follows since $\prod\left|z(\gamma(t))-z_{i}(\gamma(t))\right|^{\frac{-\alpha_{i}}{2}} \gg 1$ whenever $\left|z(\gamma(t))-z_{i}(\gamma(t))\right| \gg$ 1. The assumption that $g$ is complete together with (2.2.24) now imply that $h$ is complete.

Now, let $F: T_{0} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the exponential map of the origin for the metric $h$. By the above arguments, the smooth metric $h$ is flat and complete, so by Cartan-Hadarmard's theorem (see [Pet16], Thm 22) the exponential map $F$ is in fact a diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$ that satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{*} h=e^{2 u(0)}|d z|^{2} \tag{2.2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words,

$$
F^{*} h=e^{u \circ F}|\partial F+\bar{\partial} F|^{2}=e^{u \circ F}\left(|\partial F|^{2}+|\bar{\partial} F|^{2}+2 R e \partial F \bar{\partial} F\right)=e^{2 u(0)}|d z|^{2}
$$

The last equality implies that either $\partial F=0$ or $\bar{\partial} F=0$, and since the orientation of the tangent space is the same as the base manifold, we must have that $\bar{\partial} F=0$, so $F$ is holomorphic. A standard result in complex analysis is that holomorphic diffeomorphisms of the plane are affine linear maps. Given that $F$ preserves the origin (it is the exponential map at the origin), we conclude that it must be of the form

$$
F(\zeta)=c \zeta
$$

for some $c \neq 0$. But then $e^{u \circ F}=e^{u 0(c \zeta)}=e^{2 u(0)}$, so $u$ has to be constant.
The sequence of arguments above now show that $M$ admits global coordinates for which the metric has the form

$$
g=C \prod\left|z-z_{i}\right|^{2 \alpha_{i}}|d z|^{2}
$$

from which it follows that the isothermal radius must be infinite.

The following is a generalization of $C^{1, \alpha}$ convergence to weighted $C_{\gamma}^{1, \alpha}$ convergence of conical metrics on Riemann surfaces.

Theorem 2.2.5. Let $M_{i}=\left(\Sigma, g_{i}, \beta\right)$ be a sequence of complete, conformal conical surfaces with metrics $g_{i}$ representing the divisor $\beta$. Let $\left\{x_{i}\right\} \in M_{i}$ be a sequence of points. Given $\Lambda>0, C>1, \alpha \in(0,1)$, suppose that

1. for any $i,\left\|K\left(g_{i}\right)\right\|_{0} \leq \Lambda$ away from the cone points
2. there exists $r>0$ such that for any sequence of points $\left(y_{i}\right)$ in $M_{i}$ there is an isothermal chart $H_{i}: \Omega_{n} \rightarrow B_{0}(r)$ where $\Omega_{i}$ is some open set in $M_{i}$ and $B_{0}(r) \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that for any $i$, there exists $\phi_{i}$ smooth, with $\frac{1}{C} \leq \phi_{i}(z) \leq C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H_{i}^{-1}\right)^{*} g_{i}=e^{2 \phi_{i}(z)} \prod_{j=1}^{m}\left|z-z_{j}\right|^{2 \alpha_{j}}|d z|^{2} \tag{2.2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z$ are holomorphic coordinates on $B_{0}(r)$ and $z_{j}=H_{n}\left(p_{j}\right)$ correspond to the cone points $p_{j}$
3. for $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, a subsequence of $\left(H_{i}^{-1}\right)^{*} g_{i}$ converges in $C_{\gamma}^{1, \alpha}$ on $B_{0}(r)$

Then there exists a complete Riemannian manifold $M$ of class $C^{2, \alpha}$, there exists a conformal conical metric $g$ of class $C_{\gamma}^{1, \alpha}$ and a point $x \in M$ such that the following holds: for any compact domain $D \subset M$ with $x \in D$ there exist, up to passing to a subsequence, compact domains $D_{i} \subset M_{i}$ with points $x_{i} \in D_{i}$ and $C^{2, \alpha}$ diffeomorphisms $\Phi_{n}: D \rightarrow D_{i}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left(\Phi_{i}^{-1}\right)\left(x_{i}\right)=x \tag{2.2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Phi_{i}^{*} g_{i}$ converges in $C_{\gamma}^{1, \alpha}$ in any chart of the induced $C^{2, \alpha}$ complete atlas of $D$.

Proof. The proof is exactly as in the smooth case (see [HH97; Pet87] and [And90a] for a summarized version) since conical surfaces are considered to have singularities only in the metric sense, as can be seen from the local coordinate expression in (2.2.26) above.

Theorem 2.2.6. : Let $\Sigma$ be a compact Riemann surface without boundary and fix a divisor $\beta=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{j} p_{j}$ on $\Sigma$ such that $-1<\beta_{j}<0$ for all $j$. If $M_{i}=\left(\Sigma, g_{i}, \beta\right)$ is a sequence of smooth conformal conical metrics on $\Sigma$ representing the divisor $\beta$ such that there exist constants $D_{0}, \Lambda, v_{0}>0$ for which

1. $\operatorname{diam}\left(M_{i}\right) \leq D_{0}$
2. there exists $t_{0}>0$ such that $\operatorname{vol}_{g_{i}}(B(r)) \geq v_{0}$ for every $r \leq t_{0}$
3. $\left\|K_{g_{i}}(x)\right\|_{0} \leq \Lambda$ away from the cone points

Then for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, there exists a subsequence of $\left(g_{i}\right), C^{2, \alpha}$ diffeomorphisms $F_{i}: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ and a $C_{\gamma}^{1, \alpha}$ conformal conical metric $g$ representing a divisor $\beta^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(F_{i}^{*} g_{i}\right)_{s t}-g_{s t}\right\|_{1, \alpha ; \gamma} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $i \rightarrow \infty$, where $\beta^{\prime}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \beta_{j}^{\prime} q_{j}$ with $-1<\beta_{j}^{\prime} \leq 0, m \leq n$.

Proof. The first part of the proof is a blow-up argument to show that, under the hypotheses of the theorem, there is a uniform lower bound on the isothermal radius. So to begin, let $D_{0}, \Lambda, v_{0}$ be positive constants as in the statement of the theorem and $\alpha \in(0,1)$. Given a conical Riemann surface $(\Sigma, g, \beta)$ and $C, t_{0}>0$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|K\|_{0} \leq \Lambda  \tag{2.2.30}\\
\operatorname{vol}_{g}(B(r)) \geq v_{0}>0 \forall r \leq t_{0} \tag{2.2.31}
\end{gather*}
$$

we show that there exists $r_{0}=r_{0}\left(\Lambda, v_{0}\right)>0$ such that for every $x \in B(r)$ and any $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r_{I}(x, C, \alpha)}{d_{g}(x, \partial B(r))} \geq r_{0}>0 \tag{2.2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{I}(x, C, p)$ is as usual the isothermal radius. Indeed, if (2.2.32) does not hold, there exists a sequence of conical metrics $M_{i}=\left(\Sigma_{i}, g_{i}, \beta\right)$ representing the same divisor $\beta$ with Gaussian curvature $\left|K_{i}\right|_{0} \leq \Lambda$, there exists a sequence of balls $B_{i}=B_{i}(r) \subset M_{i}$ of radius $r \leq t_{0}$, there exists $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and there exists a sequence of points $x_{i} \in B_{i}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)}{d_{i}\left(x_{i}, \partial B_{i}\right)} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{i}=d_{g_{i}}$ is the induced distance on $M_{i}$ from $g_{i}$ and $r_{i}(x)=r_{I}\left(g_{i}, x\right)$ is the isothermal radius of the metric $g_{i}$ at $x$.

