# The geometry of RNP Lipschitz differentiability spaces, I

David Bate, joint work with Sean Li

University of Chicago

19th March 2016

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

# Lipschitz differentiability spaces

We will consider generalisations of Rademacher's theorem to metric measure spaces  $(X, d, \mu)$ . Fix a Lipschitz  $\varphi \colon X \to \mathbb{R}^n$ .

#### Definition

A function  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable at  $x_0$  if there exists a unique, linear  $Df(x_0): \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$f(x)-f(x_0)=Df(x_0)(\varphi(x)-\varphi(x_0))+o(d(x,x_0)).$$

#### Definition

A metric measure space is an *n*-dimensional Lipschitz differentiability space if there exists a  $\varphi$  such that every Lipschitz  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable  $\mu$  a.e.

# Lipschitz differentiability spaces

We will consider generalisations of Rademacher's theorem to metric measure spaces  $(X, d, \mu)$ . Fix a Lipschitz  $\varphi \colon X \to \mathbb{R}^n$ .

#### Definition

A function  $f: X \to V$  is differentiable at  $x_0$  if there exists a unique, linear  $Df(x_0): \mathbb{R}^n \to V$  such that

$$f(x)-f(x_0)=Df(x_0)(\varphi(x)-\varphi(x_0))+o(d(x,x_0)).$$

#### Definition

A metric measure space is an *n*-dimensional *RNP Lipschitz* differentiability space if every Lipschitz  $f: X \to V$  is differentiable  $\mu$  a.e. for every V with the Radon Nikodym property. V has the RNP if every Lipschitz  $\gamma: [0,1] \to V$  is differentiable (Lebesgue) a.e. Theorems of Cheeger and Cheeger-Kleiner

#### Theorem (Cheeger '99)

Any doubling metric measure space that satisfies the Poincaré inequality is a Lipschitz differentiability space.

Theorems of Cheeger and Cheeger-Kleiner

#### Theorem (Cheeger '99)

Any doubling metric measure space that satisfies the Poincaré inequality is a Lipschitz differentiability space.

Theorem (Cheeger-Kleiner '09)

It is a RNP Lipschitz differentiability space.

# Theorems of Cheeger and Cheeger-Kleiner

#### Theorem (Cheeger '99)

Any doubling metric measure space that satisfies the Poincaré inequality is a Lipschitz differentiability space.

#### Theorem (Cheeger-Kleiner '09)

It is a RNP Lipschitz differentiability space.

Examples: Heisenberg group, Laakso space.

Another generalisation of Rademacher's theorem to metric spaces: form partial derivatives of a Lipschitz function along curves.

Another generalisation of Rademacher's theorem to metric spaces: form partial derivatives of a Lipschitz function along curves. Let  $\Gamma$  denote the set of bi-Lipschitz  $\gamma$ : dom  $\gamma \subset \mathbb{R} \to X$ . We call the elements of  $\Gamma$  curve fragments.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Another generalisation of Rademacher's theorem to metric spaces: form partial derivatives of a Lipschitz function along curves. Let  $\Gamma$  denote the set of bi-Lipschitz  $\gamma$ : dom  $\gamma \subset \mathbb{R} \to X$ . We call the elements of  $\Gamma$  *curve fragments*.

#### Definition

A probability measure  $\mathbb{P}$  on  $\Gamma$  and measures  $\mu_{\gamma} \ll \mathcal{H}^1 \llcorner \gamma$  form an *Alberti representation* of  $\mu$  if

$$\mu({\mathcal B}) = \int \mu_\gamma({\mathcal B}) \mathsf{d} \mathbb{P}(\gamma)$$

for each Borel  $B \subset X$ .

Another generalisation of Rademacher's theorem to metric spaces: form partial derivatives of a Lipschitz function along curves. Let  $\Gamma$  denote the set of bi-Lipschitz  $\gamma$ : dom  $\gamma \subset \mathbb{R} \to X$ . We call the elements of  $\Gamma$  *curve fragments*.

#### Definition

A probability measure  $\mathbb{P}$  on  $\Gamma$  and measures  $\mu_{\gamma} \ll \mathcal{H}^1 \llcorner \gamma$  form an *Alberti representation* of  $\mu$  if

$$\mu(\mathcal{B}) = \int \mu_{\gamma}(\mathcal{B}) \mathsf{d}\mathbb{P}(\gamma)$$

for each Borel  $B \subset X$ .

Gives a partial derivative  $(f \circ \gamma)'(t)$  of any Lipschitz function f at  $\mu$ -a.e. x.

