

# A NILMANIFOLD IS EITHER FORMAL OR HAS A NON-TRIVIAL TRIPLE MASSEY PRODUCT

ABSTRACT. We review the standard result [1] that a nilmanifold is formal if and only if it is a torus, and we do an explicit example of computing the minimal model of a nilmanifold. We then observe that the non-formality of a nilmanifold is detected by a triple Massey product.

## 1. NILMANIFOLDS AND FORMALITY

Take any simply connected nilpotent Lie group  $G$  with a cocompact discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  (cocompact meaning  $G/\Gamma$  is compact). We call the closed manifold  $M = G/\Gamma$  a *nilmanifold*. A theorem of Malcev guarantees that such a subgroup exists if and only if there is a basis for the Lie algebra of  $G$  in which the structure constants are rational numbers.

Now consider  $\Omega^G(G)$ , the dga (over  $\mathbb{R}$ ) of forms on  $G$  that are left-invariant with respect to all elements of  $G$ . Denote by  $\Omega^\Gamma(G)$  the forms on  $G$  that are left-invariant just with respect to  $\Gamma$ . Note that  $\Omega^\Gamma(G)$  are exactly those forms which descend to forms on the nilmanifold  $M$ . A theorem of Nomizu tells us that the inclusion  $\Omega^\Gamma(G) \hookrightarrow \Omega^G(G)$  induces an isomorphism on cohomology. Therefore the real minimal models of these two dga's are isomorphic.

Let us therefore compute  $\text{Model}(\Omega^G(G))$  (and so we will have the real minimal model  $\text{Model}(M)$  of  $M$ ). The model of a Lie group can be obtained from the complex of left-invariant vector fields that compute its Lie algebra cohomology. Namely, take a basis of left-invariant one-forms  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  (where  $n = \dim(M)$ ) dual to the left-invariant vector fields, ordered so that  $dx_1 = dx_2 = \dots = dx_p = 0$  and  $dx_k = \sum_{i < j < k} c_{ij}^k x_i x_j$ . That we can order the basis so follows from nilpotency of the Lie algebra of  $G$  (which in turn follows from the nilpotency of  $G$  by considering the second-order term of the Taylor expansion of the derivative  $Dm_{(e,e)}$  of multiplication  $G \times G \xrightarrow{m} G$ ). Namely, we can order the basis so that the differential  $d$  applied to a given basis element is a sum of products of basis elements preceding it. Since  $dx_i$  is of degree 2 for any basis element, all of the products involved in  $dx_i$  are two-fold products. Observe that this condition implies that there at least  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are closed. (Otherwise we are considering a one-dimensional Lie group, which is not of interest here.) From  $x_k$  onward, the basis elements are not closed, but rather non-trivial sums of products of two basis elements coming before it.

So,  $\text{Model}(M) = \Lambda(x_1, \dots, x_p, x_{p+1}, \dots, x_n; d)$ , where the differential  $d$  is described above. Let us consider how we can detect if  $M$  is formal from this real minimal model.

*Theorem.* The nilmanifold  $M^n$  is formal if and only if it is a torus  $(S^1)^n$ .

*Proof.* First let us argue that  $M = G/\Gamma$  is a torus if and only if  $G$  is abelian. If  $M$  is a torus, then  $G = (\mathbb{R}^n, +)$  by the uniqueness of a simply connected Lie group with given Lie algebra. In this case  $\Gamma$  is a lattice (a free discrete subgroup of rank  $n$ ), as all discrete

subgroups of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are free. Conversely, if  $G$  is abelian, by uniqueness it is  $(\mathbb{R}^n, +)$ , and so  $\Gamma$  must be a lattice and hence  $M$  is a torus.

The Lie group  $G$  is abelian if and only if its Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is abelian (i.e. has vanishing bracket). This follows from the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula and the surjectivity of the exponential map in this situation.