Set $R_{i}(x):=\frac{r_{i}(x)}{d_{i}\left(x, \partial B_{i}\right)}$. By the same arguments as in [HH97; And90a], we may as well assume the points $x_{i}$ minimize $R_{i}(x)$. Rescaling the metrics $g_{i}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i}=\frac{1}{r_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)^{2}} g_{i} \tag{2.2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\|K_{\left(B_{i}, h_{i}\right)}\right\|_{0} & =r_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)^{2}\left\|K_{i}\right\|_{0} \leq r_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)^{2} \Lambda \rightarrow 0  \tag{2.2.35}\\
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{vol}\left(B_{i}, h_{i}\right) & =\infty  \tag{2.2.36}\\
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{i}, \partial B_{i}\right) & =\infty \tag{2.2.37}
\end{align*}
$$

where (2.37) holds away from the cone points. By Lemma 2.2.2 (3), the isothermal radius scales as the distance under rescalings of the metric, thus the isothermal radius of the new metrics $h_{i}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right):=r_{I}\left(h_{i}, x_{i}\right)=1 \tag{2.2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for every $y \in B_{i}$ and for every $i$

$$
r_{i}^{\prime}(y)=\frac{r_{i}(y)}{r_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)} \geq \frac{d_{i}\left(y, \partial B_{i}\right)}{d_{i}\left(x_{i}, \partial B_{i}\right)}=\frac{d_{i}^{\prime}\left(y, \partial B_{i}\right)}{d_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}, \partial B_{i}\right)}
$$

(where the first inequality follows since $R_{i}(y) \geq R_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)$ and $d_{i}^{\prime}$ is the induced distance from $h_{i}$ ). Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{i}:=\frac{1}{d_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}, \partial B_{i}\right)} \tag{2.2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \delta_{i}=0$ and for all $y \in B\left(x_{i}, \frac{1}{2 \delta_{i}}\right)$ (the geodesic ball for the metric $h_{i}$ with center $x_{i}$ ) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{i}^{\prime}(y) \geq \frac{1}{2} \tag{2.2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the isothermal radius of the rescaled metrics is bounded from below and is at most 1. Now we claim $\left(B_{i}, x_{i}, h_{i}\right)$ converges in $C_{\gamma}^{1, \alpha}$ uniformly on compact sets to a complete (noncompact) manifold ( $M, y, h$ ). First, the argument above implies that given $R<\infty$, $r_{i}^{\prime}(y) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ on $B\left(x_{i}, R\right)$ for $i$ large enough. Thus given $R<\infty$ and a sequence $\left(q_{i}\right)$ in $B\left(x_{i}, R\right)$ we can find isothermal charts $H_{i}: \Omega_{i} \rightarrow B_{0}\left(\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{C}}\right)$ centered at $q_{i}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H_{i}^{-1}\right)^{*} h_{i}=e^{2 \phi_{i}\left(z_{i}\right)} \prod_{j=1}^{m_{i}}\left|z_{i}-z_{i}^{j}\right|^{2 \alpha_{j}}|d z|^{2} \tag{2.2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{i}\left(z_{i}\right): B_{0}\left(\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{C}}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are smooth and bounded, the integers $m_{i}=m_{i}\left(q_{i}\right)$ and the real numbers $\alpha_{j}$ satisfy $1 \leq m_{i} \leq n,-1<\alpha_{j} \leq 0$ for all $i=1, \ldots$ and $1 \leq j \leq m_{i}$. As before, $z_{i}$ is a holomorphic coordinate on $B_{0}=B_{0}\left(\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{C}}\right)$ and $z_{i}^{j}=H_{i}\left(p_{j}\right)$ ( $p_{j}$ are cone points). Moreover,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{C} \leq \phi_{i}\left(z_{i}\right) \leq C  \tag{2.2.42}\\
& \left\|\phi_{i}\left(z_{i}\right)\right\|_{1, \alpha ; \gamma} \leq F(C) \tag{2.2.43}
\end{align*}
$$

with $F$ depending only on $C$. By (2.2.43) we have that $\left(\phi_{i}\left(z_{i}\right)\right)$ are bounded in $C_{\gamma}^{1, \alpha}$ on the ball $B_{0}$, so after passing to a subsequence we can assume they converge weakly in $C_{\gamma}^{1, \alpha}$ to some $\phi$ by the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem. In particular, the metrics $\left(H_{i}^{-1}\right)^{*} h_{i}=: h_{i}^{\prime}$ converge weakly in $C_{\gamma}^{1, \alpha}$ on $B_{0}$. We claim that in fact the metrics $h_{i}^{\prime}$ converge strongly in $C_{\gamma}^{1, \alpha}$. Indeed, from Lemma 2.1.3, we have that the Gaussian curvature $K_{i}$ of the metrics $h_{i}$ in the coordinates $z_{i}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{i}\left(z_{i}\right)=\frac{e^{-2 \phi_{i}\left(z_{i}\right)} \Delta \phi_{i}\left(z_{i}\right)}{\prod_{j=1}^{m_{i}}\left|z_{i}-z_{i}^{j}\right|^{2 \alpha_{j}}} \tag{2.2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

As we observed in the proof of Lemma 2.2.3, we can assume $z_{i}^{j}=z^{j}$ and $m_{i}=m$ are independent of $i$ by passing to a subsequence. By (2.2.35), $\left\|K_{\left(B_{i}, h_{i}\right)}\right\|_{0} \rightarrow 0$ away from the
cone points, so that $\left\|\left(H_{i}^{-1}\right)^{*} K_{i}\right\|_{0}=\left\|K_{i}\left(z_{i}\right)\right\|_{0} \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ for all $z_{i} \neq z^{j} \in B_{i}$. Now, the limit $\phi(z)$ of the sequence $\left(\phi_{i}\left(z_{i}\right)\right)$ solves

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\frac{e^{-2 \phi(z)} \Delta \phi(z)}{\prod_{j=1}^{m}\left|z-z^{j}\right|^{2 \alpha_{j}}} \tag{2.2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

weakly. Applying standard elliptic estimates to (2.2.45), we get $\phi(z)$ is actually smooth. In fact, using the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 2.2.3 (second paragraph following (2.2.13)), we get that the convergence is actually in the strong $C_{\gamma}^{1, \alpha}$ topology, hence the metrics $h_{i}^{\prime}$ converge strongly in $C_{\gamma}^{1, \alpha}$.

