

## HYPERBOLIC 2-SPHERES WITH CONICAL SINGULARITIES, ACCESSORY PARAMETERS AND KÄHLER METRICS ON $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$

LEON TAKHTAJAN AND PETER ZOGRAF

ABSTRACT. We show that the real-valued function  $S_\alpha$  on the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$  of pointed rational curves, defined as the critical value of the Liouville action functional on a hyperbolic 2-sphere with  $n \geq 3$  conical singularities of arbitrary orders  $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ , generates accessory parameters of the associated Fuchsian differential equation as their common antiderivative. We introduce a family of Kähler metrics on  $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$  parameterized by the set of orders  $\alpha$ , explicitly relate accessory parameters to these metrics, and prove that the functions  $S_\alpha$  are their Kähler potentials.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The existence and uniqueness of a hyperbolic metric (a conformal metric of constant negative curvature  $-1$ ) with prescribed singularities at a finite number of points on a Riemann surface is a classical problem that is closely related (and in special cases is equivalent) to the famous Uniformization Problem of Klein and Poincaré. Actually, in 1898 Poincaré [11] solved this problem for the simplest case of *parabolic* singularities. Below we formulate his result for the particular case of the standard 2-sphere realized as the Riemann sphere  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Consider the punctured surface  $X = \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$  with  $n \geq 3$  (by applying an appropriate Möbius transformation we can always assume that  $z_{n-2} = 0, z_{n-1} = 1, z_n = \infty$ ). Then the Liouville equation

$$\varphi_{z\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} e^\varphi$$

(where subscripts stand for the corresponding partial derivatives) has a unique (real-valued) solution  $\varphi$  on  $X$  with the following asymptotics:

$$\varphi(z) = \begin{cases} -2 \log |z - z_i| - 2 \log |\log |z - z_i|| + O(1) & \text{as } z \rightarrow z_i, i \neq n, \\ -2 \log |z| - 2 \log \log |z| + O(1) & \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty \end{cases}$$

(such a singularity is called parabolic). Geometrically, the Liouville equation means that the conformal metric  $ds^2 = e^\varphi |dz|^2$  on  $X$  has constant negative curvature  $-1$  (that is, hyperbolic), and the above asymptotics of  $\varphi$  guarantee that  $ds^2$  is complete and the area of  $X$  is  $2\pi(n-2)$ .

---

Received by the editors March 12, 2002.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 14H15; Secondary 30F45, 81T40.

*Key words and phrases*. Fuchsian differential equations, accessory parameters, Liouville action, Weil-Petersson metric.

Research of the first author was partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-9802574.

Poincaré used this result to prove the uniformization theorem, i.e., to show that there exists a complex-analytic covering of the Riemann surface  $X$  by the upper half-plane  $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im } z > 0\}$ . He introduced the quantity

$$T_\varphi = \varphi_{zz} - \frac{1}{2} \varphi_z^2$$

and showed that when  $\varphi$  satisfies the Liouville equation with parabolic singularities, then  $T_\varphi$  is a meromorphic function on  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  of the form

$$T_\varphi(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{2(z-z_i)^2} + \frac{c_i}{z-z_i} \right),$$

with the asymptotics

$$T_\varphi(z) = \frac{1}{2z^2} + \frac{c_n}{z^3} + O\left(\frac{1}{z^4}\right) \text{ as } z \rightarrow \infty.$$

The coefficients  $c_i$  are the famous accessory parameters. They satisfy three obvious linear relations imposed by the asymptotic behaviour of  $T_\varphi$  at  $\infty$ . The coefficients  $c_1, \dots, c_n$  are uniquely characterized by the fact that the monodromy group of the Fuchsian differential equation

$$\frac{d^2u}{dz^2} + \frac{1}{2} T_\varphi(z)u = 0$$

is conjugate in  $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$  to the group of deck transformations of a covering  $\mathbb{H} \rightarrow X$ .

These ideas of Poincaré were in the spotlight once again about 20 years ago due to Polyakov’s path integral formulation of the bosonic string [12] and the conformal field theory of Belavin-Polyakov-Zamolodchikov [2]. Briefly, in the quantum Liouville theory the quantity  $T_\varphi$  plays the role of the  $(2, 0)$ -component of the stress-energy tensor that satisfies conformal Ward identities reflecting conformal symmetry of the theory. At the semi-classical level, as it was first observed by Polyakov, the Ward identity establishes (at the physical level of rigor) a non-trivial relation between the accessory parameters and the critical value of the Liouville action functional (see [13] for details).

