## homework assignment 11

Section 7.3 pp. 249-250

**EXERCISE I.** Let  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  be  $3 \times 3$  nilpotent matrices over the field F. Prove that  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are similar if and only if they have the same minimal polynomial. **Solution:** If  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are similar, they have the same minimal polynomial (cf. pg. 192). Conversely, suppose that  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  have the same minimal polynomial. The minimal polynomial must be  $x^k$  for some  $1 \le k \le 3$ . If k = 1 we get that the matrix is the zero matrix, so both  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are the zero matrix. If k = 2 we get that the Jordan form for  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and thus, they are similar. If k = 3 then the Jordan form of both matrices is

(0	0	$0 \rangle$
1	0	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$
$\sqrt{0}$	1	0/

and thus, they are similar.

**EXERCISE 3**. If A is a complex  $5 \times 5$  matrix with characteristic polynomial

$$f = (x-2)^3(x+7)^2$$

and minimal polynomial  $p = (x - 2)^2(x + 7)$ , what is the Jordan form for A?

**Solution:** The block matrix associated to the characteristic value 2 is a  $3 \times 3$  matrix with 2's along the diagonal with an **elementary Jordan matrix** of size  $2 \times 2$  (the multiplicity of 2 in the minimal polynomial) as the first block. i.e.:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Analogously, the block matrix associated to the characteristic value -7 is a  $2 \times 2$  matrix with -7's along the diagonal with an **elementary Jordan matrix** of size  $1 \times 1$  as the first block, i.e.:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -7 & 0 \\ 0 & -7 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence the Jordan form for *A* is:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -7 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -7 \end{pmatrix}$$

**EXERCISE 4** How many possible Jordan forms are there for a  $6 \times 6$  complex matrix with characteristic polynomial  $(x + 2)^4 (x - 1)^2$  ?

**Solution: ATTENTION!!!** There are 8 possibilities for the minimal polynomial, this implies that there are *at least* 8 different Jordan forms. But the minimal polynomial  $(x + 2)^2(x - 1)$  may correspond to **TWO** different matrices, namely

(-2)	0	0	0	0	0)		(-2)	0	0	0	0	0
1	-2	0	0	0	0		1	-2	0	0	0	0
		-2				and	0	0	-2	0	0	0
0	0	1	-2	0	0	and	0	0	0	-2	0	0
0	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	0	0	1	0
$\int 0$	0	0	0	0	1/		0	0	0	0	0	1/

this corresponds to the fact that 4 = 2 + 2 but also 4 = 2 + 1 + 1. Analogously the minimal polynomial  $(x + 2)^2(x - 1)^2$  corresponds to **TWO** matrices. Therefore we have 10 different Jordan forms. Think of it in this way:

How many blocks corresponding to the eigenvalue -2 can we form?

This is equivalent to "In how many ways can we write 4 as a sum  $a_1 + a_2 + ... + a_k$  with  $a_i > 0$  and  $a_1 \ge a_2 \ge ... \ge a_k$ ?" The answer is

i.e. in 5 different ways. Analogously, we can write 2 in only two different ways, namely 2 = 2 and 2 = 1 + 1. Therefore, multiplying we get 10 different Jordan forms.

exepcise 5. The differentiation operator on the space of polynomials of degree less than or equal to 3 is represented in the 'natural' ordered basis by the matrix

$\left( 0 \right)$	1	0	$0 \rangle$
0	0	2	0
0	0	0	3
$\int 0$	0	0	0/

What is the Jordan form of this matrix? (*F* a subfield of the complex numbers.)? **Solution** The characteristic polynomial for this matrix is  $x^4$  and the minimal polynomial is  $x^4$ , therefore the Jordan Form consists of only one block associated to the characteristic value 0 with its first **elementary Jordan block** of length 4. i.e. the Jordan form of the matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

## Section 8.1 pp. 275-276

**EXERCISE** 2. Let *V* be a vector space over *F*. Show that the sum of two inner products on *V* is an inner product on *V*. Is the difference of two inner products an inner product? Show that a positive multiple of an inner product is an inner product.

**Solution**: Let  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  be two inner products, we will show that  $f = f_1 + f_2$  satisfies all the axioms of an inner product.

(a)  $f(a+b,c) = f_1(a+b,c) + f_2(a+b,c) = f_1(a,c) + f_1(b,c) + f_2(a,c) + f_2(b,c)$  the first equality is by definition, the second is because  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are inner products. But reordering, the last term of the equality is equal to  $f_1(a,c) + f_2(a,c) + f_1(b,c) + f_2(b,c) = f(a,c) + f(b,c)$ , the last equality is again by definition.

**(b)** 
$$f(ka,b) = f_1(ka,b) + f_2(ka,b) = kf_1(a,b) + kf_2(a,b) = k(f_1(a,b) + f_2(a,b)) = kf(a,b)$$

(c) 
$$f(b,a) = f_1(b,a) + f_2(b,a) = \overline{f_1(a,b)} + \overline{f_2(a,b)} = \overline{f_1(a,b) + f_2(a,b)} = \overline{f(a,b)}$$

(d) If  $a \neq 0$ ,  $f_1(a, a) > 0$  and  $f_2(a, a) > 0$  therefore  $f(a, a) = f_1(a, a) + f_2(a, a) > 0$ 

The difference of inner products is **NOT** an inner product in general: Let  $f_1 = f_2$  and  $f = f_1 - f_2$ , and let  $a \neq 0$ , then  $f_{(a, a)} = f_1(a, a) - f_2(a, a) = 0$  (which contradicts axiom d). The proof for the positive multiple of a scalar product is analogous to the prove for the sum.

