

**$p$ -ADIC ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY  
(SIMONS LECTURES AT STONY BROOK)**

BHARGAV BHATT

1. LECTURE 1: OVERVIEW

Fix a prime number  $p$  for the series.

INTRODUCTION

1.1. What are the  $p$ -adic numbers?

**Construction 1.1** (Analytic construction). There is a natural  $p$ -adic metric on  $\mathbf{Q}$  determined by the norm

$$\left| \frac{a}{b} \right| = (1/p)^{\text{val}(a) - \text{val}(b)},$$

i.e.,  $|\frac{a}{b}|$  is small if the numerator is highly divisible by  $p$ . The completion of  $\mathbf{Q}$  for this metric is the field  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  of  $p$ -adic numbers. Thus, a typical  $\alpha \in \mathbf{Q}_p$  is given by a series

$$\alpha := \sum_{i \geq -N} a_i p^i \quad \text{where } 0 \leq a_i \leq p-1.$$

By construction,  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  is a complete valued field.

**Remark 1.2.** The  $p$ -adic metric is nonarchimedean, i.e.  $|a+b| \leq \max(|a|, |b|)$ ,  $\rightsquigarrow$

$$\mathbf{Z}_p := \{a \in \mathbf{Q}_p \mid |a| \leq 1\}$$

is a subring of  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ . Note that  $p \in \mathbf{Z}_p$  but  $1/p \notin \mathbf{Z}_p$ , so  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  is not a field. In fact, we have  $\mathbf{Z}_p[1/p] = \mathbf{Q}_p$ .

**Construction 1.3** (Algebraic construction). One can show that

$$\mathbf{Z}_p = \varprojlim_n \mathbf{Z}/p^n \mathbf{Z} := \{(a_n)_{n \geq 1} \mid a_n \in \mathbf{Z}/p^n \mathbf{Z}, a_{n+1} \equiv a_n \pmod{p^n}\}.$$

We obtain the following picture:

$$\mathbf{Q}_p \xleftarrow{\text{invert } p} \mathbf{Z}_p \xrightarrow{\text{kill } p} \mathbf{Z}/p = \mathbf{F}_p.$$

Thus,  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  relates the characteristic 0 field  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  to the characteristic  $p$  field  $\mathbf{F}_p$ .

**Variation 1.4** (The  $p$ -adic complex numbers). One has a complete and algebraically closed extension  $\mathbf{C}_p/\mathbf{Q}_p$  defined via

$$\mathbf{C}_p = \widehat{\mathbf{Q}_p}.$$

As before, we obtain the following picture:

$$\mathbf{C}_p \xleftarrow{\text{invert } p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p} := \{a \in \mathbf{C}_p \mid |a| \leq 1\} \xrightarrow{\text{kill } p^{1/n} \forall n} \overline{\mathbf{F}_p}.$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$  relates algebraically closed fields of characteristic 0 and characteristic  $p$ .

**Remark 1.5.** (1) One has  $\mathbf{C}_p \simeq \mathbf{C}$  as abstract fields.

(2) The group  $G_{\mathbf{C}_p} := \text{Gal}(\mathbf{C}_p/\mathbf{Q}_p)$  is *enormous*, unlike  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{R})$ .

## 1.2. How do the $p$ -adic numbers arise in mathematics?

- (1) **Extrinsically.** The algebraic definition of completion makes sense with  $\mathbf{Z}$  replaced by other abelian groups or fancier objects, e.g.,
  - (Sullivan, Bousfield-Kan) A topological space  $X$  admits a  $p$ -adic completion  $\widehat{X}$  with each  $\pi_i(X)$  being a  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -module (and  $\pi_i(\widehat{X}) = \pi_i(X)^\wedge$  under finiteness hypotheses).
  - A complex  $M$  of abelian groups admits a  $p$ -adic completion  $\widehat{M}$  with each  $H_i(\widehat{M})$  being a  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -module (and  $H_i(\widehat{M}) = H_i(M)^\wedge$  under finiteness hypotheses).
- (2) **Intrinsically.** There is a good notion of “analytic functions” over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  or  $\mathbf{C}_p$ ,  $\rightsquigarrow$  to a rich theory of  $p$ -adic analytic spaces,  $p$ -adic Hodge theory, etc.

**Example 1.6.** Tate showed (late 50s) that for any  $q \in \mathbf{C}_p$  with  $0 < |q| < 1$ , the space

$$E_q := \mathbf{C}_p^*/q^{\mathbf{Z}}$$

is naturally an elliptic curve over  $\mathbf{C}_p$ .

- (3) **As the glue between characteristic 0 and  $p$ .** A nice algebraic variety object  $X/\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}_p}$  (e.g., an algebraic variety) gives a very close relationship between the characteristic  $p$  variety  $X_{\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p}$  and the ( $p$ -adic) complex variety  $X_{\mathbf{C}_p}$

## 1.3. What are some of the new techniques?

- (1) **Perfectoid spaces.**

These are “infinite sheeted covers of  $p$ -adic analytic spaces that are “infinitely ramified in characteristic  $p$ ”

**Example 1.7.** • Let  $D = \{z \in \mathbf{C}_p \mid |z| \leq 1\}$  be the closed unit disc. Then the inverse limit of

$$\dots D \xrightarrow{z \mapsto z^p} D \xrightarrow{z \mapsto z^p} D \xrightarrow{z \mapsto z^p} D$$

is naturally a perfectoid space.

- Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over  $\mathbf{C}_p$ . Then the inverse limit of

$$\dots E \xrightarrow{p} E \xrightarrow{p} E \xrightarrow{p} E$$

is naturally a perfectoid space.

Surprisingly, perfectoid spaces are simpler than  $p$ -adic analytic spaces in some important ways: they are completely controlled by certain objects that live in characteristic  $p$  and are thus easier to study (e.g., using the Frobenius endomorphism that acts on everything in characteristic  $p$ ).

- (2) **Prismatic cohomology.**

This is a new integral cohomology theory for geometric objects over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  that interpolates between all previous known  $p$ -adic cohomology theories available in this setting (e.g., de Rham, Hodge, crystalline, étale), leading to new relations between these theories.

## A SAMPLING OF APPLICATIONS

### 1.4. Number theory.

**Theorem 1.8** (Scholze’s torsion Langlands theorem, 2013). *For many number fields  $F$ , any  $\mathbf{F}_p$ -automorphic form on for  $GL_{n,F}$  has an attached Galois representation  $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p)$ .*

**Remark 1.9.** (1) The key technical theorem above was:

**Theorem 1.10.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}_g[p^\infty]$  be the space parametrizing abelian varieties  $A/\mathbf{C}_p$  with a trivialization of  $H_1(A, \mathbf{Z}_p)$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}_g[p^\infty]$  is a perfectoid space.*

- (2) In 2018, the ten author<sup>1</sup> paper used the above to prove the Sato-Tate conjecture for elliptic curves over CM number fields.

1.5. Algebraic geometry.

**Theorem 1.11** (Bhatt, 2020). *Kodaira vanishing holds true, up to passage to finite covers, in mixed characteristic algebraic geometry.*

**Remark 1.12.** (1) The theorem has a *very* concrete consequence:

- (\*) Let  $R = \mathbf{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and let  $R^+$  be the integral closure of  $R$  in  $\overline{\text{Frac}(R)}$ . Then  $(p, x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a regular sequence on  $R^+$ , i.e.,  $x_i$  acts injectively on  $R^+/(p, x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})$  for  $i \geq 1$ .
- (\*) is highly non-trivial even for  $n = 2$ .

- (2) The proof of the theorem relies on prismatic cohomology as well as a  $p$ -adic Riemann-Hilbert correspondence for perverse  $\mathbf{F}_p$ -sheaves (Bhatt-Lurie) .
- (3) (\*) implies the “direct summand conjecture” and the “weakly functorial big Cohen-Macaulay module conjecture” of Hochster. These were recently shown by Y. André, and are known to imply most of the “homological conjectures” in commutative algebra.
- (4) Theorem forms an essential ingredient of the following:

**Theorem 1.13** (BMPSTWW and Yoshikawa-Takkamatsu, 2020). *The minimal model program holds true in dimension  $\leq 3$  over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  for  $p \geq 5$ .*

1.6. Homotopy theory. Write  $K(X)$  for the complex  $K$ -theory of a topological space  $X$ . Recall the following basic result:

**Theorem 1.14** (Bott, Atiyah-Hirzebruch). *Given a nice topological space  $X$ , we can filter the  $K$ -theory  $K(X)$  by singular cohomology, i.e., there exists a spectral sequence*

$$E_2^{i,j} : H^i(X, \mathbf{Z}(\frac{-j}{2})) \Rightarrow K^{i+j}(X)$$

that degenerates modulo torsion, where  $\mathbf{Z}(\frac{-j}{2})$  vanishes if  $j$  is odd, and is  $(2\pi i)^{-\frac{j}{2}}\mathbf{Z}$  for  $j$  even.

**Theorem 1.15** (Bhatt-Morrow-Scholze and Clausen-Mathew-Morrow, 2018). *Let  $R$  be a  $p$ -adically complete ring. Then we can filter the  $p$ -adic étale  $K$ -theory space  $K_{et}(R)^\wedge$  of  $R$  in terms of syntomic cohomology  $H^*(R, \mathbf{Z}_p(\frac{-j}{2}))$ .*

**Remark 1.16.** (1) The complementary case where  $p \in R^*$  was conjectured by Beilinson (mid 80s), and is classical (Thomason, Gabber, and Suslin (also 80s)).

- (2) Syntomic cohomology is defined in terms of prismatic cohomology. In fact, the relevant cases of both were discovered in [BMS] in a quest to prove the above theorem.
- (3) Theorem has led to new calculations in algebraic  $K$ -theory.

---

<sup>1</sup>Allen, Calegari, Caraiani, Gee, Helm, Le Hung, Newton, Scholze, Taylor, Thorne