

Real normalized differentials and geometry of the moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces with points

I.Krichever

Columbia University

March 1, 2012 / Stony Brook

Vanishing properties of $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}$

The moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}$ of *smooth* genus g Riemann surfaces with punctures have curious vanishing properties.

- Diaz' theorem (1986):

There does not exist a complete (complex) cycle in \mathcal{M}_g of dimension greater than $g - 2$

Note, that is the upper bound. The known constructions give complete cycles of dimension of order $\log_3 g$, only.

- Looijenga theorem (1995):

The tautological ring $R^(\mathcal{M}_{g,k})$ vanishes in dimensions greater than $g - 2 + k$*

The tautological ring $R^*(\mathcal{M}_{g,k})$ is generated by classes

$$\psi_i = c_1(L_i), \quad \kappa_i = p_*(\psi_1^{i+1}) \in H^*(\mathcal{M}_g).$$

Here L_j are canonical line bundles over $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}$.

Vanishing properties of $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}$

The moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}$ of *smooth* genus g Riemann surfaces with punctures have curious vanishing properties.

- Diaz' theorem (1986):

There does not exist a complete (complex) cycle in \mathcal{M}_g of dimension greater than $g - 2$

Note, that is the upper bound. The known constructions give complete cycles of dimension of order $\log_3 g$, only.

- Looijenga theorem (1995):

The tautological ring $R^(\mathcal{M}_{g,k})$ vanishes in dimensions greater than $g - 2 + k$*

The tautological ring $R^*(\mathcal{M}_{g,k})$ is generated by classes

$$\psi_i = c_1(L_i), \quad \kappa_i = p_*(\psi_1^{i+1}) \in H^*(\mathcal{M}_g).$$

Here L_j are canonical line bundles over $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}$.

Vanishing properties of $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}$

The moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}$ of *smooth* genus g Riemann surfaces with punctures have curious vanishing properties.

- Diaz' theorem (1986):

There does not exist a complete (complex) cycle in \mathcal{M}_g of dimension greater than $g - 2$

Note, that is the upper bound. The known constructions give complete cycles of dimension of order $\log_3 g$, only.

- Looijenga theorem (1995):

The tautological ring $R^(\mathcal{M}_{g,k})$ vanishes in dimensions greater than $g - 2 + k$*

The tautological ring $R^*(\mathcal{M}_{g,k})$ is generated by classes

$$\psi_i = c_1(L_i), \quad \kappa_i = p_*(\psi_1^{i+1}) \in H^*(\mathcal{M}_g).$$

Here L_j are canonical line bundles over $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}$.

Vanishing properties of $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}$

The moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}$ of *smooth* genus g Riemann surfaces with punctures have curious vanishing properties.

- Diaz' theorem (1986):

There does not exist a complete (complex) cycle in \mathcal{M}_g of dimension greater than $g - 2$

Note, that is the upper bound. The know constructions give complete cycles of dimension of order $\log_3 g$, only.

- Looijenga theorem (1995):

The tautological ring $R^(\mathcal{M}_{g,k})$ vanishes in dimensions greater than $g - 2 + k$*

The tautological ring $R^*(\mathcal{M}_{g,k})$ is generated by classes

$$\psi_i = c_1(L_i), \quad \kappa_i = p_*(\psi_1^{i+1}) \in H^*(\mathcal{M}_g).$$

Here L_j are canonical line bundles over $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}$.

Vanishing properties of $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}$

The moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}$ of *smooth* genus g Riemann surfaces with punctures have curious vanishing properties.

- Diaz' theorem (1986):

There does not exist a complete (complex) cycle in \mathcal{M}_g of dimension greater than $g - 2$

Note, that is the upper bound. The known constructions give complete cycles of dimension of order $\log_3 g$, only.

