

The Prime Number Theorem from log n!

Author(s): N. Levinson

Source: Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 15, No. 3 (Jun., 1964), pp. 480

-485

Published by: American Mathematical Society Stable URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2034529

Accessed: 24/03/2010 17:19

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/action/showPublisher?publisherCode=ams.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



American Mathematical Society is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society.

THE PRIME NUMBER THEOREM FROM $\log n!$

N. LEVINSON1

During the nineteenth century attempts were made to prove the prime number theorem from the formula [1, pp. 87-95]

(1)
$$\log n! = \sum_{p \le n} \left(\left[\frac{n}{p} \right] + \left[\frac{n}{p^2} \right] + \left[\frac{n}{p^3} \right] + \cdots \right) \log p.$$

While remarkable good results were obtained by Chebyshev and Sylvester the prime number theorem was not obtained. That it can be obtained will be shown below but only by using the fact that $\zeta(1+iu)\neq 0$ and with the aid of Wiener's general Tauberian theorem. Thus the use of $\log n!$ seems to be no simpler than using Lambert series [2, Theorem 15] to prove the prime number theorem.

From (1) follows

$$n \log n - n + O(\log n) = \int_1^n \left[\frac{n}{y}\right] d\psi(y),$$

where

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{p^m \le x} \log p = \sum_{p \le x} \left[\frac{\log x}{\log p} \right] \log p.$$

Hence

$$x \log x - x + O(\log x) = \int_{1}^{x} \left[\frac{x}{y}\right] d\psi(y).$$

If

$$r(x) = \left[x\right] - x + \frac{1}{2},$$

(2)
$$x \log x - x + O(\log x) = \int_{1}^{x} r\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) d\psi(y) + x \int_{1}^{x} \frac{d\psi(y)}{y} - \frac{1}{2}\psi(x)$$

$$= \int_{1}^{x} r\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) d\psi(y) + x \int_{1}^{x} \frac{\psi(y)}{y^{2}} dy + \frac{1}{2}\psi(x).$$

Let

Received by the editors February 23, 1963.

¹ The preparation of this paper was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research and by the National Science Foundation Grant No. GP 149.

(3)
$$g(x) = x \int_{1}^{x} \frac{\psi(y)}{y^{2}} dy + \frac{1}{2} \psi(x).$$

Then by (2)

(4)
$$g(x) = x \log x - x - \int_1^x r\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) d\psi(y) + O(\log x).$$

Let

(5)
$$H(x) = \int_{1}^{x} \frac{\psi(y)}{y^2} dy.$$

Then by (3)

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{1}{2}x^2H(x)\right) = g(x),$$

$$\frac{1}{2}x^2H(x) = \int_{1}^{x}g(y)dy.$$

Using this with (5) in (3)

$$\psi(x) = 2g(x) - 4 \int_1^x \frac{g(y)dy}{x}.$$

Using (4) this becomes

$$\psi(x) = 2x \log x - 2x - 2 \int_{1}^{x} r \left(\frac{x}{y}\right) d\psi(y) + O(\log x)$$
$$- \frac{4}{x} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} x^{2} \log x - \frac{3}{4} x^{2} - \int_{1}^{x} dy \int_{1}^{y} r \left(\frac{y}{t}\right) d\psi(t) \right\}$$

or

(6)
$$\psi(x) = x - 2 \int_{1}^{x} r\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) d\psi(y) + \frac{4}{x} \int_{1}^{x} d\psi(t) \int_{t}^{x} r\left(\frac{y}{t}\right) dy + O(\log x).$$

But

$$\int_{1}^{x} d\psi(t) \int_{t}^{x} r\left(\frac{y}{t}\right) dy = \int_{1}^{x} d\psi(y) y \int_{1}^{x/y} r(\xi) d\xi.$$

Hence (6) becomes

(7)
$$\int_{1}^{x} \left\{ 1 + 2r \left(\frac{x}{y} \right) - 4 \frac{y}{x} \int_{1}^{x/y} r(\xi) d\xi \right\} d\psi(y) = x + O(\log x).$$

Let

(8)
$$R(x) = 1 + 2r(x) - 4 \int_{1}^{x} r(\xi) d\xi/x.$$

Then since r is periodic and has average zero, R(x) is bounded. It is easy to show $R(x) \ge 0$. From (7) and (8)

$$\int_{1}^{x} R\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) d\psi(y) = x + O(\log x).$$

From this follows

$$\int_{1}^{t} \frac{dx}{x} \int_{1}^{x} R\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) d\psi(y) = t + O(\log^{2} t)$$

or

$$\int_{1}^{t} d\psi(y) \int_{y}^{t} R\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) \frac{dx}{x} = t + O(\log^{2} t).$$

Hence

$$\int_1^t d\psi(y) \int_1^{t/y} \frac{R(\xi)}{\xi} d\xi = t + O(\log^2 t).$$

Replacing t by x and integrating by parts

(9)
$$\int_{1}^{x} \frac{\psi(y)}{y} R\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) dy = x + O(\log^{2} x).$$

That $m(y) = \psi(y)/y$ is bounded follows in an elementary way from Chebyshev's inequality for $\theta(x)/x$ where