It now follows from the arguments used to prove Theorem 2.2.5 (see for instance Proposition 12 in [HH97]) that there exists a $C^{2, \alpha}$ manifold $M, y \in M$ and a $C_{\gamma}^{1, \alpha}$ conformal conical metric $h$ on $M$ such that for any compact domain $D \subset M$ with $y \in D$ and after passing to a subsequence, there exist compact domains $D_{i} \subset B_{i}$ and $y_{i} \in D_{i}$ and $C^{2, \alpha}$ diffeomorphisms $\Phi_{i}: D \rightarrow D_{i}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left(\Phi_{i}^{-1}\right)\left(y_{i}\right)=y  \tag{2.2.46}\\
\left\|\left(\Phi_{i}^{-1}\right)^{*} h_{i}-h\right\|_{1, \alpha ; \gamma} \rightarrow 0 \text { in } D \tag{2.2.47}
\end{gather*}
$$

where (2.2.47) is in the sense that in any chart of the complete induced atlas on $D$ the components of $\left(\Phi_{i}^{-1}\right)^{*} h_{i}$ converge in $C_{\gamma}^{1, \alpha}$ to the components of $h$.

We now claim the pointed limit $(M, h)$ is flat, conical and complete. First, the completeness follows from (2.2.37). To see $(M, h)$ is flat, given a compact domain $D$ in $M$, set $\hat{h}_{i}:=\Phi_{i}^{*} h_{i}$ and for a given $x \in D$, let $U_{i}: B_{x}(r) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, r>0$, be an isothermal coordinate chart for $\hat{h}_{i}$ satisfying $A 1, B 1$ of Definition 2.2.1. The convergence of the $\hat{h}_{i}$ implies convergence in $C^{2, \alpha}$ of the charts $U_{i}$ to a limiting chart $U: B_{x}(r) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, by the same arguments as in Lemma 2.2.3. If we write $\left(U^{-1}\right)^{*} h=e^{2 \psi(z)} \prod_{j=1}^{m}\left|z-z_{j}\right|^{2 \alpha_{j}}|d z|^{2}$, then going back to (2.48) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\frac{e^{-2 \psi(z)} \Delta \psi(z)}{\prod_{j=1}^{m}\left|z-z_{j}\right|^{2 \alpha_{j}}} \tag{2.2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from standard elliptic estimates then that the limit metric $h$ is smooth on $\Sigma^{*}$. Since (2.2.48) is also the equation for the Gaussian curvature of $h$, we also have that it
is flat. Moreover, assumption (2) in the Theorem along with the Bishop-Gromov volume comparison Theorem imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{vol}(B(s))}{V^{\Lambda}(s)} \geq \frac{v}{V^{\Lambda}\left(t_{0}\right)} \tag{2.2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $s \leq t_{0}$, where $V^{\Lambda}$ is the volume of a geodesic ball in constant curvature $\Lambda$ and $\operatorname{vol}(B(s))$ is taken in the $g_{i}$ metric. Using scaling properties of volume and the convergence in $C_{\gamma}^{1, \alpha}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{vol}_{M}(B(s))}{s^{2}} \geq v^{\prime}>0 \tag{2.2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $s>0$. On the other hand, since $(M, h)$ is flat with cone angles less than $2 \pi$, the volume of a ball of radius $s$ measured in the metric $h$ has to be less than the volume of a ball of radius $s$ measured with respect to the standard metric on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{vol}_{M}(B(s))}{s^{2}} \leq v^{\prime} \tag{2.2.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we conclude that the volume growth must be exactly quadratic.
To obtain a contradiction, observe that since the $h_{i}$ converge to $h$ in $C_{\gamma}^{1, \alpha}$, by Lemma 2.2.3, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{I}\left(C^{\prime}, p, y\right) \leq \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} r_{I}\left(C, p, y_{i}\right) \tag{2.2.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $C^{\prime}<C$, but by construction $r_{I}\left(C, p, y_{i}\right)=1$, while a flat, noncompact, complete surface with finitely many conical singularities and of quadratic area growth has infinite isothermal radius for any $C^{\prime}$, as follows from Theorem 2.2.4.

Therefore, there is a uniform lower bound on the isothermal radius, which in turn allows us to apply Theorem 2.2.5 to conclude the existence of a limit with the desired properties. In the notation of Theorem 2.2.5, with $D=B_{x}(R), R>D_{0}$, we get that for $i$ large enough, $D_{i}=M_{i}$, and up to passing to a subsequence there exist diffeomorphisms $\Phi_{i}: M \rightarrow M_{i}$ such that $\Phi_{i}^{*} g_{i}$ satisfies conditions (2.30-2.31) of Theorem 2.2.5. In particular, $M$ has a smooth structure coming for instance from one of the diffeomorphisms $\Phi_{i}$ with $M_{i}$.

## Chapter 3

## The Nirenberg problem for a Conical Sphere

### 3.1 Conformal Geometry of Conical Metrics on $S^{2}$

Continuing with the notations of the previous chapter, suppose $g_{\beta}$ is a conformal conical metric on $S^{2}(1)=\left(S^{2}, g_{+1}\right)$ representing the divisor $\beta$. A diffeomorphism $\psi: S^{2} \rightarrow S^{2}$ is called a conformal transformation of $\left(S^{2}, g_{\beta}\right)$ if $\psi^{*}\left(g_{\beta}\right) \in\left[g_{\beta}\right]$, i.e. if there exists a function $u: S^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is smooth and positive and such that

$$
\psi^{*} g_{\beta}=e^{2 u} g_{\beta}
$$

The set of all conformal transformations forms a group under composition, which we denote by $\operatorname{Conf}\left(S^{2}, g_{\beta}\right)$. It acts on functions $K \in C_{\gamma-2}^{m-2, \alpha}$ by precomposition, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\phi, K) \rightarrow K \circ \phi \tag{3.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is also an action of $\operatorname{Conf}\left(S^{2}, g_{\beta}\right)$ on the conformal factors $u$ which one can derive as follows. Suppose $\phi \in \operatorname{Conf}\left(S^{2}, g_{\beta}\right)$. By definition,

$$
\phi^{*} g_{\beta}=\eta_{\phi}^{2} g_{\beta}
$$

where $\eta_{\phi}=|D \phi|>0$. Now suppose $g=e^{2 u} g_{\beta}$ then

$$
\phi^{*} g=e^{2\left(\phi^{*} u\right)} \phi^{*} g_{\beta}=e^{2\left(u \circ \phi+\log \eta_{\phi}\right)} g_{\beta}
$$

Hence the conformal group $\operatorname{Con} f\left(S^{2}, g_{\beta}\right)$ acts on the conformal factors $u \in C_{\gamma}^{m, \alpha}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\phi, u) \rightarrow u \circ \phi+\log \eta_{\phi} \tag{3.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that if $\psi \in \operatorname{Diff}\left(S^{2}\right)$, then $\psi^{*} g_{\beta}$ is always a conical metric with the same number of conical singularities as $g_{\beta}$. Moreover, we have

Lemma 3.1.1. Every conformal transformation $\psi \in \operatorname{Conf}\left(S^{2}-\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots p_{n}\right\}, g_{+1}\right)$ has an extension $\tilde{\psi} \in \operatorname{Conf}\left(S^{2}, g_{+1}\right)$.

