Math 141: Lecture 22 Generating functions, Fourier series

Bob Hough

November 30, 2016

Bob Hough

Math 141: Lecture 22

November 30, 2016 1 / 27

Recall last class we proved

Theorem For t > 0, $\int_0^\infty e^{-tx} \frac{\sin x}{x} dx = \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan t.$

by differentiating under the integral. Now we consider the behavior at t = 0. This is a continuous analogue of evaluating a power series at the boundary of the radius of convergence.

Theorem We have $\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin x}{x} dx = \frac{\pi}{2}.$

3

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Proof.

Integrate by parts in the integral

$$\int_{A}^{\infty} e^{-tx} \frac{\sin x}{x} dx = \frac{e^{-tA}}{A} \cos A - \int_{A}^{\infty} e^{-tx} \left(\frac{t}{x} + \frac{1}{x^2}\right) \cos x dx.$$

Bound

$$\left| \int_{A}^{\infty} e^{-tx} \frac{t}{x} \cos x dx \right| \leq \frac{t}{A} \int_{A}^{\infty} e^{-tx} dx = \frac{e^{-tA}}{A},$$
$$\left| \int_{A}^{\infty} e^{-tx} \frac{\cos x}{x^{2}} dx \right| \leq \int_{A}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^{2}} = \frac{1}{A}.$$

Thus

$$\left|\int_{A}^{\infty} e^{-tx} \frac{\sin x}{x} dx\right| \leq \frac{3}{A}.$$

Proof.

Similarly, integrate by parts in the integral

$$\int_{A}^{\infty} \frac{\sin x}{x} dx = \frac{\cos A}{A} - \int_{A}^{\infty} \frac{\cos x}{x^2} dx.$$

Thus $\left|\int_{A}^{\infty} \frac{\sin x}{x} dx\right| \leq \frac{2}{A}$. On [0, A], $\frac{e^{-tx} \sin x}{x} \to \frac{\sin x}{x}$ uniformly, so

$$\begin{split} \frac{\pi}{2} &= \lim_{t \downarrow 0} F(t) = O(1/A) + \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \int_0^A \frac{e^{-tx} \sin x}{x} dx \\ &= O(1/A) + \int_0^A \frac{\sin x}{x} dx \\ &= O(1/A) + \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin x}{x} dx. \end{split}$$

Letting $A \to \infty$ completes the proof.

Infinite products

Definition

Given a sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ the product

 $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1+a_n)$

is defined to be the limit, if it exists and is non-zero, of the sequence of partial products $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$,

$${\mathcal P}_n = \prod_{j=1}^n (1+{\mathsf a}_j).$$

D 1		
BOb	h H c	uloth
001	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	ug II

- 34

Infinite products

Theorem

Given a sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $a_n \neq -1$, whose series $\sum a_n$ is absolutely convergent, the product

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1+a_n)$$

is convergent. In this case we say that the product converges absolutely.

- 3

Infinite products

Proof.

Since $\sum |a_n|$ converges, $|a_n| \to 0$. Thus there is N such that $|a_n| < \frac{1}{2}$ for $n \ge N$. The sum

$$\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \log(1+a_n) = \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} a_n + O(a_n^2)$$

converges absolutely by comparison with $\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |a_n|$ by using the formula $\log(1 + a_n) = a_n + O(a_n^2)$ which holds as $|a_n| \to 0$. Thus

$$\prod_{n=N}^{\infty} (1+a_n) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \log(1+a_n)\right)$$

converges, as desired.

< 回 > < 三 > < 三 >

Euler's product formula for the zeta function

Recall that the Riemann zeta function is defined in $\Re(s) > 1$ by the formula

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}.$$

Theorem

For $\Re(s) > 1$,

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p^s}}.$$

The product, which is taken over the set of primes in increasing order, converges absolutely.

D			
RO	D H		~ h
00		UU.	<u> </u>
			-

Euler's product formula for the zeta function

Proof.

