

## Homework 3 solutions

Aleksander Doan

September 26, 2016

**Problem 1.** Rational numbers form a field, so in particular they are closed under addition and multiplication. Thus, if  $x$  and  $x + y$  are rational, so is  $y = (x + y) - x$ . Likewise, if  $x$  and  $xy$  are rational and  $x \neq 0$ , then so is  $y = (xy)x^{-1}$ .

**Problem 2.** (Recall the definition of a Dedekind cut from Lecture 3, slide 10.) It is obvious that  $\alpha + \beta$  is not empty because the same is true for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Every Dedekind cut is bounded above (since otherwise it would be all of  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) so there are rational numbers  $M$  and  $N$  such that for all  $x \in \alpha$  we have  $x \leq M$  and for all  $y \in \beta$  we have  $y \leq N$ . We conclude that  $x + y \leq M + N$ . It follows that  $\alpha + \beta$  is bounded above so  $\alpha + \beta \neq \mathbb{Q}$ . This proves that the first axiom is satisfied. To check the second axiom, suppose that  $x \in \alpha$ ,  $y \in \beta$  and  $q$  is a rational number satisfying  $q < x + y$ . We have  $q - x < y$  which implies that  $q - x \in \beta$  since  $\beta$  is a Dedekind cut. So  $q = x + (q - x)$  is the sum of an element of  $\alpha$  and an element of  $\beta$  and  $q \in \alpha + \beta$ , which proves that  $\alpha + \beta$  satisfies the second axiom. It remains to prove that  $\alpha + \beta$  satisfies the third axiom. Again, let  $x \in \alpha$  and  $y \in \beta$ . Then there exist  $r_x \in \alpha$  and  $r_y \in \beta$  such that  $x < r_x$  and  $y < r_y$ . The sum  $r_x + r_y$  is then an element of  $\alpha + \beta$  such that  $x + y < r_x + r_y$ , which shows that the third axiom is satisfied. Therefore,  $\alpha + \beta$  is a Dedekind cut.

**Problem 3.** Suppose that  $y - x > 1$ . Let  $[x]$  be the largest integer satisfying  $[x] \leq x$  (prove that such an integer exist). Then  $x < [x] + 1$  and  $[x] + 1 \leq x + 1 < y$ , so  $[x] + 1$  belongs to the open interval  $(x, y)$ , which proves the first part of the problem. Suppose now that  $x$  and  $y$  satisfy  $x < y$ . Since  $y - x > 0$ , there exists a natural number  $m$  such that  $m(y - x) > 1$  or equivalently  $my - mx > 1$ . Applying the first part of the problem to the numbers  $my$  and  $mx$ , we see that there is an integer  $n$  such that  $my > n > mx$ . Dividing all sides by  $m$  we obtain  $y > n/m > x$ , which proves the second part of the problem. To prove the third part, observe that the open interval  $(x, y)$  is an uncountable set. On the other hand, rational numbers form a countable set. This means that there must exist an irrational number  $z \in (x, y)$ , which means that  $x < z < y$ .

**Problem 4.** Denote  $\phi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ . A direct calculation shows that  $\phi$  solves the quadratic equation  $1 + \phi = \phi^2$ . We prove the statement by (generalised) induction with respect to  $n$ . For  $n = 2$  we have  $F_2 = 1 < \phi$  because  $\sqrt{5} > 1$ . Suppose that the statement is true for all natural numbers smaller than or equal to some  $n \geq 2$ . We want to conclude that it is true for  $n + 1$ . By the induction hypothesis

$$F_{n+1} = F_{n-1} + F_n \leq \phi^{n-2} + \phi^{n-1} = \phi^{n-2}(1 + \phi) = \phi^n,$$

which shows that the inequality holds for  $n + 1$ . By the induction principle, it holds for all  $n \geq 2$ .

**Problem 5.** Define  $T_1 = 1$  and, recursively, for  $n > 1$ ,  $T_n = S_n \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq m < n} S_m$ . Then the sets  $T_n$  are countable and pairwise disjoint, and  $S = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n$ . Thus we may assume that the initial sets  $S_n$  were pairwise disjoint.

