Lecture 4

Definitions in Mathematics

Definition. A vector \vec{u} linearly depends on vectors $\vec{v_1}, \ldots \vec{v_n}$ if

Definition. A vector \vec{u} linearly depends on vectors $\vec{v_1}, \ldots \vec{v_n}$ if

 $\vec{u} = a_1 \vec{v_1} + \dots + a_n \vec{v_n}$ for some numbers a_1, \dots, a_n .

Definition. A vector \vec{u} linearly depends on vectors $\vec{v_1}, \ldots \vec{v_n}$ if

 $\vec{u} = a_1 \vec{v_1} + \dots + a_n \vec{v_n}$ for some numbers a_1, \dots, a_n .

The description of context is omitted.

Definition. A vector \vec{u} linearly depends on vectors $\vec{v_1}, \ldots \vec{v_n}$ if

 $\vec{u} = a_1 \vec{v_1} + \dots + a_n \vec{v_n}$ for some numbers a_1, \dots, a_n .

The description of context is omitted. Recover!

Definition. A vector \vec{u} linearly depends on vectors $\vec{v_1}, \ldots \vec{v_n}$ if

 $\vec{u} = a_1 \vec{v_1} + \dots + a_n \vec{v_n}$ for some numbers a_1, \dots, a_n .

The description of context is omitted. Recover!

Definition.

 $\vec{u} = a_1 \vec{v_1} + \dots + a_n \vec{v_n}$ for some numbers a_1, \dots, a_n .

The description of context is omitted. Recover!

Definition. The vectors $\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \dots, \vec{v_n}$ are said to be **linearly dependent** if

 $\vec{u} = a_1 \vec{v_1} + \dots + a_n \vec{v_n}$ for some numbers a_1, \dots, a_n .

The description of context is omitted. Recover!

Definition. The vectors $\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \dots, \vec{v_n}$ are said to be **linearly dependent** if there exist numbers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n , which are not all zeros, such that $a_1\vec{v_1} + a_2\vec{v_2} + \dots + a_n\vec{v_n} = \vec{0}$.

 $\vec{u} = a_1 \vec{v_1} + \dots + a_n \vec{v_n}$ for some numbers a_1, \dots, a_n .

The description of context is omitted. Recover!

Definition. The vectors $\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \dots, \vec{v_n}$ are said to be **linearly dependent** if there exist numbers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n , which are not all zeros, such that $a_1\vec{v_1} + a_2\vec{v_2} + \dots + a_n\vec{v_n} = \vec{0}$.

If \overrightarrow{u} linearly depends on $\overrightarrow{v_1}, \ldots \overrightarrow{v_n}$,

then $\vec{u}, \vec{v_1}, \ldots, \vec{v_n}$ are linearly dependent.

 $\overrightarrow{u} = a_1 \overrightarrow{v_1} + \dots + a_n \overrightarrow{v_n}$ for some numbers a_1, \dots, a_n .

The description of context is omitted. Recover!

Definition. The vectors $\overrightarrow{v_1}, \overrightarrow{v_2}, \dots, \overrightarrow{v_n}$ are said to be **linearly dependent** if there exist numbers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n , which are not all zeros, such that $a_1\overrightarrow{v_1} + a_2\overrightarrow{v_2} + \dots + a_n\overrightarrow{v_n} = \overrightarrow{0}$.

If \vec{u} linearly depends on $\vec{v_1}, \dots, \vec{v_n}$, then $\vec{u}, \vec{v_1}, \dots, \vec{v_n}$ are linearly dependent.

If vectors $\overrightarrow{v_1}, \ldots \overrightarrow{v_n}$ are linearly dependent,

 $\vec{u} = a_1 \vec{v_1} + \dots + a_n \vec{v_n}$ for some numbers a_1, \dots, a_n .

The description of context is omitted. Recover!

Definition. The vectors $\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \ldots, \vec{v_n}$ are said to be **linearly dependent** if there exist numbers a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n , which are not all zeros, such that $a_1\vec{v_1} + a_2\vec{v_2} + \cdots + a_n\vec{v_n} = \vec{0}$.

If \vec{u} linearly depends on $\vec{v_1}, \dots, \vec{v_n}$, then $\vec{u}, \vec{v_1}, \dots, \vec{v_n}$ are linearly dependent.

If vectors $\overrightarrow{v_1}, \ldots \overrightarrow{v_n}$ are linearly dependent,

then at least some of them depends on the others.

 $\vec{u} = a_1 \vec{v_1} + \dots + a_n \vec{v_n}$ for some numbers a_1, \dots, a_n .

The description of context is omitted. Recover!

Definition. The vectors $\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \ldots, \vec{v_n}$ are said to be **linearly dependent** if there exist numbers a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n , which are not all zeros, such that $a_1\vec{v_1} + a_2\vec{v_2} + \cdots + a_n\vec{v_n} = \vec{0}$.

If \vec{u} linearly depends on $\vec{v_1}, \dots, \vec{v_n}$, then $\vec{u}, \vec{v_1}, \dots, \vec{v_n}$ are linearly dependent.

If vectors $\overrightarrow{v_1}, \ldots \overrightarrow{v_n}$ are linearly dependent,

then at least some of them depends on the others.

Definition.

 $\vec{u} = a_1 \vec{v_1} + \dots + a_n \vec{v_n}$ for some numbers a_1, \dots, a_n .

The description of context is omitted. Recover!

Definition. The vectors $\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \dots, \vec{v_n}$ are said to be **linearly dependent** if there exist numbers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n , which are not all zeros, such that $a_1\vec{v_1} + a_2\vec{v_2} + \dots + a_n\vec{v_n} = \vec{0}$.

If \vec{u} linearly depends on $\vec{v_1}, \dots, \vec{v_n}$, then $\vec{u}, \vec{v_1}, \dots, \vec{v_n}$ are linearly dependent.

If vectors $\overrightarrow{v_1}, \ldots \overrightarrow{v_n}$ are linearly dependent, then at least some of them depends on the others. **Definition.** The vectors $\overrightarrow{v_1}, \overrightarrow{v_2}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{v_n}$ are said to be **linearly independent** if they are not linearly dependent.

 $\overrightarrow{u} = a_1 \overrightarrow{v_1} + \dots + a_n \overrightarrow{v_n}$ for some numbers a_1, \dots, a_n .

The description of context is omitted. Recover!

Definition. The vectors $\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \dots, \vec{v_n}$ are said to be **linearly dependent** if there exist numbers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n , which are not all zeros, such that $a_1\vec{v_1} + a_2\vec{v_2} + \dots + a_n\vec{v_n} = \vec{0}$.

If \vec{u} linearly depends on $\vec{v_1}, \dots, \vec{v_n}$, then $\vec{u}, \vec{v_1}, \dots, \vec{v_n}$ are linearly dependent.

If vectors $\vec{v_1}, \dots, \vec{v_n}$ are linearly dependent, then at least some of them depends on the others. **Definition.** The vectors $\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \dots, \vec{v_n}$ are said to be **linearly independent** if they are not linearly dependent. If vectors are linearly independent, then none of them depends on the others.

 $\vec{u} = a_1 \vec{v_1} + \dots + a_n \vec{v_n}$ for some numbers a_1, \dots, a_n .

The description of context is omitted. Recover!

Definition. The vectors $\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \dots, \vec{v_n}$ are said to be **linearly dependent** if there exist numbers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n , which are not all zeros, such that $a_1\vec{v_1} + a_2\vec{v_2} + \dots + a_n\vec{v_n} = \vec{0}$.

If
$$\vec{u}$$
 linearly depends on $\vec{v_1}, \dots \vec{v_n}$,
then $\vec{u}, \vec{v_1}, \dots, \vec{v_n}$ are linearly dependent.

If vectors $\vec{v_1}, \ldots \vec{v_n}$ are linearly dependent, then at least some of them depends on the others. **Definition.** The vectors $\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \ldots, \vec{v_n}$ are said to be **linearly independent** if they are not linearly dependent. If vectors are linearly independent, then none of them depends on the others. **Exrecise.** Prove that vectors $\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \ldots, \vec{v_n}$ are linearly independent iff

$$\forall a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \quad \left(a_1 \overrightarrow{v}_1 + a_2 \overrightarrow{v}_2 + \dots + a_n \overrightarrow{v}_n = \overrightarrow{0} \implies a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n = 0 \right)$$

Motivation.

 $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \quad a + b \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } ab \in \mathbb{Z}.$

 $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \quad a+b \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad ab \in \mathbb{Z}.$

Addition and multiplication in \mathbb{Z} possess several important properties,

 $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \quad a+b \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad ab \in \mathbb{Z}.$

Addition and multiplication in \mathbb{Z} possess several important properties, like **associativity** and **distributivity**.

 $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \quad a + b \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad ab \in \mathbb{Z}.$

Addition and multiplication in \mathbb{Z} possess several important properties, like **associativity** and **distributivity**.

Besides the integers,

 $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \quad a+b \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad ab \in \mathbb{Z}.$

Addition and multiplication in \mathbb{Z} possess several important properties, like **associativity** and **distributivity**.

Besides the integers, there are many other sets of mathematical objects for which there are operations of addition and multiplication

 $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \quad a + b \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad ab \in \mathbb{Z}.$

Addition and multiplication in \mathbb{Z} possess several important properties, like **associativity** and **distributivity**.

Besides the integers, there are many other sets of mathematical objects for which there are operations of addition and multiplication possessing the same properties.

 $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \quad a+b \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad ab \in \mathbb{Z}.$

Addition and multiplication in \mathbb{Z} possess several important properties, like **associativity** and **distributivity**.

Besides the integers, there are many other sets of mathematical objects for which there are operations of addition and multiplication possessing the same properties. For example, polynomials or matrices.

 $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \quad a + b \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad ab \in \mathbb{Z}.$

Addition and multiplication in \mathbb{Z} possess several important properties, like **associativity** and **distributivity**.

Besides the integers, there are many other sets of mathematical objects for which there are operations of addition and multiplication possessing the same properties. For example, polynomials or matrices.

It is natural to gather all such sets equipped with operations under the same roof.

 $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \quad a + b \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad ab \in \mathbb{Z}.$

Addition and multiplication in \mathbb{Z} possess several important properties, like **associativity** and **distributivity**.

Besides the integers, there are many other sets of mathematical objects for which there are operations of addition and multiplication possessing the same properties. For example, polynomials or matrices.

It is natural to gather all such sets equipped with operations under the same roof.

It is done in the definition of **ring**.

