### Gromov Invariants of Symplectic Fibrations

A Dissertation Presented

by

Haydee Herrera Guzman

to

The Graduate School

in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

for the Degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Mathematics

State University of New York at Stony Brook

August 1999

## State University of New York at Stony Brook

The Graduate School

Haydee Herrera Guzman

We, the dissertation committee for the above candidate for the Doctor of Philosophy degree, hereby recommend acceptance of the dissertation.

Dusa McDuff

Distinguished Professor of Mathematics

Dissertation Director

H. Blaine Lawson, Jr.

Distinguished Professor of Mathematics Chairman of Defense

Loop Tologo

Leon Takatajan

Professor of Mathematics

Santiago Simanca

Research Scientist

Department of Applied Mathematics and Statistics

Outside Member

This dissertation is accepted by the Graduate School.

Kara Math

Graduate School

## Abstract of the Dissertation Gromov Invariants of Symplectic Fibrations

by

Haydee Herrera Guzman

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Mathematics

State University of New York at Stony Brook

1999

We study the Gromov invariants of the total space of a symplectic fibration  $\pi: W \to M$ , where  $(M, \omega)$  is a symplectic 4-manifold and the fiber is equal to  $S^2$ . We find a relation between the Gromov invariatns of W and those of M, for the homology classes  $\hat{A}$  such that  $\pi(\hat{A}) \neq 0$ . As an application we construct infinitely many symplectic structures on W for M = E(n), the simply connected minimal elliptic surface.

To my parents

### Contents

	Acknowledgements	vii
1	Introduction	1
2	Preliminaries	3
3	Regularity	12
	3.1 Set Up	12
	3.2 Cauchy-Riemann Operator on Symplectic Fibrations	14
	3.3 Regularity of Fibered Almost Complex	
	Structures	19
4	Gromov Invariants	25
	4.1 Main Theorem	25
	4.2 Examples	34
5	Classification of $S^2$ -bundles over 4-manifolds	37
6	Non-deformation equivalent symplectic forms.	40

Bibliography																															44	4
--------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	----	---

#### Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my advisor, Dusa McDuff, for all her support and encouragement during my graduate studies. Her guidance made possible the writing of this thesis.

There are many people in the Department of Mathematics at Stony Brook who have helped me in one way or another. I thank them all for this. Also, I wish to thank all my friends for being there when I needed them.

I wish to thank my parents, Rafael Herrera and Teresa Guzmán, and my siblings Rafael, Edgar and Karina, for all their love, support and encouragement.

Finally, I want to thank the Institute of Mathematics of Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, for their financial and moral support during my studies at Stony Brook.

#### Chapter 1

#### Introduction

In this thesis we study the Gromov invariants of the total space of a symplectic fibration  $\pi:W\to M$ , considering in particular how the Gromov invariants of W and M are related.

We shall work with almost complex structures on W which are in certain sense adapted to the fibration. Such almost complex structures will be called fibered (see definition 2.3 below), and the set of all such structures will be denoted by  $\mathcal{J}_{fib}$ .

Assuming that the structure group of the fibration  $\pi:W\to M$  is a compact group, we prove that the set of regular fibered almost complex structures on W is dense in  $\mathcal{J}_{fib}$  (see Proposition 3.7 below). This regularity result will be essential for the proof of our main theorem. This concerns the special case when the fiber  $F=S^2$  and dim M=4 (see Theorem 4.2), and implies that if there are non-zero Gromov invariants on M, then we can find non-zero Gromov invariants on W (see Corollary 4.4).

We can apply our results to the construction of infinitely many symplectic

structures on some of the 6-manifolds we mentioned. Namely, let M = E(n) be the simply connected minimal elliptic surface with fiber T and first Chern class  $c_1(E(n)) = (2-n)PD(T)$ , and let  $\pi: W \to E(n)$  be any  $S^2$ -bundle. Recall that two symplectic forms are said to be deformation equivalent if they can be joined by a path of symplectic forms.

**Theorem 1.1** W has infinitely many deformation classes of symplectic structures in the same cohomology class  $[\Omega] \in H^2(W, \mathbb{R})$ .

This theorem is a generalization of a result of Ionel and Parker in [6], where they prove the same statement for  $E(n) \times S^2$ , and is related to the stability conjecture of Donaldson, that states that homeomorphic symplectic 4-manifolds when multiplied with  $S^2$  should yield diffeomorphic but not deformation equivalent symplectic manifolds.

#### Chapter 2

#### **Preliminaries**

In this section we study properties of the metrics on a symplectic fibration that are associated to fibered almost complex structures. First, we recall the definition of a symplectic fibration and the conditions under which it supports a symplectic form. Then we define the class of *fibered* almost complex structures.

**Definition 2.1** A locally trivial fibration  $\pi: W \to M$  with fiber F is said to be a symplectic fibration, if  $(F, \sigma)$  is a symplectic manifold and the structure group of the fibration can be reduced to a subgroup G of the group of symplectomorphisms of the fiber  $Symp(F, \sigma)$ .

We shall denote by  $F_x = \pi^{-1}(x)$  the inverse image of  $x \in M$ , and by  $\sigma_x$  the induced symplectic structure on the fiber. We are going to assume that G is compact.

For every point  $y \in W$  denote by  $Vert_y = \ker d\pi(y) = T_y F_{\pi(y)}$  the vertical tangent space. A connection  $\Gamma$  on W is a field of horizontal subspaces  $Hor_y \subseteq T_yW$ , for every  $y \in W$ , such that  $TW \cong Vert \oplus Hor$ , i.e. there exists a bundle

map  $\iota : \pi^*TM \longrightarrow TW$ , such that  $d\pi \circ \iota = id_{\pi^*TM}$ , which implies that the short exact sequence of bundles

$$0 \longrightarrow Vert \longrightarrow TW \longrightarrow \pi^*TM \longrightarrow 0$$

splits.

We say that a two form  $\tau \in \Omega^2(W)$  is compatible with the fibration if  $\tau|_{F_x} = \sigma_x$  for every  $x \in M$ . Every compatible 2-form  $\tau$ , gives rise to a connection  $\Gamma_{\tau}$ , in the following way: for  $y \in W$ ,

$$Hor_y = V_y^{\tau} = \{ w \in T_yW \mid \tau(w, v) = 0, \text{ for every } v \in Vert \}.$$

Conversely, for every connection  $\Gamma$  on W there exists a two form  $\tau$ , such that  $Hor = Vert^{\tau}$ . This form, however, is not unique,  $\tau$  and  $\tau'$  generate the same distribution if Vert is in the kernel of  $\tau - \tau'$ , i.e., if  $\iota(v)(\tau - \tau') = 0$  for every  $v \in Vert$ . Notice that it is not hard to construct a compatible 2-form on any symplectic fibration (see [12]).

Given a connection  $\Gamma$ , every path  $\beta:[0,1]\to M$  determines a diffeomorphism  $\Psi_{\beta}:F_{\beta(0)}\to F_{\beta(1)}$  sending a point  $y_0\in F_{\beta(0)}$  to the end point  $y_1=\overline{\beta}(1)\in F_{\beta(1)}$  of the unique horizontal lift  $\overline{\beta}$  of  $\beta$  at  $y_0$ .  $\Psi_{\beta}$  is called the holonomy of  $\beta$ , or parallel transport along  $\beta$ . The connection  $\Gamma$  is called symplectic if  $\Psi_{\beta}$  is a symplectomorphism  $(F_{\beta(0)},\sigma_{\beta(0)})\to (F_{\beta(1)},\sigma_{\beta(1)})$ , for every  $\beta$ .

If  $\tau$  is a 2-form compatible with the fibration, then the connection  $\Gamma_{\tau}$ , is symplectic if and only if  $\tau$  is vertically closed, that is, if  $d\tau(\eta_1, \eta_2, \cdot) = 0$  for every  $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in Vert$  ([12]). Such a form will be called a connection 2-form on W. In particular, if  $\tau$  is closed then  $\Gamma_{\tau}$  is symplectic. It is not

hard to prove that every symplectic connection  $\Gamma$  has the form  $\Gamma_{\tau}$ , for some vertically closed 2-form  $\tau$  on W (see [12]). However,  $\tau$  need not be closed. The next theorem characterizes the fibrations for which  $\tau$  may be taken to be closed. Guillemin, Lerman and Sternberg in [4] constructed a closed 2-form  $\tau$  using the holonomy of a symplectic connection when the fiber is simply connected. McDuff and Salamon [12] generalized their construction whenever this holonomy is Hamiltonian around every contractible loop in the base.

**Theorem 2.2** [4],[12] Let  $\pi: W \to M$  be a symplectic fibration and  $\Gamma$  be a symplectic connection on W. Then the following are equivalent

- (i) There exist a closed connection 2-form  $\tau \in \Omega^2(W)$ , such that  $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\tau}$ .
- (ii) The holonomy of  $\Gamma$  around any contractible loop in M is Hamiltonian.

As a consequence, we see that if the base manifold  $(M, \omega)$  is symplectic, then W carries a family of compatible symplectic structures given by  $\Omega_{\kappa} = \tau + \kappa \pi^* \omega$ , for  $\kappa$  sufficiently big. For this reason we shall just look at fibrations that support closed connection 2-forms  $\tau$  and Hor will be  $Vert^{\tau}$ .

The next step is to consider almost complex structures on W tamed by  $\Omega$ , it will be convenient to make use of almost complex structures adapted to the fibration in the following way,

**Definition 2.3** An almost complex structure J in W is called fibered if for some symplectic connection  $\Gamma$  on W, we have the following properties

- (i) J is  $\Omega$ -tamed,
- (ii) Hor and Vert are J-invariant,

(iii)  $\pi$  is  $(J, J_M)$ -holomorphic, for some almost complex structure  $J_M$  on M tamed by  $\omega$ .

This set is non-empty and can be constructed as follows.  $Vert \rightarrow W$  is a symplectic vector bundle. Hence it has a complex structure  $J_F$  ([12]). Define J to be the pull-back of  $J_M$  to the horizontal distribution Hor, and to be  $J_F$  on Vert.

Remark 2.4 Assume that  $J_M$  and  $J_F$  are fixed, and let  $Hor = \iota(\pi^*TM)$  and  $Hor' = \iota'(\pi^*TM)$  be two horizontal distributions, and J and J' the corresponding fibered almost complex structures on W. Then  $J|_{Vert} = J_F = J'|_{Vert}$ . We would like to know the difference between J and J' on vectors belonging to Hor. Since both structures are fibered,  $J\iota = \iota J_M$  and  $J'\iota' = \iota' J_M$ . Let  $X \in \pi^*TM$ . Then  $\iota(X) = \iota'(X) + (\iota - \iota')(X)$  and

$$J'\iota(X) = \iota'(J_M X) + J_F(\iota - \iota')(X) = \iota(J_M X) + \theta(X) = J\iota(X) + \theta(X),$$

where  $\theta(X) = J_F(\iota - \iota') - (\iota - \iota')J_M$  is a  $(J_M, J_F)$ -anti-linear homomorphism from  $(\pi^*TM, J_M) \to (Vert, J_F)$ .