Proof. Observe that any conformal map $\psi \in \operatorname{Conf}\left(S^{2}-\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots p_{n}\right\}, g_{+1}\right)$ can be viewed as a biholomorphism $\mathbb{C}-\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n-1}\right\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}-\left\{q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n-1}\right\}$ after conjugation with the stereographic projection from, say, $p_{n}$.

To be precise, let $\sigma_{p_{n}}$ be the sterographic projection $S^{2}-\left\{p_{n}\right\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and suppose $q_{i}=$ $\sigma_{p_{n}}\left(p_{i}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots n-1$. The restriction of $\sigma_{p_{n}}$ to the punctured sphere $S^{2}-\left\{p_{1}, \ldots p_{n}\right\}$ gives a diffeomorphism with $\mathbb{C}-\left\{q_{1}, \ldots q_{n-1}\right\}$. In particular, if $\psi \in \operatorname{Conf}\left(S^{2}-\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}, g_{+1}\right)$, then

$$
\bar{\psi}:=\sigma_{p_{n}}^{-1} \circ \psi \circ \sigma_{p_{n}}: \mathbb{C}-\left\{q_{1}, \ldots q_{n-1}\right\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}-\left\{q_{1}, \ldots q_{n-1}\right\}
$$

is a biholomorphism. We claim now that the points $q_{i}$ are removable singularities for $\bar{\psi}$. Indeed, if $\bar{\psi}$ had an essentially singularity at any of the points $q_{i}$, then by Picard's Theorem, $\bar{\psi}$ would take on all possible values with at most one exception on any neighborhood of $q_{i}$, infinitely often, but this would contradict injectivity. The second observation is that $\psi$ has at worst one pole of order one. Again, any higher order pole is excluded because of injectivity. If there were two simple poles at say $q_{i}, q_{j}$, then $\bar{\psi}\left(q_{i}\right)=\infty, \bar{\psi}\left(q_{j}\right)=\infty$, but since $\psi$ is an open map, it would map a punctured neighborhood of $q_{i}$ to a neighborhood of $\infty$ and a punctured neighborhood of $q_{j}$ to a neighborhood of $\infty$. The fact that these two neighborhoods must intersect contradicts injectivity once again.

It then follows that $\bar{\psi}$ extends to a biholomorphism of the Riemann sphere, hence it corresponds to a conformal map $\tilde{\psi}$ of $S^{2}(1)$.

Claim 3.1.2. If $p_{1}, p_{2} \ldots, p_{n}$ are cone points for the conformal conical metric $g_{\beta}$, then

$$
\operatorname{Conf}\left(S^{2}-\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}, g_{\beta}\right)=\operatorname{Conf}\left(S^{2}-\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}, g_{+1}\right)
$$

Proof. We can directly show the two sets are equal since $g_{\beta}$ is conformal to $g_{+1}$ by assumption.

Lemma 3.1.3. The group $\operatorname{Conf}\left(S^{2}-\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}, g_{+1}\right)$ is finite if $n \geq 3$.
Proof. If $\psi \in \operatorname{Conf}\left(S^{2}-\left\{p_{1}, \ldots p_{n}\right\}, g_{+1}\right)$, then its extension $\tilde{\psi}$ to a conformal map of the round sphere fixes the set $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots p_{n}\right\}$. Suppose $\tilde{\psi}\left(p_{1}\right)=p_{i}, \tilde{\psi}\left(p_{2}\right)=p_{j}, \tilde{\psi}\left(p_{3}\right)=p_{k}$, where $i, j, k \in(1, \ldots n)$ are all distinct. Since $\tilde{\psi}$ is a Mobius transformation, its values are uniquely determined after specifying the image of the points $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}$. Since there are only finitely many choices for $p_{i}, p_{j}, p_{k}$, the collection of extensions of $\psi \in \operatorname{Conf}\left(S^{2}-\left\{p_{1}, \ldots p_{n}\right\}, g_{+1}\right)$ is finite. In particular, the group $\operatorname{Conf}\left(S^{2}-\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}, g_{+1}\right)$ is finite.

Theorem 3.1.4. Suppose $\beta=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} p_{i}$ is a divisor on $S^{2}, n \geq 3$ and $g_{\beta}$ a conical conformal metric representing $\beta$ as before. Then $\operatorname{Conf}\left(S^{2}, g_{\beta}\right)$ is finite. Moreover, if there exists $i, j, k$ distinct, such that $\beta_{i}, \beta_{j}, \beta_{k}$ are all distinct, then the conformal group $\operatorname{Conf}\left(S^{2}, g_{\beta}\right)=0$.

Proof. Let $\operatorname{supp}(\beta)=\left\{p_{1}, \ldots p_{n}\right\}$. Define $F: \operatorname{Conf}\left(S^{2}, \beta, g\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Conf}\left(S^{2}-\operatorname{supp}(\beta), g\right)$ by $F(\phi)=\left.\phi\right|_{S^{2}-\operatorname{supp}(\beta)}$. Since any $\phi \in \operatorname{Conf}\left(S^{2}, \beta, g\right)$ fixes the set $\operatorname{supp}(\beta)$, we have that $F$ is a surjective group homomorphism. Moreover,

$$
\operatorname{ker}(F)=\left\{\phi \in \operatorname{Conf}\left(S^{2}, \beta, g\right): F(\phi)=\left.\phi\right|_{S^{2}-\operatorname{supp}(\beta)}=\left.I d\right|_{S^{2}-\operatorname{supp}(\beta)}\right\}
$$

The only freedom is in where the points $p_{1}, \ldots p_{n}$ are sent, and we know $\phi$ fixes them on $\left(S^{2}, \beta, g\right)$. Hence we have $\operatorname{ker}(F)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $S_{n}$, the symmetric group on $n$ elements. Finally, by Claim 3.1.2 and Theorem 3.1.4, $\operatorname{Conf}\left(S^{2}-\operatorname{supp}(\beta), g\right)$ is finite for $n \geq 3$, and since $\operatorname{ker}(F)$ is also finite, we must have $\operatorname{Conf}\left(S^{2}, \beta, g\right)$ is finite. If there are three distinct angles, any conformal map has to fix them, but every conformal map of the unit disk fixing three points is the identity. So the conformal group must be trivial in this case. This concludes the proof of the theorem.