Definition. A ring R is a set

Definition. A ring R is a set with two operations, addition and multiplication,

Definition. A ring R is a set with two operations, addition and multiplication, denoted by + and \cdot ,

Definition. A ring R is a set with two operations, addition and multiplication, denoted by + and \cdot , satisfying the following properties:

Definition. A ring R is a set with two operations, addition and multiplication, denoted by + and \cdot , satisfying the following properties: **1.** $\forall a, b \in R$ $a + b \in R$ (R is closed with respect to +) **Definition.** A ring R is a set with two operations, addition and multiplication, denoted by + and \cdot , satisfying the following properties:

1.
$$\forall a, b \in R$$
 $a + b \in R$ (*R* is **closed** with respect to +)

2. $\forall a, b \in R$ $a \cdot b \in R$ (*R* is **closed** with respect to \cdot)

Definition. A ring R is a set with two operations, addition and multiplication, denoted by + and \cdot , satisfying the following properties: **1.** $\forall a, b \in R$ $a + b \in R$ (R is closed with respect to +) **2.** $\forall a, b \in R$ $a \cdot b \in R$ (R is closed with respect to \cdot)

3. $\forall a, b, c \in R$ (a+b) + c = a + (b+c) (+ is associative)
Definition. A ring R is a set with two operations, addition and multiplication, denoted by + and \cdot , satisfying the following properties: **1.** $\forall a, b \in R$ $a + b \in R$ (R is closed with respect to +) **2.** $\forall a, b \in R$ $a \cdot b \in R$ (R is closed with respect to \cdot)

3. $\forall a, b, c \in R$ (a+b)+c = a + (b+c) (+ is associative)

4. $\forall a, b \in R$ a+b=b+a (+ is commutative)

Definition. A ring R is a set with two operations, addition and multiplication, denoted by + and \cdot , satisfying the following properties:

- **1.** $\forall a, b \in R$ $a + b \in R$ (*R* is **closed** with respect to +)
- **2.** $\forall a, b \in R$ $a \cdot b \in R$ (*R* is **closed** with respect to \cdot)
- **3.** $\forall a, b, c \in R$ (a+b) + c = a + (b+c) (+ is associative)
- **4.** $\forall a, b \in R$ a + b = b + a (+ is commutative)
- **5.** $\exists 0 \in R$ $\forall a \in R$ a + 0 = a (there exists an additive identity in R)

Definition. A ring R is a set with two operations, addition and multiplication, denoted by + and \cdot , satisfying the following properties:

- **1.** $\forall a, b \in R$ $a + b \in R$ (*R* is **closed** with respect to +)
- **2.** $\forall a, b \in R$ $a \cdot b \in R$ (*R* is **closed** with respect to \cdot)
- **3.** $\forall a, b, c \in R$ (a+b)+c = a + (b+c) (+ is associative)
- **4.** $\forall a, b \in R$ a + b = b + a (+ is commutative)
- **5.** $\exists 0 \in R$ $\forall a \in R$ a + 0 = a (there exists an additive identity in R)
- **6.** $\forall a \in R \quad \exists -a \in R \quad a + (-a) = 0$ (each element in R has an additive inverse)

Definition. A ring R is a set with two operations, addition and multiplication, denoted by + and \cdot , satisfying the following properties: **1.** $\forall a, b \in R$ $a + b \in R$ (R is closed with respect to +) **2.** $\forall a, b \in R$ $a \cdot b \in R$ (R is closed with respect to \cdot) **3.** $\forall a, b, c \in R$ (a+b) + c = a + (b+c) (+ is associative) **4.** $\forall a, b \in R$ a+b=b+a (+ is commutative) **5.** $\exists 0 \in R$ $\forall a \in R$ a+0=a (there exists an additive identity in R) **6.** $\forall a \in R$ $\exists -a \in R$ a + (-a) = 0 (each element in R has an additive inverse) **7.** $\forall a, b, c \in R$ $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$ (\cdot is associative) **Definition.** A ring R is a set with two operations, addition and multiplication, denoted by + and \cdot , satisfying the following properties: **1.** $\forall a, b \in R$ $a + b \in R$ (R is closed with respect to +) **2.** $\forall a, b \in R$ $a \cdot b \in R$ (R is closed with respect to \cdot) **3.** $\forall a, b, c \in R$ (a+b)+c=a+(b+c) (+ is associative) **4.** $\forall a, b \in R$ a+b=b+a (+ is commutative) **5.** $\exists 0 \in R$ $\forall a \in R$ a+0=a (there exists an additive identity in R) **6.** $\forall a \in R$ $\exists -a \in R$ a+(-a) = 0 (each element in R has an additive inverse) **7.** $\forall a, b, c \in R$ $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$ (\cdot is associative) **8.** $\forall a, b, c \in R$ $a \cdot (b+c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$ and $(b+c) \cdot a = b \cdot a + c \cdot a$ (multiplication distributes over addition)

Definition. A ring R is a set with two operations, addition and multiplication, denoted by + and \cdot , satisfying the following properties: **1.** $\forall a, b \in R$ $a + b \in R$ (*R* is **closed** with respect to +) **2.** $\forall a, b \in R$ $a \cdot b \in R$ (*R* is **closed** with respect to \cdot) **3.** $\forall a, b, c \in R$ (a+b)+c = a + (b+c) (+ is associative)**4.** $\forall a, b \in R$ a+b=b+a (+ is commutative) **5.** $\exists 0 \in R$ $\forall a \in R$ a + 0 = a (there exists an additive identity in R) **6.** $\forall a \in R \quad \exists -a \in R \quad a + (-a) = 0$ (each element in R has an additive inverse) **7.** $\forall a, b, c \in R$ $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$ (· is associative) **8.** $\forall a, b, c \in R$ $a \cdot (b+c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$ and $(b+c) \cdot a = b \cdot a + c \cdot a$ (multiplication **distributes** over addition)

• If, additionally, $\forall a, b \in R$ $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$ (\cdot is commutative),

Definition. A ring R is a set with two operations, addition and multiplication, denoted by + and \cdot , satisfying the following properties: **1.** $\forall a, b \in R$ $a + b \in R$ (*R* is **closed** with respect to +) **2.** $\forall a, b \in R$ $a \cdot b \in R$ (*R* is **closed** with respect to \cdot) **3.** $\forall a, b, c \in R$ (a+b)+c = a + (b+c) (+ is associative)**4.** $\forall a, b \in R$ a+b=b+a (+ is commutative) **5.** $\exists 0 \in R$ $\forall a \in R$ a + 0 = a (there exists an additive identity in R) **6.** $\forall a \in R \quad \exists -a \in R \quad a + (-a) = 0$ (each element in R has an additive inverse) **7.** $\forall a, b, c \in R$ $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$ (· is associative) **8.** $\forall a, b, c \in R$ $a \cdot (b+c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$ and $(b+c) \cdot a = b \cdot a + c \cdot a$ (multiplication **distributes** over addition)

• If, additionally, $\forall a, b \in R$ $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$ (\cdot is commutative),

then R is called a **commutative** ring.

Definition. A ring R is a set with two operations, addition and multiplication, denoted by + and \cdot , satisfying the following properties: **1.** $\forall a, b \in R$ $a + b \in R$ (*R* is **closed** with respect to +) **2.** $\forall a, b \in R$ $a \cdot b \in R$ (*R* is **closed** with respect to \cdot) **3.** $\forall a, b, c \in R$ (a+b)+c = a + (b+c) (+ is associative)**4.** $\forall a, b \in R$ a+b=b+a (+ is commutative) **5.** $\exists 0 \in R$ $\forall a \in R$ a + 0 = a (there exists an additive identity in R) **6.** $\forall a \in R \quad \exists -a \in R \quad a + (-a) = 0$ (each element in R has an additive inverse) **7.** $\forall a, b, c \in R$ $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$ (· is associative) **8.** $\forall a, b, c \in R$ $a \cdot (b+c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$ and $(b+c) \cdot a = b \cdot a + c \cdot a$ (multiplication **distributes** over addition) • If, additionally, $\forall a, b \in R$ $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$ (\cdot is commutative),

then R is called a **commutative** ring.

• If, additionally, $\exists 1 \in R$ $\forall a \in R$ $1 \cdot a = a \cdot 1 = a$

Definition. A ring R is a set with two operations, addition and multiplication, denoted by + and \cdot , satisfying the following properties: **1.** $\forall a, b \in R$ $a + b \in R$ (*R* is **closed** with respect to +) **2.** $\forall a, b \in R$ $a \cdot b \in R$ (*R* is **closed** with respect to \cdot) **3.** $\forall a, b, c \in R$ (a+b)+c = a + (b+c) (+ is associative)**4.** $\forall a, b \in R$ a+b=b+a (+ is commutative) **5.** $\exists 0 \in R$ $\forall a \in R$ a + 0 = a (there exists an additive identity in R) **6.** $\forall a \in R \quad \exists -a \in R \quad a + (-a) = 0$ (each element in R has an additive inverse) **7.** $\forall a, b, c \in R$ $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$ (· is associative) **8.** $\forall a, b, c \in R$ $a \cdot (b+c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$ and $(b+c) \cdot a = b \cdot a + c \cdot a$ (multiplication **distributes** over addition) • If, additionally, $\forall a, b \in R$ $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$ (\cdot is commutative),

then R is called a **commutative** ring.

• If, additionally, $\exists 1 \in R$ $\forall a \in R$ $1 \cdot a = a \cdot 1 = a$ (there exists a multiplicative identity), then R is called a ring with unity.

Definition. A ring R is a set with two operations, addition and multiplication, denoted by + and \cdot , satisfying the following properties: **1.** $\forall a, b \in R$ $a + b \in R$ (*R* is **closed** with respect to +) **2.** $\forall a, b \in R$ $a \cdot b \in R$ (*R* is **closed** with respect to \cdot) **3.** $\forall a, b, c \in R$ (a+b)+c = a + (b+c) (+ is associative)**4.** $\forall a, b \in R$ a+b=b+a (+ is commutative) **5.** $\exists 0 \in R$ $\forall a \in R$ a + 0 = a (there exists an additive identity in R) **6.** $\forall a \in R \quad \exists -a \in R \quad a + (-a) = 0$ (each element in R has an additive inverse) **7.** $\forall a, b, c \in R$ $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$ (· is associative) **8.** $\forall a, b, c \in R$ $a \cdot (b+c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$ and $(b+c) \cdot a = b \cdot a + c \cdot a$ (multiplication **distributes** over addition) • If, additionally, $\forall a, b \in R$ $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$ (\cdot is commutative),

then R is called a **commutative** ring.

• If, additionally, $\exists 1 \in R$ $\forall a \in R$ $1 \cdot a = a \cdot 1 = a$ (there exists a **multiplicative identity**), then R is called a ring with **unity**.

The properties are called the **axioms** of a ring.

1. \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Q} , \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} are commutative rings with unity.