Notice that with such a J, if  $u:(\Sigma,j)\to (W,J)$  is (j,J)-holomorphic, then  $v:=\pi\circ u$  is  $(j,J_M)$ -holomorphic. Assume that v is not constant, then if v is somewhere injective, then u has to be somewhere injective as well. We want to study the space of J-holomorphic maps in W, therefore we need to examine the Cauchy-Riemann operator (see Section 3 below), and

for that we need an appropriate metric on W. Namely, choose a G-invariant metric  $g_F$  on F compatible with  $J_F$  and the metric  $g_M(.,.) = \omega(J_M.,.)$  on M. Define the metric g on W to be equal to  $\pi^*g_M$  on H or and to  $g_F$  on V or V which makes H or and V erU perpendicular. Since  $g_F$  is U-invariant, U is a well-defined Riemannian metric on U. Notice in addition that U is U-invariant. Furthermore, with this metric U is a Riemannian submersion, that is, U is an isometry for every U is an

If  $X \in TM$ ,  $\overline{X} \in Hor \subseteq TW$  will denote its horizontal lift. Let  $\nabla$  be the Levi-Civita connection of  $g_M$  and  $\overline{\nabla}$  the Levi-Civita connection of g (See [1]).

Lemma 2.5 For every  $X, Y \in TM$ ,

$$\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}}\overline{Y} = \overline{\nabla}_{X}\overline{Y} + \frac{1}{2}[\overline{X}, \overline{Y}]^{v}, \qquad (2.1)$$

and  $[\overline{X}, \overline{Y}]^v(p)$  only depends on  $\overline{X}(p)$  and  $\overline{Y}(p)$ , for  $p \in W$ .

*Proof.* It is enough to prove that  $\langle \overline{h}, \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}} \overline{Y} \rangle = \langle h, \nabla_X Y \rangle$  for every  $h \in TM$ , and that  $\langle v, \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}} \overline{Y} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle v, [\overline{X}, \overline{Y}] \rangle$ , for every  $v \in Vert$ . First observe that  $\overline{X} \langle \overline{Y}, \overline{Z} \rangle = X \langle Y, Z \rangle$  and that  $d\pi[\overline{X}, v] = 0$ .

Let  $h \in TM$  and  $\overline{h}$  its horizontal lift, then by definition of the Levi-Civita connection in terms of the metric ([1], p. 55)

$$\langle \overline{h}, \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}} \overline{Y} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle \overline{Y} \langle \overline{X}, \overline{h} \rangle + \overline{X} \langle \overline{h}, \overline{Y} \rangle - \overline{h} \langle \overline{Y}, \overline{X} \rangle$$

$$- \langle [\overline{Y}, \overline{h}], \overline{X} \rangle - \langle [\overline{X}, \overline{h}], \overline{Y} \rangle - \langle [\overline{Y}, \overline{X}], \overline{h} \rangle)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \langle Y \langle X, h \rangle + X \langle h, Y \rangle - h \langle Y, X \rangle$$

$$- \langle [Y, h], X \rangle - \langle [X, h], Y \rangle - \langle [Y, X], h \rangle)$$

$$= \langle h, \nabla_X Y \rangle.$$

Similarly, for  $v \in Vert$ ,

$$\langle v, \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}} \overline{Y} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle [\overline{X}, \overline{Y}], v \rangle.$$

To see that  $[\overline{X},\overline{Y}]^v$  is a tensor, let  $f,g:W\to\mathbb{R},$ 

$$[f\overline{X}, g\overline{Y}] = fg[\overline{X}, \overline{Y}] + f\overline{X}(g)\overline{Y} - g\overline{Y}(f)\overline{X},$$

by taking the vertical part of both sides we get that

$$[f\overline{X}, g\overline{Y}]^v = fg[\overline{X}, \overline{Y}]^v.$$

If F is a submanifold of W, then for any  $X, Y \in TF$ ,  $\overline{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X^F Y + B(X,Y)$ , where  $\nabla_X^F Y$  is the tangential component of  $\overline{\nabla}_X Y$ , and B(X,Y) its normal component (called the Second Fundamental form of F). We say that

F is totally geodesic if  $\nabla_X Y = \nabla_X^F Y$ , for every  $X, Y \in TF$ . This means that if  $\gamma$  is a geodesic in F with respect to  $g|_F$ , then  $\gamma$  is a geodesic in W with respect to g (see [1]).

The next lemma is taken from [16] and we include the proof for the convenience of the reader.

**Lemma 2.6** [16] The fibers of  $\pi$  are totally geodesic with respect to q.

Proof. Let  $\alpha:[0,1]\to F$  be a curve parameterized by arc length. We want to prove that  $\overline{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}}\dot{\alpha}$  is a vertical vector. Let h(0) be a horizontal vector at  $\alpha(0)$ . Let  $\beta:[0,1]\to M$  be a curve in M with initial velocity  $\dot{\beta}(0)=\pi_*h(0)$ , then for every  $s\in[0,1]$  we have the maps  $\Psi_{\beta(s)}:F_{\beta(0)}\to F_{\beta(s)}$  or parallel transport.

Define  $\sigma(t,s) = \Psi_{\beta(s)}(\alpha(t))$ . By construction,  $h(t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\sigma(t,0)$  is a horizontal vector field along  $\alpha$ . Define  $f(s) = \int_0^1 \|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\sigma(t,s)\|^2 dt$ , the energy of the curve  $\sigma(t,s)$  for fixed s. Since  $g_F$  is a G-invariant metric, then  $\Psi_{\beta(s)}$  (or parallel transport) is an isometry for every s, and therefore f(s) is a constant function in s, i.e.  $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}f(0) = 0$ .

On the other hand, we have that

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} f(0) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \int_0^1 \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sigma(t,s), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sigma(t,s) \rangle dt \\ &= 2 \int_0^1 \langle \overline{\nabla}_s \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sigma(t,s), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sigma(t,s) \rangle dt \\ &= 2 \int_0^1 \langle \overline{\nabla}_t \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \sigma(t,s), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sigma(t,s) \rangle dt \\ &= 2 (\int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \sigma(t,s), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sigma(t,s) \rangle dt - \int_0^1 \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \sigma(t,s), \overline{\nabla}_t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sigma(t,s) \rangle dt \\ &= 2 \int_0^1 \langle h(t), \overline{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}} \dot{\alpha} \rangle dt. \end{split}$$

Then there exists  $t_1 \in [0,1]$  such that  $\langle h(t_1), \overline{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}} \dot{\alpha}(t_1) \rangle = 0$ . Defining  $\alpha_1(t) = \alpha(\frac{t}{2})$ , for  $t \in [0,1]$ , and applying the same procedure, we can find  $t_2 \in [0,\frac{1}{2}]$  such that  $\langle h(t_2), \overline{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}} \dot{\alpha}(t_2) \rangle = 0$ . This procedure gives a sequence  $\{t_i\}$  such that

- $t_i$  converges to 0,
- $\langle h(t_i), \overline{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}} \dot{\alpha}(t_i) \rangle = 0.$

Therefore  $\langle h(0), \overline{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}} \dot{\alpha}(0) \rangle = 0$ . Since h(0) is an arbitrary horizontal vector, this shows that  $\overline{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}} \dot{\alpha}(0)$  is a vertical vector.

Lemma 2.7 Parallel transport along vertical trajectories preserves the horizontal distribution.

Proof. Let  $x(t) \in F_{y_0}$ , and h(0) a horizontal vector at x(0), then there exists a unique vector field h along x such that  $\overline{\nabla}_{\dot{x}}h = 0$ . We claim that h is horizontal. To see this, let Y be a vertical vector field along x, which is in addition parallel, i.e. such that  $\overline{\nabla}_{\dot{x}}Y = \nabla_{\dot{x}}^F Y = 0$ . Then

$$egin{aligned} rac{d}{dt}\langle h,Y
angle &= \langle \overline{
abla}_{\dot{x}}h,Y
angle + \langle h,\overline{
abla}_{\dot{x}}Y
angle \\ &= \langle h,\overline{
abla}_{\dot{x}}Y
angle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\langle h, Y \rangle$  is constant, and since  $\langle h(0), Y(0) \rangle = 0$ ,  $\langle h, Y \rangle = 0$  along x. Since we can choose a basis of vertical vector fields that in are also parallel for the vertical vector space Vert, h has to be perpendicular to Vert.

Corollary 2.8 Let  $h \in TM$  and  $\overline{h}$  its horizontal lift to TW. Let v be a vertical vector field. Then

- 1.  $\overline{\nabla}_v \overline{h}$  is horizontal.
- 2.  $[v, \overline{h}](p)$  depends on  $\overline{h}(p)$  and the extension of v in a neighborhood of p.

*Proof.* The first statement is immediate from previous lemma. The second statement follows from the fact that  $\overline{\nabla}$  is torsion free, thus  $(\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{h}}v)^v = -[v,h]$ .

#### Chapter 3

#### Regularity

To study the space of J-holomorphic curves in W, we need to study the Cauchy-Riemann operator. In section 3.1 we summarize some basic facts about the moduli space of J-holomorphic curves. In section 3.2, we adapt the previous constructions to our fibered setting, and we establish the the existence of a long exact sequence in cohomology, induced by the short exact sequence

$$0 \to Vert \to TW \to \pi^*TM \to 0$$
,

see Corollary 3.5. The main result in section 3.3 is Proposition 3.3, that states that the set of regular fibered almost complex structures in W is dense in  $\mathcal{J}_{fib}$ .

#### 3.1 Set Up

Let (X, J) be any almost complex manifold,  $\Sigma$  be a closed Riemann surface of genus g, and  $\mathcal{J}(\Sigma)$  the space of almost complex structures on  $\Sigma$ . For g > 1, denote by  $\mathcal{T}_g$  the Teichmüller space of  $\Sigma$ , which parameterizes  $\mathcal{J}(\Sigma)$ . Thus  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{T}_g = 6g - 6$  and there exists a smooth mapping

$$j: \mathcal{T}_g \to \mathcal{J}(\Sigma)$$

$$\tau \mapsto j(\tau).$$

A map  $u:(\Sigma,j(\tau))\to (X,J)$  is called a  $(J,j(\tau))$ -holomorphic map, if

$$\overline{\partial}_J(u) = \frac{1}{2}(du + J \circ du \circ j(\tau)) = 0.$$

For any homology class  $A \in H_2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ , we shall denote by  $\mathcal{M}_g(A,X,J)$  the moduli space of pairs  $(u,\tau)$ , where u is a  $(J,j(\tau))$ -holomorphic, somewhere injective map that represent the homology class A, and  $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_g$ . If we denote by Maps the set of somewhere injective maps  $f: \Sigma \to X$  that represent the homology class A, then we can think of  $\overline{\partial}_J$  as a section of a bundle  $\mathcal{E} \to Maps \times \mathcal{T}_g$ , where the fiber at  $(u,\tau)$  is the space  $\mathcal{E}_{(u,\tau)} = \Omega^{0,1}(u^*TX)$  of smooth J-anti-linear 1-forms on  $\Sigma$  with values in  $u^*TX$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}_g(A,X,J) = \overline{\partial}_J^{-1}(0)$ .