In our paper [16], we rigorously proved Polyakov’s conjecture using the Ahlfors-Bers theory of quasiconformal mappings and derived simple explicit formulas connecting the Liouville equation with accessory parameters and the Weil-Petersson metric on Teichmüller space. More specifically, let

$$\mathcal{Z}_n = \{(z_1, \dots, z_{n-3}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-3} \mid z_i \neq 0, 1 \text{ and } z_i \neq z_k \text{ for } i \neq k\}$$

be the configuration space of singular points ( $\mathcal{Z}_n$  is isomorphic to the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$  of  $n$ -pointed rational curves over  $\mathbb{C}$ ). Then there exists a smooth function  $S : \mathcal{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (critical value of the Liouville action functional; cf. Section 3) such that

$$(I) \quad c_i = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\partial S}{\partial z_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-3,$$

and

$$(II) \quad \frac{\partial c_i}{\partial \bar{z}_k} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} \right\rangle_{WP}, \quad i, k = 1, \dots, n-3,$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{WP}$  denotes the Weil-Petersson metric on  $\mathcal{Z}_n \cong \mathcal{M}_{0,n}$ .<sup>1</sup> An immediate corollary of (I) and (II) is that the critical value  $S$  of the Liouville action is a potential for the Weil-Petersson metric.<sup>2</sup>

Although our methods generalize verbatim to hyperbolic 2-spheres with *elliptic* singularities of finite order (in which case there exists a ramified covering  $\mathbb{H} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  branched over singular points  $z_1, \dots, z_n$ ), they no longer work for conical singularities of general type (see Section 2 for precise definitions). However, exact analogs of formulas (I) and (II) hold in this general case as well, provided the orders  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  of singularities  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  satisfy some rather mild natural conditions. Physical consideration based on semi-classical limits of conformal Ward identities also suggests the validity of these formulas in a general situation.

The objective of this paper is to give straightforward proofs of (I)-(II) in the case of hyperbolic 2-spheres with conical singularities of general type. Section 2 contains the definitions and background material about the classical Liouville equation, including detailed asymptotics of its solution. In Section 3 we present the action functional for the Liouville equation, introduced in [14], and prove an analogue of formula (I), Theorem 1.<sup>3</sup> In Section 4 we prove an analogue of formula (II) that relates accessory parameters to certain Kähler metrics on the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$  similar to the Weil-Petersson metric — Theorem 2. It is worth noting that the proofs are considerably simpler than those in [16] and do not use Teichmüller theory.

## 2. BACKGROUND MATERIAL

Consider the Riemann sphere  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  with  $n \geq 3$  distinct marked points  $z_1, \dots, z_n$ . As in the Introduction, we normalize the last three points to be 0, 1 and  $\infty$  respectively; so in the sequel we will always assume that  $z_{n-2} = 0, z_{n-1} = 1, z_n = \infty$ . Let  $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  be a set of real numbers such that  $\alpha_i < 1, i = 1, \dots, n$ , and

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i > 2.$$

According to the classical result of Picard [9], [10] (see also [8] and, for a modern proof, [15])<sup>4</sup> there exists a unique conformal metric of constant curvature  $-1$ , or the *hyperbolic metric*, on  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  with conical singularities of order  $\alpha_i$  at  $z_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ . Precisely, it means that such a metric has the form  $ds^2 = e^\varphi |dz|^2$ , where  $\varphi$  is a smooth function on  $X = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}\}$  satisfying the Liouville equation

$$(2) \quad \varphi_{z\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} e^\varphi$$

and having the following asymptotics near the singular points:

$$(3) \quad \varphi(z) = \begin{cases} -2\alpha_i \log |z - z_i| + O(1) & \text{as } z \rightarrow z_i, i \neq n, \\ -2(2 - \alpha_n) \log |z| + O(1) & \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>In [17] we formulated and proved analogs of (I)-(II) for compact Riemann surfaces of arbitrary genus.

<sup>2</sup>These results were used by the second author in the study of the asymptotic behaviour of accessory parameters for degenerating Riemann surfaces [18].

<sup>3</sup>A recent physicists' paper [4] gives a different, computationally more involved proof of Theorem 1.

<sup>4</sup>It is very instructive to compare the approaches of [9], [10], [8] and [15].

The point  $z_i$  is then called a *conical singularity* of order  $\alpha_i$ , or of angle  $\theta_i = 2\pi(1 - \alpha_i)$  (we have  $\theta_i > 2\pi$  when  $\alpha_i < 0$ ).