- **1.** \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Q} , \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} are commutative rings with unity.
- **2.** $2\mathbb{Z} = \{2n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}\$ is a ring of even integers (Commutative? With unity?)

- **1.** $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ are commutative rings with unity.
- **2.** $2\mathbb{Z} = \{2n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}\$ is a ring of even integers (Commutative? With unity?)
- **3.** $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, polynomials in variable x with integer coefficients, form a ring.

- **1.** $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ are commutative rings with unity.
- **2.** $2\mathbb{Z} = \{2n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}\$ is a ring of even integers (Commutative? With unity?)
- **3.** $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, polynomials in variable x with integer coefficients, form a ring. (Commutative? With unity?)

- **1.** $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ are commutative rings with unity.
- **2.** $2\mathbb{Z} = \{2n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}\$ is a ring of even integers (Commutative? With unity?)
- **3.** $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, polynomials in variable x with integer coefficients, form a ring. (Commutative? With unity?)
- **4.** $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, $\mathbb{R}[x]$, $\mathbb{Z}[x,y]$, etc. are rings of polynomials.

- **1.** $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ are commutative rings with unity.
- **2.** $2\mathbb{Z} = \{2n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}\$ is a ring of even integers (Commutative? With unity?)
- **3.** $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, polynomials in variable x with integer coefficients, form a ring. (Commutative? With unity?)
- **4.** $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, $\mathbb{R}[x]$, $\mathbb{Z}[x,y]$, etc. are rings of polynomials.
- **5.** $M_n(\mathbb{R})$, square $n \times n$ matrices with real coefficients form a ring.

- **1.** $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ are commutative rings with unity.
- **2.** $2\mathbb{Z} = \{2n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}\$ is a ring of even integers (Commutative? With unity?)
- **3.** $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, polynomials in variable x with integer coefficients, form a ring. (Commutative? With unity?)
- **4.** $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, $\mathbb{R}[x]$, $\mathbb{Z}[x,y]$, etc. are rings of polynomials.
- **5.** $M_n(\mathbb{R})$, square $n \times n$ matrices with real coefficients form a ring. (Commutative? With unity?)

- **1.** $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ are commutative rings with unity.
- **2.** $2\mathbb{Z} = \{2n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}\$ is a ring of even integers (Commutative? With unity?)
- **3.** $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, polynomials in variable x with integer coefficients, form a ring. (Commutative? With unity?)
- **4.** $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, $\mathbb{R}[x]$, $\mathbb{Z}[x,y]$, etc. are rings of polynomials.
- **5.** $M_n(\mathbb{R})$, square $n \times n$ matrices with real coefficients form a ring. (Commutative? With unity?)
- **6.** \mathbb{Z}_m , residues modulo m (to be discussed later in the course) form a ring.

- **1.** $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ are commutative rings with unity.
- **2.** $2\mathbb{Z} = \{2n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}\$ is a ring of even integers (Commutative? With unity?)
- **3.** $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, polynomials in variable x with integer coefficients, form a ring. (Commutative? With unity?)
- **4.** $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, $\mathbb{R}[x]$, $\mathbb{Z}[x,y]$, etc. are rings of polynomials.
- **5.** $M_n(\mathbb{R})$, square $n \times n$ matrices with real coefficients form a ring. (Commutative? With unity?)
- **6.** \mathbb{Z}_m , residues modulo m (to be discussed later in the course) form a ring.

7. $\mathcal{F} = \{f \mid f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}\}$, real valued functions with the operations of addition (f+g)(x) = f(x) + g(x) and multiplication $(f \cdot g)(x) = f(x) \cdot g(x)$ form a ring.

- **1.** $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ are commutative rings with unity.
- **2.** $2\mathbb{Z} = \{2n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}\$ is a ring of even integers (Commutative? With unity?)
- **3.** $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, polynomials in variable x with integer coefficients, form a ring. (Commutative? With unity?)
- **4.** $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, $\mathbb{R}[x]$, $\mathbb{Z}[x,y]$, etc. are rings of polynomials.
- **5.** $M_n(\mathbb{R})$, square $n \times n$ matrices with real coefficients form a ring. (Commutative? With unity?)
- **6.** \mathbb{Z}_m , residues modulo m (to be discussed later in the course) form a ring.

7. $\mathcal{F} = \{f \mid f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}\}$, real valued functions with the operations of addition (f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x) and multiplication $(f \cdot g)(x) = f(x) \cdot g(x)$ form a ring.

Important: To prove that each of the listed above objects is a ring, we have to verify all ring axioms.

Definition.

Definition. Let X be a set.

Definition. Let X be a set. The **power set** of X

Definition. Let X be a set. The **power set** of X

is the set of **all** subsets of X.

Definition. Let X be a set. The **power set** of X

is the set of **all** subsets of X.

Notation: $\mathcal{P}(X)$

Definition. Let X be a set. The **power set** of X

is the set of **all** subsets of X.

Notation: $\mathcal{P}(X)$ By definition,

Definition. Let X be a set. The **power set** of X

is the set of **all** subsets of X.

Notation: $\mathcal{P}(X)$ By definition, $\mathcal{P}(X) = \{A \mid A \subset X\}$.

Definition. Let X be a set. The **power set** of X

is the set of **all** subsets of X.

Notation: $\mathcal{P}(X)$ By definition, $\mathcal{P}(X) = \{A \mid A \subset X\}$. **Control question.** Can $\mathcal{P}(X) = \emptyset$? Definition. Let X be a set. The power set of X is the set of all subsets of X.
Notation: P(X) By definition, P(X) = {A | A ⊂ X}.
Control question. Can P(X) = Ø?
Characteristic function of a set

Definition. Let X be a set. The **power set** of X is the set of **all** subsets of X. **Notation:** $\mathcal{P}(X)$ By definition, $\mathcal{P}(X) = \{A \mid A \subset X\}$. **Control question.** Can $\mathcal{P}(X) = \emptyset$? **Characteristic function** of a set $A \subset U$ **Definition.** Let X be a set. The **power set** of X is the set of **all** subsets of X. **Notation:** $\mathcal{P}(X)$ By definition, $\mathcal{P}(X) = \{A \mid A \subset X\}$. **Control question.** Can $\mathcal{P}(X) = \emptyset$? **Characteristic function** of a set $A \subset U$

 $\chi_A:U o \{0,1\}$,

Definition. Let X be a set. The **power set** of X is the set of **all** subsets of X. **Notation:** $\mathcal{P}(X)$ By definition, $\mathcal{P}(X) = \{A \mid A \subset X\}$. **Control question.** Can $\mathcal{P}(X) = \emptyset$? **Characteristic function** of a set $A \subset U$ $\chi_A : U \to \{0, 1\}, \quad \chi_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin A \end{cases}$ **Definition.** Let X be a set. The **power set** of X is the set of **all** subsets of X. **Notation:** $\mathcal{P}(X)$ By definition, $\mathcal{P}(X) = \{A \mid A \subset X\}$. **Control question.** Can $\mathcal{P}(X) = \emptyset$? **Characteristic function** of a set $A \subset U$ $\chi_A : U \to \{0,1\}, \quad \chi_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin A \end{cases}$

Control question. Have you met characteristic functions under a different name?

Definition. Let X be a set. The **power set** of X is the set of **all** subsets of X. **Notation:** $\mathcal{P}(X)$ By definition, $\mathcal{P}(X) = \{A \mid A \subset X\}$. **Control question.** Can $\mathcal{P}(X) = \emptyset$? **Characteristic function** of a set $A \subset U$ $\chi_A : U \to \{0,1\}, \quad \chi_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin A \end{cases}$

Control question. Have you met characteristic functions under a different name?

Example.

Definition. Let X be a set. The **power set** of X is the set of **all** subsets of X. **Notation:** $\mathcal{P}(X)$ By definition, $\mathcal{P}(X) = \{A \mid A \subset X\}$. **Control question.** Can $\mathcal{P}(X) = \emptyset$? **Characteristic function** of a set $A \subset U$ $\chi_A : U \to \{0,1\}, \quad \chi_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin A \end{cases}$

Control question. Have you met characteristic functions under a different name?

Example. for $[2,4] \subset \mathbb{R}$,
Definition. Let X be a set. The **power set** of X is the set of **all**

is the set of **all** subsets of X.

Notation: $\mathcal{P}(X)$ By definition, $\mathcal{P}(X) = \{A \mid A \subset X\}$.

Control question. Can $\mathcal{P}(X) = \emptyset$?

Characteristic function of a set $A \subset U$

$$\chi_A: U \to \{0,1\}, \quad \chi_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin A \end{cases}$$

Control question. Have you met characteristic functions under a different name?

Example. for $[2,4] \subset \mathbb{R}$, $\chi_{[2,4]}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in [2,4] \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin [2,4] \end{cases}$

Definition. Let X be a set. The **power set** of X

is the set of **all** subsets of X.

Notation: $\mathcal{P}(X)$ By definition, $\mathcal{P}(X) = \{A \mid A \subset X\}$.

Control question. Can $\mathcal{P}(X) = \emptyset$?

Characteristic function of a set $A \subset U$

$$\chi_A: U \to \{0, 1\}, \quad \chi_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in A\\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin A \end{cases}$$

Control question. Have you met characteristic functions under a different name?

Example. for
$$[2,4] \subset \mathbb{R}$$
, $\chi_{[2,4]}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in [2,4] \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin [2,4] \end{cases}$



Theorem.

Theorem. There is a bijection between the power set $\mathcal{P}(X)$ of a set X

Theorem. There is a bijection between the power set $\mathcal{P}(X)$ of a set X and the set $\mathcal{M}ap(X, \{0, 1\})$ of all maps from X to the two point set $\{0, 1\}$.

Theorem. There is a bijection between the power set $\mathcal{P}(X)$ of a set X and the set $\mathcal{M}ap(X, \{0, 1\})$ of all maps from X to the two point set $\{0, 1\}$. **Proof.**

 $A\mapsto \chi_A$,

Theorem. There is a bijection between the power set $\mathcal{P}(X)$ of a set X and the set $\mathcal{M}ap(X, \{0, 1\})$ of all maps from X to the two point set $\{0, 1\}$.

Proof. A bijection is given by $\mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{M}ap(X, \{0, 1\})$ $A \mapsto \chi_A$, where $A \subset X$.

Indeed, the map above is injective,

Theorem. There is a bijection between the power set $\mathcal{P}(X)$ of a set X and the set $\mathcal{M}ap(X, \{0, 1\})$ of all maps from X to the two point set $\{0, 1\}$.

Proof. A bijection is given by $\mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{M}ap(X, \{0, 1\})$ $A \mapsto \chi_A$, where $A \subset X$.

