

SKETCH OF SOLUTIONS (HOMEWORK V)

- 9.1 a) $9^{794} \equiv 9^{11 \cdot 72 + 2} \equiv 9^2 \equiv 8 \pmod{73}$
 b) $x = 8, 21$
 c) $x^{39} \equiv x^{3 \cdot 13}$ which is either 0 or 1, therefore there are no solutions.

9.4 a) yes, b) yes, c) no.

- 10.1 a) First let us deal with the hard case: $m = 2$. In this case $b_1 = 1 = B$. Now let us deal with the easy case: $m > 2$. Notice that if $b_i \in \{b_1, \dots, b_{\phi(m)}\}$ then there is some representative of $b_i^{-1} \pmod{m} \in \{b_1, \dots, b_{\phi(m)}\}$ (*why?*) also, if $b_i \in \{b_1, \dots, b_{\phi(m)}\}$ then there is some representative of $-b_i \pmod{m} \in \{b_1, \dots, b_{\phi(m)}\}$ (*why?*). Using these two remarks we will pair the factors in the product in order to get $B \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{m}$.

Start with b_1 : If $b_1 \neq b_1^{-1}$ pair b_1 with b_1^{-1} . That is, factor

$$B \equiv (b_1 b_1^{-1})(b_{i_1} b_{i_2} \cdots b_{i_{\phi(m)-2}}) \equiv (1)(b_{i_1} b_{i_2} \cdots b_{i_{\phi(m)-2}})$$

If $b_1 \equiv b_1^{-1}$ pair b_1 with $-b_1 \pmod{m}$. That is, factor

$$B \equiv (b_1(-b_1))(b_{i_1} b_{i_2} \cdots b_{i_{\phi(m)-2}}) \equiv (-1)(b_{i_1} b_{i_2} \cdots b_{i_{\phi(m)-2}})$$

Notice that if $b_1 \equiv -b_1 \pmod{m}$ then $2b_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$, multiplying times $b_1^{-1} \pmod{m}$ we get $2 \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ therefore $m = 2$, i.e. we are in the hard case, which we already solved. Pairing b_2, b_3, \dots in the same way we get recursively the result (*why?*).

- b) It turns out that $B = b_1 \cdot b_2 \cdots b_{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$, unless $m = 4, p^r$, or $2p^r$, where p is an odd prime and r is a positive integer, in which cases it is $\equiv -1 \pmod{m}$. This follows from an analysis similar to the proof of Wilson's theorem we discussed in class.
- 10.2 Notice that $\phi(7) = 6, \phi(7^2) = 42, \phi(7^3) = 294$, and $\phi(7^4) > 1000$ therefore $\gcd(7, m) = 1$ (*why?*). Now we can use Euler's formula: $7^{3003} \equiv 7^{3 \cdot \phi(m) + 3} \equiv 7^3 \pmod{m}$

- 10.3 a) We have the following three congruences:

$$a^{560} \equiv a^{2 \cdot 280} \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$$

$$a^{560} \equiv a^{10 \cdot 56} \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$$

$$a^{560} \equiv a^{16 \cdot 35} \equiv 1 \pmod{17}$$

by the chinese remainder theorem $a^{560} \equiv 1 \pmod{561}$ (*why?*)

- 11.1 $\phi(97) = 96, \phi(8800) = \phi(2^5 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 11) = 3200$

- 11.2 If $m \geq 3$ then m has an odd prime factor p or it is a power of 2 with exponent greater than 1. In the first case, $m = p^s k$ with $s \geq 1$ and $\gcd(p, k) = 1$. Therefore $\phi(m) = \phi(p^s) \phi(k)$ but $\phi(p^s) = p^{s-1}(p-1)$ which is even. In the second case $m = 2^s$ with $s > 1$ therefore $\phi(m) = \phi(2^s) = 2^{s-1}$ which is even since $s > 1$

b) m should be of the form $2^s p^t$ where $s = 0, 1$ and p is an odd prime of the form $4n + 3$