*Remark 1.* If  $\alpha_i = 1$ , then  $z_i$  is a parabolic point, or *cusp* (conical singularity of zero angle), and the asymptotics (3) should be replaced by the one mentioned in the Introduction.

The configuration space  $\mathcal{Z}_n$  of singular points is an open subset in  $\mathbb{C}^{n-3}$ :

$$\mathcal{Z}_n = \{(z_1, \dots, z_{n-3}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-3} \mid z_i \neq 0, 1 \text{ and } z_i \neq z_k \text{ for } i \neq k\}$$

and is isomorphic to the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$  of  $n$ -pointed rational curves over  $\mathbb{C}$ . For any fixed set of orders  $\alpha$  the solution  $\varphi$  to the Liouville equation makes sense as a function of  $n - 2$  complex variables  $z, z_1, \dots, z_{n-3}$ , defined on the space

$$\mathcal{Z}_{n+1} = \{(z, z_1, \dots, z_{n-3}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-2} \mid z, z_i \neq 0, 1; z \neq z_i; z_i \neq z_k \text{ for } i \neq k\}.$$

The space  $\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}$  is fibered over  $\mathcal{Z}_n$  by “forgetting” the first coordinate  $z$ : the fiber over a point  $(z_1, \dots, z_{n-3}) \in \mathcal{Z}_n$  is the surface  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{z_1, \dots, z_{n-3}, 0, 1\}$ . It follows from the results of [10], [8], [15] that  $\varphi$  is a real-analytic function on  $\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}$ .

The  $(2, 0)$ -component of the stress-energy tensor in the Liouville theory is given by the expression

$$(4) \quad T_\varphi = \varphi_{zz} - \frac{1}{2} \varphi_z^2.$$

The following result is classical.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\varphi$  be the solution to the Liouville equation with conical singularities (3). Then  $T_\varphi$  is a meromorphic function on  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  with second-order poles at  $z_1, \dots, z_n$ . Explicitly,*

$$(5) \quad T_\varphi(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{h_i}{2(z - z_i)^2} + \frac{c_i}{z - z_i} \right)$$

and

$$(6) \quad T_\varphi(z) = \frac{h_n}{2z^2} + \frac{c_n}{z^3} + O\left(\frac{1}{z^4}\right) \text{ as } z \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $h_i = \alpha_i(2 - \alpha_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .<sup>5</sup>

Complex numbers  $c_i$  are called *accessory parameters*. They are uniquely determined by the singular points  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  and the set of orders  $\alpha$ . Formula (6) imposes three linear equations on the parameters  $c_1, \dots, c_n$ :

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_i = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (h_i + 2c_i z_i) = h_n, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (h_i z_i + c_i z_i^2) = c_n,$$

so that  $c_{n-2}, c_{n-1}$  and  $c_n$  are explicit linear combinations of  $c_1, \dots, c_{n-3}$  with coefficients depending on  $z_i$  and  $\alpha_i$ . Real analyticity of  $\varphi$  implies that the accessory parameters are also real-analytic functions on  $\mathcal{Z}_n$ .

To study the behaviour of  $\varphi$  near the singular points more thoroughly, consider the Fuchsian differential equation

$$(7) \quad \frac{d^2 u}{dz^2} + \frac{1}{2} T_\varphi(z) u = 0,$$

---

<sup>5</sup>The coefficients  $h_i$  are conformal weights in quantum Liouville theory [14].

with regular singular points at  $z_1, \dots, z_n$ . A classical result (see, e.g. [11]), which follows from the fact that  $e^{-\varphi/2}$  is a solution to (7), asserts that the monodromy group  $\Gamma$  of the differential equation (7) is, up to a conjugation in  $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ , a subgroup of  $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  (see, e.g., [6], [3], or [7]).<sup>6</sup> Such a group  $\Gamma$  is discrete in  $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  if and only if  $\alpha_i = 1 - 1/l_i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $l_i$  is a positive integer or  $\infty$ .

In case of general conical singularities the monodromy group  $\Gamma$  is no longer discrete in  $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ . It is generated by local monodromies around regular singular points  $z_i$ , which, in general, are elliptic elements  $\gamma_i$  of infinite order. If we denote the fixed points of  $\gamma_i$  by  $w_i, \bar{w}_i$ , then

$$\frac{\gamma_i(z) - w_i}{\gamma_i(z) - \bar{w}_i} = \lambda_i \frac{z - w_i}{z - \bar{w}_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where  $\lambda_i = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}(1-\alpha_i)}$  is called the multiplier of  $\gamma_i$ .