- Looijenga theorem (1995):

The tautological ring $R^(\mathcal{M}_{g,k})$ vanishes in dimensions greater than $g - 2 + k$*

The tautological ring $R^*(\mathcal{M}_{g,k})$ is generated by classes

$$\psi_i = c_1(L_i), \quad \kappa_i = p_*(\psi_1^{i+1}) \in H^*(\mathcal{M}_g).$$

Here L_j are canonical line bundles over $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}$.

Faber's conjecture

- Diaz, Loojunga, Ionel, Roth-Vakil theorems are incarnations of vanishing part of Faber conjecture
- Faber conjectured (1999) that:
 $R^(\mathcal{M}_{g,k})$ looks "like" the cohomology ring of a compact complex variety of dimension $g - 2 + k$*

Faber's conjecture

- Diaz, Loojunga, Ionel, Roth-Vakil theorems are incarnations of vanishing part of Faber conjecture
- Faber conjectured (1999) that:
 $R^(\mathcal{M}_{g,k})$ looks "like" the cohomology ring of a compact complex variety of dimension $g - 2 + k$*

Conjectural geometric explanations

Widely accepted by experts "geometric explanation" of vanishing properties of $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}$ is the existence of its stratification by certain number of affine strata or the existence of a cover of $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}$ by certain number of open affine sets.

Historically, Arbarello first realized that a stratification of \mathcal{M}_g could be useful for a study of its geometrical properties. He studied the stratification (known already for Rauch)

$$\mathcal{W}_2 \subset \mathcal{W}_3 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{W}_{g-1} \subset \mathcal{W}_g = \mathcal{M}_g,$$

where \mathcal{W}_n is the locus of curves having a Weierstrass point of order at most n , and then conjectured that $\mathcal{W}_n \setminus \mathcal{W}_{n-1}$ is affine.

Conjectural geometric explanations

Widely accepted by experts "geometric explanation" of vanishing properties of $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}$ is the existence of its stratification by certain number of affine strata or the existence of a cover of $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}$ by certain number of open affine sets.

Historically, Arbarello first realized that a stratification of \mathcal{M}_g could be useful for a study of its geometrical properties. He studied the stratification (known already for Rauch)

$$\mathcal{W}_2 \subset \mathcal{W}_3 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{W}_{g-1} \subset \mathcal{W}_g = \mathcal{M}_g,$$

where \mathcal{W}_n is the locus of curves having a Weierstrass point of order at most n , and then conjectured that $\mathcal{W}_n \setminus \mathcal{W}_{n-1}$ is affine.

Alternative geometric explanation

Recently, the author jointly with S. Grushevsky proposed an alternative approach for geometrical explanation of the vanishing properties of $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}$ motivated by certain constructions of the Whitham perturbation theory of integrable systems. The key elements of the alternative geometrical explanation are:

- the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}^{(n)}$, $n = (n_1, \dots, n_k)$ of smooth genus g Riemann surfaces with the fixed n_α -jets of local coordinates in the neighborhoods of labeled points is the total space of a *real-analytic* foliation, whose leaves \mathcal{L} are locally smooth *complex subvarieties* of real codimension $2g$;
- on $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}^{(n)}$ there is an ordered set of $(\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{L})$ continuous functions, which restricted onto the leaves of the foliation are piecewise harmonic. Moreover, the first of these function restricted onto \mathcal{L} is a **subharmonic function**.

Alternative geometric explanation

Recently, the author jointly with S. Grushevsky proposed an alternative approach for geometrical explanation of the vanishing properties of $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}$ motivated by certain constructions of the Whitham perturbation theory of integrable systems. The key elements of the alternative geometrical explanation are:

- the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}^{(n)}$, $n = (n_1, \dots, n_k)$ of smooth genus g Riemann surfaces with the fixed n_α -jets of local coordinates in the neighborhoods of labeled points is the total space of a *real-analytic* foliation, whose leaves \mathcal{L} are locally smooth *complex subvarieties* of real codimension $2g$;
- on $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}^{(n)}$ there is an ordered set of $(\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{L})$ continuous functions, which restricted onto the leaves of the foliation are piecewise harmonic. Moreover, the first of these function restricted onto \mathcal{L} is a **subharmonic function**.