Calculate

$$rac{1}{1-
ho^{-s}}-1=rac{
ho^{-s}}{1-
ho^{-s}}.$$

• Set s = x + it with x and t real. Then for $C = \frac{1}{1-2^{-x}} > 0$,

$$\left|\frac{1}{1-p^{-s}}-1\right| \leq \frac{p^{-x}}{1-p^{-x}} \leq Cp^{-x}.$$

• The absolute convergence follows from

$$\sum_{p} \left| \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}} - 1 \right| \le C \sum_{p} \frac{1}{p^{x}} < C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{x}} < \infty$$

which holds since x > 1.

Euler's proof of the infinitude of primes

Theorem

There are infinitely many prime numbers.

Proof.

Since the sum $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$ diverges, $\zeta(x) \to \infty$ as $x \downarrow 1$. This would be false if the product

$$\zeta(x) = \prod_{p} \frac{1}{1 - p^{-x}}$$

were finite.

< 回 > < 三 > < 三 >

- Denote p(n) the partition function of n, which counts the number of ways of writing n as the sum of one or more integers in non-increasing order.
- For instance, p(4) = 5 since

$$4 = 3 + 1 = 2 + 2 = 2 + 1 + 1 = 1 + 1 + 1 + 1.$$

• It's conventional to define p(0) = 1. The first few values of p are given by p(1) = 1, p(2) = 2, p(3) = 3, p(4) = 5, p(5) = 7.

Theorem (Hardy-Ramanujan, 1918)
As
$$n \to \infty$$
,
 $p(n) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{1}{4n\sqrt{3}} \exp\left(\pi \sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}}\right)$.

< 🗇 🕨



- 2

・ロン ・四 ・ ・ ヨン ・ ヨン

Proof.

- The sum $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n) x^n$ converges absolutely in |x| < 1 by using the Hardy-Ramanujan asymptotic.
- Define

$$f_N(x) = \prod_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{1-x^k} = \sum_{j=0}^\infty p_N(j) x^j$$

by writing $\frac{1}{1-x^k} = 1 + x^k + x^{2k} + \dots$ and using the absolute convergence to justify the use of Cauchy products.

• The product $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-x^k}$ converges absolutely to a function f(x), since $\sum |x|^k$ converges.

化橡胶 化医胶 化医胶 一座

Proof.

• For $j \le N$, $p_N(j) = p(j)$, by using x^{ak} to track the case that k appears a times in a partition of n in the expansion

$$\frac{1}{1-x^k} = 1 + x^k + x^{2k} + \dots$$

Also, $p_N(j)$ is increasing as a function of N.

• It follows that $\lim_{N\to\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_N(j)x^j$ exists, and is equal to $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p(j)x^j$, since $\sum_{j=0}^{N} p_N(j)x^j = \sum_{j=0}^{N} p(j)x^j$, and the remaining tail satisfies

$$\sum_{j>N} p_N(j) x^j \Bigg| \leq \sum_{j>N} p(j) |x|^j$$

which tends to 0 as $N \to \infty$.

Rankin's trick

A cheap version of the Ramanujan-Hardy asymptotic may be proved easily. The method of proof is known as Rankin's trick.

Theorem

For each
$$\delta > 0$$
 there is a constant $C = C(\delta) > 0$ such that $p(n) \leq C(\delta) \exp(\delta n)$.

This theorem is sufficient to obtain the convergence in $\sum_{n} p(n)x^{n}$ for |x| < 1 by setting $|x| = e^{\alpha}$ and choosing $2\delta = \alpha$ in the theorem to obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |p(n)x^n| \leq C(\delta) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{(\delta-\alpha)n} < \infty.$$

A more careful version of the proof of the theorem gives

$$p(n) \leq \exp\left(O(\sqrt{n}\log n)\right).$$

くほと くほと くほと

Rankin's trick

Proof.

Choose $0 < x = e^{-\delta} < 1$ in the expression $\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{1-x^k} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_n(j)x^j$. Since $p_n(n) = p(n)$, we can drop all but one term to obtain

$$p(n)x^n \leq \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{1-x^k}.$$

Thus

$$p(n) \leq e^{\delta n} \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{1-x^k} \leq e^{\delta n} \prod_{k=1}^\infty \frac{1}{1-x^k}.$$

The product converges absolutely to a constant $C(\delta)$, since $\frac{1}{1-x^k} - 1 = \frac{x^k}{1-x^k} < \frac{x^k}{1-x}$ and $\sum_k x^k$ converges.