In order for  $\mathcal{M}_g(A,X,J)$  to be a manifold,  $\overline{\partial}_J$  needs to be transversal to the zero section, this means that the image of the linearization of  $\overline{\partial}_J$  at  $(u,\tau)\in\mathcal{M}_g(A,X,J)$ ,

$$d\overline{\partial}_J: T_{(u,\tau)}(Maps \times \mathcal{T}_g) \longrightarrow T_{((u,\tau),0)}\mathcal{E} = T_{(u,\tau)}(Maps \times \mathcal{T}_g) \oplus \mathcal{E}_{(u,\tau)},$$

is complementary to the tangent space of the zero section  $T_{(u,\tau)}(Maps \times \mathcal{T}_g)$ , i.e., when we project  $d\overline{\partial}_J(u)$  onto its vertical part in  $\mathcal{E}_{(u,\tau)}$  we get a map of maximal rank,

$$\mathcal{L}\overline{\partial}_J(u,\tau): C^{\infty}(u^*TX) \oplus T_{\tau}T_g \longrightarrow \Omega^{0,1}(u^*TX).$$

This map is given by

$$\mathcal{L}\overline{\partial}_J(u,\tau)(\xi,s) = Du(\xi) + \frac{1}{2}J \circ du \circ (j_*(s)),$$

where

$$Du: C^{\infty}(u^*TX) \to \Omega^{0,1}(u^*TX)$$

is the linearization of the Cauchy-Riemann equation at u ([13], [14]).

# 3.2 Cauchy-Riemann Operator on Symplectic Fibrations

We want to study the space of J-holomorphic curves in W for classes  $\hat{A}$  such that  $\pi_*(\hat{A}) \neq 0$ , and relate it with the space of  $J_M$ -holomorphic curves in M in class  $A := \pi_*(\hat{A})$ .

Let  $u: \Sigma \to W$  be an embedded *J*-holomorphic curve in class  $\hat{A}$ , such that  $\pi_* \hat{A} = A \neq 0$  and  $v = \pi \circ u: \Sigma \to M$  is an embedding. Recall that the short exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \to \mathit{Vert} \to TW \to \pi^*TM \to 0$$

splits. Then the complex bundle  $(u^*TW, J)$  splits as the direct sum

$$(u^* Vert, J_F) \oplus (v^*TM, J_M),$$

and consequently

$$\Omega^{0,1}(u^*TW, J) = \Omega^{0,1}(u^*Vert, J_F) \oplus \Omega^{0,1}(v^*TM, J_M).$$

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $u: \Sigma \to W$  be as above, then the following diagram commutes

$$0 \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(u^* Vert) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(u^*TW) \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(v^*TM) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad D_{F^u} \downarrow \qquad \qquad D_{u} \downarrow \qquad \qquad D_{v} \downarrow$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^{0,1}(u^* Vert) \longrightarrow \Omega^{0,1}(u^*TW) \longrightarrow \Omega^{0,1}(v^*TM) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where Dv is the linearization of the Cauchy-Riemann equation at v and  $D_Fu = Du|_{C^{\infty}(u^* \text{Vert})}$ .

Proposition 3.2 below proves the commutativity of the second square, and Proposition 3.4 proves the commutativity of the first square.

**Proposition 3.2** Let  $\xi_1 \in C^{\infty}(v^*TM)$ ,  $\overline{\xi}_1$  be its horizontal lift to TW and  $X \in T\Sigma$ . Then  $\pi_*Du(\overline{\xi}_1)(X) = Dv(\xi_1)(X)$ .

Proof. It is not hard to prove that for every  $X \in T\Sigma$  and  $\xi_1 \in C^{\infty}(v^*TM)$ , there exist vector fields  $\tilde{\xi}_1$ ,  $\tilde{X}$  and  $j\tilde{X}$  in M that extend  $\xi_1$ , dv(X) and dv(jX), respectively, in a neighborhood of  $v(\Sigma) \subseteq M$ , with the property that  $[\tilde{\xi}_1, \tilde{X}] = [\tilde{\xi}_1, j\tilde{X}] = 0$  (see [11]). By slight abuse of notation we write  $\xi_1$ , dv(X) and dv(jX) for the extensions to M. Then a formula for the operator

$$Dv: C^{\infty}(v^*TM) \to \Omega^{0,1}(v^*TM),$$

is given by

$$\begin{split} Dv(\xi_1)(X) &= \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_{\xi_1} dv(X) + \nabla_{\xi_1} (Jdv(jX))) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_{dv(X)} \xi_1 + J_M \nabla_{dv(jX)} \xi_1 + (\nabla_{\xi_1} J_M) (dv(jX)), \end{split}$$

whose horizontal lift to TW is

$$\overline{Dv(\xi_1)(X)} = \frac{1}{2} (\overline{\nabla_{dv(X)}\xi_1 + J_M \nabla_{dv(jX)}\xi_1 + (\nabla_{\xi_1}J_M)(dv(jX))}).$$

On the other hand,

$$Du(\overline{\xi}_1)(X) = \frac{1}{2}(\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{\xi_1}}du(X) + \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{\xi_1}}(Jdu(jX))).$$

Define  $X^v = du(X) - \overline{dv(X)}$ , then

$$Du(\overline{\xi}_1)(X) = \frac{1}{2} (\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{\xi_1}} X^v + \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{\xi_1}} \overline{dv(X)} + \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{\xi_1}} (J(jX)^v) + \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{\xi_1}} (J\overline{dv(jX)})).$$

Since  $\overline{\nabla}$  is torsion-free,

$$Du(\overline{\xi}_{1})(X) = \frac{1}{2} (\overline{\nabla}_{X^{v}} \overline{\xi}_{1} + \overline{\nabla}_{J(jX)^{v}} \overline{\xi}_{1} + [\overline{\xi}_{1}, X^{v}] + [\overline{\xi}_{1}, J(jX)^{v}]$$

$$+ \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{dv(X)}} \overline{\xi}_{1} + J \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{dv(jX)}} \overline{\xi}_{1} + (\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{\xi}_{1}} J) (\overline{dv(jX)})$$

$$+ [\overline{\xi}_{1}, \overline{dv(X)}] + J[\overline{\xi}_{1}, \overline{dv(jX)}]).$$

Now we use Lemma 2.5 (2.1) and the fact that  $\overline{\nabla}_{X^{\eta}}\overline{\xi}_1 + \overline{\nabla}_{J(jX)^{\eta}}\overline{\xi}_1 = 0$ ,

$$Du(\overline{\xi}_{1})(X) = \frac{1}{2} (\overline{\nabla}_{dv(X)} \overline{\xi}_{1} + \overline{J_{M}} \overline{\nabla}_{dv(jX)} \overline{\xi}_{1} + (\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{\xi}_{1}} J) (\overline{dv(jX)})$$

$$+ [\overline{\xi}_{1}, X^{v}] + [\overline{\xi}_{1}, J(jX)^{v}] + [\overline{\xi}_{1}, \overline{dv(X)}] + J[\overline{\xi}_{1}, \overline{dv(jX)}]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} [\overline{dv(X)}, \overline{\xi}_{1}]^{v} + \frac{1}{2} J[\overline{dv(jX)}, \overline{\xi}_{1}]^{v}),$$

and also

$$(\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{\xi}_1}J)(\overline{dv(jX)}) = \overline{(\nabla_{\xi_1}J)(\overline{dv(jX)})} + \frac{1}{2}[\overline{\xi}_1,\overline{J_Mdv(jX)}]^v - \frac{1}{2}J[\overline{\xi}_1,\overline{dv(jX)}]^v.$$

Then

$$Du(\overline{\xi}_{1})(X) = \frac{1}{2} (\overline{\nabla}_{dv(X)} \xi_{1} + J_{M} \nabla_{dv(jX)} \xi_{1} + (\nabla_{\xi_{1}} J)(dv(jX)))$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} [\overline{\xi}_{1}, \overline{J_{M} dv(jX)}]^{v} - \frac{1}{2} J[\overline{\xi}_{1}, \overline{dv(jX)}]^{v}$$

$$+ [\overline{\xi}_{1}, X^{v}] + [\overline{\xi}_{1}, J(jX)^{v}] + [\overline{\xi}_{1}, \overline{dv(X)}] + J[\overline{\xi}_{1}, \overline{dv(jX)}]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} [\overline{dv(X)}, \overline{\xi}_{1}]^{v} + \frac{1}{2} J[\overline{dv(jX)}, \overline{\xi}_{1}]^{v})$$

$$= \overline{Dv(\xi_{1})(X)} + \frac{1}{2} [\overline{\xi}_{1}, X^{v}] + \frac{1}{2} J[\overline{\xi}_{1}, (jX)^{v}],$$

the last equality follows from the fact that we assumed that  $[\xi_1, dv(X)] = 0 = [\xi_1, dv(jX)].$ 

Remark 3.3  $[\overline{\xi}_1, X^v]$  depends on  $\overline{\xi}_1(u(z))$  and the extension of  $X^v$  along  $\overline{\xi}_1$ . It is, therefore, an operator of order 0. Analogously,  $[\overline{\xi}_1, J(jX)^v]$  is an operator of order 0.

**Proposition 3.4** Let  $\xi_2 \in C^{\infty}(u^*V)$  and  $X \in T\Sigma$ . Then  $Du(\xi_2)(X) \in C^{\infty}(u^*Vert)$ .