*Remark 2.* It is an outstanding problem to find a geometric meaning of the monodromy group  $\Gamma$  in the case of general conical singularities, thus providing another interpretation for the accessory parameters. Perhaps this problem should be considered in the context of A. Connes's [5] non-commutative differential geometry, where such group actions naturally appear.

Let  $w = u_1/u_2$  be the ratio of two linearly independent solutions  $u_1, u_2$  of the differential equation (7). It is a multi-valued meromorphic function on  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  with ramification points  $z_1, \dots, z_n$ , and it is single-valued on the universal cover of  $X = \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ . It is a classical result of Schwarz that

$$(8) \quad T_\varphi = \mathcal{S}(w)$$

on  $X$ , where  $\mathcal{S}(w)$  denotes the Schwarzian derivative of  $w$ :

$$\mathcal{S}(w) = \frac{w'''}{w'} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{w''}{w'} \right)^2.$$

Next, normalize  $u_1, u_2$  in such a way that the monodromy group  $\Gamma$  of (7) is a subgroup of  $\text{PSU}(1, 1)$ . The multi-valued function  $w$  admits the following expansion in the neighborhood of each singular point  $z_i$ :

$$(9) \quad \sigma_i(w(z)) = \zeta_i^{1-\alpha_i} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_i^{(k)} \zeta_i^k \quad \text{as } \zeta_i \rightarrow 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Here  $\zeta_i$  is a local uniformizer:  $\zeta_i = z - z_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ , and  $\zeta_n = 1/z$ , and  $\sigma_i \in \text{PSU}(1, 1)$  diagonalizes local monodromy  $\gamma_i$  around  $z_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Moreover, the coefficients  $a_i^{(k)}$  are (locally) real-analytic on  $\mathcal{Z}_n$ , as follows from the analytic dependence on parameters of solutions to ordinary differential equations.

**Lemma 2.** *The solution  $\varphi$  to the Liouville equation (2) with conical singularities (3) is given by the formula*

$$e^\varphi = \frac{4|w'|^2}{(1 - |w|^2)^2},$$

where  $w = u_1/u_2$ , and  $u_1, u_2$  are two linearly independent solutions of the Fuchsian differential equation (7) with monodromy in  $\text{PSU}(1, 1)$ .

<sup>6</sup>Among many available references, [6] is classical, [3] gives a detailed exposition of Fuchsian differential equations, and [7] is a modern introduction to the subject.

*Proof.* Since the monodromy is in  $\text{PSU}(1, 1)$ , the function  $\log\left(\frac{4|w'|^2}{(1-|w|^2)^2}\right)$  is real and single-valued on  $X$ . Moreover, it is easy to check that this function satisfies the Liouville equation, and by (9) it has the same asymptotics (3) as  $\varphi$ . Therefore, it must be equal to  $\varphi$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.* When  $\alpha_i = 1, i = 1, \dots, n$ , it is more convenient to normalize solutions  $u_1, u_2$  so that  $\Gamma \subset \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  (see [16]).

From the equality (8) and expansions (9) we readily get the following formula for the accessory parameters (cf. Lemma 1 in [16]).

**Lemma 3.**

$$c_i = \frac{h_i}{1 - \alpha_i} \cdot \frac{a_i^{(1)}}{a_i^{(0)}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where  $h_i = \alpha_i(2 - \alpha_i)$ .

Finally, we summarize all the necessary facts about the asymptotic behaviour of  $\varphi$  and its derivatives in the next statement (cf. Lemma 2 in [16]).

**Lemma 4.** *The solution  $\varphi$  to the Liouville equation (2) with conical singularities (3) has the following asymptotic expansions near the singular points  $z = z_i$ , uniform in a neighborhood of  $(z_1, \dots, z_{n-3})$  in  $\mathcal{Z}_n$ :*

(i)

$$\varphi_z(z) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\alpha_i}{\zeta_i} + \frac{c_i}{\alpha_i} + \frac{f_i(|\zeta_i|)}{\zeta_i} + o(1) & \text{as } z \rightarrow z_i, i \neq n, \\ -(2 - \alpha_n)\zeta_n - \frac{c_n}{\alpha_n} \cdot \zeta_n^2 + f_n(|\zeta_n|)\zeta_n + o(|\zeta_n|^2) & \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty, \end{cases}$$

where  $\zeta_i = z - z_i$  ( $i \neq n$ ) and  $\zeta_n = 1/z$  are local coordinates near the singular points, and

$$f_i(t) = O\left(t^{2(1-\alpha_i)}\right) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0, i = 1, \dots, n.$$