Indeed, the map above is injective,

since different subsets of X have different characteristic functions:

 $A \mapsto \chi_A$, where $A \subset X$.

Indeed, the map above is injective,

since different subsets of X have different characteristic functions: $A \neq B \implies \chi_A \neq \chi_B$ for any $A, B \subset X$.

 $A \mapsto \chi_A$, where $A \subset X$.

Indeed, the map above is injective,

since different subsets of X have different characteristic functions: $A \neq B \implies \chi_A \neq \chi_B$ for any $A, B \subset X$. Why?

 $A \mapsto \chi_A$, where $A \subset X$.

Indeed, the map above is injective,

since different subsets of X have different characteristic functions:

 $A \neq B \implies \chi_A \neq \chi_B$ for any $A, B \subset X$. Why?

The map is surjective,

 $A \mapsto \chi_A$, where $A \subset X$.

Indeed, the map above is injective,

since different subsets of X have different characteristic functions: $A \neq B \implies \chi_A \neq \chi_B$ for any $A, B \subset X$. Why?

The map is surjective,

since any $f \in \mathcal{M}ap(X, \{0, 1\})$ defines a set $A = \{f^{-1}\{1\}\} \subset X$,

 $A \mapsto \chi_A$, where $A \subset X$.

Indeed, the map above is injective,

since different subsets of X have different characteristic functions: $A \neq B \implies \chi_A \neq \chi_B$ for any $A, B \subset X$. Why?

The map is surjective,

since any $f \in Map(X, \{0, 1\})$ defines a set $A = \{f^{-1}\{1\}\} \subset X$, for which f is the characteristic function:

 $A \mapsto \chi_A$, where $A \subset X$.

Indeed, the map above is injective,

since different subsets of X have different characteristic functions: $A \neq B \implies \chi_A \neq \chi_B$ for any $A, B \subset X$. Why?

The map is surjective,

since any $f \in \mathcal{M}ap(X, \{0, 1\})$ defines a set $A = \{f^{-1}\{1\}\} \subset X$, for which f is the characteristic function: $\chi_A = f$

 $A \mapsto \chi_A$, where $A \subset X$.

Indeed, the map above is injective,

since different subsets of X have different characteristic functions: $A \neq B \implies \chi_A \neq \chi_B$ for any $A, B \subset X$. Why?

The map is surjective,

since any $f \in \mathcal{M}ap(X, \{0, 1\})$ defines a set $A = \{f^{-1}\{1\}\} \subset X$, for which f is the characteristic function: $\chi_A = f$

Corollary.

 $A \mapsto \chi_A$, where $A \subset X$.

Indeed, the map above is injective,

since different subsets of X have different characteristic functions: $A \neq B \implies \chi_A \neq \chi_B$ for any $A, B \subset X$. Why?

The map is surjective,

since any $f \in \mathcal{M}ap(X, \{0, 1\})$ defines a set $A = \{f^{-1}\{1\}\} \subset X$, for which f is the characteristic function: $\chi_A = f$ \Box

Corollary. $|\mathcal{P}(X)|$

 $A \mapsto \chi_A$, where $A \subset X$.

Indeed, the map above is injective,

since different subsets of X have different characteristic functions: $A \neq B \implies \chi_A \neq \chi_B$ for any $A, B \subset X$. Why?

The map is surjective,

since any $f \in \mathcal{M}ap(X, \{0, 1\})$ defines a set $A = \{f^{-1}\{1\}\} \subset X$, for which f is the characteristic function: $\chi_A = f$ \Box

Corollary. $|\mathcal{P}(X)| = |\mathcal{M}ap(X, \{0, 1\})|$

 $A \mapsto \chi_A$, where $A \subset X$.

Indeed, the map above is injective,

since different subsets of X have different characteristic functions: $A \neq B \implies \chi_A \neq \chi_B$ for any $A, B \subset X$. Why?

The map is surjective,

since any $f \in \mathcal{M}ap(X, \{0, 1\})$ defines a set $A = \{f^{-1}\{1\}\} \subset X$, for which f is the characteristic function: $\chi_A = f$ \Box

Corollary. $|\mathcal{P}(X)| = |\mathcal{M}ap(X, \{0, 1\})| = 2^{|X|}$,

 $A \mapsto \chi_A$, where $A \subset X$.

Indeed, the map above is injective,

since different subsets of X have different characteristic functions: $A \neq B \implies \chi_A \neq \chi_B$ for any $A, B \subset X$. Why?

The map is surjective,

since any $f \in \mathcal{M}ap(X, \{0, 1\})$ defines a set $A = \{f^{-1}\{1\}\} \subset X$, for which f is the characteristic function: $\chi_A = f$

Corollary. $|\mathcal{P}(X)| = |\mathcal{M}ap(X, \{0, 1\})| = 2^{|X|}$, as we already know.

Theorem. Let A, B be sets.

Theorem. Let A, B be sets. Then $A \subset B \iff \mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Theorem. Let A, B be sets. Then $A \subset B \iff \mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Proof. Let $A \subset B$.

Proof. Let $A \subset B$. We have to prove that $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Proof. Let $A \subset B$. We have to prove that $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Take any $X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$.

Proof. Let $A \subset B$. We have to prove that $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Take any $X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$. Then $X \subset A$, but $A \subset B$.

Proof. Let $A \subset B$. We have to prove that $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Take any $X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$. Then $X \subset A$, but $A \subset B$.

Therefore, by the transitivity of inclusion,

Proof. Let $A \subset B$. We have to prove that $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Take any $X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$. Then $X \subset A$, but $A \subset B$.

Therefore, by the transitivity of inclusion, $X \subset B$.

Proof. Let $A \subset B$. We have to prove that $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Take any $X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$. Then $X \subset A$, but $A \subset B$.

Therefore, by the transitivity of inclusion, $X \subset B$. So $X \in \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Proof. Let $A \subset B$. We have to prove that $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Take any $X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$. Then $X \subset A$, but $A \subset B$.

Therefore, by the transitivity of inclusion, $X \subset B$. So $X \in \mathcal{P}(B)$.

We have got that $\forall X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$, $X \in \mathcal{P}(B)$,

Proof. Let $A \subset B$. We have to prove that $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Take any $X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$. Then $X \subset A$, but $A \subset B$.

Therefore, by the transitivity of inclusion, $X \subset B$. So $X \in \mathcal{P}(B)$.

We have got that $\forall X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$, $X \in \mathcal{P}(B)$, therefore, $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Proof. Let $A \subset B$. We have to prove that $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Take any $X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$. Then $X \subset A$, but $A \subset B$.

Therefore, by the transitivity of inclusion, $X \subset B$. So $X \in \mathcal{P}(B)$.

We have got that $\forall X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$, $X \in \mathcal{P}(B)$, therefore, $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

And the half of the proof is done!
Proof. Let $A \subset B$. We have to prove that $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Take any $X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$. Then $X \subset A$, but $A \subset B$.

Therefore, by the transitivity of inclusion, $X \subset B$. So $X \in \mathcal{P}(B)$.

We have got that $\forall X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$, $X \in \mathcal{P}(B)$, therefore, $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Assume now that $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$

Proof. Let $A \subset B$. We have to prove that $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Take any $X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$. Then $X \subset A$, but $A \subset B$.

Therefore, by the transitivity of inclusion, $X \subset B$. So $X \in \mathcal{P}(B)$.

We have got that $\forall X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$, $X \in \mathcal{P}(B)$, therefore, $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Assume now that $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$ and prove that $A \subset B$ in this case.

Proof. Let $A \subset B$. We have to prove that $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Take any $X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$. Then $X \subset A$, but $A \subset B$.

Therefore, by the transitivity of inclusion, $X \subset B$. So $X \in \mathcal{P}(B)$.

We have got that $\forall X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$, $X \in \mathcal{P}(B)$, therefore, $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Assume now that $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$ and prove that $A \subset B$ in this case. Since $A \subset A$,

Proof. Let $A \subset B$. We have to prove that $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Take any $X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$. Then $X \subset A$, but $A \subset B$.

Therefore, by the transitivity of inclusion, $X \subset B$. So $X \in \mathcal{P}(B)$.

We have got that $\forall X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$, $X \in \mathcal{P}(B)$, therefore, $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Assume now that $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$ and prove that $A \subset B$ in this case. Since $A \subset A$, we have that $A \in \mathcal{P}(A)$.

Proof. Let $A \subset B$. We have to prove that $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Take any $X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$. Then $X \subset A$, but $A \subset B$.

Therefore, by the transitivity of inclusion, $X \subset B$. So $X \in \mathcal{P}(B)$.

We have got that $\forall X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$, $X \in \mathcal{P}(B)$, therefore, $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Assume now that $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$ and prove that $A \subset B$ in this case. Since $A \subset A$, we have that $A \in \mathcal{P}(A)$. But by the assumption, $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Proof. Let $A \subset B$. We have to prove that $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Take any $X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$. Then $X \subset A$, but $A \subset B$.

Therefore, by the transitivity of inclusion, $X \subset B$. So $X \in \mathcal{P}(B)$.

We have got that $\forall X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$, $X \in \mathcal{P}(B)$, therefore, $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Assume now that $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$ and prove that $A \subset B$ in this case. Since $A \subset A$, we have that $A \in \mathcal{P}(A)$. But by the assumption, $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Therefore, $A \in \mathcal{P}(B)$,

Proof. Let $A \subset B$. We have to prove that $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Take any $X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$. Then $X \subset A$, but $A \subset B$.

Therefore, by the transitivity of inclusion, $X \subset B$. So $X \in \mathcal{P}(B)$.

We have got that $\forall X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$, $X \in \mathcal{P}(B)$, therefore, $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Assume now that $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$ and prove that $A \subset B$ in this case. Since $A \subset A$, we have that $A \in \mathcal{P}(A)$. But by the assumption, $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Therefore, $A \in \mathcal{P}(B)$, that is, $A \subset B$.

Proof. Let $A \subset B$. We have to prove that $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Take any $X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$. Then $X \subset A$, but $A \subset B$.

Therefore, by the transitivity of inclusion, $X \subset B$. So $X \in \mathcal{P}(B)$.

We have got that $\forall X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$, $X \in \mathcal{P}(B)$, therefore, $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Assume now that $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$ and prove that $A \subset B$ in this case. Since $A \subset A$, we have that $A \in \mathcal{P}(A)$. But by the assumption, $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Therefore, $A \in \mathcal{P}(B)$, that is, $A \subset B$.

And the other half of the proof is done!

Proof. Let $A \subset B$. We have to prove that $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Take any $X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$. Then $X \subset A$, but $A \subset B$.

Therefore, by the transitivity of inclusion, $X \subset B$. So $X \in \mathcal{P}(B)$.