Remark. The condition that $n \geq 3$ is only sufficient. In the examples in the next section we show that there is a metric on $S^{2}(1)$ with two conical singularities and noncompact conformal group.

### 3.2 The Curvature Map $\pi$

For $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots \gamma_{n}\right)$, let $C_{\gamma}^{m, \alpha}$ be the Banach space of $C_{\gamma}^{m, \alpha}$ functions $u: S^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ considered as conformal factors of $g=e^{2 u} g_{\beta}$ and let $C_{\gamma-2}^{m-2, \alpha}$ be the Banach space of $C_{\gamma-2}^{m-2, \alpha}$ functions $K$. Our main goal is to study the image of the curvature map $\pi$, defined to be the map $C_{\gamma}^{m, \alpha} \rightarrow C_{\gamma-2}^{m-2, \alpha}$ sending

$$
u \mapsto K_{g}
$$

As before, if $g$ is a conformal conical metric on $\left(S^{2}, g_{+1}\right)$, then

$$
g=e^{2 u} g_{\beta}=e^{2 u} \rho^{2 \beta} g_{+1}
$$

where $\rho$ is a radius function as in Definition 2.1.1. The Gaussian curvature of $g$ is then

$$
K_{g}=K\left(e^{2 u} g_{\beta}\right)=e^{-2 u}\left(K_{\beta}-\Delta_{\beta} u\right)
$$

where $\Delta_{\beta}$ is the Laplacian with respect to the conical metric $g_{\beta}$ and $K_{\beta}$ the Gaussian curvature of $g_{\beta}$. One can compute

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta_{\beta}=\rho^{-2 \beta} \Delta_{+1}  \tag{3.2.1}\\
K_{\beta}=\rho^{-2 \beta}\left(1-\beta \Delta_{+1} \log \rho\right) \tag{3.2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Observe that the function $\beta \Delta_{+1} \log \rho$ is defined to be $\beta_{i} \Delta_{+1} \rho$ in a neighborhood of the cone point $p_{i}$ and vanishes identically away from the cone points (since $\rho \equiv 1$ ).

Recall that a $C^{1}$ map $F: \mathcal{B}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{2}$ is a Fredholm map between Banach manifolds $\mathcal{B}_{i}$ if the differential

$$
D_{u} F(h):=\left.\frac{d}{d t} F(u+t h)\right|_{t=0}=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(u+t h)-F(u)}{t}
$$

is a Fredholm operator at each $u \in \mathcal{B}_{i}$. As it is well-known, Fredholm maps between Banach spaces are bounded linear operators characterized by having finite-dimensional kernel and cokernel. The index of a Fredholm operator is defined as

$$
\operatorname{ind}(F)=\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Ker} F)-\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{coKer} F)
$$

Moreover, the index of the Fredholm map $F$ is defined to be the index of its differential, which is independent of the choice of $u$. For more on the theory of Fredholm maps on Banach manifolds, see [ET70; Nir01].

Theorem 3.2.1. Let $(\Sigma, g, \beta)$ be a conical surface with $g$ representing the divisor $\beta=$ $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{j} p_{j}$. If $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots \gamma_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ satisfies $\gamma_{i}>0$ and $\gamma_{i} \neq \frac{m}{\beta_{j}}$ for any $i, j \in(1, \ldots n)$, where $m$ is an integer, then the curvature map $\pi$ is Fredholm of index 0.

Proof. Fix $u \in C_{\gamma}^{m, \alpha}$. If we set $g=e^{2 u} g_{\beta}$, then as observed above

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(u)=e^{-2 u}\left(K_{\beta}-\Delta_{\beta} u\right) \tag{3.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $h \in C_{\gamma}^{m, \alpha}$, thought of as the tangent space to $C_{\gamma}^{m, \alpha}$ at $u$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{u} \pi(h) & =\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \pi(u+t h) \\
& =\left.\frac{d}{d t}\left(e^{-2(u+t h)}\left(K_{\beta}-\Delta_{\beta}(u+t h)\right)\right)\right|_{t=0} \\
& =-2 h K_{g}-e^{-2 u} \Delta_{\beta} h
\end{aligned}
$$

with $K_{g}=e^{-2 u}\left(K_{\beta}-\Delta_{\beta} u\right)$, which is the Gaussian curvature of $g$. At $u=0$, we get $K_{g}=K_{\beta}$, hence

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{0} \pi(h) & =-2 h K_{\beta}-\Delta_{\beta} h  \tag{3.2.4}\\
& \left.=-\rho^{-2 \beta}\left(2 h\left(1-\beta \Delta_{+1} \log \rho\right)\right)+\Delta_{+1} h\right) \tag{3.2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

If we let $a:=2\left(1-\beta \Delta_{+1} \log \rho\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
-L_{\beta}(h):=D_{0} \pi(h)=\rho^{-2 \beta}\left(a h+\Delta_{+1} h\right) \tag{3.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that if $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots \gamma_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ satisfies $\gamma_{i} \neq \frac{m}{\beta_{j}}$ for any $i, j \in(1, \ldots n)$, where $m$ is an integer, then the linear operator $L_{\beta}: C_{\gamma}^{k, p} \rightarrow C_{\gamma-2}^{k-2, p}$ is Fredholm [MW15; Beh11]. We further claim $L_{\beta}$ is formally self-adjoint. Observe there is a natural inner product on $\left(S^{2}, \beta\right)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle u, v\rangle=\int_{S^{2}} u \cdot v \rho^{2 \beta} d V_{+1} \tag{3.2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus for all $u, v \in C_{\gamma}^{m, \alpha}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle v, L_{\beta} u\right\rangle & =\int_{S^{2}} v\left(\rho^{-2 \beta}\left(\Delta_{+1} u+a u\right)\right) \rho^{2 \beta} d V_{+1}  \tag{3.2.8}\\
& =\int_{S^{2}} v\left(\Delta_{+1} u+a u\right) d V_{+1}  \tag{3.2.9}\\
& =\int_{S^{2}} v\left(\Delta_{+1} u+a u\right) d V_{+1}  \tag{3.2.10}\\
& =\int_{S^{2}} u\left(\Delta_{+1} v+a v\right) d V_{+1}  \tag{3.2.11}\\
& =\int_{S^{2}} u \rho^{-2 \beta}\left(\Delta_{+1} v+a v\right) \rho^{2 \beta} d V_{+1}  \tag{3.2.12}\\
& =\left\langle L_{\beta} v, u\right\rangle \tag{3.2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