Alternative geometric explanation

Recently, the author jointly with S. Grushevsky proposed an alternative approach for geometrical explanation of the vanishing properties of $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}$ motivated by certain constructions of the Whitham perturbation theory of integrable systems. The key elements of the alternative geometrical explanation are:

- the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}^{(n)}$, $n = (n_1, \dots, n_k)$ of smooth genus g Riemann surfaces with the fixed n_α -jets of local coordinates in the neighborhoods of labeled points is the total space of a *real-analytic* foliation, whose leaves \mathcal{L} are locally smooth *complex subvarieties* of real codimension $2g$;
- on $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}^{(n)}$ there is an ordered set of $(\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{L})$ continuous functions, which restricted onto the leaves of the foliation are piecewise harmonic. Moreover, the first of these function restricted onto \mathcal{L} is a **subharmonic** function.

Results and conjectures

- Proof of Arbarello's conjecture

Theorem

Any compact complex cycle in \mathcal{M}_g of dimension $g - n$ must intersect \mathcal{W}_n .

- New upper bound for dimensions of complete (complex) cycles in the moduli space \mathcal{M}_g^{ct} of stable curves of compact type.

Conjecture

*There do not exist complete complex subvarieties of \mathcal{M}_g^{ct} having **non empty intersection with \mathcal{M}_g** of dimension greater than $g - 1$.*

For $g \geq 2$ the maximum dimension of complete complex subvarieties in \mathcal{M}_g^{ct} is $\frac{3}{2}g - 2$.

Results and conjectures

- Proof of Arbarello's conjecture

Theorem

Any compact complex cycle in \mathcal{M}_g of dimension $g - n$ must intersect \mathcal{W}_n .

- New upper bound for dimensions of complete (complex) cycles in the moduli space \mathcal{M}_g^{ct} of stable curves of compact type.

Conjecture

*There do not exist complete complex subvarieties of \mathcal{M}_g^{ct} having **non empty intersection with \mathcal{M}_g** of dimension greater than $g - 1$.*

For $g \geq 2$ the maximum dimension of complete complex subvarieties in \mathcal{M}_g^{ct} is $\frac{3}{2}g - 2$.

Results and conjectures

- Proof of Arbarello's conjecture

Theorem

Any compact complex cycle in \mathcal{M}_g of dimension $g - n$ must intersect \mathcal{W}_n .

- New upper bound for dimensions of complete (complex) cycles in the moduli space \mathcal{M}_g^{ct} of stable curves of compact type.

Conjecture

*There do not exist complete complex subvarieties of \mathcal{M}_g^{ct} having **non empty intersection with \mathcal{M}_g** of dimension greater than $g - 1$.*

For $g \geq 2$ the maximum dimension of complete complex subvarieties in \mathcal{M}_g^{ct} is $\frac{3}{2}g - 2$.

Results and conjectures

- Proof of Arbarello's conjecture

Theorem

Any compact complex cycle in \mathcal{M}_g of dimension $g - n$ must intersect \mathcal{W}_n .

- New upper bound for dimensions of complete (complex) cycles in the moduli space \mathcal{M}_g^{ct} of stable curves of compact type.

Conjecture

*There do not exist complete complex subvarieties of \mathcal{M}_g^{ct} having **non empty intersection with \mathcal{M}_g** of dimension greater than $g - 1$.*

For $g \geq 2$ the maximum dimension of complete complex subvarieties in \mathcal{M}_g^{ct} is $\frac{3}{2}g - 2$.

Previously known bounds

- Diaz:
there is no compact cycle in $\mathcal{M}_g^{\text{ct}}$ of dimension greater than $2g - 3$.
- Keel and Sadun:
for $g \geq 3$ there do not exist complete complex subvarieties of $\mathcal{M}_g^{\text{ct}}$ of dimension greater than $2g - 4$.