We have got that $\forall X \in \mathcal{P}(A)$, $X \in \mathcal{P}(B)$, therefore, $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Assume now that $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$ and prove that $A \subset B$ in this case. Since $A \subset A$, we have that $A \in \mathcal{P}(A)$. But by the assumption, $\mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$.

Therefore, $A \in \mathcal{P}(B)$, that is, $A \subset B$.

Overall, $A \subset B \iff \mathcal{P}(A) \subset \mathcal{P}(B)$

Any map $f: X \to Y$ induces maps

Any map $f: X \to Y$ induces maps $f_*: \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(Y),$

Any map $f: X \to Y$ induces maps

 $f_*: \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(Y), A \mapsto f(A)$ for any $A \subset X$

Any map $f: X \to Y$ induces maps

 $f_*: \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(Y), A \mapsto f(A)$ for any $A \subset X$ and

Any map $f: X \to Y$ induces maps $f_*: \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(Y), A \mapsto f(A)$ for any $A \subset X$ and $f^*: \mathcal{P}(Y) \to \mathcal{P}(X),$

Any map $f: X \to Y$ induces maps $f_*: \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(Y), A \mapsto f(A)$ for any $A \subset X$ and $f^*: \mathcal{P}(Y) \to \mathcal{P}(X), B \mapsto f^{-1}(B)$ for any $B \subset Y$. Any map $f: X \to Y$ induces maps $f_*: \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(Y), A \mapsto f(A)$ for any $A \subset X$ and $f^*: \mathcal{P}(Y) \to \mathcal{P}(X), B \mapsto f^{-1}(B)$ for any $B \subset Y$.

(We remember that $f^{-1}(B) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \in B\}$ is the preimage of B,

Any map $f: X \to Y$ induces maps $f_*: \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(Y), A \mapsto f(A)$ for any $A \subset X$ and $f^*: \mathcal{P}(Y) \to \mathcal{P}(X), B \mapsto f^{-1}(B)$ for any $B \subset Y$. (We remember that $f^{-1}(B) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \in B\}$ is the preimage of B,

and **not** the result of applying the inverse map f^{-1} .)

Any map $f: X \to Y$ induces maps $f_*: \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(Y), A \mapsto f(A)$ for any $A \subset X$ and $f^*: \mathcal{P}(Y) \to \mathcal{P}(X), B \mapsto f^{-1}(B)$ for any $B \subset Y$. (We remember that $f^{-1}(B) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \in B\}$ is the preimage of B, and **not** the result of applying the inverse map f^{-1} .)

The maps f_* and f^* are well defined

Any map $f: X \to Y$ induces maps $f_*: \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(Y), A \mapsto f(A)$ for any $A \subset X$ and $f^*: \mathcal{P}(Y) \to \mathcal{P}(X), B \mapsto f^{-1}(B)$ for any $B \subset Y$. (We remember that $f^{-1}(B) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \in B\}$ is the preimage of B, and **not** the result of applying the inverse map f^{-1} .) The maps f_* and f^* are well defined since

for any $A \subset X$,

 $f_*: \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(Y), A \mapsto f(A)$ for any $A \subset X$ and

 $f^* : \mathcal{P}(Y) \to \mathcal{P}(X), B \mapsto f^{-1}(B)$ for any $B \subset Y$.

(We remember that $f^{-1}(B) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \in B\}$ is the preimage of B,

and **not** the result of applying the inverse map f^{-1} .)

The maps f_* and f^* are well defined since

for any $A \subset X$, $f(A) \subset Y$ and, therefore, $f(A) \in \mathcal{P}(Y)$

Any map $f: X \to Y$ induces maps $f_*: \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(Y), A \mapsto f(A)$ for any $A \subset X$ and $f^*: \mathcal{P}(Y) \to \mathcal{P}(X), B \mapsto f^{-1}(B)$ for any $B \subset Y$. (We remember that $f^{-1}(B) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \in B\}$ is the preimage of B,

and **not** the result of applying the inverse map f^{-1} .)

The maps f_* and f^* are well defined since for any $A \subset X$, $f(A) \subset Y$ and, therefore, $f(A) \in \mathcal{P}(Y)$ and for any $B \subset Y$,

 $f_*: \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(Y), A \mapsto f(A)$ for any $A \subset X$ and

 $f^* : \mathcal{P}(Y) \to \mathcal{P}(X), B \mapsto f^{-1}(B)$ for any $B \subset Y$.

(We remember that $f^{-1}(B) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \in B\}$ is the preimage of B,

and **not** the result of applying the inverse map f^{-1} .)

The maps f_* and f^* are well defined since

for any $A \subset X$, $f(A) \subset Y$ and, therefore, $f(A) \in \mathcal{P}(Y)$ and

for any $B \subset Y$, $f^{-1}(B) \subset X$ and, therefore, $f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{P}(X)$.

 $f_*: \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(Y), A \mapsto f(A)$ for any $A \subset X$ and

 $f^*: \mathcal{P}(Y) \to \mathcal{P}(X), B \mapsto f^{-1}(B)$ for any $B \subset Y$.

(We remember that $f^{-1}(B) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \in B\}$ is the preimage of B,

and **not** the result of applying the inverse map f^{-1} .)

The maps f_* and f^* are well defined since

for any $A \subset X$, $f(A) \subset Y$ and, therefore, $f(A) \in \mathcal{P}(Y)$ and

for any $B \subset Y$, $f^{-1}(B) \subset X$ and, therefore, $f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{P}(X)$.

Exercise 1.

 $f_*: \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(Y), A \mapsto f(A)$ for any $A \subset X$ and

 $f^*: \mathcal{P}(Y) \to \mathcal{P}(X), B \mapsto f^{-1}(B)$ for any $B \subset Y$.

(We remember that $f^{-1}(B) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \in B\}$ is the preimage of B, and **not** the result of applying the inverse map f^{-1} .)

The maps f_* and f^* are well defined since

for any $A \subset X$, $f(A) \subset Y$ and, therefore, $f(A) \in \mathcal{P}(Y)$ and

for any $B \subset Y$, $f^{-1}(B) \subset X$ and, therefore, $f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{P}(X)$.

Exercise 1. Prove that if $f: X \to Y$ and $g: Y \to Z$ are maps,

 $f_*: \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(Y), A \mapsto f(A)$ for any $A \subset X$ and

 $f^* : \mathcal{P}(Y) \to \mathcal{P}(X), B \mapsto f^{-1}(B)$ for any $B \subset Y$.

(We remember that $f^{-1}(B) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \in B\}$ is the preimage of B, and **not** the result of applying the inverse map f^{-1} .)

The maps f_* and f^* are well defined since

for any $A \subset X$, $f(A) \subset Y$ and, therefore, $f(A) \in \mathcal{P}(Y)$ and

for any $B \subset Y$, $f^{-1}(B) \subset X$ and, therefore, $f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{P}(X)$.

Exercise 1. Prove that if $f: X \to Y$ and $g: Y \to Z$ are maps, then

$$(g \circ f)_* = g_* \circ f_*$$
.

 $f_*: \mathcal{P}(X) \to \mathcal{P}(Y), A \mapsto f(A)$ for any $A \subset X$ and

 $f^*: \mathcal{P}(Y) \to \mathcal{P}(X), B \mapsto f^{-1}(B)$ for any $B \subset Y$.

(We remember that $f^{-1}(B) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \in B\}$ is the preimage of B, and **not** the result of applying the inverse map f^{-1} .)

The maps f_* and f^* are well defined since

for any $A \subset X$, $f(A) \subset Y$ and, therefore, $f(A) \in \mathcal{P}(Y)$ and

for any $B \subset Y$, $f^{-1}(B) \subset X$ and, therefore, $f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{P}(X)$.

Exercise 1. Prove that if $f: X \to Y$ and $g: Y \to Z$ are maps, then

 $(g \circ f)_* = g_* \circ f_*$

Exercise 2. Formulate and prove a similar identity for $(g \circ f)^*$.

Definition.

Definition. Let X, Y be sets.

Definition. Let X, Y be sets. The **Cartesian product**

Definition. Let X, Y be sets. The **Cartesian product** (or cross product

Definition. Let X, Y be sets.

The **Cartesian product** (or cross product, or direct product)

Definition. Let X, Y be sets.

The **Cartesian product** (or cross product, or direct product) of X and Y

Definition. Let X, Y be sets.

The **Cartesian product** (or cross product, or direct product) of X and Y is the set of all ordered pairs

Definition. Let X, Y be sets.

The **Cartesian product** (or cross product, or direct product) of X and Y

is the set of all ordered pairs $X \times Y = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}$.

Definition. Let X, Y be sets. The **Cartesian product** (or cross product, or direct product) of X and Y

is the set of all ordered pairs $X \times Y = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}$.

For ordered pairs,
MAT 250 Lecture 7 Definitions in mathematics

Definition. Let X, Y be sets.

The **Cartesian product** (or cross product, or direct product) of X and Y

is the set of all ordered pairs $X \times Y = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}$.

For ordered pairs, $(x_1, y_1) = (x_2, y_2)$

The **Cartesian product** (or cross product, or direct product) of X and Y is the set of all ordered pairs $X \times Y = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}$.

For ordered pairs, $(x_1, y_1) = (x_2, y_2) \iff x_1 = x_2$ and $y_1 = y_2$.

The **Cartesian product** (or cross product, or direct product) of X and Y is the set of all ordered pairs $X \times Y = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}$.

For ordered pairs, $(x_1, y_1) = (x_2, y_2) \iff x_1 = x_2$ and $y_1 = y_2$.

So if $x \neq y$,

The **Cartesian product** (or cross product, or direct product) of X and Y is the set of all ordered pairs $X \times Y = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}$.

For ordered pairs, $(x_1, y_1) = (x_2, y_2) \iff x_1 = x_2$ and $y_1 = y_2$.

So if $x \neq y$, then $(x, y) \neq (y, x)$,

The **Cartesian product** (or cross product, or direct product) of X and Y is the set of all ordered pairs $X \times Y = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}$.

For ordered pairs, $(x_1, y_1) = (x_2, y_2) \iff x_1 = x_2$ and $y_1 = y_2$.

So if $x \neq y$, then $(x, y) \neq (y, x)$, and if $X \neq Y$

The **Cartesian product** (or cross product, or direct product) of X and Y is the set of all ordered pairs $X \times Y = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}$.

For ordered pairs, $(x_1, y_1) = (x_2, y_2) \iff x_1 = x_2$ and $y_1 = y_2$.

So if $x \neq y$, then $(x, y) \neq (y, x)$, and if $X \neq Y$ then $X \times Y$

The **Cartesian product** (or cross product, or direct product) of X and Y is the set of all ordered pairs $X \times Y = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}$.