So,  $M$  is a torus if and only if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is abelian, i.e.  $[X_i, X_j] = 0$  for all vector fields  $X_i, X_j$  dual to the basis one-forms  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Dualizing this equality (in general, the relations  $[X_i, X_j] = -\sum_k c_{ij}^k X_k$  for  $[-, -]$  dualize to the relations  $dx_k = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}^k x_i x_j$  for the differential) gives us  $dx_k = 0$  for all basis one-forms  $x_k$ . So, in our ordering of the basis one-forms, we can take  $p = n$ , i.e. all of them are closed. Therefore  $M$  is a torus if and only if all the basis one-forms are closed.

Now we show that  $p = n$  (i.e. all the basis one-forms are closed) if and only if  $M$  is formal. If  $p = n$ , then the model of  $M$  is a free exterior algebra with trivial differential, thus formal. Conversely, suppose  $p < n$ . Then  $dx_l = \sum_{i < j < l} c_{ij}^l x_i x_j$ , for all  $l > p$ . Now suppose  $M$  is formal, i.e. there is a quasi-isomorphism  $\Lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n) \xrightarrow{\Phi} (H^*(M, \mathbb{R}), d \equiv 0)$ . Then  $\Phi$  sends the closed one-forms to their cohomology classes,  $\Phi(x_1) = [x_1], \dots, \Phi(x_p) = [x_p]$ , and on the rest we have  $\Phi(x_l) = \sum_{i \leq p} c_i^l [x_i]$ . Now, consider  $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n$ . We have that  $\Phi(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n)$  is some non-zero (since  $H^n(M, \mathbb{R})$  is one-dimensional) multiple of the cohomology class of the volume form. On the other hand,  $\Phi(x_1 \cdots x_n) = [x_1] \cdots [x_p] \cdot \Phi(x_{p+1}) \cdots \Phi(x_n) = 0$  since every summand in this expression will contain the square of some element  $[x_i]$ , and all squares are 0 (since the  $x_i$  are of degree 1).

Therefore  $M$  is formal if and only if  $n = p$ , that is, if and only if  $M$  is a torus.  $\square$

Observe that we only proved formality over  $\mathbb{R}$ , but since formality descends to subfields, we also have the analogous statement for formality over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

## 2. AN EXPLICIT EXAMPLE

Consider the real Heisenberg group of  $3 \times 3$  upper triangular matrices with one's on the diagonal, with matrix multiplication,

$$H = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, x, y, z \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Consider the cocompact discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  consisting of integer-valued matrices in  $H$ , and denote the three manifold obtained as the quotient  $H/\Gamma$  by  $N$ . To compute the real minimal model  $\text{Model}(N)$ , we will figure out the Lie algebra structure on  $G$  and dualize to obtain a basis of left-invariant one-forms with corresponding differential.

For computation's sake, let us think of  $H$  rather as triples of real numbers,  $(x, y, z)$ , with multiplication given by

$$(x_1, y_1, z_1) \cdot (x_2, y_2, z_2) = (x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2, z_1 + z_2 + x_1 y_2).$$

Take a basis  $(1, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1), (0, 0, 1)$  for the tangent space at the identity  $T_e H = T_{(0,0,0)} \mathbb{R}^3$ . We will push these basis elements around by left multiplication to obtain the left-invariant vector fields on  $H$ . So, take an arbitrary  $g = (a, b, c) \in H$ . Left multiplication by  $g$  on any  $(x, y, z) \in H$  gives us

$$L_g(x, y, z) = (x + a, y + b, z + c + ay).$$

This is a smooth map from  $\mathbb{R}^3$  to  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . We compute the differential  $L_{g^*}$  at  $(x, y, z) = (0, 0, 0)$  and obtain

$$L_{g^*(0,0,0)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial(x+a)}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial(x+a)}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial(x+a)}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial(y+b)}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial(y+b)}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial(y+b)}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial(z+c+ay)}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial(z+c+ay)}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial(z+c+ay)}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Applying this matrix to our basis of tangent vectors at the origin, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L_{g^*(0,0,0)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \\ L_{g^*(0,0,0)} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + a \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \\ L_{g^*(0,0,0)} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial z}. \end{aligned}$$