The proof is by easy induction arguments starting from the base $g = 3$. The proof of the base statement is a corollary of remarkable vanishing result:

- *there do not exist a complete complex subvarieties of the moduli space \mathcal{A}_g of principally polarized abelian varieties of codimension g .*

Previously known bounds

- Diaz:
there is no compact cycle in $\mathcal{M}_g^{\text{ct}}$ of dimension greater than $2g - 3$.
- Keel and Sadun:
for $g \geq 3$ there do not exist complete complex subvarieties of $\mathcal{M}_g^{\text{ct}}$ of dimension greater than $2g - 4$.

The proof is by easy induction arguments starting from the base $g = 3$. The proof of the base statement is a corollary of remarkable vanishing result:

- *there do not exist a complete complex subvarieties of the moduli space \mathcal{A}_g of principally polarized abelian varieties of codimension g .*

Previously known bounds

- Diaz:
there is no compact cycle in $\mathcal{M}_g^{\text{ct}}$ of dimension greater than $2g - 3$.
- Keel and Sadun:
for $g \geq 3$ there do not exist complete complex subvarieties of $\mathcal{M}_g^{\text{ct}}$ of dimension greater than $2g - 4$.

The proof is by easy induction arguments starting from the base $g = 3$. The proof of the base statement is a corollary of remarkable vanishing result:

- *there do not exist a complete complex subvarieties of the moduli space \mathcal{A}_g of principally polarized abelian varieties of codimension g .*

Previously known bounds

- Diaz:
there is no compact cycle in $\mathcal{M}_g^{\text{ct}}$ of dimension greater than $2g - 3$.
- Keel and Sadun:
for $g \geq 3$ there do not exist complete complex subvarieties of $\mathcal{M}_g^{\text{ct}}$ of dimension greater than $2g - 4$.

The proof is by easy induction arguments starting from the base $g = 3$. The proof of the base statement is a corollary of remarkable vanishing result:

- *there do not exist a complete complex subvarieties of the moduli space \mathcal{A}_g of principally polarized abelian varieties of codimension g .*

Real normalized differentials

The foliation structure arises through identification of $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}^{(n)}$ with the moduli space of curves with fixed *real-normalized* meromorphic differential. By definition a real normalized meromorphic differential is a differential whose periods over any cycle on the curve are real. The power of this notion is that:

Lemma

For any fixed singular parts of poles with pure imaginary residues, there exists a unique meromorphic differential Ψ , having prescribed singular part at p_α and such that all its periods on Γ are real, i.e.

$$\Im \left(\oint_c \Psi \right) = 0, \quad \forall c \in H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Foliation

Definition

A leaf \mathcal{L} of the foliation on $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}^{(n)}$ defined to be the locus along which the periods of the corresponding differentials remain (covariantly) constant.

The leaves \mathcal{L} of the foliation can be regarded as a generalization of the Hurwitz spaces of \mathbb{P}^1 covers.

It is basic fact of the Whitham theory:

Theorem (Kr-Phong 1995)

A leaf \mathcal{L} is a smooth complex subvariety of real codimension $2g$.

Foliation

Definition

A leaf \mathcal{L} of the foliation on $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}^{(n)}$ defined to be the locus along which the periods of the corresponding differentials remain (covariantly) constant.

The leaves \mathcal{L} of the foliation can be regarded as a generalization of the Hurwitz spaces of \mathbb{P}^1 covers.

It is basic fact of the Whitham theory:

Theorem (Kr-Phong 1995)

A leaf \mathcal{L} is a smooth complex subvariety of real codimension $2g$.

Foliation

Definition

A leaf \mathcal{L} of the foliation on $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}^{(n)}$ defined to be the locus along which the periods of the corresponding differentials remain (covariantly) constant.

The leaves \mathcal{L} of the foliation can be regarded as a generalization of the Hurwitz spaces of \mathbb{P}^1 covers.

It is basic fact of the Whitham theory:

Theorem (Kr-Phong 1995)

A leaf \mathcal{L} is a smooth complex subvariety of real codimension $2g$.