The integration by parts in (3.12)-(3.13) needs some justification, so fix $R>0$ small enough and let $B_{R}\left(p_{i}\right)$ be a geodesic ball of radius $R$ around the cone point $p_{i}$. Let $S_{R}\left(p_{i}\right)$ denote the circle of radius $R$ with center $p_{i}, \partial_{\nu} u$ denotes the normal derivative of $u$, and $d S$ the volume element of $S_{R}\left(p_{i}\right)$. We then have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{S^{2}-\left\{p_{1} \ldots p_{n}\right\}} v \Delta_{+1} u \quad d V_{+1}=\lim _{R \rightarrow 0} \int_{S^{2}-\cup_{i=1}^{n} B_{R}\left(p_{i}\right)} v \Delta_{+1} u \quad d V_{+1} \\
& =\lim _{R \rightarrow 0}\left(\int_{S^{2}-\cup_{i=1}^{n} B_{R}\left(p_{i}\right)} u \Delta_{+1} v \quad d V_{+1}+\int_{\cup_{i=1}^{n} S_{R}\left(p_{i}\right)} u \partial_{\nu} v-v \partial_{\nu} u d S\right) \\
& =\int_{S^{2}-\left\{p_{1}, \ldots p_{n}\right\}} u \Delta_{+1} v \quad d V_{+1}+\lim _{R \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{S_{R}\left(p_{i}\right)} u \partial_{\nu} v-v \partial_{\nu} u \quad d S
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, since $u \in C_{\gamma}^{k, p}\left(S^{2}-\cup_{i=1}^{n} B_{R}\left(p_{i}\right)\right)$ for all $R>0$ small enough, it follows that $\partial_{\nu} u \in C_{\gamma-1}^{k-1, p}\left(S^{2}-\cup_{i=1}^{n} B_{R}\left(p_{i}\right)\right)$, i.e. there is a $C>0$ such that $\|u\|_{C_{\gamma}^{l, \alpha}} \leq C$. In particular, $\left\|\partial_{\nu} u\right\|_{C_{\gamma-1}^{l-1, \alpha}} \leq C$. It follows from the definition of these norms (see 2.14) that

$$
\sup _{x \in S^{2}-\left\{p_{1}, \ldots p_{n}\right\}} \rho^{-\gamma}|u| \leq C
$$

and

$$
\sup _{x \in S^{2}-\left\{p_{1}, \ldots p_{n}\right\}} \rho^{-(\gamma-1)}\left|\partial_{\nu} u\right| \leq C_{1}
$$

and similarly for $v$. Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\int_{S_{R}\left(p_{i}\right)} u \partial_{\nu} v-v \partial_{\nu} u d S\right| & \leq \int_{S_{R}\left(p_{i}\right)}|u|\left|\partial_{\nu} v\right| d S+\int_{S_{R}\left(p_{i}\right)}|v|\left|\partial_{\nu} u\right| d S  \tag{3.2.14}\\
& =\int_{S_{R}\left(p_{i}\right)} \rho^{-\gamma}|u| \rho^{-\gamma+1}\left|\partial_{\nu} v\right| \rho^{2 \gamma-1} d S+\int_{S_{R}\left(p_{i}\right)} \rho^{-\gamma}|v| \rho^{-\gamma+1}\left|\partial_{\nu} u\right| \rho^{2 \gamma-1} d S  \tag{3.2.15}\\
& \leq 2 C^{\prime} \int_{S_{R}\left(p_{i}\right)} \rho^{2 \gamma-1} d S  \tag{3.2.16}\\
& \leq C(\delta) R^{2 \gamma} \tag{3.2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, provided $\gamma>0$, taking the limit as $R \rightarrow 0$, we see that the boundary terms disappear, as wanted. This concludes the proof that the map $L_{\beta}$ is formally self-adjoint and Fredholm, from which it follows that the map $\pi$ is a Fredholm map of index 0.

### 3.3 Properness of the map $\pi$

Theorem 3.3.1. Let $\mathcal{C}_{+}$be the subspace of $C_{\gamma-2}^{m-2, \alpha}$ consisting of positive curvature functions K. Define $\mathcal{U}=\pi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{+}\right)$. If $\gamma>0$, then the map $\pi_{0}: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{+}$defined as the restriction of $\pi$ to $\mathcal{U}$ is proper.

Proof. If $K_{i} \rightarrow K \in \mathcal{C}_{+}$, then the sequence $K_{i}$ is bounded in $C_{\gamma-2}^{k-2, \alpha}$. In particular, $K_{i}$ is bounded in $C_{\gamma-2}^{0}$. Hence there exist a constant $K$ such that $\left\|K_{i}\right\|_{0} \leq K$ away from the cone points. Moreover, since the Euler characteristic is positive, we have using Gauss-Bonnet

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \pi \chi\left(S^{2}, \beta\right)=\int_{S^{2}} K_{i} d v o l_{g_{i}} \leq K_{0} \cdot \operatorname{area}\left(S^{2}, g_{i}\right) \tag{2.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

so we get

$$
\operatorname{area}\left(S^{2}, g_{i}\right) \geq \frac{2 \pi \chi\left(S^{2}, \beta\right)}{K_{0}}>0
$$

On the other hand, Myers' theorem ([Pet16]) implies that the diameter of each conical surface is finite (since curvature is assumed positive). Furthermore, since the sequence $K_{i}$ converges in $\mathcal{C}_{+}$to a positive function $K$, we can find constants $D_{0}, v_{0}$ such that

1. $\operatorname{vol}\left(g_{i}\right) \geq v_{0}$
2. $\operatorname{diam}\left(g_{i}\right) \leq D_{0}$
for all $i$. Under these bounds we can now directly apply Theorem 2.2.6 to conclude that there exists a sequence of diffeomorphisms $F_{i}: S^{2} \rightarrow S^{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(F_{i}^{*} g_{i}\right)_{r s} \rightarrow\left(g_{\infty}\right)_{r s} \tag{3.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $C_{\gamma}^{1, \alpha}$, where $g_{\infty}$ is a conical metric on $S^{2}$ with $m$ conical singularities of angles $0<\theta \leq$ $2 \pi$. By passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that the $F_{i}$ are orientation preserving. On the other hand, since $g_{\infty}$ is the limit of a sequence of conical metrics in the same conformal class, we claim there exists a diffeomorphism $\psi: S^{2} \rightarrow S^{2}$ and a smooth, positive function $u: S^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\psi^{*} g=e^{2 u} g_{\beta}$. To see this, suppose $\mathfrak{C}$ denotes the space of conformal classes on the punctured sphere $S^{2}-\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}$, i.e., two smooth (incomplete) metrics $h_{1}, h_{2}$ on $S^{2}-\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}$ represent the same point in $\mathfrak{C}$ if there exists a positive smooth function $u$ such that $h_{1}=e^{2 u} h_{2}$. The group Diff $f_{+}$of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of $S^{2}$ acts on $\mathfrak{C}$ by