For simplicity, suppose that the partial derivative of every Lipschitz function is well defined almost everywhere.

For simplicity, suppose that the partial derivative of every Lipschitz function is well defined almost everywhere. Then, given *n* Alberti representations of  $\mu$ , we can form the *gradient* of partial derivatives  $\nabla f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  for  $\mu$ -a.e. *x*.

For simplicity, suppose that the partial derivative of every Lipschitz function is well defined almost everywhere. Then, given *n* Alberti representations of  $\mu$ , we can form the *gradient* of partial derivatives  $\nabla f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  for  $\mu$ -a.e. *x*.

n Alberti representations are *independent* if there exists a Lipschitz φ: X → ℝ<sup>n</sup> such that ∇φ(x) is invertible for μ-a.e x.

For simplicity, suppose that the partial derivative of every Lipschitz function is well defined almost everywhere. Then, given *n* Alberti representations of  $\mu$ , we can form the *gradient* of partial derivatives  $\nabla f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  for  $\mu$ -a.e. *x*.

- n Alberti representations are *independent* if there exists a Lipschitz φ: X → ℝ<sup>n</sup> such that ∇φ(x) is invertible for μ-a.e x.
- Independent Alberti representations are *universal* if there exists a δ > 0 such that max<sub>i</sub> ∇<sub>i</sub>f(x) ≥ δ Lip f(x) for μ-a.e. x.

For simplicity, suppose that the partial derivative of every Lipschitz function is well defined almost everywhere. Then, given *n* Alberti representations of  $\mu$ , we can form the *gradient* of partial derivatives  $\nabla f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  for  $\mu$ -a.e. *x*.

- n Alberti representations are *independent* if there exists a Lipschitz φ: X → ℝ<sup>n</sup> such that ∇φ(x) is invertible for μ-a.e x.
- Independent Alberti representations are *universal* if there exists a δ > 0 such that max<sub>i</sub> ∇<sub>i</sub>f(x) ≥ δ Lip f(x) for μ-a.e. x.

#### Theorem (B '12)

 $(X, d, \mu)$  is an n-dimensional Lipschitz differentiability space if and only if  $\mu$  has a universal collection of n Alberti representatations.

Can we describe universal Alberti representations purely in terms of the geometry of the metric space?

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Can we describe universal Alberti representations purely in terms of the geometry of the metric space?

Suppose μ has 2 Alberti representations supported on Γ<sub>1</sub>, Γ<sub>2</sub> and there exists a C ≥ 1 such that

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Can we describe universal Alberti representations purely in terms of the geometry of the metric space?

- Suppose μ has 2 Alberti representations supported on Γ<sub>1</sub>, Γ<sub>2</sub> and there exists a C ≥ 1 such that
- For any e > 0 and S ⊂ X, for µ-a.e. x<sub>0</sub> ∈ S and every sufficiently close x there exists a 1-Lipschitz

$$\gamma\colon \operatorname{\mathsf{dom}} \gamma \subset [a,b] \to X$$

formed by concatenating curves in  $\Gamma_i$  that joins  $x_0$  to x with

Can we describe universal Alberti representations purely in terms of the geometry of the metric space?

- Suppose μ has 2 Alberti representations supported on Γ<sub>1</sub>, Γ<sub>2</sub> and there exists a C ≥ 1 such that
- For any e > 0 and S ⊂ X, for µ-a.e. x<sub>0</sub> ∈ S and every sufficiently close x there exists a 1-Lipschitz

$$\gamma\colon \operatorname{\mathsf{dom}} \gamma \subset [a,b] \to X$$

formed by concatenating curves in  $\Gamma_i$  that joins  $x_0$  to x with

•  $b-a \leq Cd(x, x_0)$  and  $|[a, b] \setminus \gamma^{-1}(S)| \leq \epsilon d(x, x_0)$ .

Can we describe universal Alberti representations purely in terms of the geometry of the metric space?

- Suppose μ has 2 Alberti representations supported on Γ<sub>1</sub>, Γ<sub>2</sub> and there exists a C ≥ 1 such that
- For any e > 0 and S ⊂ X, for µ-a.e. x<sub>0</sub> ∈ S and every sufficiently close x there exists a 1-Lipschitz

 $\gamma \colon \operatorname{dom} \gamma \subset [a, b] \to X$ 

formed by concatenating curves in  $\Gamma_i$  that joins  $x_0$  to x with

• 
$$b-a \leq Cd(x, x_0)$$
 and  $|[a, b] \setminus \gamma^{-1}(S)| \leq \epsilon d(x, x_0)$ .