(人間) とうき くうとう う

- Consider the set of functions $\{e^{2\pi inx}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$.
- These functions are 1 periodic by Euler's formula. We sometimes indicate this by saying that they are defined on \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} .
- These functions have integral

$$\int_0^1 e^{2\pi i n \times} dx = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 0 \\ 0 & n \neq 0 \end{cases}.$$

• The integral formula implies the following orthogonality relation

$$\int_0^1 e^{2\pi i m x} \overline{e^{2\pi i n x}} dx = \int_0^1 e^{2\pi i (m-n) x} dx = \begin{cases} 1 & m=n \\ 0 & m \neq n \end{cases}$$

- A trigonometric polynomial is a finite sum $P(x) = \sum_{n \in S} c_n e^{2\pi i n x}$ where S is a finite set of frequencies.
- Calculate, using orthogonality,

$$\int_0^1 |P(x)|^2 dx = \int_0^1 \sum_{n_1, n_2 \in S} c_{n_1} \overline{c_{n_2}} e^{2\pi i (n_1 - n_2) \times} dx = \sum_{n \in S} |c_n|^2.$$

Definition

Let f be integrable on [0, 1]. The Fourier coefficients of f are defined by

$$\hat{f}(n) = \int_0^1 f(x) e^{-2\pi i n x} dx.$$

The Fourier series of f is the series

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(n) e^{2\pi i n x}.$$

No equality is asserted by the notation \sim . We say that the Fourier series converges to f at the point x if

$$f(x) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{n=-N}^{N} \hat{f}(n) e^{2\pi i n x}.$$



Image of Tomas Boril.

3

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲厘▶ ▲厘≯

Theorem

Let s(x) denote the square function which is 1-periodic

$$s(x) = \left\{ egin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \leq x < rac{1}{2} \ -1 & rac{1}{2} \leq x < 1 \end{array}
ight.$$

This function has Fourier coefficients

$$\hat{s}(n) = \left\{ egin{array}{cc} 0 & n \; even \ rac{-2i}{\pi n} & n \; odd \end{array}
ight.$$

Combining n and -n terms,

$$s(x) \sim \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{n>0, \text{ odd}} \frac{1}{n} \sin(2\pi nx).$$

3

くほと くほと くほと

Proof.

We have

$$\hat{s}(n) = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-2\pi i n x} dx - \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 e^{-2\pi i n x} dx.$$

This vanishes for n = 0. For $n \neq 0$,

$$\hat{s}(n) = \frac{-1}{2\pi i n} \left[-1 + 2e^{-\pi i n} - e^{-2\pi i n} \right]$$

The quantity in brackets is 0 if n even and -4 if n odd.

3

(日) (周) (三) (三)

Dirichlet's kernel is the function

$$D_N(x) = \sum_{n=-N}^{N} e^{2\pi i n x} = \frac{\sin 2\pi (N + \frac{1}{2})x}{\sin \pi x}.$$

This satisfies

$$\int_0^1 D_N(t) dt = 1.$$

3

< E

< □ > < ---->

Dirichlet's kernel

The partial sums of the Fourier series of f may be expressed as

$$\int_{0}^{1} f(t) D_{N}(x-t) dt = \sum_{n=-N}^{N} e^{2\pi i n x} \int_{0}^{1} f(t) e^{-2\pi i n t} dt$$
$$= \sum_{n=-N}^{N} \hat{f}(n) e^{2\pi i n x}.$$

- 一司

3

Bessel's inequality

Theorem

Let $S \subset \mathbb{Z}$ be a finite set of frequencies. For any constants $\{c_n\}_{n \in S}$,

$$\int_0^1 \left| f(x) - \sum_{n \in S} \hat{f}(n) e^{2\pi i n x} \right|^2 dx \le \int_0^1 \left| f - \sum_{n \in S} c_n e^{2\pi i n x} \right|^2 dx.$$

3