

We consider the class  $\mathcal{E}$  of piecewise monotone transformations  $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  having the following properties:

1) inside the intervals of monotonicity, a)  $f \in C^3$ , b)  $f$  has no critical points, and c)  $f$  has a negative Schwartzian

$$Sf = f''/f' - 1.5 \cdot (f''/f')^2 < 0,$$

2) in the neighborhood of extrema  $c_i$ ,  $|f'(x)| \propto |x - c_i|^{n_i}$ , where  $n_i > 0$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1]$ , let  $\omega(x)$  be the limit set of the trajectory  $\{f^n x\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ , and let  $rl(A) = \{x: \omega(x) \subset A\}$  be the region of attraction of the set  $A \subset [0, 1]$ .

We call a closed invariant set  $A \subset [0, 1]$  such that 1)  $\lambda(rl(A)) > 0$ ; 2)  $\lambda(rl(A) \setminus rl(A')) > 0$  for every closed invariant subset  $A' \subset A$  an attractor in the sense of Milnor or a metric attractor [1]. We call an attractor indecomposable if it is not the union of two smaller attractors.

In [2] and [3] it is shown that almost every  $f$ -trajectory approaches some indecomposable attractor  $A$ , and one of the following three possibilities holds: 1)  $A$  is a limit cycle; 2)  $A$  is a cycle of a periodic interval; 3)  $A = \omega(c) \ni c$ , where  $c$  is a critical point.

A transformation  $f: X \rightarrow X$  of a space with quasiinvariant measure  $\lambda$  is said to be ergodic if there exists no completely invariant subset  $Y \subset X$  (i.e.,  $f^{-1}Y = Y$ ) such that  $\lambda(Y) > 0$ ,  $\lambda(X \setminus Y) > 0$ .

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $A$  be an indecomposable attractor of the transformation  $f \in \mathcal{E}$  which is not a limit cycle. Then  $f/rl(A)$  is ergodic.

For unimodal  $f \in \mathcal{E}$  having transitive periodic intervals, this result is established in [2] (for the proof, see Ukr. Mat. Zh., 41 (1989)).

**COROLLARY 1.** The indecomposable attractors of a transformation  $f \in \mathcal{E}$  are minimal. Almost every trajectory of  $f \in \mathcal{E}$  approaches some minimal attractor.

A set  $X$  is said to be wandering if  $f^n X \cap X = \emptyset$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ), and it is said to be strongly wandering if  $f^n X \cap f^m X = \emptyset$  ( $n > m \geq 0$ ). We put  $B_f = [0, 1] \setminus \bigcup rl(Z_i)$ , where the  $Z_i$  are all possible limit cycles of  $f$ . The set  $B_f$  does not contain strongly wandering intervals (M. Y. Lyubich (1987); this result was obtained for unimodal  $f \in \mathcal{E}$  by Guckenheimer [4]).\* Theorem 1 implies a measurable analogue of this proposition (cf. Sullivan [5], Theorem 2):

**COROLLARY 2.** There exists no strongly wandering set  $X \in B_f$  of positive measure for which  $f^n/X$  is injective ( $n \geq 0$ ).

Let  $d$  be the number of critical points in  $B_f$ .

**COROLLARY 3.** A transformation  $f \in \mathcal{E}$  has no more than  $d$  absolutely continuous invariant ergodic measures.

A transformation  $f: X \rightarrow X$  of a space of quasiinvariant measure is said to be conservative if  $f$  has no wandering sets of positive measure.

\*We note that, as is shown by the authors (1987), a theorem concerning the absence of strongly wandering intervals holds also for  $C^3$ -smooth transformations with nonsingular critical points (in the unimodal case, this was proved by de Melo and van Strien (1986)).

THEOREM 2. Let  $A$  be an attractor of the transformation  $f \in \mathcal{E}$ . Then  $f/A$  is conservative.