Proof.

$$Du\xi_2(X) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_{\xi_2}du(X) + \nabla_{\xi_2}(Jdu(jX))),$$

as before, let  $X^v = du(X) - \overline{dv(X)}$ ,

$$Du\xi_2(X) = \frac{1}{2}(\overline{\nabla}_{\xi_2}X^v + \nabla_{\xi_2}(J(jX)^v) + \overline{\nabla}_{\xi_2}\overline{dv(X)} + \overline{\nabla}_{\xi_2}(J\overline{dv(jX)})),$$

using that  $\overline{\nabla}$  is torsion free, then

$$Du\xi_{2}(X) = \frac{1}{2} (\overline{\nabla}_{X^{v}} \xi_{2} + J \overline{\nabla}_{(jX)^{v}} \xi_{2} + (\overline{\nabla}_{\xi_{2}} J)(jX)^{v} + \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{dv(X)}} \xi_{2}$$

$$+ \nabla_{J\overline{dv(jX)}} \xi_{2} + [\xi_{2}, X^{v}] + J[\xi_{2}, (jX)^{v}] + [\xi_{2}, \overline{dv(X)}] + [\xi_{2}, J\overline{dv(jX)}])$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (\overline{\nabla}_{X^{v}} \xi_{2} + J \overline{\nabla}_{(jX)^{v}} \xi_{2} + (\overline{\nabla}_{\xi_{2}} J)(jX)^{v} + [\xi_{2}, X^{v}] + J[\xi_{2}, (jX)^{v}]).$$

By Lemma 2.7,  $\overline{\nabla}_{X^v}\xi_2$ ,  $\overline{\nabla}_{(jX)^v}\xi_2$  and  $(\overline{\nabla}_{\xi_2}J)(jX)^v$  are vertical vectors. Therefore  $Du\xi_2(X) \in C^\infty(u^*V)$ .

Therefore  $Du(\xi) = D_M u(\overline{\xi}_1) + D_F u(\xi_2)$ , where

$$D_M u = Du|_{C^{\infty}(v^*TM)} : C^{\infty}(v^*TM) \longrightarrow \Omega^{0,1}(u^*TW)$$

and

$$D_F u = Du|_{C^{\infty}(u^* Vert)} : C^{\infty}(u^* Vert) \longrightarrow \Omega^{0,1}(u^* Vert).$$

By Proposition 3.2,  $D_M u = D_M^h u + D_M^v u$ , where  $D_M^h u(\overline{\xi}_1) = \overline{Dv(\xi_1)}$  and  $D_M^v u : C^{\infty}(v^*TM) \to C^{\infty}(u^*Vert)$  is compact of order 0.

Theorem 3.1 implies the following

Corollary 3.5 Let  $u: \Sigma \to W$  be a *J*-holomorphic map representing the class  $\hat{A}$  such that  $\pi_*(\hat{A}) \neq 0$ , then we have the following long exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0(\Sigma, u^* \operatorname{Vert}) \to H^0(\Sigma, u^*TW) \to H^0(\Sigma, v^*TM) \stackrel{\delta}{\longrightarrow}$$

$$H^1(\Sigma, u^* Vert) \to H^1(\Sigma, u^*TW) \to H^1(\Sigma, v^*TM) \to 0,$$

where  $\delta = D_M^v u$ .

In this language,  $H^1(\Sigma, u^*TW) = 0$  is equivalent to the surjectivity of  $\mathcal{L}\overline{\partial}_J(u,\tau) = Du + \frac{1}{2}J \circ du \circ j_*$ . Then the statement  $\mathcal{L}\overline{\partial}_J(u,\tau)$  is surjective if and only if  $Dv + \frac{1}{2}J_M \circ du \circ j_*$  and  $D_M^v u + D_F u + \frac{1}{2}(J \circ du \circ j_*)^v$  are surjective is equivalent to  $H^1(\Sigma, u^*TW) = 0$  if and only if  $H^1(\Sigma, v^*TM) = 0$  and  $\delta$  is onto. For example, if  $c_1(Vert) \cdot \hat{A} \geq 2g - 1$ , then  $D_F$  is automatically surjective ([5]), i.e.  $H^1(\Sigma, u^*Vert) = 0$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}\overline{\partial}_J(u,\tau)$  is surjective if and only if  $Dv + \frac{1}{2}J_M \circ du \circ j_*$  is.

## 3.3 Regularity of Fibered Almost Complex Structures

As above, we denote by  $\mathcal{M}_g(\hat{A}, W, J)$  the moduli space of pairs  $(u, \tau)$ , where u is a somewhere injective  $(j(\tau), J)$ -holomorphic map  $\Sigma \to W$ , such that  $[u(\Sigma)] = \hat{A}$ , and  $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_g$ , and by  $\mathcal{M}_g(A, M, J_M)$  the corresponding moduli space of  $J_M$ -holomorphic maps in M representing the class A, and  $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_g$ . We say that a class  $\hat{A}$  is simple, if is not a multiple of any other class.

**Definition 3.6** Let  $(u, \tau) \in \mathcal{M}_g(\hat{A}, W, J)$ , we say that  $(u, \tau, J)$  is regular if  $\mathcal{L}\overline{\partial}_J(u, \tau)$  is onto.

Let

$$\mathcal{J}_{reg}(\hat{A}) = \{J | \mathcal{L}\overline{\partial}_J(u,\tau) \text{ is onto for every } (u,\tau) \in \mathcal{M}_g(\hat{A},W,J)\},$$

$$\mathcal{J}_{reg} = \cap_{\hat{A}} \mathcal{J}_{reg}(\hat{A}),$$

and denote by  $\mathcal{M}_g(\hat{A}, W, \mathcal{J}_{fib})$  the set

$$\{(u, \tau, J) \in Maps \times \mathcal{T}_g \times \mathcal{J}_{fib} \mid u \text{ is } J\text{-}holomorphic}\}.$$

**Proposition 3.7** Let  $\hat{A} \in H_2(W, \mathbb{Z})$  be a simple class such that  $\pi_* \hat{A} \neq 0$ , then  $\mathcal{M}_g(\hat{A}, W, \mathcal{J}_{fib})$  is a smooth Banach manifold. Furthermore, the regular fibered almost complex structures on W form a set of the second category in  $\mathcal{J}_{\{\}}$ .

Proof. This proof is a modification of the one of Proposition 3.4.1 in [13]. Let  $J \in \mathcal{J}_{fib}$ . The tangent space  $T_J \mathcal{J}_{fib}$  consists of sections of the bundle End(TW, J), whose fiber at any point  $p \in W$  is the space of linear maps  $Y: T_pW \to T_pW$  of the form  $Y = Y_1 + Y_2 + \theta$ , where  $Y_1: (\pi^*TM)_p \to (\pi^*TM)_p$ ,  $Y_2: Vert_p \to Vert_p$ ,  $\theta: (\pi^*TM)_p \to Vert_p$ , and JY + YJ = 0 (this happens if and only if,  $J_iY_i + Y_iJ_i = 0$ , for i = 1, 2 and  $\theta$  is a  $(J_M, J_F)$ -anti-linear homomorphism, see Remark 2.4).

As in Section 3.1, let  $\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow Maps \times \mathcal{T}_g \times \mathcal{J}$  be the bundle whose fiber at  $(u, \tau, J)$  is the space  $\mathcal{E}_{(u,\tau,J)} = \Omega^{0,1}(u^*TW)$ . Then we can define a section  $\mathcal{F}$  of this bundle by  $\mathcal{F}(u,\tau,J) = \overline{\partial}_J(u)$ . We need to prove that

$$D\mathcal{F}(u,\tau,J): C^{\infty}(u^*TW) \times T_{\tau}\mathcal{T}_g \times End(TW,J) \longrightarrow \Omega^{0,1}(u^*TW)$$

$$D\mathcal{F}(u,\tau,J)(\xi,s,Y) = Du(\xi) + \frac{1}{2}J \circ du \circ j_*(s) + \frac{1}{2}Y(u) \circ du \circ j(\tau)$$

is onto for all  $J \in \mathcal{J}_{fib}$  and for all  $(u, \tau) \in \mathcal{M}(\hat{A}, W, J)$ , where  $D\mathcal{F}$  is the linearization of  $\mathcal{F}$  composed with the projection onto  $\mathcal{E}_{(u,\tau,J)}$ . Since Du is

Fredholm we only need to prove that the image of  $D\mathcal{F}(u,\tau,J)$  is dense, i.e. that for every  $\eta \in \Omega^{0,1}(u^*TW)$  there exist  $Y \in End(TW,J)$  and  $z \in S^2$  such that  $\langle Y(u(z)) \circ du(z) \circ j, \eta(z) \rangle \neq 0$ .

Let  $z \in S^2$  be such that  $du(z) \neq 0$  and  $u^{-1}u(z) = \{z\}$ . Such a point exists since we are considering somewhere injective maps.

Observe that if Du is not surjective, then there exists  $\eta \in \Omega^{0,1}(u^*TW)$  such that  $\langle Du(\xi), \eta \rangle = 0$ . Since  $\eta = \eta^v + \eta^h$ , we can consider each part separately. If  $\eta \in \Omega^{0,1}(u^*\pi^*TM)$ , it is easy to find  $Y_1(u(z)) : (\pi^*TM)_{u(z)} \to (\pi^*TM)_{u(z)}$  for which  $\langle Y_1(u(z)) \circ \overline{dv_z} \circ j, \eta(z) \rangle \neq 0$ . If  $\eta \in \Omega^{0,1}(u^*Vert)$ ,

$$\langle Y(u) \circ du \circ j, \eta \rangle = \langle Y_2(u) \circ (du - \overline{dv}) \circ j, \eta \rangle + \langle \theta \circ \overline{dv} \circ j, \eta \rangle.$$

Then we have two cases. First, suppose that  $du(X) - \overline{dv(X)} \neq 0$ , then it is easy to see that there exists  $Y_2 : Vert_{u(z)} \to Vert_{u(z)}$ , such that  $\langle Y_2(u(z)) \circ (du_z - \overline{dv_z}) \circ j, \eta(z) \rangle \neq 0$ . Second, if for all z's as above,  $du_z(X) - \overline{dv_z(X)} = 0$  for every  $X \in T\Sigma$ , that is, if du is tangent to the horizontal distribution. Then we can perturb the horizontal distribution to destroy the tangency at some point, this is equivalent to find  $\theta : (\pi^*TM)_{u(z)} \to Vert_{u(z)}$  such that  $\langle \theta \circ \overline{dv_z} \circ j, \eta(u(z)) \rangle \neq 0$  (see Remark 2.4).

As in [13], Theorem 3.1.2(ii), we can consider the projection

$$\mathcal{M}_g(\hat{A}, W, \mathcal{J}_{fib}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{fib}.$$

A regular value J of this projection is an almost complex structure such that  $\mathcal{L}\overline{\partial}_J(u,\tau)$  is onto for every  $(u,\tau)\in\mathcal{M}_g(\hat{A},W,J)$ . By the Sard-Smale theorem, the set of regular and fibered almost complex structures is of the second category (i.e. countable intersection of open and dense sets).

Now let  $J_M$  be a generic almost complex structure on M,  $v: \Sigma \to M$  be a  $J_M$  holomorphic curve, and  $C = v(\Sigma)$ . Let  $X_C = \pi^{-1}(C) \subset W$ .