Coordinates along a leaf

A set of holomorphic coordinates on $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}^{(n)}$ are "critical" values of the corresponding abelian integral $F(p) = c + \int^p \Psi$, $p \in \Gamma$:

At the generic point, where zeros q_s of Ψ are distinct, the coordinates on \mathcal{L} are the evaluation of F at these critical points:

$$\varphi_s = F(q_s), \quad \Psi(q_s) = 0, \quad s = 0, \dots, d = \dim \mathcal{L}, \quad (1)$$

normalized by the condition $\sum_s \varphi_s = 0$.

A direct corollary of the real normalization is the statement that:

- *imaginary parts $f_s = \Im\varphi_s$ of the critical values depend only on labeling of the critical points*

They can be arranged into decreasing order

$$f_0 \geq f_1 \geq \cdots \geq f_{d-1} \geq f_d.$$

After that f_j can be seen as a well-defined continuous function on $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}^{(n)}$, which restricted onto \mathcal{L} is a piecewise harmonic function. Moreover, f_0 restricted onto \mathcal{L} is a **subharmonic function**, i.e, f_0 has no local maximum on \mathcal{L} unless it is constant.

Diaz' theorem revisited

Let X be a complete cycle in \mathcal{M}_g and Z be its preimage under the forgetfull map: $\mathcal{M}_{g,2} \subset \mathcal{C}_g^2 \mapsto \mathcal{M}_g$.

→ On Z the function f_0 (defined by critical values of real-normalized differential with two simple poles) must achieve its maximum at some point.

→ At this point the function f_0 achieves its maximum on $Z \cap \mathcal{L}$.

→ Hence, it is a constant on $Z \cap \mathcal{L}$.

→ If f_0 is a constant then (inductively) all the other functions f_j are constants.

→ Then, $Z \cap \mathcal{L}$ is at most zero-dimensional, i.e. Z intersects \mathcal{L} transversally.

→ $\dim X \leq g - 2$

Diaz' theorem revisited

Let X be a complete cycle in \mathcal{M}_g and Z be its preimage under the forgetfull map: $\mathcal{M}_{g,2} \subset \mathcal{C}_g^2 \mapsto \mathcal{M}_g$.

→ On Z the function f_0 (defined by critical values of real-normalized differential with two simple poles) must achieve its maximum at some point.

→ At this point the function f_0 achieves its maximum on $Z \cap \mathcal{L}$.

→ Hence, it is a constant on $Z \cap \mathcal{L}$.

→ If f_0 is a constant then (inductively) all the other functions f_j are constants.

→ Then, $Z \cap \mathcal{L}$ is at most zero-dimensional, i.e. Z intersects \mathcal{L} transversally.

→ $\dim X \leq g - 2$

Diaz' theorem revisited

Let X be a complete cycle in \mathcal{M}_g and Z be its preimage under the forgetfull map: $\mathcal{M}_{g,2} \subset \mathcal{C}_g^2 \mapsto \mathcal{M}_g$.

→ On Z the function f_0 (defined by critical values of real-normalized differential with two simple poles) must achieve its maximum at some point.

→ At this point the function f_0 achieves its maximum on $Z \cap \mathcal{L}$.

→ Hence, it is a constant on $Z \cap \mathcal{L}$.

→ If f_0 is a constant then (inductively) all the other functions f_j are constants.

→ Then, $Z \cap \mathcal{L}$ is at most zero-dimensional, i.e. Z intersects \mathcal{L} transversally.

→ $\dim X \leq g - 2$

Diaz' theorem revisited

Let X be a complete cycle in \mathcal{M}_g and Z be its preimage under the forgetfull map: $\mathcal{M}_{g,2} \subset \mathcal{C}_g^2 \mapsto \mathcal{M}_g$.

→ On Z the function f_0 (defined by critical values of real-normalized differential with two simple poles) must achieve its maximum at some point.

→ At this point the function f_0 achieves its maximum on $Z \cap \mathcal{L}$.

→ Hence, it is a constant on $Z \cap \mathcal{L}$.

→ If f_0 is a constant then (inductively) all the other functions f_j are constants.