(ii) For  $i = 1, \dots, n - 3$

$$\varphi_{zz}(z) = \frac{\alpha_i + g_i^{(0)}(\zeta_i) + \zeta_i g_i^{(1)}(\zeta_i)}{\zeta_i^2} + O(1),$$

where

$$g_i^{(0)}(t), g_i^{(1)}(t) = O\left(t^{2(1-\alpha_i)}\right) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

(iii) For  $i = 1, \dots, n - 3$  and  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , there exist constants  $d_{ik}$  such that

$$\varphi_{z_i}(z) = \begin{cases} -\delta_{ik}\varphi_z(z) + d_{ik} + o(1) & \text{as } z \rightarrow z_k, k \neq n, \\ d_{in} + o(1) & \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases}$$

(iv) If  $\alpha_k > 0$  for each  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , then for  $i = 1, \dots, n - 3$ ,

$$-2e^{-\varphi}\varphi_{z_i\bar{z}} = \begin{cases} \delta_{ik} + O\left(|z - z_k|^{\min\{1, 2\alpha_k\}}\right) & \text{as } z \rightarrow z_k, k \neq n, \\ O\left(|z|^{\max\{1, 2(1-\alpha_n)\}}\right) & \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Parts (i)-(iii) follow from (9) and Lemmas 2 and 3; part (iv) follows from (i), (iii), the Liouville equation (2) and asymptotics (3). Uniform estimates for the remainder terms follow from the real analyticity of the coefficients  $a_i^{(k)}$  as functions of  $z_1, \dots, z_{n-3}$ . One can also prove (i)-(iv) directly from the Liouville equation and asymptotics (3) by observing that the solution  $\varphi$  admits the following expansion in a neighborhood of each  $z_i$ :

$$\varphi(z) = -2\alpha_i \log |z - z_i| + \xi^{(0)}(z) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |z - z_i|^{2k(1-\alpha_i)} \xi^{(k)}(z), \quad i = 1, \dots, n - 1,$$

and a similar expansion at  $\infty$ , where  $\xi^{(k)}(z)$  are real-analytic as functions on the fibered space  $\mathcal{Z}_{n+1}$  (real-analytic dependence on  $z_1, \dots, z_{n-3}$  follows from the analysis in [9], [10], [8], [15]). □

### 3. LIOUVILLE ACTION AND ACCESSORY PARAMETERS

For a given set of orders  $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  the action functional for the Liouville equation (2) is defined in [14] by the formula

$$(10) \quad S_\alpha[\psi] = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} S_\alpha^\varepsilon[\psi],$$

where

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} S_\alpha^\varepsilon[\psi] = & \iint_{X^\varepsilon} (|\psi_z|^2 + e^\psi) \left| \frac{dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{2} \right| \\ & + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i \oint_{C_i^\varepsilon} \psi \left( \frac{d\bar{z}}{\bar{z} - \bar{z}_i} - \frac{dz}{z - z_i} \right) \\ & + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} (2 - \alpha_n) \oint_{C_n^\varepsilon} \psi \left( \frac{d\bar{z}}{\bar{z}} - \frac{dz}{z} \right) \\ & - 2\pi \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i^2 \log \varepsilon - 2\pi(2 - \alpha_n)^2 \log \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $X^\varepsilon = \mathbb{C} \setminus \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} \{|z - z_i| < \varepsilon\} \cup \{|z| > 1/\varepsilon\} \right)$ , and the circles  $C_i^\varepsilon = \{|z - z_i| = \varepsilon\}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ , and  $C_n^\varepsilon = \{|z| = 1/\varepsilon\}$  are oriented as the boundary components of  $X^\varepsilon$ .

The functional  $S_\alpha$  is well-defined on the space  $\mathcal{CM}_\alpha$  of all conformal metrics  $e^\psi |dz|^2$  on  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  with conical singularities at  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  of orders  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ , satisfying

$$(12) \quad \psi_z(z) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\alpha_i}{z - z_i} (1 + O(|z - z_i|^{\min\{1, 2(1-\alpha_i)\}})) & \text{as } z \rightarrow z_i, \quad i \neq n, \\ -(2 - \alpha_n) \frac{1}{z} (1 + O(|z|^{-\min\{1, 2(1-\alpha_n)\}})) & \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases}$$

*Remark 4.* The Liouville equation is the Euler-Lagrange equation for the functional  $S_\alpha$ . Indeed, the contour integrals in (11) ensure that for any  $e^\psi |dz|^2 \in \mathcal{CM}_\alpha$  and  $u \in C^\infty(\widehat{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{S[\psi + tu] - S[\psi]}{t} = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} (-2\psi_{z\bar{z}} + e^\psi) u \frac{|dz \wedge d\bar{z}|}{2},$$

where the integral on the right-hand side is convergent. Thus the functional  $S_\alpha$  has a non-degenerate critical point given by the hyperbolic metric.