**Definition 3.8** Let  $J_M$  and C be as above. The set of  $J \in \mathcal{J}_{fib}$  such that  $\pi_*J = J_M\pi_*$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{J}_{fib,J_M}$ . We say that  $J \in \mathcal{J}_{fib,J_M} \cap \mathcal{J}_{reg}$  is super regular for C, if  $J|_{X_C}$  is also regular.

Then we have the following

**Lemma 3.9** Given  $J_M$  regular and C as above, the set of super regular almost complex structures for C in  $\mathcal{J}_{fib,J_M}$  is of the second category in  $\mathcal{J}_{fib,J_M}$ .

Proof. First of all,  $\mathcal{J}_{fib,J_M} \cap \mathcal{J}_{reg}$  is a set of the second category. To see this one can apply the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.7. In fact, if  $J_M$  is regular, then  $D_M^h u + \frac{1}{2} (J \circ du \circ j_*)^h = Dv + \frac{1}{2} J_M \circ dv \circ j_*$  is surjective and then we can cover  $\Omega^{0,1}(u^* Vert)$  with variations in J of the form  $Y = Y_2 + \theta$  (see Proposition 3.7).

Second,  $X_C := \pi^{-1}(C) \subset W$  is a symplectic fibration over C with fiber  $(F, \sigma)$ . As above, the set of regular fibered almost complex structures on  $X_C$  is a set of the second category. Note also that we have a map

$$\mathcal{J}^W_{fib,J_M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}^{X_C}_{fib}$$

given by

$$J\mapsto J|_{X_G}$$
.

This map is onto, since we can extend any symplectic connection on  $X_C$  to a symplectic connection on W, which implies that we can extend any fibered almost complex structures on  $X_C$  to a fibered almost complex structure on W (see Remark 2.4). Therefore the set of super regular almost complex structures for C is the interesection of  $\mathcal{J}_{fib,J_M} \cap \mathcal{J}_{reg}$  with the inverse image of  $\mathcal{J}_{fib}^{X_C} \cap \mathcal{J}_{reg}^{X_C}$ .

Notice, that this lemma does not imply that there exist  $J \in \mathcal{J}_{fib} \cap \mathcal{J}_{reg}$  such that for every  $J_M$ -holomorphic curve C,  $J|_{X_C}$  is regular. In fact, it is possible for a  $J \in \mathcal{J}_{fib} \cap \mathcal{J}_{reg}$  to admit a J-holomorphic curve  $\hat{C} \subseteq W$  such that  $\hat{C}$  represents a class in  $X_C$  that would not be represented for generic almost complex structure in  $X_C$  (see Example 2 at the end of Chapter 4).

If J is generic then  $\mathcal{M}_g(\hat{A}, W, J)$  is a smooth manifold of dimension

$$2(n+1-3)(1-g)+2c_1(W)\cdot \hat{A}+\dim G_g$$

and

dim 
$$\mathcal{M}_g(A, M, J_M) = 2(n-3)(1-g) + 2c_1(M) \cdot A + \dim G_g$$

where  $G_g$  is the reparameterization group. Thus  $G_0 = PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $G_1$  is the extension of  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  by the torus  $T^2$ , and for  $g \geq 2$ ,  $G_g$  is the mapping class group. There is an obvious map  $pr : \mathcal{M}_g(\hat{A}, W, J) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_g(A, M, J_M)$ , given by  $pr(u) = \pi \circ u$ .

Proposition 3.10 Assume A is a simple class. If  $J \in \mathcal{J}_{reg} \cap \mathcal{J}_{fib}$  then  $J_M \in \mathcal{J}_{reg}(A)$ . Furthermore, If  $c_1(Vert) \cdot \hat{A} = g - 1$  then pr is orientation preserving.

*Proof.* The first statement is an obvious consequence of Corollary 3.5. The hypothesis  $c_1(Vert) \cdot \hat{A} = g - 1$  implies that

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_g(\hat{A}, W, J) = \dim \mathcal{M}_g(A, M, J_M).$$

Recall that an orientation of  $\mathcal{M}_g(\hat{A}, W, J)$  is just a nowhere vanishing section of the complex line bundle  $\det(Du) = \bigwedge^{max} \operatorname{Ker} Du$  (up to multiplication by positive functions). We let

$$Du^J = \frac{1}{2}(Du - JDuJ),$$

that is a J-linear operator between Banach spaces ([14]). Given the decomposition of Du into its vertical and horizontal parts,  $Du^J = (D_M^h u)^J + (D_M^v u)^J + D_F u^J$ . Moreover,  $Du = Du^J + Z_u$ , where  $Z_u$  is a 0-th order term. Let

$$Du^{t} = (D_{M}^{h}u)^{J} + D_{F}u^{J} + t(D_{M}^{v}u)^{J} + tZ_{u}.$$

Then  $\det(Du^t) = \det(Du^0)$  for every t. On the other hand,  $\operatorname{Ker} D_M^h u$  and  $\operatorname{Ker} D_F u$  are complex vector spaces and their complex structure induce the complex structure on  $\operatorname{Ker}(Du)$ . Therefore the canonical orientations of  $\det(D_M^h u)$  and  $\det(D_F u)$  induce the canonical orientation of  $\det(Du)$ .

#### Chapter 4

#### **Gromov Invariants**

In this section we prove the main theorem of this paper, Theorem 4.2. We say that  $A \in H_2(M, \mathbb{Z})$  is a simple class if it is not a multiple of any other class. Theorem 4.2 implies that if there are non-zero Gromov invariants on M in the simple class A, then we can find non-zero Gromov invariants on W in the class  $\hat{A}$ , provided that  $\pi_*\hat{A} = A$  and  $c_1(Vert) \cdot \hat{A} \geq g - 1$ . We shall use the language of [13] throughout this section.

#### 4.1 Main Theorem

Let us recall the definition of Gromov invariants for a symplectic manifold  $(X, \omega)$  of dimension 2n. Let J be an almost complex structure on X tamed by  $\omega$ , and let  $A \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  be a simple class.  $(X, \omega, J)$  is called weakly monotone if for every spherical homology class  $B \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ 

$$\omega(B) > 0$$
 and  $c_1(X) \cdot B \ge 3 - n$  imply  $c_1(X) \cdot B \ge 0$ .

The Gromov invariants of  $(X, \omega)$  are a collection of homomorphisms

$$\Phi_{A,q}: H_d(X^p, \mathbb{Z})/Tor \to \mathbb{Z}$$

defined as follows. First of all, observe that the reparameterization group  $G_g$  acts on  $\mathcal{M}_g(A,X,J)\times \Sigma^p$ , by  $\phi\cdot (u,z_1,\ldots,z_p)=(u\circ\phi^{-1},\phi(z_1),\ldots,\phi(z_p)),$   $\phi\in G_g$ . Since  $u\circ\phi^{-1}$  has the same image as u, let us divide by this action. Let

$$ev_{p,X}: \mathcal{M}_q(A,X,J) \times_{G_q} (\Sigma)^p \longrightarrow X^p$$

be the evaluation map given by,

$$ev_{p,X}([u,z_1,\ldots,z_p]) = (u(z_1),\ldots,u(z_p)).$$

If  $(X, \omega)$  is weakly monotone and A is a simple class, then

$$ev_{p,X}(\mathcal{M}_g(A,X,J)\times_{G_g}(\Sigma)^p)$$

can be compactified by adding spaces of cusp curves of codimension less or equal to 2. In this case  $ev_{p,X}$  defines a pseudo-cycle of dimension

$$2(n-3)(1-g) + 2c_1(X) \cdot A + 2p,$$

and therefore a homology class in  $X^p$ . Let  $\alpha \in H_d(X^p, \mathbb{Z})/T$  or be represented by a pseudo-cycle  $f: D \to X^p$  transverse to  $ev_{p,X}$ . Then we set

$$\Phi_{A,g}(\alpha) := \begin{cases} ev_{p,X} \cdot f & \text{if } d = 2np - \dim \mathcal{M}(A,X,J) \times_G (\Sigma)^p, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$(4.1)$$

If  $\alpha = \alpha_1 \times \cdots \times \alpha_p$ , with  $\alpha_i \in H_*(X, \mathbb{Z})$ , then we write  $\Phi_A(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_p)$  for  $\Phi_A(\alpha)$ .

Given the fact that we can compactify  $ev_{p,X}(\mathcal{M}_g(A,X,J)\times_{G_g}(\Sigma)^p)$  with spaces of codimension greater or equal to 2, then one can prove that  $\Phi_{A,g}(\alpha)$  is independent of the choice of generic J and of the pseudo-cycle representing  $\alpha$ . Furthermore,  $\Phi_{A,g}(\alpha)$  is an invariant of the deformation class of  $\omega$ . If A is not a simple class, i.e. if A=mB with m>1 and  $c_1(X)\cdot B>0$ , then multiply covered curves representing B form a space of codimension  $\geq 2$  in  $ev_{p,X}(\mathcal{M}_g(A,X,J)\times_{G_g}(\Sigma)^p)$  and so do not contribute to  $\Phi_{A,g}$ . However, if  $c_1(X)\cdot B=0$ , the moduli spaces  $\mathcal{M}_g(A,X,J)$  and  $\mathcal{M}_g(B,X,J)$  have the same virtual dimension and multiple covers of B may contribute to  $\Phi_{A,g}$ . In dimension 4, the classes B with this property are the ones that can be represented by embedded curves of genus g=1 and with self intersection  $B\cdot B=0$  ([9], [13]).

The next Proposition is taken from [14], and expresses some basic properties of these invariants.

**Proposition 4.1** (Ruan-Tian) Let  $(X, \omega)$  be a weakly monotone symplectic manifold, if A is a simple class, then

1. 
$$\Phi_{A,g}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p) = 0$$
, if dim  $\alpha_p = 2n$ .

2. 
$$\Phi_{A,g}(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_p)=(\alpha_p\cdot A)\Phi_{A,g}(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_{p-1})$$
, if dim  $\alpha_p=2n-2$ .

In what follows, M will denote a symplectic 4-manifold and  $F=S^2$ , therefore W will be a 6-dimensional manifold. From the dimensional condition

(4.1), if  $\hat{A} \in H_2(W, \mathbb{Z})$  is a simple class, then  $\Phi_{\hat{A},g}(\hat{\alpha}_1, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_p) \neq 0$  only if

$$d = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \dim \,\hat{\alpha}_i = 4p - 2c_1(W) \cdot \hat{A}. \tag{4.2}$$

Similarly, if A is a simple class in M, then  $\Phi_{A,g}(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_p)\neq 0$  only if

$$d = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \dim \alpha_i = 2p - 2(g-1) - 2c_1(M) \cdot A. \tag{4.3}$$

Notice that, by Proposition 4.1, the relevant Gromov invariants of M occur when  $\alpha_i = [pt] \in H_0(M, \mathbb{Z}), i = 1, ...p$ . Let  $\{x_1, ...x_p\}$  be a set of generic points in M, then according with (4.3),

$$\Phi_{A,g}(x_1,\ldots,x_p) \neq 0$$
 only if  $p = (g-1) + c_1(M) \cdot A$ ,

that is, when  $ev_{p,M}$  is a pseudo-cycle of the same dimension as  $M^p$ .