→ Then, $Z \cap \mathcal{L}$ is at most zero-dimensional, i.e. Z intersects \mathcal{L} transversally.

→ $\dim X \leq g - 2$

Diaz' theorem revisited

Let X be a complete cycle in \mathcal{M}_g and Z be its preimage under the forgetfull map: $\mathcal{M}_{g,2} \subset \mathcal{C}_g^2 \mapsto \mathcal{M}_g$.

→ On Z the function f_0 (defined by critical values of real-normalized differential with two simple poles) must achieve its maximum at some point.

→ At this point the function f_0 achieves its maximum on $Z \cap \mathcal{L}$.

→ Hence, it is a constant on $Z \cap \mathcal{L}$.

→ If f_0 is a constant then (inductively) all the other functions f_j are constants.

→ Then, $Z \cap \mathcal{L}$ is at most zero-dimensional, i.e. Z intersects \mathcal{L} transversally.

→ $\dim X \leq g - 2$

Diaz' theorem revisited

Let X be a complete cycle in \mathcal{M}_g and Z be its preimage under the forgetfull map: $\mathcal{M}_{g,2} \subset \mathcal{C}_g^2 \mapsto \mathcal{M}_g$.

→ On Z the function f_0 (defined by critical values of real-normalized differential with two simple poles) must achieve its maximum at some point.

→ At this point the function f_0 achieves its maximum on $Z \cap \mathcal{L}$.

→ Hence, it is a constant on $Z \cap \mathcal{L}$.

→ If f_0 is a constant then (inductively) all the other functions f_j are constants.

→ Then, $Z \cap \mathcal{L}$ is at most zero-dimensional, i.e. Z intersects \mathcal{L} transversally.

→ $\dim X \leq g - 2$

Diaz' theorem revisited

Let X be a complete cycle in \mathcal{M}_g and Z be its preimage under the forgetfull map: $\mathcal{M}_{g,2} \subset \mathcal{C}_g^2 \mapsto \mathcal{M}_g$.

→ On Z the function f_0 (defined by critical values of real-normalized differential with two simple poles) must achieve its maximum at some point.

→ At this point the function f_0 achieves its maximum on $Z \cap \mathcal{L}$.

→ Hence, it is a constant on $Z \cap \mathcal{L}$.

→ If f_0 is a constant then (inductively) all the other functions f_j are constants.

→ Then, $Z \cap \mathcal{L}$ is at most zero-dimensional, i.e. Z intersects \mathcal{L} transversally.

→ $\dim X \leq g - 2$

It was tempting to use differentials with one pole (second kind) for the proof of Arbarello's conjecture.

- Additional difficulty: the space of singular parts of real-normalized differentials is non-compact.
- Tool, which allows to overcome the difficulty: **cycles dual to critical points**

It was tempting to use differentials with one pole (second kind) for the proof of Arbarello's conjecture.

- Additional difficulty: the space of singular parts of real-normalized differentials is non-compact.
- Tool, which allows to overcome the difficulty: cycles dual to critical points

It was tempting to use differentials with one pole (second kind) for the proof of Arbarello's conjecture.

- Additional difficulty: the space of singular parts of real-normalized differentials is non-compact.
- Tool, which allows to overcome the difficulty: **cycles dual to critical points**

Cusps of plane curves.

- Classical problem: **What is the maximum number $s(d)$ of cusps on degree d plane curve ?**

Plane curves of degree d are defined by the equation

$$\sum_{i+j \leq d} \alpha_{ij} w^i z^j = 0$$

- Expected answer: $s(d)_{exp} = d(d+1)/4$

Hirano and Kulikov constructed a families of curves with large number of cusps that give

$$\sup \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s(d)}{d^2} \geq \frac{9}{32},$$
$$\sup \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s(d)}{d^2} \geq \frac{283}{960} \simeq 0.2948$$

respectively.

Cusps of plane curves.