**Exercise 3**. Describe all inner products on  $\mathbb{R}^1$  and on  $\mathbb{C}^1$ 

**Solution**: Let f be an inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^1$ , since f is linear on each variable we get:

$$f(r,s) = f(r \cdot 1, s \cdot 1) = rf(1, s \cdot 1) = rsf(1, 1)$$

therefore the inner product of the vectors r and s is just the product of the real numbers r and s times f(1,1). But we know that f(1,1) > 0. So we have as many inner products on  $R^1$  as positive real numbers.

Let f be an inner product on  $\mathbb{C}^1$ , since f is linear on each variable we get:

$$f(r,s) = f(r \cdot 1, s \cdot 1) = rf(1, s \cdot 1) = r\overline{s}f(1, 1)$$

therefore the inner product of the vectors r and s is just the product of the real numbers r and s times f(1,1). But we know that f(1,1) > 0. So we have as many inner products on  $\mathbb{C}^1$  as positive real numbers.

**EXERCISE 5**. Let (|) be the standard inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

- (a) Let  $\alpha = (1,2)$ ,  $\beta = (-1,1)$ . If  $\gamma$  is a vector such that  $(\alpha|\gamma) = -1$  and  $(\beta|\gamma) = 3$  find  $\gamma$ .
- (b) Show that for any  $\alpha$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  we have  $\alpha = (\alpha | e_1)e_1 + (\alpha | e_2)e_2$

solution:

(a) We have to solve the system of equations

$$x_1 + 2x_2 = -1 -x_1 + x_2 = 3$$

Solving we get  $\gamma = \left(-\frac{7}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right)$ 

(b) Writing  $\alpha$  in terms of the standard basis we get  $\alpha = a_1e_1 + a_2e_2$ . On the right hand of the equation we get

 $(a_1e_1 + a_2e_2|e_1)e_1 + (a_1e_1 + a_2e_2|e_2)e_2 =$  $(a_1e_1|e_1)e_1 + (a_2e_2|e_1) + (a_1e_1|e_2)e_2 + (a_2e_2|e_2) =$  $a_1e_1 + a_2e_2$ 

## SECTION 8.2 pp. 288-289

**Exercise**  $\mathbf{l}$ . Consider  $\mathbb{R}^4$  with the standard inner product. Let W be the subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  consisting of all vectors which are orthogonal to both  $\alpha = (1, 0, -1, 1)$  and  $\beta = (2, 3, -1, 2)$ 

Solution: We have to find the solution space for the system

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_1 - x_3 + x_4 & = & 0\\ 2x_1 + 3x_2 - x_3 + x_2 & = & 0 \end{array}$$

Row reducing we get that the vectors  $(1, -\frac{1}{3}, 1, 0)$  and (-1, 0, 0, 1) form a basis for the solution space of the system.

**Exercise** 2. Apply the Gram-Schmidt process to the vectors  $\beta_1 = (1,0,1)$ ,  $\beta_2 = (1,0,-1)$ ,  $\beta_3 = (0,3,4)$ , to obtain an orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with the standard inner product.

**Solution**: Notice that the first two vectors are already orthogonal, therefore we only need to find the third vector. The basis we get is:

$$(1, 0, 1), (1, 0, -1), (0, 3, 0)$$

**EXERCISE 9**. Let *V* be the subspace of  $\mathbb{R}[x]$  of polynomials of degree at most 3. Equip *V* with the inner product

$$(f|g) = \int_0^1 f(t)g(t)dt$$

(a) Find the orthogonal complement of the subspace of scalar polynomials.

(b) Apply the Gram-Schmidt process to the basis  $\{1, x, x^2, x^3\}$ 

## solation:

(b) The basis we get is  $\{1, x - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{6} - x + x^2, -\frac{1}{20} + \frac{3}{5}x - \frac{3}{2}x^2 + x^3\}$ 

(a) Using (b), we obtain the following basis for the orthogonal complement of the subspace of scalar polynomials, i.e. the orthogonal complement of the subspace spanned by  $\{1\}$ :  $\mathcal{B} = \{x - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{6} - x + x^2, -\frac{1}{20} + \frac{3}{5}x - \frac{3}{2}x^2 + x^3\}$ 

**Remark:** When performing the Gram-Schmidt process it is helpful to have quick access to the inner products of the vectors of the basis. This can be achieved by writing the matrix  $M = (m_{ij})$  where  $m_{ij} = (e_i|e_j)$ . In this way, if we write any two vectors  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in terms of the basis  $\{e_i\}$  we get the following:  $(\alpha|\beta) = [\alpha]M[\beta]^T$ . In the previous example we get the matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1/2 & 1/3 & 1/4 \\ 1/2 & 1/3 & 1/4 & 1/5 \\ 1/3 & 1/4 & 1/5 & 1/6 \\ 1/4 & 1/5 & 1/6 & 1/7 \end{pmatrix}$$

so, for example, the inner product of  $\alpha = 2 + 3x + x^2$  and  $\beta = 8x^2 - x^3$  is equal to  $[2, 3, 1, 0]M[0, 0, 8, -1]^T$ .

With this notation, if  $\mathcal{A} = \{1 = b_1, x = b_2, x^2 = b_3, x^3 = b_4\}$  the Gram-Schmidt process becomes:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} a_1 & = & b_1 \\ a_2 & = & b_2 - \frac{b_2 M a_1^T}{a_1 M a_1^T} a_1 \\ a_3 & = & b_3 - \frac{b_3 M a_1^T}{a_1 M a_1^T} a_1 - \frac{b_3 M a_2^T}{a_2 M a_2^T} a_2 \\ a_3 & = & b_4 - \frac{b_4 M a_1^T}{a_1 M a_1^T} a_1 - \frac{b_4 M a_2^T}{a_2 M a_2^T} a_2 - \frac{b_4 M a_3^T}{a_3 M a_3^T} a_3 \end{array}$$