We say that such Alberti representations *connect points* in X.

Now let  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  be Lipschitz and for  $D \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  set

$$S = S_{D,\epsilon} = \{ y \in X : \|\nabla f(y) - D \cdot \nabla \varphi(y)\| < \epsilon \}.$$

(For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can cover almost all of X by sets of this form.)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 = のへで

Now let  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  be Lipschitz and for  $D \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  set

$$S = S_{D,\epsilon} = \{y \in X : \|\nabla f(y) - D \cdot \nabla \varphi(y)\| < \epsilon\}.$$

(For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can cover almost all of X by sets of this form.) Then by using the Fundamental theorem of calculus along the curve  $\gamma$ ,

$$\begin{split} f(x) - f(x_0) &= \int_{\gamma^{-1}(S)} (f \circ \gamma)' + \epsilon d(x, x_0) \operatorname{Lip} fO(1) \\ &= \int_{\gamma^{-1}(S)} D \cdot (\varphi \circ \gamma)' + \epsilon d(x, x_0) (C + \operatorname{Lip}) O(1) \\ &= D \cdot (\varphi(x) - \varphi(x_0)) + \epsilon d(x, x_0) (\operatorname{Lip} \varphi + C + \operatorname{Lip} f) O(1) \end{split}$$

Now let  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  be Lipschitz and for  $D \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  set

$$S = S_{D,\epsilon} = \{y \in X : \|\nabla f(y) - D \cdot \nabla \varphi(y)\| < \epsilon\}.$$

(For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can cover almost all of X by sets of this form.) Then by using the Fundamental theorem of calculus along the curve  $\gamma$ ,

$$f(x) - f(x_0) = \int_{\gamma^{-1}(S)} (f \circ \gamma)' + \epsilon d(x, x_0) \operatorname{Lip} fO(1)$$
  
= 
$$\int_{\gamma^{-1}(S)} D \cdot (\varphi \circ \gamma)' + \epsilon d(x, x_0) (C + \operatorname{Lip}) O(1)$$
  
= 
$$D \cdot (\varphi(x) - \varphi(x_0)) + \epsilon d(x, x_0) (\operatorname{Lip} \varphi + C + \operatorname{Lip} f) O(1)$$

Let  $\epsilon \to 0$ ,  $D \to Df(x_0)$  for  $\mu$ -a.e  $x_0$ .

Now let  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  be Lipschitz and for  $D \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  set

$$S = S_{D,\epsilon} = \{y \in X : \|\nabla f(y) - D \cdot \nabla \varphi(y)\| < \epsilon\}.$$

(For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can cover almost all of X by sets of this form.) Then by using the Fundamental theorem of calculus along the curve  $\gamma$ ,

$$f(x) - f(x_0) = \int_{\gamma^{-1}(S)} (f \circ \gamma)' + \epsilon d(x, x_0) \operatorname{Lip} fO(1)$$
  
= 
$$\int_{\gamma^{-1}(S)} D \cdot (\varphi \circ \gamma)' + \epsilon d(x, x_0) (C + \operatorname{Lip}) O(1)$$
  
= 
$$D \cdot (\varphi(x) - \varphi(x_0)) + \epsilon d(x, x_0) (\operatorname{Lip} \varphi + C + \operatorname{Lip} f) O(1)$$

Let  $\epsilon \to 0$ ,  $D \to Df(x_0)$  for  $\mu$ -a.e  $x_0$ . Also works for RNP valued f.

## A characterisation of RNP-LDS

## Theorem (B, Li '15)

 $(X, d, \mu)$  is a RNP-LDS if and only if  $\mu$  has Alberti representations that connect points.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

# A characterisation of RNP-LDS

## Theorem (B, Li '15)

 $(X, d, \mu)$  is a RNP-LDS if and only if  $\mu$  has Alberti representations that connect points.

▶ We need RNP targets, specifically an  $\ell_1$  sum of  $\ell_p$  spaces  $(p \to \infty)$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# A characterisation of RNP-LDS

## Theorem (B, Li '15)

 $(X, d, \mu)$  is a RNP-LDS if and only if  $\mu$  has Alberti representations that connect points.

▶ We need RNP targets, specifically an  $\ell_1$  sum of  $\ell_p$  spaces  $(p \to \infty)$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• It is an open question whether LDS  $\Leftrightarrow$  RNP-LDS.