- Classical problem: **What is the maximum number $s(d)$ of cusps on degree d plane curve ?**

Plane curves of degree d are defined by the equation

$$\sum_{i+j \leq d} \alpha_{ij} w^i z^j = 0$$

- Expected answer: $s(d)_{exp} = d(d+1)/4$

Hirano and Kulikov constructed a families of curves with large number of cusps that give

$$\sup \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s(d)}{d^2} \geq \frac{9}{32},$$

$$\sup \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s(d)}{d^2} \geq \frac{283}{960} \simeq 0.2948$$

respectively.

Cusps of plane curves.

- Classical problem: **What is the maximum number $s(d)$ of cusps on degree d plane curve ?**

Plane curves of degree d are defined by the equation

$$\sum_{i+j \leq d} \alpha_{ij} w^i z^j = 0$$

- Expected answer: $s(d)_{exp} = d(d+1)/4$

Hirano and Kulikov constructed a families of curves with large number of cusps that give

$$\sup \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s(d)}{d^2} \geq \frac{9}{32},$$
$$\sup \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s(d)}{d^2} \geq \frac{283}{960} \simeq 0.2948$$

respectively.

Upper bound

Until recently the best upper bound was obtained by Hirzebruch

$$s(d) \leq \frac{5}{16}d^2 - \frac{3}{8}d \simeq 0.3125d^2 + O(d)$$

In 2004 Lander using generalization of Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality proved

$$s(d) \leq \frac{125 + \sqrt{73}}{432}d^2 \simeq 0.309d^2$$

$$0.2948 \leq \sup \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s(d)}{d^2} \leq 0.3091$$

Upper bound

Until recently the best upper bound was obtained by Hirzebruch

$$s(d) \leq \frac{5}{16}d^2 - \frac{3}{8}d \simeq 0.3125d^2 + O(d)$$

In 2004 Lander using generalization of Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality proved

$$s(d) \leq \frac{125 + \sqrt{73}}{432}d^2 \simeq 0.309d^2$$

$$0.2948 \leq \sup \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s(d)}{d^2} \leq 0.3091$$

Upper bound

Until recently the best upper bound was obtained by Hirzebruch

$$s(d) \leq \frac{5}{16}d^2 - \frac{3}{8}d \simeq 0.3125d^2 + O(d)$$

In 2004 Lander using generalization of Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality proved

$$s(d) \leq \frac{125 + \sqrt{73}}{432}d^2 \simeq 0.309d^2$$

$$0.2948 \leq \sup \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s(d)}{d^2} \leq 0.3091$$

Ongoing project with Grushevsky

Problem arisen in a study of singularities of solution of the Whitham equations:

- *What is the maximal number of common zeros of two real normalized differentials having fixed orders of poles?*

Conjecture (Theorem ? (Grushevsky-Kr))

Two real normalized meromorphic differentials with $d > 1$ poles of order 2 on a smooth genus g algebraic curve can not have more that $\frac{3}{2}(g + d - 1)$ common zeros.

- **Corollary**

$$s(d) \leq \frac{3}{10}d(d-1)$$

Ongoing project with Grushevsky

Problem arisen in a study of singularities of solution of the Whitham equations:

- *What is the maximal number of common zeros of two real normalized differentials having fixed orders of poles?*

Conjecture (Theorem ? (Grushevsky-Kr))

Two real normalized meromorphic differentials with $d > 1$ poles of order 2 on a smooth genus g algebraic curve can not have more that $\frac{3}{2}(g + d - 1)$ common zeros.

- Corollary

$$s(d) \leq \frac{3}{10}d(d-1)$$

Ongoing project with Grushevsky

Problem arisen in a study of singularities of solution of the Whitham equations:

- *What is the maximal number of common zeros of two real normalized differentials having fixed orders of poles?*

Conjecture (Theorem ? (Grushevsky-Kr))

Two real normalized meromorphic differentials with $d > 1$ poles of order 2 on a smooth genus g algebraic curve can not have more that $\frac{3}{2}(g + d - 1)$ common zeros.

- **Corollary**

$$s(d) \leq \frac{3}{10}d(d-1)$$