The Liouville action evaluated on the solution  $\varphi$  to the Liouville equation is a real-valued function  $S_\alpha[\varphi] = S_\alpha(z_1, \dots, z_{n-3})$  on the configuration space  $\mathcal{Z}_n$  depending on  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  as parameters.

**Theorem 1.** *For any fixed set of orders  $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  such that  $\alpha_i < 1$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i > 2$ , the function  $S_\alpha : \mathcal{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable and*

$$(13) \quad c_i = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\partial S_\alpha}{\partial z_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n - 3,$$

where  $c_i$  are the accessory parameters defined by (5).

*Proof.* First we show that

$$(14) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial S_\alpha^\varepsilon}{\partial z_i} = -2\pi c_i$$

pointwise on the configuration space  $\mathcal{Z}_n$ . We have

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial S_\alpha^\varepsilon}{\partial z_i} &= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \left( \iint_{X^\varepsilon} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} (|\varphi_z|^2 + e^\varphi) dz \wedge d\bar{z} + \oint_{C_i^\varepsilon} (|\varphi_z|^2 + e^\varphi) d\bar{z} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \alpha_k \oint_{C_k^\varepsilon} (\varphi_{z_i} + \delta_{ik} \varphi_z) \left( \frac{d\bar{z}}{\bar{z} - \bar{z}_k} - \frac{dz}{z - z_k} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} (2 - \alpha_n) \oint_{C_n^\varepsilon} \varphi_{z_i} \left( \frac{d\bar{z}}{\bar{z}} - \frac{dz}{z} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using part (i) of Lemma 4, we see that

$$\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \oint_{C_i^\varepsilon} |\varphi_z|^2 d\bar{z} \rightarrow \pi c_i \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

From the Liouville equation we get

$$\oint_{C_i^\varepsilon} e^\varphi d\bar{z} = -\frac{1}{2} \oint_{C_i^\varepsilon} \varphi_{zz} dz,$$

which tends to 0 as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  because of part (ii) of Lemma 4. It follows from part (iii) of Lemma 4 that the contour integrals in the second and third lines of (15) tend to

$$-2\pi c_i - 2\pi \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \alpha_k d_{ik} - 2\pi(\alpha_n - 2)d_{in}$$

as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . An obvious identity,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} |\varphi_z|^2 dz \wedge d\bar{z} = d(\varphi_{z_i} \varphi_{\bar{z}} d\bar{z} - \varphi_{z_i} \varphi_z dz) - 2\varphi_{z_i} \varphi_{z\bar{z}} dz \wedge d\bar{z},$$

combined with the Liouville equation yields the following simple formula:

$$(16) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} (|\varphi_z|^2 + e^\varphi) dz \wedge d\bar{z} = d(\varphi_{z_i} \varphi_{\bar{z}} d\bar{z} - \varphi_{z_i} \varphi_z dz).$$



This reduces the area integral in (15) to a sum of contour integrals. These contour integrals are again easy to evaluate using parts (i) and (iii) of Lemma 4, and all together they tend to

$$-\pi c_i + 2\pi \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \alpha_k d_{ik} + 2\pi(\alpha_n - 2)d_{in}$$

as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Adding all the terms on the right-hand side of (15), we get  $-2\pi c_i$  in the limit as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Finally, we observe that the convergence of (14) is uniform on compact subsets of  $\mathcal{Z}_n$  because so are the estimates in Lemma 4.  $\square$

*Remark 5.* The same method works for  $\alpha_i = 1, i = 1, \dots, n$ . In this case, formula (11) for the functional  $S^\varepsilon[\varphi]$  contains an additional regularizing term  $4\pi(n - 2) \log |\log \varepsilon|$ . By part 2) of Lemma 2 in [16], no contour integrals contribute to the classical action  $S[\varphi]$ . This gives a much simpler proof of Theorem 1 in [16] along the lines of this paper without using either the uniformization theorem or the quasiconformal mappings.