Let  $p_1 = c_1(M) \cdot A + (g-1)$  and  $p_2 = c_1(Vert) \cdot \hat{A} - (g-1)$ . Notice that if  $\hat{A}$  is a class in W, such that  $\pi_*(\hat{A}) = A$ , then  $c_1(W) \cdot \hat{A} = c_1(M) \cdot A + c_1(Vert) \cdot \hat{A}$ , thus dim  $\mathcal{M}(\hat{A}, W, J)/G_g = 2c_1(W) \cdot \hat{A} = 2p_1 + 2p_2$ . Observe that to have some relation between the invariants in M and the invariants in W there is an obvious numerical constraint: from (4.2) we see that

$$p = \frac{1}{4} (\sum_{i=1}^{p} \dim \, \hat{\alpha}_i + 2p_1 + 2p_2)$$

and from (4.3)

$$p = \frac{1}{2} (\sum_{i=1}^{p} \dim \pi_* \hat{\alpha}_i + 2p_1).$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} \dim \, \hat{\alpha}_i - 2\dim \, \pi_* \hat{\alpha}_i = 2p_1 - 2p_2. \tag{4.4}$$

Let  $B_j \in H_2(M, \mathbb{Z})$  and  $\hat{B}_j \in H_2(W, \mathbb{Z})$ , for  $j = 1, \ldots n$  be such that  $\pi_*(\hat{B}_j) = B_j$ , for every j. Take m generic points  $y_i$  in W, for  $i = 1, \ldots m$ , l generic fibers  $F_k$ , for  $k = 1, \ldots, l$ , and let  $x_i = \pi(y_i)$ , for  $i = 1, \ldots m$  and  $x_{m+k} = \pi(F_k)$ , for  $k = 1, \ldots, l$ .

**Theorem 4.2** Let  $A \in H_2(M, \mathbb{Z})$  be a simple class, and let  $\hat{A} \in H_2(W, \mathbb{Z})$  be a class such that  $\pi_* \hat{A} = A$  and  $c_1(Vert) \cdot \hat{A} \geq g - 1$ . Then

$$\Phi_{\hat{A},g}(y_1,\dots,y_m,F_1,\dots,F_l,\hat{B}_1,\dots,\hat{B}_n) = 2^g \Phi_{A,g}(x_1,\dots,x_{m+l},B_1,\dots,B_n),$$
(4.5)

provided that  $2m + n + l = c_1(W) \cdot \hat{A}$  and  $m + l \ge p_1$ . If  $m + l > p_1$ , then both sides are equal to 0.

Proof. We choose n pseudo-cycles  $(D_i, f_i)$  to represent  $\hat{B}_i$ , where  $f_j : D_j \to W$ ,  $[f_j(D_j)] = \hat{B}_j$ , and l pseudo-cycles  $(E_k, g_k)$  to represent F, where  $g_k : E_k \to W$ ,  $[g_k(E_k)] = F$  and  $\pi(g_k(E_k)) = pt$ . Then we can represent

$$\Pi pt \times \Pi \hat{B}_j \times \Pi F_k$$

by

$$(D = \Pi y_i \times \Pi D_j \times \Pi E_k, R = \Pi f_j \times \Pi g_k),$$

and

$$\Pi pt \times \Pi B_j$$

by

$$(\Pi x_i \times \Pi B_j, r = \pi^p \circ R).$$

Recall that there is a map  $pr: \mathcal{M}(\hat{A}, J, W) \to \mathcal{M}(A, J_M, M)$ . We can extend it to a map  $\mathcal{M}(\hat{A}, J, W) \times_G \Sigma^p \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(A, J_M, M) \times_G \Sigma^p$  and obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\mathcal{M}(\hat{A}, J, W) \times_{G} \Sigma^{p} \xrightarrow{ev_{p,W}} W^{p}$$

$$\downarrow^{pr} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{\pi^{p}}$$

$$\mathcal{M}(A, J_{M}, M) \times_{G} \Sigma^{p} \xrightarrow{ev_{p,M}} M^{p}.$$

We can apply Lemma 4.3 below with  $e = ev_{p,W}$  and f = R. Then we can assume that  $ev_{p,W}$  and R are transverse, as well as  $ev_{p,M}$  and  $r = \pi^p \circ R$ , and that in M intersection with  $B_1, \ldots, B_n$  occurs away from  $B_i \cap B_j$ .

If  $m+l>c_1(M)\cdot A+(g-1)=p_1$ , then we can perturb r and R such that

$$ev_{p,M}(\mathcal{M}(A,J_M,M)\times_G\Sigma^p)\cap h(D)=\emptyset.$$

Therefore both sides of (4.5) are equal to 0.

For the rest of the proof we shall assume that  $p_1 = c_1(M) \cdot A + (g-1) = m+l$ . Notice that by hypothesis,  $c_1(W) \cdot \hat{A} = p_1 + p_2 = 2m+n+l$ . Then  $p_2 = m+l$ . In this case

$$ev_{p,M}(\mathcal{M}(A,M,J_M))\cap r(D)$$

consists of a finite collection of points,

$$[v, z_1, \cdots, z_p] \in \mathcal{M}(A, M, J_M) \times_G \Sigma^p$$

such that  $v(z_i) = x_i$ , i = 1, ...m,  $v(z_{m+j}) \in \pi(f_j(D_j))$ , j = 1, ..., n, and  $v(z_{m+n+k}) \in \pi(g_k(E_k))$ , k = 1, ..., l. Since these intersections occur away from  $B_i \cap B_j$ , then we can assume that all points  $z_1, ..., z_p$  are distinct. Let  $C = v(\Sigma)$  and  $X_C = \pi^{-1}(C)$ . By Lemma 3.9 we can assume that J is super regular for these C's. If  $u : \Sigma \to W$  is a J-holomorphic map, with  $u(\Sigma) = \hat{C}$  such that C is its projection onto M, then  $\hat{C}$  lies in the ruled surface  $\pi^{-1}(C) = X_C$ . It follows that  $\hat{C}$  is a representative of the section class  $\hat{A}$ . Therefore, roughly speaking, to prove the theorem it is enough to count the number of J-holomorphic curves representing  $\hat{A}$  in  $X_C$ .

The inverse image of  $[v, z_1, \dots, z_p]$  under pr is the set of points  $[u, w_1, \dots, w_p] \in \mathcal{M}_g(\hat{A}, W, J) \times_G \Sigma^p$ , such that  $\pi \circ u \circ \phi = v$  and  $\phi(w_i) = z_i$ , for some  $\phi \in G$ , for every  $i = 1, \dots p$ . Up to reparameterization, this is the set of sections of the bundle  $X_C \to C$  that represent the class  $\hat{A}$ . We know that its virtual dimension is

$$2\hat{A} \cdot \hat{A} + 2(1-g) = 2c_1(Vert) \cdot \hat{A} + 2(1-g) = 2p_2.$$

Therefore

$$pr^{-1}(\{v\} \times \Sigma^p) = \mathcal{M}(\hat{A}, X_C, J|_{X_C}) \times_{G_g} \Sigma^p$$

has dimension  $2p_2 + 2p$ .

On the other hand,

$$(\pi^p)^{-1}(C^p) = X_C^p \subseteq W^p,$$

and so

$$ev_{p,W}|_{pr^{-1}(\{v\}\times\Sigma^p)}: \mathcal{M}(\hat{A}, X_C, J|_{X_C})\times_G\Sigma^p\to X_C^p.$$

Observe that,  $X_C \cap f_j(D_j)$  is a set of points, j = 1, ..., n, and  $X_C \cap g_k(E_k) = g_k(E_k)$ , k = 1, ..., l. Hence

$$R(D) \cap X_C^p = \prod y_i \times \prod (X_C \cap f_j(D_j)) \times \prod (X_C \cap g_k(E_k)),$$

has dimension 2l. Therefore,  $ev_{p,W}(pr^{-1}(\{v\} \times \Sigma^p))$  and  $R|_{R^{-1}(R(D)\cap X_C^p)}$  are pseudo-cycles of complementary dimension in  $X_C^p$  and

$$ev_{p,W}(pr^{-1}(\{v\}\times\Sigma^p))\cap (R(D)\cap X_C^p)$$

consist of finitely many points.

By Proposition 6.7 in [9], if  $d(\hat{A}) = 2(1-g) + 2\hat{A} \cdot \hat{A} \geq 0$ , then  $Gr(\hat{A}) = 2^g$ ; that is, for generic  $p_2$  points in  $X_C$  there are holomorphic curves such that  $\sum_u \nu(u) = 2^g$ , where  $\nu(u) = 1$  if  $ev_{p_2,X_{C*}}(u)$  preserves orientation and  $\nu(u) = -1$  if  $ev_{p_2,X_{C*}}(u)$  reverses orientation. Any of these curves belong to a section class of  $X_C$  and by the choice of J the fibers are also J-holomorphic, then by positivity of intersections, they will intersect any representative of F transversally in one point. Hence  $ev_{p,W}(pr^{-1}(\{v\} \times \Sigma^p)) \cdot (R(D) \cap X_A^p) = 2^g$ .

The proof of Proposition 3.10 implies that the canonical orientation of  $\mathcal{M}_g(\hat{A}, W, J)$  is determined by the canonical orientation of  $\det(D_F u)$  and the canonical orientation of  $\mathcal{M}_g(A, M, J_M)$ . Therefore we have that

$$ev_{p,W} \cdot R = 2^g ev_{p,M} \cdot r.$$

To complete the proof of Theorem 4.2, we need to prove the following lemma. Let  $\pi: X \to Z$  be a locally trivial fiber bundle. Define  $Diff(X, \pi) \subseteq$ 

Diff(X) to be the set of diffeomorphisms of X that descend to Z, i.e.,  $\phi \in Diff(X, \pi)$  if there exists  $\varphi \in Diff(Z)$ , such that  $\pi \circ \phi = \varphi \circ \pi$ .

**Lemma 4.3** Let  $e: U \to X$  and  $f: V \to X$  two pseudo-cycles of complementary dimensions. Then there exists a set of the second category in  $Diff(X, \pi)$  such that e and  $\pi \circ f$ , and  $\pi \circ e$  and  $\pi \circ \phi \circ f$  are transverse, for every  $\phi$  in this set.

*Proof.* This is proved using standard arguments in differential topology (see [13] Lemma 7.1.2). For  $\phi \in Diff(X, \pi)$ ,  $T_{\phi}Diff(X, \pi)$  consists of vector fields  $v \in TX$  such that  $d\pi \circ v = w \circ \pi$  for some vector field  $w \in TZ$ .

Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U \times V \times Diff(X,\pi) & \stackrel{F}{\longrightarrow} & X \times X \\ & & & \downarrow_{\pi^2} \\ & U \times V \times Diff(Z) & \stackrel{G}{\longrightarrow} & Z \times Z, \end{array}$$

where  $F(u, v, \phi) = (e(u), \phi(f(v)))$ , and  $G(u, v, \psi) = (\pi(e(u)), \psi(\pi(f(v))))$ . It is easy to see that F is transverse to  $\Delta_X$  and G is transverse to  $\Delta_Z$ . Then  $F^{-1}(\Delta_X)$  is a submanifold of  $U \times V \times Diff(X, \pi)$ , and  $G^{-1}(\Delta_Z)$  a submanifold of  $U \times V \times Diff(Z)$ . Consider the projections  $F^{-1}(\Delta_X) \to Diff(X, \pi)$  and  $G^{-1}(\Delta_Z) \to Diff(Z)$ , then the regular values of this projections  $R_X$ ,  $R_Z$ , respectively, are sets of the second category in  $Diff(X, \pi)$  and in Diff(Z), resp. Note that  $pr: Diff(X, \pi) \to Diff(Z)$  is a submersion, therefore  $pr^{-1}(R_Z)$  is a set of the second category in  $Diff(X, \pi)$ , and so is  $pr^{-1}(R_Z) \cap R_X$ .

Corollary 4.4 Assume A is a simple class such that  $\Phi_{A,g}(x_1,\ldots,x_{p_1})\neq 0$ . Then there exist m and  $\hat{A}$  such that  $\pi_*\hat{A}=A$ , and

$$\Phi_{\hat{A},g}(y_1,\ldots,y_m,F_1,\ldots,F_l,\hat{B}_1,\ldots\hat{B}_n)\neq 0,$$

for some l and n determined by m and  $\hat{A}$ .

Proof. Let  $\hat{A}$  be any class in W with  $\pi_*\hat{A}=A$  such that  $c_1(Vert)\cdot\hat{A}\geq g-1$ , i.e. with  $p_2\geq 0$ . Then we can choose suitable integers l,m,n with  $p_1=m+l$  and  $p_2=m+n$  by taking any  $m,\ 0\leq m\leq min\{p_1,p_2\}$ , and then defining  $l=p_1-m,\ n=p_2-m$ . Note also that the possible values of  $p_2$  are constrained by the bundle.

#### 4.2 Examples

1. Let  $M = \mathbb{C}P^2$ , and  $W = \mathbb{P}(E \oplus \mathbb{C})$  the projectivization of the complex vector bundle  $E \oplus \mathbb{C}$ , where E is a line bundle over M with first Chern class  $c_1(E) = 3\rho$ . This bundle has two obvious sections  $Z_+ = \mathbb{P}(0 \oplus \mathbb{C})$  and  $Z_- = \mathbb{P}(E \oplus 0)$ . Let L be the class of the line in  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ , and  $\hat{L}_3$  be the class on W that is represented by a line in  $Z_+$ , then  $c_1(Vert) \cdot \hat{L}_3 = 3$ . In this case,  $p_1 = c_1(M) \cdot L + (g-1) = 2$ , and  $p_2 = c_1(Vert) \cdot \hat{L}_3 + 1 - g = 4$ . If m = 0, then we need two fibers  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$ , and 4 two dimensional homology classes in W, say  $B_i = \hat{L}_3$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, 4$ .

$$\Phi_{\hat{L}_3,0}(\hat{L}_3,\hat{L}_3,\hat{L}_3,\hat{L}_3,F_1,F_2).$$

If m = 1, then we need one fiber F and 3 two homology classes,

$$\Phi_{\hat{L}_3,0}(pt,\hat{L}_3,\hat{L}_3,\hat{L}_3,F).$$

Finally, if m = 2, then we just need 2 two homology classes,

$$\Phi_{\hat{L}_3,0}(pt,pt,\hat{L}_3,\hat{L}_3).$$

In all these cases, the hypothesis of theorem 4.2 are satisfied and therefore all the invariants are equal to

$$\Phi_{L,0}(pt, pt) = 1.$$

Nevertheless, it is not hard to find examples where the equality in 4.5 does not hold. Consider the following case,

$$\Phi_{\hat{L}_3,0}(pt,pt,\hat{L}_3,\hat{L}_3,Z_+)=3\Phi_{\hat{L}_3,0}(pt,pt,\hat{L}_3,\hat{L}_3)=3,$$

since  $Z_+ \cdot \hat{L}_3 = 3$ . But

$$\Phi_{L,0}(pt,pt,L,L,\pi(Z_+))=0,$$

because  $\pi(Z_+) = M$ .

2. If we are in the situation when  $c_1(Vert) \cdot \hat{A} < g-1$  and  $c_1(TW) \cdot \hat{A} \ge 0$ , then we could still have holomorphic curves in W representing the class  $\hat{A}$ . Notice that these curves would have to be non-regular on  $X_C$ . In [10], Le and Ono consider the following case, let  $M = S^2 \times S^2$ , and  $W = \mathbb{P}(E)$ , where E is a complex vector bundle of rank 2 over M with characteristic classes  $c_1(E) = 0$ 

and  $c_2(E) = \sigma_1 \sigma_2$ , where  $[\sigma_i] \in H^2(S^2, \mathbb{Z})$  is a generator, for i = 1, 2. Let  $A = [S^2 \times pt] \in H_2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ . Since E is trivial over  $S^2 \times pt$ , there exist a class  $\hat{A}_{-2} \in H_2(W, \mathbb{Z})$  such that  $c_1(Vert) \cdot \hat{A}_{-2} = -2$ . Observe that  $c_1(W)(\hat{A}_{-2}) = 0$ , so  $\mathcal{M}_g(\hat{A}_{-2}, W, J)$  consists of isolated curves for generic J. They prove that if  $X_B = \pi^{-1}(pt \times S^2)$  then the LHS of (4.5) is

$$\Phi_{\hat{A}_{-2},0}(X_B) = 1,$$

while the RHS of (4.5),

$$\Phi_{A,0}([pt \times S^2]) = 0,$$

since equality in (4.3) does not hold.

### Chapter 5

# Classification of $S^2$ -bundles over 4-manifolds.

In this section we recall the classification, up to fiberwise homeomorphism, of  $S^2$ -bundles over 4-manifolds. All the results are taken from [2].

Let M be an oriented compact 4-manifold. Orientable  $S^2$ -bundles over M are in 1-1 correspondence with homotopy classes  $[M, BDiff^+(S^2)]$  of mappings of M into the classifying space  $BDiff^+(S^2) \cong BSO(3)$  (the last equality follows from the fact that  $Diff^+(S^2)$  deformation retracts onto SO(3)). Therefore every (orientable)  $S^2$ -bundle can be seen as the sphere bundle of a rank 3 (orientable) vector bundle, or, alternatively, as a principal SO(3)-bundle.

Let  $B \to M$  be a principal SO(3)-bundle and  $W = B \times_{SO(3)} S^2$  its associated  $S^2$ -bundle. We define  $w_2(W) \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  to be equal to  $w_2(B)$ , the Second Stiefel-Whitney class of the principal bundle B, and  $p_1(W) \in H^4(M, \mathbb{Z})$  to be equal to  $p_1(B)$ , the first Pontrjagin class of B.

Let  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  be two principal SO(3)-bundles over M, and  $h_i: M \to BSO(3)$  be a classifying mapping for  $B_i$ , i = 1, 2. If  $w_2(B_1) = w_2(B_2) = w_2$ , then  $B_1|_{M^{(3)}}$  is equivalent to  $B_2|_{M^{(3)}}$ , where  $M^{(3)}$  is the three skeleton of M,

therefore we can assume that  $h_1|_{M^{(3)}} = h_2|_{M^{(3)}}$ . In this case, we can define the difference cocycle as follows: without lost of generality we can assume that the cell decomposition of M has a unique 4-cell, e. Then  $h_i|_e: e \to BSO(3)$  with the property that  $h_1|_{\partial e} = h_2|_{\partial e}$ , then  $h_1 - h_2$  can be considered as a map from  $S^4 = e \cup_{\partial e} e \to BSO(3)$  and its homotopy class  $[h_1 - h_2]$  as an element of  $\pi_4(BSO(3)) \cong \pi_3(SO(3)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . Define the 4-cochain  $\Delta(h_1, h_2): \mathbb{Z}\{e\} \to \pi_4(BSO(3))$  by  $\Delta(h_1, h_2)(ne) := n[(h_1 - h_2)]$ . It is a closed cochain and it is called difference cocycle. Its cohomology class  $d(h_1, h_2) = [\Delta(h_1, h_2)] \in H^4(M, \pi_4(BSO(3))) \cong H^4(M, \mathbb{Z})$ .

Then we have the following two theorems

**Theorem 5.1** (Dold-Whitney) If  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are as above, then

$$p_1(B_1) - p_2(B_2) = -4d(h_1, h_2).$$

It is not hard to see that for any  $w_2 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , and  $d \in H^4(M, \mathbb{Z})$ , there exist  $h_1, h_2 : M \to BSO(3)$ , such that the corresponding bundles have  $w_2$  as its second Stiefel-Whitney class, and  $d(h_1, h_2) = d$ . Therefore not every pair  $(w, p) \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}_2) \times H^4(M, \mathbb{Z})$  can be realized as the characteristic classes of an  $S^2$ -bundle over M.

Theorem 5.2 (Dold-Whitney) If  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are as above, they are equivalent if and only if there exist a cohomology class  $x \in H^1(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  such that  $d(h_1, h_2) = \beta x \cup \beta x + \beta(x \cup w_2)$ , where  $\beta$  is the Bockstein homomorphism  $\beta: H^1(M, \mathbb{Z}_2) \to H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ , associated to the exact sequence  $0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ .

The next theorem characterizes the  $S^2$ -bundles whose structure groups can be reduced to  $S^1 = SO(2)$ . Recall that these bundles are exactly those that admit sections.

**Theorem 5.3** (Massey) Let W be an  $S^2$ -bundle over M. Then W admits a section if and only if there exist  $\gamma \in H^2(M,\mathbb{Z})$  such that

1. 
$$w_2(W) \equiv \gamma \mod(2)$$
,

2. 
$$p_1(W) = \gamma \cup \gamma$$
.