$$
(\psi,[h]) \rightarrow \psi^{*}[h]=\left[\psi^{*} h\right]
$$

Since it is not true in general that $\left[\psi^{*} h\right]=[h]$, we consider the moduli space $\mathcal{M}=\mathfrak{C} / D i f f_{+}$. This space corresponds to Teichmuller space [Pet19; MW15], since the mapping class group of the sphere is trivial (so every orientation preserving diffeomorphism is isotopic to the identity). Observe then that every element of the sequence $g_{i}$, when considered as smooth metrics on $S^{2}-\left\{p_{1}, \ldots p_{n}\right\}$, corresponds to the same point in $\mathfrak{C}$, namely the conformal class $\left[g_{\beta}\right]$. If $\psi_{i}$ is a sequence of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms, then $\psi_{i}^{*}\left[g_{i}\right]=\left[g_{i}\right]$
as elements of $\mathcal{M}$, hence the classes $\psi_{i}^{*} g_{i}$ and $g_{\beta}$ correspond to the same point in $\mathcal{M}$. Since the topology of Teichmuller space is Hausdorff [Pet19], the sequence $\psi_{i}^{*} g_{i}$ is the constant sequence $\left[g_{\beta}\right] \in \mathcal{M}$. Thus the limit of the $\psi_{i}^{*} g_{i}$ must be in the conformal class of $g_{\beta}$ modulo diffeomorphisms, i.e. there exists a diffeomorphism of $S^{2}$ such that the limit $g$ satisfies

$$
\psi^{*} g=e^{2 u} g_{\beta}
$$

for some positive smooth function $u$ on $S^{2}$, as claimed. In particular, there is a diffeomorphism $\Psi$ of $S^{2}$ such that $\Psi^{*} g_{\infty}=e^{2 u} g_{\beta}$. After precomposing $F_{i}$ with $\Psi^{-1}$, we may as well assume that we have a sequence $F_{i}$ of diffeomorphisms of $S^{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i}^{*}\left(g_{i}\right)=F_{i}^{*}\left(e^{2 u_{i}} g_{\beta}\right) \rightarrow e^{2 u} g_{\beta} \tag{3.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $C_{\gamma}^{1, \alpha}$, where $u$ is some positive smooth function on $S^{2}$. As in the arguments preceding Proposition 2.5 in [And17], one now has that the $F_{i}$ converge on a subsequence to the identity modulo the action of the conformal group, i.e. there exist conformal maps $\phi_{i} \in \operatorname{Conf}\left(S^{2}, g_{\beta}\right)$ such that $\phi_{i}^{-1} \circ F_{i}$ converge to the identity. It follows from Proposition 2.5 in [And17] that the functions $\phi_{i}^{*} u_{i}$ are uniformly bounded in $C_{\gamma}^{1, \alpha} \cap W_{\delta}^{2, p}$, for $\gamma>0$ and some $\delta \leq \gamma$ (see [Beh11] for a definition of weighted Sobolev spaces). Observe that nothing really changes in the presence of conical singularities since the diffeomorphisms $F_{i}$ are still quasiconformal when restricted to the punctured sphere $S^{2}-\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right\}$ (see [LV73]). By the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, the uniform bound on the sequence $\phi_{i}^{*} u_{i}$ implies convergence on a subsequence to a limit in $C_{\gamma}^{1, \alpha}$. Moreover, since the conformal group $\operatorname{Conf}\left(S^{2}, g_{\beta}\right)$ is finite, we actually have that $\left\{u_{i}\right\}$ themselves (sub)converge to a limit $u \in C_{\gamma}^{1, \alpha}$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{g_{\beta}} u=K_{\beta}-K e^{2 u} \tag{3.3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

weakly. Given that the Gaussian curvatures $K_{i}$ of the metrics $g_{i}$ are assumed to be in $C_{\gamma-2}^{k-2, \alpha}$, a bootstrapping argument using Proposition 2.7 in [Beh11] implies $u \in C_{\gamma}^{k, \alpha}$. This then completes the proof that the map $\pi_{0}$ is proper.

### 3.4 Degree Computations

We conclude this section with sufficient conditions for a function $K$ to arise as the Gaussian curvature of a conformal conical metric on $S^{2}$ having at least three conical singularities and angles less than $2 \pi$. Our result follows from computing the degree of the curvature map which we have established in the previous section is a proper Fredholm map of index zero, providing not only an existence theorem but also a signed count of the number of solutions when $K$ is a regular value.

As mentioned in the introduction, a necessary condition for the existence of a constant curvature conical metric on $S^{2}$ having at least three conical singularities and angles less than $2 \pi$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i \neq j} \beta_{i}<\beta_{j}, \text { for all } j \tag{3.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, Luo-Tian have shown in [LT92] that if the generalized Euler characteristic is positive, then this condition is sufficient and necessary for uniqueness and existence. Under these assumptions, we can now compute the degree of the curvature map given our previous results. Recall that if $F$ is a proper Fredholm map of index 0 between open subsets of Banach spaces, one can define its degree by the formula

$$
\operatorname{deg}(F)=\sum_{x \in F^{-1}(y)} \operatorname{sign}\left(D_{x} F\right)
$$

where $y$ is any regular value of $F$ and the sign is $\pm$ according to whether $D_{x} F$ preserves or reverses orientation. By definition, $y$ is a regular value if $D_{x} F$ is an isomorphism for all $x \in F^{-1}(y)$. In particular, points with empty preimage are always regular values. We refer the reader to [Nir01] for more on the degree theory of Fredholm maps on Banach manifolds.

Let $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{C}_{+} \cap C_{\gamma-2}^{k-2, \alpha}$, where $\gamma_{i}>0, \gamma_{i} \neq \frac{m}{\beta_{j}}$ for any $(i, j) \in(1, \ldots n)$. Recall that the restrictions on $\gamma$ guarantee that the curvature map is proper and Fredholm of index 0 . We have,

Theorem 3.4.1. Suppose $n \geq 3$, and $\beta=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} p_{i}$ is a divisor on $S^{2}$ satisfying the Troyanov condition (1.1.12) and there exists $i, j, k$ distinct for which $\beta_{i}, \beta_{j}, \beta_{k}$ are all distinct. Assume $\chi\left(S^{2}, \beta\right)>0$ and let $g_{\beta}$ be the unique conical metric on $S^{2}$ representing the divisor $\beta$ of Gaussian curvature $K_{\beta}=1$. Then a function $K$ on $S^{2}$ is the Gaussian curvature of a metric $g$ conformal to $g_{\beta}$ if $K$ is a positive function in $C_{\gamma-2}^{m-2, \alpha}, k \geq 2, \alpha \in(0,1)$, where $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \gamma_{i} \neq \frac{m}{\beta_{j}}, \gamma_{i}>0$ and $m$ is an integer.