For ordered pairs, $(x_1, y_1) = (x_2, y_2) \iff x_1 = x_2$ and $y_1 = y_2$.

So if $x \neq y$, then $(x, y) \neq (y, x)$, and if $X \neq Y$ then $X \times Y \neq Y \times X$.

The **Cartesian product** (or cross product, or direct product) of X and Y is the set of all ordered pairs $X \times Y = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}$.

For ordered pairs, $(x_1, y_1) = (x_2, y_2) \iff x_1 = x_2$ and $y_1 = y_2$.

So if $x \neq y$, then $(x, y) \neq (y, x)$, and if $X \neq Y$ then $X \times Y \neq Y \times X$.

Example 1. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $Y = \{a, b\}$.

The **Cartesian product** (or cross product, or direct product) of X and Y is the set of all ordered pairs $X \times Y = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}$.

For ordered pairs, $(x_1, y_1) = (x_2, y_2) \iff x_1 = x_2$ and $y_1 = y_2$.

So if $x \neq y$, then $(x, y) \neq (y, x)$, and if $X \neq Y$ then $X \times Y \neq Y \times X$.

Example 1. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $Y = \{a, b\}$. Then $X \times Y = \{(1, a), (1, b), (2, a), (2, b), (3, a), (3, b)\}$.



The **Cartesian product** (or cross product, or direct product) of X and Y is the set of all ordered pairs $X \times Y = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}$.

For ordered pairs, $(x_1, y_1) = (x_2, y_2) \iff x_1 = x_2$ and $y_1 = y_2$.

So if $x \neq y$, then $(x, y) \neq (y, x)$, and if $X \neq Y$ then $X \times Y \neq Y \times X$.

Example 1. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $Y = \{a, b\}$. Then $X \times Y = \{(1, a), (1, b), (2, a), (2, b), (3, a), (3, b)\}$.



However, there is a natural bijection $X \times Y \to Y \times X : (x, y) \mapsto (y, x)$.

The **Cartesian product** (or cross product, or direct product) of X and Y is the set of all ordered pairs $X \times Y = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}$.

For ordered pairs, $(x_1, y_1) = (x_2, y_2) \iff x_1 = x_2$ and $y_1 = y_2$.

So if $x \neq y$, then $(x, y) \neq (y, x)$, and if $X \neq Y$ then $X \times Y \neq Y \times X$.

Example 1. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $Y = \{a, b\}$. Then $X \times Y = \{(1, a), (1, b), (2, a), (2, b), (3, a), (3, b)\}$.



However, there is a **natural bijection** $X \times Y \rightarrow Y \times X : (x, y) \mapsto (y, x)$. In this sense, the Cartesian product is **commutative**.

The **Cartesian product** (or cross product, or direct product) of X and Y is the set of all ordered pairs $X \times Y = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}$.

For ordered pairs, $(x_1, y_1) = (x_2, y_2) \iff x_1 = x_2$ and $y_1 = y_2$.

So if $x \neq y$, then $(x, y) \neq (y, x)$, and if $X \neq Y$ then $X \times Y \neq Y \times X$.

Example 1. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $Y = \{a, b\}$. Then $X \times Y = \{(1, a), (1, b), (2, a), (2, b), (3, a), (3, b)\}$.



However, there is a **natural bijection** $X \times Y \rightarrow Y \times X : (x, y) \mapsto (y, x)$. In this sense, the Cartesian product is **commutative**.

Theorem.

The **Cartesian product** (or cross product, or direct product) of X and Y is the set of all ordered pairs $X \times Y = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}$.

For ordered pairs, $(x_1, y_1) = (x_2, y_2) \iff x_1 = x_2$ and $y_1 = y_2$.

So if $x \neq y$, then $(x, y) \neq (y, x)$, and if $X \neq Y$ then $X \times Y \neq Y \times X$.

Example 1. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $Y = \{a, b\}$. Then $X \times Y = \{(1, a), (1, b), (2, a), (2, b), (3, a), (3, b)\}.$



However, there is a **natural bijection** $X \times Y \rightarrow Y \times X : (x, y) \mapsto (y, x)$. In this sense, the Cartesian product is **commutative**.

Theorem. If X has p elements,

The **Cartesian product** (or cross product, or direct product) of X and Y is the set of all ordered pairs $X \times Y = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}$.

For ordered pairs, $(x_1, y_1) = (x_2, y_2) \iff x_1 = x_2$ and $y_1 = y_2$.

So if $x \neq y$, then $(x, y) \neq (y, x)$, and if $X \neq Y$ then $X \times Y \neq Y \times X$.

Example 1. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $Y = \{a, b\}$. Then $X \times Y = \{(1, a), (1, b), (2, a), (2, b), (3, a), (3, b)\}$.



However, there is a **natural bijection** $X \times Y \rightarrow Y \times X : (x, y) \mapsto (y, x)$. In this sense, the Cartesian product is **commutative**.

Theorem. If X has p elements, and Y has q elements,

The **Cartesian product** (or cross product, or direct product) of X and Y is the set of all ordered pairs $X \times Y = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}$.

For ordered pairs, $(x_1, y_1) = (x_2, y_2) \iff x_1 = x_2$ and $y_1 = y_2$.

So if $x \neq y$, then $(x, y) \neq (y, x)$, and if $X \neq Y$ then $X \times Y \neq Y \times X$.

Example 1. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $Y = \{a, b\}$. Then $X \times Y = \{(1, a), (1, b), (2, a), (2, b), (3, a), (3, b)\}.$



However, there is a **natural bijection** $X \times Y \rightarrow Y \times X : (x, y) \mapsto (y, x)$. In this sense, the Cartesian product is **commutative**.

Theorem. If X has p elements, and Y has q elements,

then $X \times Y$ has pq elements.

Example 2.

Example 2. Let $X = Y = \mathbb{R}$.

Example 2. Let $X = Y = \mathbb{R}$. Then

 $X \times Y =$

Example 2. Let $X = Y = \mathbb{R}$. Then

 $X \times Y = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} =$

Example 2. Let $X = Y = \mathbb{R}$. Then $X \times Y = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^2$ **Example 2.** Let $X = Y = \mathbb{R}$. Then

 $X \times Y = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^2 = \{(x, y) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$

MAT 250 Lecture 7 Definitions in mathematics

Example 2. Let $X = Y = \mathbb{R}$. Then

 $X \times Y = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^2 = \{(x, y) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ Cartesian plane

Example 3.

Example 3. Let $X = [1, 2] \cup [3, 5)$,

Example 3. Let $X = [1, 2] \cup [3, 5)$, Y = (4, 6].

Example 3. Let $X = [1,2] \cup [3,5)$, Y = (4,6]. $X \times Y = ?$

Example 3. Let $X = [1,2] \cup [3,5)$, Y = (4,6]. $X \times Y = ?$ $X \times Y = \{(x,y) \mid x \in X, y \in Y\}$

Example 3. Let $X = [1, 2] \cup [3, 5)$, Y = (4, 6]. $X \times Y = ?$

 $X \times Y = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y \in Y\} = \{(x, y) \mid x \in [1, 2] \cup [3, 5), y \in (4, 6]\}$








Example 4.

Example 4. Let $X = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 \le 1\}$

Example 4. Let $X = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 \le 1\}$ (a disk on a plane)

Example 4. Let $X = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | x^2 + y^2 \le 1\}$ (a disk on a plane) Y = [0, 1] **Example 4.** Let $X = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 \le 1\}$ (a disk on a plane) Y = [0, 1] (a line segment) **Example 4.** Let $X = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 \le 1\}$ (a disk on a plane) Y = [0, 1] (a line segment) $X \times Y = ?$ **Example 4.** Let $X = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 \le 1\}$ (a disk on a plane) Y = [0, 1] (a line segment) $X \times Y = ?$

 $X \times Y =$

Example 4. Let $X = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$ (a disk on a plane) Y = [0, 1] (a line segment) $X \times Y = ?$ $X \times Y = \{((x, y), z) \mid (x, y) \in X, z \in Y\}$









Let X, Y be sets.

Let X, Y be sets. The maps

Let X, Y be sets. The maps $\operatorname{proj}_X : X \times Y \to X$,

Let X, Y be sets. The maps $\operatorname{proj}_X : X \times Y \to X$, $(x, y) \mapsto x$

Let X, Y be sets. The maps $\operatorname{proj}_X : X \times Y \to X$, $(x, y) \mapsto x$ and $\operatorname{proj}_Y : X \times Y \to Y$,

Let X, Y be sets. The maps $\operatorname{proj}_X : X \times Y \to X$, $(x, y) \mapsto x$ and $\operatorname{proj}_Y : X \times Y \to Y$, $(x, y) \mapsto y$

Let X, Y be sets. The maps $\operatorname{proj}_X : X \times Y \to X$, $(x, y) \mapsto x$ and $\operatorname{proj}_Y : X \times Y \to Y$, $(x, y) \mapsto y$

are called the **coordinate projections**.

Let X, Y be sets. The maps $\operatorname{proj}_X : X \times Y \to X$, $(x, y) \mapsto x$ and $\operatorname{proj}_Y : X \times Y \to Y$, $(x, y) \mapsto y$

are called the **coordinate projections**.

Let X,Y be sets. The maps $\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{proj}_X\colon X\times Y\to X\,, & (x,y)\mapsto x \text{ and} \\ \operatorname{proj}_Y\colon X\times Y\to Y\,, & (x,y)\mapsto y \end{array}$

are called the coordinate projections.

The subsets $\{x\} \times Y$ and $X \times \{y\}$ of $X \times Y$ are called **fibers**.

X

Y

Let X, Y be sets. The maps $\operatorname{proj}_X : X \times Y \to X$, $(x, y) \mapsto x$ and $\operatorname{proj}_Y : X \times Y \to Y$, $(x, y) \mapsto y$

are called the coordinate projections.



Let X, Y be sets. The maps $\operatorname{proj}_X : X \times Y \to X$, $(x, y) \mapsto x$ and $\operatorname{proj}_Y : X \times Y \to Y$, $(x, y) \mapsto y$

are called the coordinate projections.



Let X, Y be sets. The maps $\operatorname{proj}_X : X \times Y \to X$, $(x, y) \mapsto x$ and $\operatorname{proj}_Y : X \times Y \to Y$, $(x, y) \mapsto y$

are called the coordinate projections.



Let X, Y be sets. The maps $\operatorname{proj}_X : X \times Y \to X$, $(x, y) \mapsto x$ and $\operatorname{proj}_Y : X \times Y \to Y$, $(x, y) \mapsto y$

are called the **coordinate projections**.