An important class of examples comes from the projectivization of rank two complex vector bundles  $\mathbb{P}(E)$ ; the relation between the characteristic classes of E, and those of  $\mathbb{P}(E)$  as an  $S^2$ -bundle is the following:

$$w_2(\mathbb{P}(E)) \equiv c_1(E) \mod(2)$$
$$p_1(\mathbb{P}(E)) = c_1^2(E) - 4c_2(E).$$

Conversely, if  $W \to M$  is a given  $S^2$ -bundle, it is equivalent to  $\mathbb{P}(E)$ , for some complex vector bundle  $E \to M$ , if there exist  $\gamma \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ , such that  $\gamma \equiv w_2(W) \mod(2)$ .

For example, if  $H^3(M,\mathbb{Z})$  is torsion free, then  $\beta \equiv 0$ , where  $\beta$  is the Bockstein homomorphism

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H^2(M,\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^2(M,\mathbb{Z}_2) \stackrel{\beta}{\longrightarrow} H^3(M,\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \cdots,$$

and therefore, any  $S^2$ -bundle will be the projectivization of a complex bundle.

#### Chapter 6

# Non-deformation equivalent symplectic forms.

Let M = E(n) be the simply connected minimal elliptic surface with fiber class T, and first Chern class  $c_1(E(n)) = (2 - n)PD(T)$ . Let W be an  $S^2$ -bundle over E(n). Since  $H_3(E(n), \mathbb{Z})$  is torsion free, then W is the projectivization of a rank 2 complex vector bundle L (see section 5, [2]).

Let  $\eta$  be the first Chern class of the tautological line bundle in  $\mathbb{P}(L)$ . It is a class that restricts to the generator of the cohomology of the fiber, therefore there exist a symplectic form  $\Omega_{\kappa}$  on W that represents the class  $\eta + \kappa \pi^*[\omega]$ , for  $\kappa >> 0$ , that is compatible with the fibration. We fix one such  $\kappa$  and write  $\Omega = \Omega_{\kappa}$ . Notice, in addition, that  $c_1(TW) = \pi^*c_1(TM) + 2\eta - \pi^*c_1(L)$ .

Our main result in this section is the following

**Theorem 6.1**  $W_{E(n)}$  has infinitely many deformation classes of symplectic structures in the same cohomology class  $[\Omega] \in H^2(W_{E(n)}, \mathbb{R})$ .

Its proof uses the construction of Fintushel and Stern in [3] that gives rise to an infinite family of smooth symplectic manifolds homeomorphic but

not diffeomorphic to E(n). Let  $K \subset S^3$  be a fibered knot, i.e. there exists a fibration  $S^3$ - $K \to S^1$  with fiber a Riemann surface  $X_0 \subset S^3$  whose boundary is equal to K in  $S^3$ . We say that K has genus g if the genus of  $X_0$  is equal to g. If we perform a 0-surgery on  $S^3$  along K, then we get a fibration  $Z_K \to S^1$  with fiber equal to  $X = X_0 \cup_{\partial X = S^1} D^2$ , a closed Riemann surface of genus g. The meridional loop m to  $K \subset S^3$  defines a section of the bundle  $Z_K \to S^1$ . One can prove that  $Z_K$  has the same homology as  $S^2 \times S^1$ .

Now define  $X_K = Z_K \times S^1$ . It projects onto  $T^2 = S^1 \times S^1$  with fiber equal to X, and has the homology as  $S^2 \times T^2$ .  $X_K$  has a well defined section class  $S \in H_2(X_K, \mathbb{Z})$ , namely the section represented by the embedded torus  $m \times S^1$ . Furthermore, we can construct a symplectic form on  $X_K$ , such that the fiber X and the section class S are represented by symplectic submanifolds.

The fiber class of E(n) is a symplectically embedded torus T with trivial normal bundle. Since the normal bundle of S is also trivial, then we can construct the fiber sum of E(n) with  $X_K$  along T and S,  $E(n, K) = E(n) \#_{T=S} X_K$ . By theorem 5.2 of [6],

$$Gr_{E(n,K)}^T = Gr_{E(n)}^T - (\operatorname{trace} f_{K*}) = 2 - n - (\operatorname{trace} (f_K)_*),$$

where  $f_K: X \to X$  is the monodromy of the knot K, and  $f_{K*}$  is the induced homomorphism on  $H_1(X,\mathbb{Z})$ . In [6], the authors use the invariants defined by Ruan and Tian in [15], where they use the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{A,g,d}(A)$  of connected, perturbed holomorphic maps representing A with genus g and dmarked points. To define the invariants in Section 4 we used a different moduli space and therefore a different compactification. Nevertheless, Theorem 4.5 in

[7] asserts that both invariants are equal.

By Proposition 5.6 in [6], there exists a homeomorphism  $\phi: E(n,K) \to E(n,K')$  that preserves the class T, the periods of  $\omega$ , and the Stiefel-Whitney class  $w_2$ . If K and K' have the same genus, then  $\phi^*c_1(E(n,K')) = c_1(E(n,K))$ . It also preserves the first Pontrjagin class.

Up to equivalence of  $S^2$ -bundles, that is fiberwise homeomorphisms, we can specify two cohomology classes  $c_1(L) \in H_2(E(n), \mathbb{Z})$  and  $c_2(L) \in H_4(E(n), \mathbb{Z})$ , and consider the corresponding  $S^2$ -bundle  $\pi_K : W_K \to E(n, K)$ . The following theorem is going to be useful in the proof of Lemma 6.3

**Theorem 6.2** [8] If X and Y are closed, simply connected 6-manifolds with torsion free homology, then there exist a diffeomorphism,  $\varphi: X \to Y$ , if and only if there exists an isomorphism  $\Upsilon: H^*(X,\mathbb{Z}) \to H^*(Y,\mathbb{Z})$  which preserves the cup product structure,  $w_2$  and  $p_1$ . Moreover,  $\varphi$  is orientation preserving and  $\varphi^* = \Upsilon$ .

**Lemma 6.3** If K and K' are two fibered knots, then there exist a diffeomorphism  $W_K \to W_{K'}$  that preserves  $[\Omega]$ . If K and K' have the same genus, then the diffeomorphism also preserves the homotopy class of J.

Proof. et  $\phi: E(n,K) \to E(n,K')$  be the homeomorphism mentioned above. As  $S^2$  bundles  $W_K$  and  $\phi^*W_{K'}$  are isomorphic, since they have the same characteristic classes. Therefore there exist a bundle homeomorphism  $\psi: W_K \to W_{K'}$  covering  $\phi$  such that  $\psi^* w_2(W_{K'}) = w_2(W_K)$ ,  $\psi^* p_1(W_{K'}) = p_1(W_K)$ ,  $\psi^* [\Omega_{K'}] = [\Omega_K]$ , and if g = g',  $\psi^* c_1(W_{K'}) = c_1(W_K)$ .

Then we can use Theorem 6.2 to get a diffeomorphism  $\varphi:W_K\to W_{K'}$  that induces the same map in cohomology as  $\psi$ .

By Wall's Theorem, [17], if W is any almost complex 6-manifold, there is just one homotopy class of almost complex structures for each  $c_1 \in H^2(W, \mathbb{R})$  whose mod 2 reduction is  $w_2(W)$ . Therefore the homotopy class of J is preserved if g = g'.

To complete the proof of Theorem 6.1, let  $B \in H_2(E(n,K),\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $B \cdot T \neq 0$ , and let  $\hat{B} \in H_2(W_K,\mathbb{Z})$  be a class in  $W_K$  such that  $(\pi_K)_*\hat{B} = B$ . In this case  $p_1 = m + l = 0$  and  $p_2 = c_1(Vert) \cdot \hat{T}$ . Then choose a class  $\hat{T}$  with the property that  $c_1(Vert) \cdot \hat{T} \geq 0$ . With such a choice, we need  $p_2 = c_1(Vert) \cdot \hat{T}$  copies of  $\hat{B}$  to get a non-zero Gromov invariant in  $W_K$ . By Theorem 4.2 we have,

$$\Phi_{\hat{T}_{+}}^{W_{K}}(\underline{\hat{B}, \dots, \hat{B}}) = 2\Phi_{T}^{E(n,K)}(\underline{B, \dots, B})$$

$$= 2(T \cdot B)^{p_{2}}\Phi_{T}^{E(n,K)}$$

$$= 2(T \cdot B)^{p_{2}}(2 - n - \operatorname{trace}(f_{K})_{*}).$$

Therefore, if trace $(f_K)_* \neq \operatorname{trace}(f_{K'})_*$  then  $\Phi_{\hat{T}}(W_K) \neq \Phi_{\hat{T}}(W_{K'})$ .

## **Bibliography**

- [1] M. Do Carmo, Riemaniann Geometry. Birkhäuser. 1992.
- [2] A. Dold, Whitney, Classification of oriented sphere bundles over a 4complex. Annals of Math. Vol. 69, No. 3 (1959) 667-677.
- [3] R. Fintushel, R. Stern, Knots, links and 4-manifolds. *Invent. Math.* **134**, (1998), no 2, 363-400.
- [4] V. Guillemin, E. Lerman, S. Sternberg, Symplectic Fibrations and multiplicity diagrams. *Cambridge University Press.* 1994.
- [5] H. Hofer, V. Lizan, J. C. Sikorav, On the genericity for holomorphic curves in 4-dimensional almost complex manifolds. J. Geom. Anal. 7 (1997), no. 1, 149-159.
- [6] E. Ionel, T. Parker, Gromov Invariants and Symplectic Maps, preprint, dg-ga/9703013.
- [7] E. Ionel, T. Parker, The Gromov Invariants of Ruan-Tian and Taubes, Math. Research Letters, 4, (1997), 521-532.

- [8] P. Jupp, Classification of certain 6-manifolds, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 73, (1973) 293-300.
- [9] F. Lalonde, D. McDuff, J-holomorphic curves and the classification of rational and ruled symplectic 4-manifolds, Proceedings of the 1994 Symplectic Topology program at the Newton Institute, ed. S. Donaldson and C. Thomas, Cambridge University Press.
- [10] H. Le, K. Ono, Topology of symplectomorphim groups and pseudoholomorphic curves, *preprint*, (1998).
- [11] D. McDuff, Examples of Symplectic Structures, *Inventiones Mathematicae* 89, 13-36, (1987).
- [12] D. McDuff, D. Salamon, Introduction to symplectic topology, Oxford University Press, 1995.
- [13] D. McDuff, D. Salamon, J-holomorphic curves and Quantum Cohomology, University Lecture Series, 6, American Mathematical Society.
- [14] Y. Ruan, G. Tian, A mathematical Theory of Quantum Cohomology, Journ. Diff. Geom. 42 (1995), 259-367.
- [15] Y. Ruan, G. Tian, Higher genus symplectic invariants and sigma models coupled with gravity, *Invent. Math.* 130, (1997), 455-516.
- [16] J. Vilms, Totally geodesic maps, J. Diff. Geom. 4 (1970) 73-79.
- [17] C.T. Wall, Classification problems in differential topology V on certain 6-manifolds. Invent. Math. 1 (1966) 335-374.