Since each of  $S_n$  is countable, there is an injective map  $f_n: S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  for every  $n$ . We construct a map  $F: S \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  by

$$F(x) = (f_n(x), n) \quad \text{for } x \in S_n.$$

It is well-defined since  $S$  is the disjoint union of all  $S_n$ . The map is clearly injective: if  $(f_n(x), n) = (f_m(y), m)$  for some natural numbers  $n, m$  and  $x \in S$ ,  $y \in S$ , then we have  $n = m$ , which shows that both  $x$  and  $y$  are elements of the same set  $S_n$ , and  $f_n(x) = f_n(y)$ , which shows that  $x = y$  since  $f_n$  is injective. To sum up, we have constructed an injective map from  $S$  to  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ . On the other hand, there is an injection  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  given by

$$g(m, n) = 2^m 3^n.$$

The injectivity of  $g$  follows from the fact that the prime decomposition of a natural number is unique. Thus, the composition  $g \circ f$  gives an injective map from  $S$  to  $\mathbb{N}$ , proving that  $S$  is countable.

**Problem 6.** First, we show that the decomposition, if exists, is unique. Suppose that we have another pair of polynomials  $Q_1$  and  $R_1$  satisfying  $\deg R < \deg B$  and

$$QB + R = P = Q_1B + R_1.$$

Then

$$(Q - Q_1)B = R_1 - R.$$

The polynomial on the right-hand side is of degree strictly smaller than  $\deg B$ . On the other hand, the polynomial on the right-hand side has degree  $\deg(Q - Q_1) + \deg B$ . So we have

$$\deg(Q - Q_1) + \deg B = \deg(R_1 - R) < \deg B,$$

which can happen only if  $\deg(Q - Q_1) < 0$  and so  $Q - Q_1 = 0$ . This shows that  $Q = Q_1$  and  $R = R_1$ . This shows uniqueness of the decomposition.

It remains to prove that such  $Q$  and  $R$  exist. We prove it by (generalised) induction with respect to the degree  $n = \deg P$ . Denote  $m = \deg B$ . The statement clearly holds when  $n < m$ , in which case we take  $Q = 0$  and  $R = P$ . This proves the first inductive step. Suppose that the statement holds for all natural numbers smaller than some  $n \geq m$ . We want to conclude that it also holds for  $n$ . Write

$$\begin{aligned} P(x) &= a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n, & a_n &\neq 0, \\ B(x) &= b_0 + b_1x + \cdots + b_mx^m, & b_m &\neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the polynomial

$$P_1(x) = P(x) - \frac{a_nx^{n-m}}{b_m}B(x).$$

(Note that we are using here the assumption that  $n \geq m$ .) The degree of  $P_1$  is at most  $n$ . However, the coefficient in front of the power  $x^n$  in  $P_1(x)$  is

$$a_n - \frac{a_n}{b_m}b_m = 0,$$

which shows that  $\deg P_1 < n$ . By the induction hypothesis, there are polynomials  $Q_1$  and  $R_1$  such that  $\deg R_1 < \deg B$  and

$$P_1 = Q_1B + R_1.$$

Equivalently,

$$P - \frac{a_nx^{n-m}}{b_m}B = Q_1B + R_1.$$

After rearranging, we obtain

$$P = \left( Q_1 + \frac{a_nx^{n-m}}{b_m} \right) B + R_1.$$

Setting  $Q = Q_1 + \frac{a_nx^{n-m}}{b_m}$  and  $R = R_1$  we obtain

$$P = QB + R$$

and  $\deg R < \deg B$  as desired. This shows that the decomposition exists for all polynomials  $P$  of degree  $n$ . By the induction principle, the statement is true for all natural numbers  $n$ .

**Bonus problem.** Let  $S = [0, 1, 2, \dots, 999]^3$  and let  $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by  $f(n_1, n_2, n_3) = n_1 + n_2\sqrt{2} + n_3\sqrt{3}$ . The range of  $f$  is contained in the interval  $[0, 4147]$ , and hence when it is broken into consecutive half-open intervals of length  $4.2 \times 10^{-6}$ , there are fewer than  $10^9$  such intervals. By the pigeonhole principle, two elements of  $S$  say  $(m_1, m_2, m_3) \neq (m'_1, m'_2, m'_3)$  map to the same interval. Observe that  $n_1 = m_1 - m'_1$ ,  $n_2 = m_2 - m'_2$ ,  $n_3 = m_3 - m'_3$  are not all zero and satisfy  $|n_1|, |n_2|, |n_3| < 1000$  and

$$\left| n_1 + n_2\sqrt{2} + n_3\sqrt{3} \right| < 4.2 \times 10^{-6}.$$