Denoting  $g = (x, y, z)$ , this means we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_{g^*(0,0,0)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \\ L_{g^*(0,0,0)} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + x \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \\ L_{g^*(0,0,0)} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \end{aligned}$$

So,  $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + x \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\}$  is a basis for our Lie algebra of left-invariant vector fields. Now, we can see just by inspection that  $\{dx, dy, dz - xdy\}$  constitutes a dual basis. We can compute the differential on these one-forms either directly, or by dualizing the bracket on the left-invariant vector fields. For illustration let us take this latter approach. Denote from now on  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$  by  $\partial_x$  (and similarly for  $y$  and  $z$ ). Observe

$$\begin{aligned} [\partial_x, \partial_y + x\partial_z] &= \partial_z, \\ [\partial_x, \partial_z] &= 0, \\ [\partial_y + x\partial_z, \partial_z] &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Reading off the coefficients, this tells us that the differential applied to the dual of  $\partial_x$  is 0, applied to the dual of  $\partial_y + x\partial_z$  is 0, and applied to the dual of  $\partial_z$  is the product of the dual of  $\partial_x$  and the dual of  $\partial_y + x\partial_z$ . On the dual basis we already found, we can verify that is true:  $d(dx) = 0$ ,  $d(dy) = 0$ , and  $d(dz - xdy) = -xdy$  (note the sign).

Denoting  $\alpha = dx$ ,  $\beta = -dy$ ,  $\gamma = dz - xdy$ , we have that a model of  $N$  is given by  $\Lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  with differential prescribed by  $d\alpha = 0$ ,  $d\beta = 0$ ,  $d\gamma = \alpha\beta$ . We can compute  $H^2(N)$  from this model to be two-dimensional, generated by  $[\alpha\gamma]$  and  $[\beta\gamma]$ , and so  $N$  is not a torus (of the appropriate dimension three), and therefore  $N$  is not formal.  $\square$

### 3. DETECTION OF NON-FORMALITY

Now let us focus on the non-formal case, and note that the **non-formality of a nilmanifold is always detected by a non-vanishing triple Massey product**. Let  $M$  be a nilmanifold and  $\Lambda(x_i)$  be its minimal model. We can order the variables so

that the closed generators come first,  $\text{Model}(M) = \Lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, u, \dots)$ . Here we are denoting by  $u$  the first non-closed generator. In general,  $du = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} x_i x_j$ . For simplicity, let us reorder and rescale the closed variables  $x_i$  so that we have

$$du = x_1 x_2 + \sum_{i,j>2} c_{ij} x_i x_j.$$

Observe

$$x_3 x_4 \cdots x_k du = d(x_3 x_4 \cdots x_k u) = x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 \cdots x_k,$$

and consider the Massey product

$$M([x_2 x_3 \cdots x_k], [x_1], [x_1]).$$

A generic element in this set looks like  $(x_3 \cdots x_k u + c_{k-1})x_1 \pm x_2 \cdots x_k c_1$ , where  $c_{k-1}$  and  $c_1$  are closed elements of degree  $k-1$  and 1 respectively. So, our generic element is of the form

$$x_3 \cdots x_k u x_1 + c_{k-1} x_1 - x_2 \cdots x_k c_1.$$

Observe that  $c_1$  is in the span of  $x_1, \dots, x_k$ . So, if this was to be zero for some choice of  $c_1$  and  $c_{k-1}$  (which would mean by definition that the Massey product vanishes), we would need  $c_{k-1}$  to be of the form  $c_{k-1} = u x_3 \cdots x_k$ . But,  $d(u x_3 \cdots x_k) = x_1 x_2 x_3 \cdots x_k \neq 0$ . So, this triple Massey product is non-trivial.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Hasegawa, K., 1989. Minimal models of nilmanifolds. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 106(1), pp.65-71.