We state a fundamental lemma from which Theorems 1 and 2 follow immediately. For this, we define a local involution  $\tau: x \rightarrow x'$  in the neighborhood of extrema by means of the following property:  $f(x) = f(x')$ .

LEMMA. Let  $c$  be some extremum, and let  $X \subset \{x: \omega(x) \ni c\}$  be a measurable invariant subset,  $\lambda(X) > 0$ . Then: 1)  $c$  is an accumulation point of the set  $X \cup \tau(X)$ ; 2) the set  $X$  has positive upper density at every point  $x \in \omega(c)$ .

The following result strengthens Corollary 2.

THEOREM 3. If  $f \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $A$  is an attractor, then there exist no strongly wandering sets  $X \subset \text{rl}(A)$ ,  $\lambda(X) > 0$  (here  $A$  does not contain limit cycles or solenoids).

It is possible to define a topological attractor analogously to the metric attractor: instead of positiveness of measure, one requires that the corresponding sets be of second Baire category. A complete description of topological attractors  $T$  for a transformation  $f \in \mathcal{E}$  (and also for smooth transformations with nonsingular critical points) follows from the absence of wandering intervals and from results in [6] and [7]. In fact, one of three possibilities holds: 1)  $T$  is a limit cycle; 2)  $T$  is a cycle of a periodic interval; 3)  $T = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=0}^{p_n} f^k I_n$ , where  $I_1 \supset I_2 \supset \dots$  is a sequence of periodic intervals of order  $p_n \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $\text{int } T = \emptyset$  (such an attractor is said to be a solenoid).

In the real case, metric attractors clearly coincide with topological attractors. This important fact follows from the following two hypotheses.

HYPOTHESIS 1. Let  $f \setminus [0, 1]$  be topologically transitive. Then  $\omega(x) = [0, 1]$  for almost all  $x$ .

Remark. We note that the property " $\omega(x) = [0, 1]$  for almost all  $x$ " is equivalent to  $f$  being conservative [3]. We note also that from the above results it follows that, for topologically transitive  $f$ , either  $\omega(x) = [0, 1]$  for almost all  $x$  or there exist a finite number of minimal attractors  $A_k = \omega(c_k) \ni c_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and  $\omega(x) = A_k(x)$  for almost all  $x$ . In addition, the entire interval  $[0, 1]$  is the only topological attractor (since topological transitivity implies that  $\omega(x) = [0, 1]$  for a Baire massive set of points  $x$ ).

HYPOTHESIS 2. If  $R$  is a topological repeller, then  $\lambda(R) = 0$ .

In conclusion, we deal with the question of the measure of a solenoid. If  $S$  is a dyadic solenoid of the unimodal transformation  $f \in \mathcal{E}$ , then  $\lambda(S) = 0$  ([8]). We have obtained an analogous result for arbitrary (not only dyadic) solenoids:

THEOREM 4. Let  $S$  be a solenoid of the transformation  $f \in \mathcal{E}$ . Then  $\lambda(S) = 0$ .

Remark Added in Proof. All of our results can be generalized to the smooth polynomial case.

#### LITERATURE CITED

1. J. Milnor, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 99, No. 2, 177-196 (1985).
2. A. M. Blokh and M. Yu. Lyubich, *Funkts. Anal. Prilozhen.*, 21, No. 2, 70-71 (1987).
3. A. M. Blokh and M. Yu. Lyubich, *Teor. Funktsii Funktsional. Anal. Prilozhen.*, No. 49, Kharkov (1988), pp. 5-16.
4. J. Guckenheimer, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 70, No. 2, 133-160 (1979).
5. D. Sullivan, *Ann. Math.*, 122, No. 3, 401-418 (1985).
6. A. M. Blokh, *Usp. Mat. Nauk*, 38, No. 5, pp. 179-180 (1983).
7. A. M. Blokh, *Teor. Funktsii Funktsional. Anal. Prilozhen.*, No. 46, Kharkov (1986), pp. 8-18.
8. J. Guckenheimer, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 110, No. 4, 655-659 (1987).