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \log p.$$

If $x = e^{\epsilon}$ and $y = e^{t}$ then (9) becomes

(10)
$$\int_0^{e} R(e^{e-t})e^{-(e-t)}m(e^t)dt = 1 + O(s^2e^{-e}).$$

If K(s) = 0 for s < 0 and

(11)
$$K(s) = R(e^{s})e^{-s}, \qquad s > 0$$

then since R is bounded,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |K(s)| ds < \infty$$

and by (10)

$$\int_{0}^{s} K(s-t)m(e^{t})dt = 1 + O(s^{2}e^{-s}).$$

Ιf

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} K(s)e^{-ius}ds = k(u) \neq 0$$

and if k(0) = 1 it follows from Wiener's general Tauberian theorem [2, Theorem 4] that for any $K_1(s) \in L(-\infty, \infty)$

$$\lim_{s\to\infty}\int_0^\infty K_1(s-t)m(e^t)dt=\int_0^\infty K_1(s)ds.$$

In particular let

$$K_1(s) = e^{-s}, s > 0,$$

= 0, $s < 0.$

Then

$$\lim_{s\to\infty}\int_0^s e^{-(s-t)}m(e^t)dt=1,$$

or

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{1}{x}\int_1^x\frac{\psi(y)}{y}\,dy=1.$$

It is an immediate consequence of this that, for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{1}{\lambda x}\int_{x}^{x(1+\lambda)}\frac{\psi(y)}{y}\,dy=1,$$

and this leads easily to $\lim_{x\to\infty} \psi(x)/x = 1$. Thus to complete the proof of the prime number theorem it remains to show that $k(u) \neq 0$, k(0) = 1. In terms of R using (11)

$$k(u) = \int_{1}^{\infty} R(x) x^{-iu-2} dx.$$

Hence by (8)

$$k(u) = \int_{1}^{\infty} x^{-iu-2} dx + 2 \int_{1}^{\infty} r(x) x^{-iu-2} dx$$

$$- 4 \int_{1}^{\infty} x^{-iu-3} dx \int_{1}^{x} r(t) dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{1+iu} + 2 \int_{1}^{\infty} r(x) x^{-iu-2} dx \left(1 - \frac{2}{2+iu}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{1+iu} + \frac{2iu}{2+iu} \int_{1}^{\infty} r(x) x^{-iu-2} dx.$$

Setting u = 0, it follows that k(0) = 1. Recalling the periodicity of r(x)

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} r(x)x^{-iu-2}dx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} (\frac{1}{2} - x)(n+x)^{-iu-2}dx$$
$$= \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} (\frac{1}{2} - x)(n+x)^{-iu-2-\lambda}dx.$$

Clearly

$$\int_{0}^{1} (\frac{1}{2} - x)(n+x)^{-iu-2-\lambda} dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{iu+1+\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{(n+1)^{1+\lambda+iu}} + \frac{1}{n^{1+\lambda+iu}} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{(iu+1+\lambda)(iu+\lambda)} \left(\frac{1}{(n+1)^{\lambda+iu}} - \frac{1}{n^{\lambda+iu}} \right).$$

Hence

$$\sum_{1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} (\frac{1}{2} - x)(n+x)^{-iu-2-\lambda} dx = \frac{1}{iu+1+\lambda} \left\{ \xi(1+\lambda+iu) - \frac{1}{2} \right\} - \frac{1}{(iu+1+\lambda)(iu+\lambda)}.$$

Thus

$$k(u) = \frac{1}{1+iu} + \frac{2iu}{(2+iu)(iu+1)} \left\{ \zeta(1+iu) - \frac{1}{2} \right\} - \frac{2}{(2+iu)(iu+1)}$$
$$= \frac{2iu}{(2+iu)(1+iu)} \zeta(1+iu).$$

Hence $k(u) \neq 0$.

ADDENDUM (March 8, 1963). It was pointed out to me by H. R. Pitt that a different proof of the prime number theorem based on log n! and using Wiener's theorem was given by A. E. Ingham, Some Tauberian theorems connected with the prime number theorem, J. London Math. Soc. 22 (1945), 161-180.

REFERENCES

- 1. Edmund Landau, Handbuch der Lehre von der Verteilung der Primzahlen, Vol. 1, 1909, Chelsea, New York, 1953.
- 2. Norbert Wiener, The Fourier integral and certain of its applications, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1932.

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

FLAG-TRANSITIVE PLANES OF EVEN ORDER1

R. ROTH

1. Introduction. In a projective plane a configuration consisting of a line and a point incident with that line is called a flag. A collineation group which is transitive on the flags of a projective plane is called flag-transitive (or "acutely transitive"). Such a group is also called sharply flag-transitive (or "flag-regular" or "acutely regular") if in addition, the only collineation leaving a flag fixed is the identity. A projective plane is called flag-transitive or sharply flag-transitive, respectively, if it admits a group of collineations which is flag-transitive or sharply flag-transitive, respectively. D. G. Higman and J. E. McLaughlin proved the following theorem [6, Proposition 10, p. 391].

THEOREM. Given a finite projective plane of odd order n with a flagtransitive group G where n is not a square or else $n=m^2$ and $m \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$. Then the plane is Desarguesian and the group G is doubly transitive.

In this paper, this theorem is extended to finite projective planes of many orders n. It is shown (Theorem 1) that for any integer n such that either n+1 or n^2+n+1 is a prime, a flag-transitive plane of order n must be Desarguesian or sharply flag-transitive. However, as

Received by the editors February 14, 1963.

¹ This paper represents part of a Ph.D. dissertation written at the University of California, Berkeley, under the supervision of Professor A. Seidenberg.