Let X, Y be sets. The maps $\operatorname{proj}_X : X \times Y \to X$, $(x, y) \mapsto x$ and $\operatorname{proj}_Y : X \times Y \to Y$, $(x, y) \mapsto y$

are called the **coordinate projections**.



Let $f: A \to B$ and $g: X \to Y$ be maps.

Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $g: X \rightarrow Y$ be maps. Define a map

Let $f: A \to B$ and $g: X \to Y$ be maps. Define a map $f \times g: A \times X \to B \times Y$ by $(f \times g)((a, x)) = (f(a), g(x)).$ Let $f: A \to B$ and $g: X \to Y$ be maps. Define a map $f \times g: A \times X \to B \times Y$ by $(f \times g)((a, x)) = (f(a), g(x))$. This map is called the **direct product** of maps f and g. Let $f: A \to B$ and $g: X \to Y$ be maps. Define a map $f \times g: A \times X \to B \times Y$ by $(f \times g)((a, x)) = (f(a), g(x))$. This map is called the **direct product** of maps f and g. Let $f: Z \to X$ and $g: Z \to Y$ be maps. Let $f: A \to B$ and $g: X \to Y$ be maps. Define a map $f \times g: A \times X \to B \times Y$ by $(f \times g)((a, x)) = (f(a), g(x))$. This map is called the **direct product** of maps f and g. Let $f: Z \to X$ and $g: Z \to Y$ be maps. Define a map
Let $f: A \to B$ and $g: X \to Y$ be maps. Define a map $f \times g: A \times X \to B \times Y$ by $(f \times g)((a, x)) = (f(a), g(x))$. This map is called the **direct product** of maps f and g. Let $f: Z \to X$ and $g: Z \to Y$ be maps. Define a map $f \odot g: Z \to X \times Y$ by $(f \odot g)(z) = (f(z), g(z))$. Let $f: A \to B$ and $g: X \to Y$ be maps. Define a map $f \times g: A \times X \to B \times Y$ by $(f \times g)((a, x)) = (f(a), g(x))$. This map is called the **direct product** of maps f and g. Let $f: Z \to X$ and $g: Z \to Y$ be maps. Define a map $f \odot g: Z \to X \times Y$ by $(f \odot g)(z) = (f(z), g(z))$. When X = Y = Z and $f = g = \operatorname{id}_X$, Let $f: A \to B$ and $g: X \to Y$ be maps. Define a map $f \times g: A \times X \to B \times Y$ by $(f \times g)((a, x)) = (f(a), g(x))$. This map is called the **direct product** of maps f and g. Let $f: Z \to X$ and $g: Z \to Y$ be maps. Define a map $f \odot g: Z \to X \times Y$ by $(f \odot g)(z) = (f(z), g(z))$. When X = Y = Z and $f = g = \operatorname{id}_X$, then Let $f: A \to B$ and $g: X \to Y$ be maps. Define a map $f \times g: A \times X \to B \times Y$ by $(f \times g)((a, x)) = (f(a), g(x))$. This map is called the **direct product** of maps f and g. Let $f: Z \to X$ and $g: Z \to Y$ be maps. Define a map $f \odot g: Z \to X \times Y$ by $(f \odot g)(z) = (f(z), g(z))$. When X = Y = Z and $f = g = \operatorname{id}_X$, then $\operatorname{id}_X \odot \operatorname{id}_X: X \to X \times X$

$$X$$
 $X \times X$ $X \times X$

$$X$$
 $X \times X$

X

The diagonal is the image of $\operatorname{id}_X \odot \operatorname{id}_X$.

The **graph** of a map $f: X \to Y$

The **graph** of a map $f: X \to Y$ is the set

The **graph** of a map $f: X \to Y$ is the set $\Gamma_f = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y = f(x)\} \subset X \times Y$

The **graph** of a map $f: X \to Y$ is the set $\Gamma_f = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y = f(x)\} \subset X \times Y$

Example.

The graph of a map $f: X \to Y$ is the set

 $\Gamma_f = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y = f(x)\} \subset X \times Y$

Example. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a map

The graph of a map $f: X \to Y$ is the set

 $\Gamma_f = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y = f(x)\} \subset X \times Y$

Example. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a map defined by $f(x) = x^2$.

 $\Gamma_f = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y = f(x)\} \subset X \times Y$

Example. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a map defined by $f(x) = x^2$.

The domain of f is

 $\Gamma_f = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y = f(x)\} \subset X \times Y$

Example. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a map defined by $f(x) = x^2$.

The domain of f is \mathbb{R} ,

 $\Gamma_f = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y = f(x)\} \subset X \times Y$

Example. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a map defined by $f(x) = x^2$.

The domain of f is \mathbb{R} , the codomain is

 $\Gamma_f = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y = f(x)\} \subset X \times Y$

Example. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a map defined by $f(x) = x^2$.

The domain of f is \mathbb{R} , the codomain is \mathbb{R} ,

 $\Gamma_f = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y = f(x)\} \subset X \times Y$

Example. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a map defined by $f(x) = x^2$.

The domain of f is \mathbb{R} , the codomain is \mathbb{R} , the range is

 $\Gamma_f = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y = f(x)\} \subset X \times Y$

Example. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a map defined by $f(x) = x^2$.

The domain of f is \mathbb{R} , the codomain is \mathbb{R} , the range is

 $\Im(f) = \{ y \mid y = x^2 \land x \in \mathbb{R} \}$

 $\Gamma_f = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y = f(x)\} \subset X \times Y$

Example. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a map defined by $f(x) = x^2$.

The domain of f is \mathbb{R} , the codomain is \mathbb{R} , the range is

 $\Im(f) = \{ y \mid y = x^2 \land x \in \mathbb{R} \} = [0, \infty).$

 $\Gamma_f = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y = f(x)\} \subset X \times Y$

Example. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a map defined by $f(x) = x^2$.

The domain of f is \mathbb{R} , the codomain is \mathbb{R} , the range is

 $\Im(f) = \{ y \mid y = x^2 \land x \in \mathbb{R} \} = [0, \infty).$

The graph f is

 $\Gamma_f = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y = f(x)\} \subset X \times Y$

Example. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a map defined by $f(x) = x^2$.

The domain of f is \mathbb{R} , the codomain is \mathbb{R} , the range is

 $\Im(f) = \{ y \mid y = x^2 \land x \in \mathbb{R} \} = [0, \infty).$

The graph f is $\Gamma_f = \{(x, y) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}, y = x^2\}$

 $\Gamma_f = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y = f(x)\} \subset X \times Y$

Example. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a map defined by $f(x) = x^2$.

The domain of f is \mathbb{R} , the codomain is \mathbb{R} , the range is

 $\Im(f) = \{ y \mid y = x^2 \land x \in \mathbb{R} \} = [0, \infty).$

 $\Gamma_f = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y = f(x)\} \subset X \times Y$

Example. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a map defined by $f(x) = x^2$.

The domain of f is \mathbb{R} , the codomain is \mathbb{R} , the range is

 $\Im(f) = \{ y \mid y = x^2 \land x \in \mathbb{R} \} = [0, \infty).$

 $\Gamma_f = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y = f(x)\} \subset X \times Y$

Example. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a map defined by $f(x) = x^2$.

The domain of f is \mathbb{R} , the codomain is \mathbb{R} , the range is

 $\Im(f) = \{ y \mid y = x^2 \land x \in \mathbb{R} \} = [0, \infty).$



 $\Gamma_f = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y = f(x)\} \subset X \times Y$

Example. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a map defined by $f(x) = x^2$.

The domain of f is \mathbb{R} , the codomain is \mathbb{R} , the range is

 $\Im(f) = \{ y \mid y = x^2 \land x \in \mathbb{R} \} = [0, \infty).$



 $\Gamma_f = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y = f(x)\} \subset X \times Y$

Example. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a map defined by $f(x) = x^2$.

The domain of f is $\mathbb R$, the codomain is $\mathbb R$, the range is

 $\Im(f) = \{ y \mid y = x^2 \land x \in \mathbb{R} \} = [0, \infty).$



 $\Gamma_f = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y = f(x)\} \subset X \times Y$

Example. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a map defined by $f(x) = x^2$.

The domain of f is $\mathbb R$, the codomain is $\mathbb R$, the range is

 $\Im(f) = \{ y \mid y = x^2 \land x \in \mathbb{R} \} = [0, \infty).$



Example.

Example. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function

Example. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function

given by $f(x,y) = x^2 + y^2$, or $z = x^2 + y^2$.
Example. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function given by $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$, or $z = x^2 + y^2$. Its graph is the set $\Gamma_f = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \mid z = x^2 + y^2\}$ **Example.** Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function given by $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$, or $z = x^2 + y^2$. Its graph is the set $\Gamma_f = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \mid z = x^2 + y^2\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. **Example.** Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function given by $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2$, or $z = x^2 + y^2$. Its graph is the set $\Gamma_f = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \mid z = x^2 + y^2\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$.



MAT 250 Lecture 7 Definitions in mathematics

Example.

MAT 250 Lecture 7 Definitions in mathematics

Example. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be a function

Example. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be a function given by $f(t) = (\cos t, \sin t)$.

Example. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be a function given by $f(t) = (\cos t, \sin t)$.

What does this function do?





f reels up the line on the circle.



f reels up the line on the circle.

The graph of f is the set $\Gamma_f = \{(t, \cos t, \sin t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2\}$



f reels up the line on the circle.

The graph of f is the set $\Gamma_f = \{(t, \cos t, \sin t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$.



f reels up the line on the circle.

The graph of f is the set $\Gamma_f = \{(t, \cos t, \sin t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. Γ_f is a curve in \mathbb{R}^3 . It is called helix. Helix

MAT 250 Lecture 7 Definitions in mathematics

The graph of $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$, $f(t) = (\cos t, \sin t)$

Helix

The graph of $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$, $f(t) = (\cos t, \sin t)$

is the helix $\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x = t \in \mathbb{R}, y = \cos t, z = \sin t\}$:

Helix

MAT 250 Lecture 7 Definitions in mathematics

The graph of $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$, $f(t) = (\cos t, \sin t)$

is the helix $\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x = t \in \mathbb{R}, y = \cos t, z = \sin t\}$:



MAT 250 Lecture 7 Definitions in mathematics

Definition.