Proof. Suppose $K \in \mathcal{C}$. We want to show there exists a function $u$ such that $e^{2 u} g_{\beta}$ has Gaussian curvature $K$, where $g_{\beta}$ is the unique conformal conical metric with Gaussian curvature 1. The existence of such a metric is equivalent to the existence of a solution $u$ to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=e^{-2 u}\left(1-\Delta_{\beta} u\right) \tag{3.4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the language of this section, it is enough to show that the restriction $\pi_{0}$ of the curvature map to $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{C})$ has $d e g=1$. The assumption that $K \in \mathcal{C}$ guarantees that the map $\pi_{0}$ is a proper Fredholm map of index 0 (see Theorems 3.3.1, 3.2.1). Observe that for given $\gamma, \alpha, k$ satisfying the conditions of the theorem, the subset of $C_{\gamma-2}^{m-2, \alpha}$ consisting of positive functions is convex, thus $\mathcal{C}$ is path-connected and there is a well-defined notion of degree. Clearly the function $K=1 \in \mathcal{C}$. On the other hand, the preimage of $K=1$ under $\pi_{0}$ is given by all solutions to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=e^{-2 u}\left(1-\Delta_{\beta} u\right) \tag{3.4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 2 in [LT92] there exists a unique conical metric $g$ on $S^{2}$ representing the divisor $\beta$ of constant curvature 1 . Since $u=0$ is a solution, it follows that the preimage $\pi_{0}^{-1}(1)=\{0\}$.

Now, the kernel of the differential of the curvature map $\pi_{0}$ under the assumption that $g_{\beta}$ has Gaussian curvature 1 is given by solutions of

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0} \pi(h)=-2 h-\Delta_{\beta} h=0 \tag{3.4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now argue that the first eigenvalue $\lambda$ of the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\beta} h=-\lambda h \tag{3.4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies $\lambda \geq 2$. Moreover, if the lowest possible eigenvalue is achieved, namely $\lambda=2$, then there exists a non-constant solution to the equation $\operatorname{Hess}(f)=-f g$. To see this, we follow the same ideas as in the works of Lichnerowicz and Obata [Lic58; Oba62] which have now become standard. Using Bochner's formula away from the cone points, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\beta}|\nabla h|^{2}=|\operatorname{Hess}(h)|^{2}+g_{\beta}(\nabla \Delta h, \nabla h)+K_{\beta}|\nabla h|^{2} \tag{3.4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Scharwz inequality and the fact that $h$ is an eigenfunction we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\operatorname{Hess}(h)|^{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}(\Delta h)^{2}=-\frac{\lambda}{2} h \Delta_{\beta} h \tag{3.4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining this with Bochner's formula we get the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\beta}|\nabla h|^{2} \geq-\frac{\lambda}{2} h \Delta_{\beta} h-\lambda|\nabla h|^{2}+|\nabla h|^{2} \tag{3.4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now claim

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S^{2}-\left\{p_{1}, \ldots p_{n}\right\}} \Delta_{\beta} f d \text { vol }_{\beta}=0 \tag{3.4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any function $f \in C_{\gamma-1}^{k-1, \alpha}$. For $R>0$ small enough, let $B_{R}\left(p_{k}\right)$ be a geodesic ball of radius $R$ centered at the cone point $p_{k}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{S^{2}-\left\{p_{1}, \ldots p_{n}\right\}} \Delta_{\beta} f d v o l_{\beta}=\int_{S^{2}-\left\{p_{1}, \ldots p_{n}\right\}} \rho^{-2 \beta} \Delta_{+1} f \rho^{2 \beta} d v o l_{+1} & =\lim _{R \rightarrow 0} \int_{S^{2}-\cup_{k=1}^{n} B_{R}\left(p_{k}\right)} \Delta_{+1} f d v o l_{+1} \\
& =\lim _{R \rightarrow 0} \int_{S^{2}-\cup_{k=1}^{n} B_{R}\left(p_{k}\right)} d i v(\nabla f) d v o l_{+1} \\
& =\lim _{R \rightarrow 0} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{S_{R}\left(p_{k}\right)}(\nabla f \cdot \nu) d S
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last equality we have used the divergence theorem, with $S_{R}$ denoting the boundary of $B_{R}\left(p_{k}\right), \nu$ the normal to each boundary circle and $d S$ the area element. As before, the assumption that $f \in C_{\gamma-1}^{k-1, \alpha}$ implies that $\sup _{S^{2}-\left\{p_{1}, \ldots p_{n}\right\}} \rho^{-(\gamma-1)+1}|\nabla f| \leq C$, so that

$$
\int_{S_{R}}\left|\rho^{-\gamma+2} \nabla f \cdot \nu\right| \rho^{\gamma-2} d S \leq C^{\prime} R^{\gamma}
$$

Since $\gamma>0$, taking the limit as $R \rightarrow 0$ we get the desired result in (3.4.9).
Now, using (3.4.9) in combination with (3.4.8), we obtain the inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=\int_{S^{2}-\left\{p_{1}, \ldots p_{n}\right\}} \Delta_{\beta}|\nabla h|^{2} \text { dvol }_{\beta} & \geq \int_{S^{2}-\left\{p_{1}, \ldots p_{n}\right\}}-\frac{\lambda}{2} h \Delta_{\beta} h-\lambda|\nabla h|^{2}+|\nabla h|^{2} d v o l_{\beta} \\
& =\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}-\lambda+1\right)\left(\int_{S^{2}-\left\{p_{1}, \ldots p_{n}\right\}}|\nabla h|^{2} d v o l_{\beta}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the integration by parts is justified as in the proof of Theorem 3.2.1. The previous inequality then shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\lambda}{2}+1 \leq 0 \tag{3.4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $\lambda \geq 2$. Moreover, if $\lambda=2$, then the inequalities become equality, which forces the trace free part of the Hessian of $h$ to vanish, implying that $h$ solves the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
H e s s(h)=\phi g \tag{3.4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can further show that $\phi=-h$. Observe that (3.4.11) implies the existence of a nonconstant solution to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\nabla h} g=-h g \tag{3.4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now suppose $h \in \operatorname{Ker}\left(D_{0} \pi_{0}\right)$, that is, $h$ solves $-2 h=\Delta_{\beta} h=\rho^{-2 \beta} \Delta_{+1} h$, thus $h$ is an eigenfuction corresponding to the lowest possible eigenvalue. The discussion above shows that $h$ satisfies (3.4.12), in other words, $\nabla h$ is a conformal Killing field on $\left(S^{2}, g, \beta\right)$. Equivalently, this means the locally defined flow of $\nabla h$ preserves the conformal structure. Therefore, there exists a nontrivial one-parameter group of conformal transformations. Since the conformal group $\operatorname{Conf}\left(S^{2}, \beta\right)$ is trivial, we must have $h=0$. Thus $K=1$ is a regular value of the curvature map $\pi_{0}$. It now follows that $\operatorname{deg} \pi_{0}=1$, as wanted.
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