 Negating "Alberti representations connect points" (and some measure theory) gives a β > 0 such that, for every ε > 0,

$$ho_\epsilon(\mathsf{x},\mathsf{x_0}) := \inf_\gamma \epsilon |\operatorname{\mathsf{dom}} \gamma| + |[\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b}] \setminus \operatorname{\mathsf{dom}} \gamma|$$

( $\gamma$  a concatenation of curves in  $\Gamma_i$  joining x to  $x_0$ ) satisfies

$$\limsup_{x\to x_0}\frac{\rho_\epsilon(x,x_0)}{d(x,x_0)}\geq\beta.$$

► Negating "Alberti representations connect points" (and some measure theory) gives a β > 0 such that, for every ε > 0,

$$ho_\epsilon(\mathsf{x},\mathsf{x_0}) := \inf_\gamma \epsilon |\operatorname{\mathsf{dom}} \gamma| + |[\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b}] \setminus \operatorname{\mathsf{dom}} \gamma|$$

( $\gamma$  a concatenation of curves in  $\Gamma_i$  joining x to  $x_0$ ) satisfies

$$\limsup_{x\to x_0}\frac{\rho_\epsilon(x,x_0)}{d(x,x_0)}\geq\beta.$$

Also, if γ̃ is a curve in Γ<sub>i</sub> then |(ρ<sub>ε</sub> ∘ γ̃)'(t)| ≤ ε for a.e. t.

► Negating "Alberti representations connect points" (and some measure theory) gives a β > 0 such that, for every ε > 0,

$$ho_\epsilon(\mathsf{x},\mathsf{x_0}) := \inf_\gamma \epsilon |\operatorname{\mathsf{dom}} \gamma| + |[\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b}] \setminus \operatorname{\mathsf{dom}} \gamma|$$

( $\gamma$  a concatenation of curves in  $\Gamma_i$  joining x to  $x_0$ ) satisfies

$$\limsup_{x\to x_0}\frac{\rho_\epsilon(x,x_0)}{d(x,x_0)}\geq\beta.$$

- Also, if  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is a curve in  $\Gamma_i$  then  $|(\rho_{\epsilon} \circ \tilde{\gamma})'(t)| \leq \epsilon$  for a.e. t.
- ▶ Universal Alberti representations  $\Rightarrow |\text{Lip } \rho_{\epsilon}(x)| \leq \epsilon/\delta \mu$ -a.e. x

 Negating "Alberti representations connect points" (and some measure theory) gives a β > 0 such that, for every ε > 0,

$$ho_\epsilon(\mathsf{x},\mathsf{x_0}) := \inf_\gamma \epsilon |\operatorname{\mathsf{dom}} \gamma| + |[\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b}] \setminus \operatorname{\mathsf{dom}} \gamma|$$

( $\gamma$  a concatenation of curves in  $\Gamma_i$  joining x to  $x_0$ ) satisfies

$$\limsup_{x\to x_0}\frac{\rho_\epsilon(x,x_0)}{d(x,x_0)}\geq\beta.$$

- Also, if  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is a curve in  $\Gamma_i$  then  $|(\rho_{\epsilon} \circ \tilde{\gamma})'(t)| \leq \epsilon$  for a.e. t.
- ▶ Universal Alberti representations  $\Rightarrow |\text{Lip } \rho_{\epsilon}(x)| \leq \epsilon/\delta \mu$ -a.e. x
- They cannot be glued together to form a single function that is bad on a set of large measure.

 Negating "Alberti representations connect points" (and some measure theory) gives a β > 0 such that, for every ε > 0,

$$ho_\epsilon(\mathsf{x},\mathsf{x_0}) := \inf_\gamma \epsilon |\operatorname{\mathsf{dom}} \gamma| + |[\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b}] \setminus \operatorname{\mathsf{dom}} \gamma|$$

( $\gamma$  a concatenation of curves in  $\Gamma_i$  joining x to  $x_0$ ) satisfies

$$\limsup_{x\to x_0}\frac{\rho_\epsilon(x,x_0)}{d(x,x_0)}\geq\beta.$$

- Also, if  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is a curve in  $\Gamma_i$  then  $|(\rho_{\epsilon} \circ \tilde{\gamma})'(t)| \leq \epsilon$  for a.e. t.
- ▶ Universal Alberti representations  $\Rightarrow |\text{Lip } \rho_{\epsilon}(x)| \leq \epsilon/\delta \mu$ -a.e. x
- They cannot be glued together to form a single function that is bad on a set of large measure.
- Instead, each function goes into a component of an ℓ<sub>p</sub> valued function. Combining over ε → 0 requires a further ℓ<sub>1</sub> sum.

◆□▶ ◆圖▶ ★ 圖▶ ★ 圖▶ / 圖 / のへで