#### 4. ACCESSORY PARAMETERS AND KÄHLER METRICS ON $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$

Throughout this section we assume, in addition, that the orders  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  are all positive,<sup>7</sup> i.e.,  $\alpha_i \in (0, 1)$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i > 2$ . To every such set of orders  $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  we associate a Hermitian metric on the configuration space  $\mathcal{Z}_n \cong \mathcal{M}_{0,n}$  as follows.

Consider the kernel

$$(17) \quad R(\zeta, z) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \left( \frac{1}{\zeta - z} + \frac{z - 1}{\zeta} - \frac{z}{\zeta - 1} \right), \quad (\zeta, z) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C},$$

and put

$$(18) \quad Q_i(z) = R(z, z_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n - 3.$$

Clearly, the functions  $Q_i$  are linearly independent. It follows from the positivity of orders  $\alpha_i$  and (3) that the functions  $Q_i$  are square-integrable on  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  with respect to the measure  $e^{-\varphi} \frac{|dz \wedge d\bar{z}|}{2}$ . We define the scalar products of the basis of 1-forms on  $\mathcal{Z}_n$  over the point  $(z_1, \dots, z_{n-3}) \in \mathcal{Z}_n$  by the formula

$$(19) \quad (dz_i, dz_k)_\alpha = \iint_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}} Q_i \bar{Q}_k e^{-\varphi} \frac{|dz \wedge d\bar{z}|}{2}, \quad i, k = 1, \dots, n - 3.$$

The scalar products  $\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} \rangle_\alpha$  are given by the elements of the inverse matrix to  $\{(dz_i, dz_k)_\alpha\}_{i,k=1}^{n-3}$ . Since the matrix  $\{\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} \rangle_\alpha\}_{i,k=1}^{n-3}$  is non-degenerate and depends real analytically on  $z_i$ , it gives rise to a Hermitian metric on  $\mathcal{Z}_n$  which we denote by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\alpha$ . This metric is analogous to the celebrated Weil-Petersson metric on the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$ .<sup>8</sup>

*Remark 6.* In Teichmüller theory, when all  $\alpha_i = 1$ , the holomorphic cotangent space to  $\mathcal{Z}_n$  at the point  $(z_1, \dots, z_{n-3})$  is identified by means of quasiconformal mappings with the space of rational functions on  $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$  with only simple poles at  $z_1, \dots, z_{n-3}, 0, 1, \infty$ , and  $dz_i$  then corresponds to  $Q_i$  (see, e.g., [16] and references therein). Here we use the same identification directly.

<sup>7</sup>This is equivalent to the condition that all conformal weights  $h_i$  are positive.

<sup>8</sup>We get the Weil-Petersson metric if all the orders  $\alpha_i$  are equal to 1.

The kernel  $R$ , roughly speaking, inverts the operator  $\partial/\partial\bar{z}$  on  $\mathbb{C}$ . The precise statement (see, e.g., [1] for details) is essentially a version of the Pompeiu formula.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $g$  be a locally integrable function on  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $g(z) = o(|z|)$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ . Then the equation*

$$f_{\bar{z}} = g$$

has a unique solution on  $\mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $f(0) = f(1) = 0$  and  $f(z) = o(|z|^2)$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ . This solution is explicitly given by the formula

$$(20) \quad f(z) = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} g(\zeta)R(\zeta, z) \frac{|d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta}|}{2}.$$

Let us formulate the main result of this section.

**Theorem 2.** *For any set of orders  $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  such that  $\alpha_i \in (0, 1)$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i > 2$ , we have*

$$(21) \quad \frac{\partial c_i}{\partial \bar{z}_k} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} \right\rangle_{\alpha}, \quad i, k = 1, \dots, n - 3.$$

*Proof.* As we mentioned in Section 2, the accessory parameters  $c_1, \dots, c_{n-3}$  are real-analytic functions on  $\mathcal{Z}_n$ . Now consider the functions

$$F^i = -2e^{-\varphi} \varphi_{z_i \bar{z}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n - 3.$$

According to part (iv) of Lemma 4 we have

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} F^i(z_k) &= \delta_{ik}, & k = 1, \dots, n - 1, \\ F^i(z) &= O(|z|^{\max\{1, 2(1-\alpha_n)\}}), & z \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, as follows from (4) and (5),