MAT 250 Lecture 7 Definitions in mathematics

Definition. A **metric**

MAT 250 Lecture 7 Definitions in mathematics

Definition. A metric (or distance function) on a set X

MAT 250 Lecture 7 Definitions in mathematics

Definition. A metric (or distance function) on a set X is a map

MAT 250 Lecture 7 Definitions in mathematics

Definition. A metric (or distance function) on a set X is a map

 $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$

MAT 250 Lecture 7 Definitions in mathematics

Definition. A metric (or distance function) on a set X is a map

 $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} = [0, \infty)$

MAT 250 Lecture 7 Definitions in mathematics

Definition. A metric (or distance function) on a set X is a map

 $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} = [0, \infty)$

satisfying the following conditions

MAT 250 Lecture 7 Definitions in mathematics

Definition. A metric (or distance function) on a set X is a map

 $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} = [0, \infty)$

satisfying the following conditions for all $x, y, z \in X$:

MAT 250 Lecture 7 Definitions in mathematics

Definition. A metric (or distance function) on a set X is a map

 $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} = [0, \infty)$

satisfying the following conditions for all $x, y, z \in X$:

1. $d(x,y) = 0 \iff x = y$

MAT 250 Lecture 7 Definitions in mathematics

Definition. A metric (or distance function) on a set X is a map

 $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} = [0, \infty)$

satisfying the following conditions for all $x, y, z \in X$:

- **1.** $d(x,y) = 0 \iff x = y$
- **2**. d(x,y) = d(y,x)

MAT 250 Lecture 7 Definitions in mathematics

Definition. A metric (or distance function) on a set X is a map

 $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_{>0} = [0, \infty)$

satisfying the following conditions for all $x, y, z \in X$:

- **1.** $d(x,y) = 0 \iff x = y$
- **2**. d(x,y) = d(y,x)
- **3.** $d(x,z) \le d(x,y) + d(y,z)$

MAT 250 Lecture 7 Definitions in mathematics

Definition. A metric (or distance function) on a set X is a map

 $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} = [0, \infty)$

satisfying the following conditions for all $x, y, z \in X$:

- **1.** $d(x,y) = 0 \iff x = y$
- **2**. d(x,y) = d(y,x)
- **3**. $d(x,z) \le d(x,y) + d(y,z)$

A pair (X, d) is called a **metric space**.

MAT 250 Lecture 7 Definitions in mathematics

Definition. A metric (or distance function) on a set X is a map

 $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_{>0} = [0, \infty)$

satisfying the following conditions for all $x, y, z \in X$:

- **1.** $d(x,y) = 0 \iff x = y$
- **2**. d(x,y) = d(y,x)
- **3**. $d(x,z) \le d(x,y) + d(y,z)$

A pair (X, d) is called a metric space.

MAT 250 Lecture 7 Definitions in mathematics

Definition. A metric (or distance function) on a set X is a map

 $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} = [0, \infty)$

satisfying the following conditions for all $x, y, z \in X$:

- 1. $d(x,y) = 0 \iff x = y$ coincidence axiom
- **2**. d(x,y) = d(y,x)
- **3**. $d(x,z) \le d(x,y) + d(y,z)$

A pair (X, d) is called a metric space.

MAT 250 Lecture 7 Definitions in mathematics

Definition. A metric (or distance function) on a set X is a map

 $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} = [0, \infty)$

satisfying the following conditions for all $x, y, z \in X$:

- 1. $d(x,y) = 0 \iff x = y$ coincidence axiom
- **2.** d(x,y) = d(y,x) symmetry
- **3**. $d(x,z) \le d(x,y) + d(y,z)$

A pair (X, d) is called a metric space.

MAT 250 Lecture 7 Definitions in mathematics

Definition. A metric (or distance function) on a set X is a map

 $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} = [0, \infty)$

satisfying the following conditions for all $x, y, z \in X$:

- 1. $d(x,y) = 0 \iff x = y$ coincidence axiom
- **2.** d(x,y) = d(y,x) symmetry
- **3**. $d(x,z) \le d(x,y) + d(y,z)$ triangle inequality

A pair (X, d) is called a metric space.

MAT 250 Lecture 7 Definitions in mathematics

Theorem.
Theorem. A map $d : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, defined by $d(x, y) = |x - y| \text{ for any } x, y \in \mathbb{R},$

d(x,y) = |x-y| for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, is a metric.

d(x,y) = |x-y| for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, is a metric.



d(x,y) = |x-y| for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, is a metric.



Proof.

Theorem. A map $d : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, defined by d(x, y) = |x - y| for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, is a metric.



Proof. Check the axioms of metric space.

d(x,y) = |x-y| for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, is a metric.



Proof. Check the axioms of metric space.

Let x, y, z be any real numbers.

Theorem. A map $d : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, defined by d(x,y) = |x - y| for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, is a metric. d(x,y)



Proof. Check the axioms of metric space.

Let x, y, z be any real numbers. Then

d(x,y) = |x-y| for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, is a metric.



Proof. Check the axioms of metric space.

Let x, y, z be any real numbers. Then 1. $|x - y| = 0 \iff x = y$

d(x,y) = |x-y| for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, is a metric.



Proof. Check the axioms of metric space.

Let x, y, z be any real numbers. Then

1. $|x-y| = 0 \iff x = y$ since $|x-y| = 0 \iff x-y = 0 \iff x = y$.

d(x,y) = |x-y| for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, is a metric.



Proof. Check the axioms of metric space.

Let x, y, z be any real numbers. Then 1. $|x - y| = 0 \iff x = y$ since $|x - y| = 0 \iff x - y = 0 \iff x = y$. 2. |x - y| = |y - x|

d(x,y) = |x-y| for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, is a metric.



Proof. Check the axioms of metric space.

Let x, y, z be any real numbers. Then 1. $|x - y| = 0 \iff x = y$ since $|x - y| = 0 \iff x - y = 0 \iff x = y$. 2. |x - y| = |y - x| since |a| = |-a|

d(x,y) = |x-y| for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, is a metric.



Proof. Check the axioms of metric space.

Let x, y, z be any real numbers. Then 1. $|x - y| = 0 \iff x = y$ since $|x - y| = 0 \iff x - y = 0 \iff x = y$. 2. |x - y| = |y - x| since |a| = |-a| for any real a.

d(x,y) = |x-y| for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, is a metric.



Proof. Check the axioms of metric space.

Let x, y, z be any real numbers. Then 1. $|x - y| = 0 \iff x = y$ since $|x - y| = 0 \iff x - y = 0 \iff x = y$. 2. |x - y| = |y - x| since |a| = |-a| for any real a. 3. $|x - z| \le |x - y| + |y - z|$

d(x,y) = |x-y| for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, is a metric.



Proof. Check the axioms of metric space.

Let x, y, z be any real numbers. Then 1. $|x - y| = 0 \iff x = y$ since $|x - y| = 0 \iff x - y = 0 \iff x = y$. 2. |x - y| = |y - x| since |a| = |-a| for any real a. 3. $|x - z| \le |x - y| + |y - z|$ since |x - z| =

d(x,y) = |x-y| for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, is a metric.



Proof. Check the axioms of metric space.

Let x, y, z be any real numbers. Then 1. $|x - y| = 0 \iff x = y$ since $|x - y| = 0 \iff x - y = 0 \iff x = y$. 2. |x - y| = |y - x| since |a| = |-a| for any real a. 3. $|x - z| \le |x - y| + |y - z|$ since |x - z| = |(x - y) + (y - z)|

d(x,y) = |x-y| for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, is a metric.



Proof. Check the axioms of metric space.

Let x, y, z be any real numbers. Then 1. $|x - y| = 0 \iff x = y$ since $|x - y| = 0 \iff x - y = 0 \iff x = y$. 2. |x - y| = |y - x| since |a| = |-a| for any real a. 3. $|x - z| \le |x - y| + |y - z|$ since $|x - z| = |(x - y) + (y - z)| \le |x - y| + |y - z|$

d(x,y) = |x-y| for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, is a metric.



Proof. Check the axioms of metric space.

Let x, y, z be any real numbers. Then 1. $|x - y| = 0 \iff x = y$ since $|x - y| = 0 \iff x - y = 0 \iff x = y$. 2. |x - y| = |y - x| since |a| = |-a| for any real a. 3. $|x - z| \le |x - y| + |y - z|$ since $|x - z| = |(x - y) + (y - z)| \le |x - y| + |y - z|$ by the triangle inequality $(|a + b| \le |a| + |b|)$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$)

d(x,y) = |x-y| for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, is a metric.



Proof. Check the axioms of metric space.

Let x, y, z be any real numbers. Then 1. $|x - y| = 0 \iff x = y$ since $|x - y| = 0 \iff x - y = 0 \iff x = y$. 2. |x - y| = |y - x| since |a| = |-a| for any real a. 3. $|x - z| \le |x - y| + |y - z|$ since $|x - z| = |(x - y) + (y - z)| \le |x - y| + |y - z|$ by the triangle inequality $(|a + b| \le |a| + |b|)$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$)

Therefore, all axioms are satisfied and the map d is a metric.

Theorem.

 $d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = \sqrt{(x_1 - x_2)^2 + (y_1 - y_2)^2}$

 $d((x_1,y_1),(x_2,y_2)) = \sqrt{(x_1-x_2)^2 + (y_1-y_2)^2}$ for any $(x_1,y_1),(x_2,y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$,











 $d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = \sqrt{(x_1 - x_2)^2 + (y_1 - y_2)^2}$ for any $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, is a metric.



This metric is called **Euclidean**.

 $d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = \sqrt{(x_1 - x_2)^2 + (y_1 - y_2)^2} \text{ for any } (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2,$



This metric is called **Euclidean**.

Proof will be given in a course of Linear Algebra.
$d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = |x_2 - x_1| + |y_2 - y_1|$

for any $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$

 $d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = |x_2 - x_1| + |y_2 - y_1|$

for any $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$

x

 $d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = |x_2 - x_1| + |y_2 - y_1|$

x

 $d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = |x_2 - x_1| + |y_2 - y_1|$



 $d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = |x_2 - x_1| + |y_2 - y_1|$



 $d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = |x_2 - x_1| + |y_2 - y_1|$

for any $(x_1,y_1), (x_2,y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$



 $d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = |x_2 - x_1| + |y_2 - y_1|$

for any (x_1, y_1) , $(x_2, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ (x_1, y_1) $|y_2 - y_1|$ $|x_2 - x_1|$ (x_2, y_2)

x

It's easy to check that this is a metric indeed.

 $d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = |x_2 - x_1| + |y_2 - y_1|$

for any $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ (x_1,y_1) $|y_2-y_1|$ $|x_2-x_1|$

 $--- (x_2, y_2)$

It's easy to check that this is a metric indeed.

The plane with Euclidean metric

 $d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = |x_2 - x_1| + |y_2 - y_1|$

for any $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$



It's easy to check that this is a metric indeed.

The plane with Euclidean metric

and the plane with taxi driver metric

 $d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = |x_2 - x_1| + |y_2 - y_1|$

for any $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$



It's easy to check that this is a metric indeed.

The plane with Euclidean metric

and the plane with taxi driver metric

are different metric spaces.