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\bar{z}}^i &= 2e^{-\varphi} \varphi_{\bar{z}} \varphi_{z_i \bar{z}} - 2e^{-\varphi} \varphi_{z_i \bar{z} \bar{z}} = -2e^{-\varphi} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \left( \varphi_{\bar{z} \bar{z}} - \frac{1}{2} \varphi_{\bar{z}}^2 \right) \\ &= -2e^{-\varphi} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\bar{z} - \bar{z}_k} \cdot \frac{\partial \bar{c}_k}{\partial z_i} = 2\pi e^{-\varphi} \sum_{k=1}^{n-3} \frac{\partial \bar{c}_k}{\partial z_i} \bar{Q}_k. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5 applied to  $g = F_{\bar{z}}^i$  yields

$$F^i(z) = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} F_{\bar{\zeta}}^i(\zeta)R(\zeta, z) \frac{|d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta}|}{2}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n - 3.$$

Putting  $z = z_j$  and using (22) we get that

$$\delta_{ij} = 2\pi \sum_{k=1}^{n-3} \frac{\partial \bar{c}_k}{\partial z_i} (dz_j, dz_k)_{\alpha}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n - 3,$$

which proves the theorem. □

*Remark 7.* The same arguments prove Theorem 2 in [16], making the uniformization theorem and quasiconformal mappings redundant also in the case when all  $\alpha_i = 1$ .

**Corollary 1.** *For any set  $\alpha$  as in Theorem 2,*

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} \right\rangle_{\alpha} = -\frac{\partial^2 S_{\alpha}}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_k}, \quad i, k = 1, \dots, n - 3.$$

That is, the metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\alpha}$  is Kähler and the function  $-S_{\alpha}$  is its real-analytic Kähler potential on  $\mathcal{Z}_n$ .

*Proof.* Immediately follows from Theorems 1 and 2. □

## REFERENCES

- [1] L. V. Ahlfors, *Lectures on quasiconformal mappings*, Van Nostrand, Toronto, New York, London, 1966. MR **34**:336
- [2] A. A. Belavin, A. M. Polyakov and A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Infinite conformal symmetry in two-dimensional quantum field theory*. Nuclear Phys. **B241** (1984), 333-380. MR **86m**:81097
- [3] G. Birkhoff and G.-C. Rota, *Ordinary Differential Equations*, 4th. ed., Wiley, New York, 1989. MR **90h**:34001
- [4] L. Cantini, P. Menotti and D. Seminara, *Proof of Polyakov conjecture for general elliptic singularities*, Phys. Lett. **B517** (2001), 203-209. MR **2002j**:83043
- [5] A. Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry*, Academic Press, New York, 1994. MR **95j**:46063
- [6] L. R. Ford, *Automorphic Functions*, 3rd ed., Chelsea, New York, 1972.
- [7] M. Kuga, *Galois' Dream: Group Theory and Differential Equations*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993. MR **93k**:34012
- [8] L. Lichtenstein, *Integration der differentialgleichung  $\Delta_2 u = ke^u$  auf geschlossenen flächen*, Acta Math. **40** (1915), 1-33.
- [9] E. Picard, *De l'équation  $\Delta u = ke^u$  sur une surface de Riemann fermée*, J. Math. Pure Appl. (4) **9** (1893), 273-291.
- [10] E. Picard, *De l'intégration de l'équation  $\Delta u = e^u$  sur une surface de Riemann fermée*, Crelle's J. **130** (1905), 243-258.
- [11] H. Poincaré, *Les fonctions fuchsiennes et l'équation  $\Delta u = e^u$* , J. Math. Pure Appl. (5) **4** (1898), 137-230.
- [12] A. M. Polyakov, *Quantum geometry of bosonic strings*. Phys. Lett. **103B** (1981), 207-210. MR **84h**:81093a
- [13] L. A. Takhtajan, *Topics in quantum geometry of Riemann surfaces: two-dimensional quantum gravity*, in: Proceedings of the Intl. School of Physics "Enrico Fermi" Course CXXXVII, L. Castellani and J. Wess. (eds.), IOS Press, Amsterdam, 541-579, 1996. MR **98e**:32036
- [14] L. A. Takhtajan, *Equivalence of geometric  $h < 1/2$  and standard  $c > 25$  approaches to two-dimensional quantum gravity*, Modern Phys. Lett. **A11** (1996), 93-101. MR **96m**:81211
- [15] M. Troyanov, *Prescribing curvature on compact surfaces with conical singularities*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **134** (1991), 793-821. MR **91h**:53059
- [16] P. G. Zograf and L. A. Takhtajan, *On the Liouville equation, accessory parameters and the geometry of the Teichmüller space for the Riemann surfaces of genus 0*. Mat. Sb. **132** (1987), 147-166 (Russian); English transl. in: Math. USSR Sb. **60** (1988), 143-161. MR **88k**:32059
- [17] P. G. Zograf and L. A. Takhtajan, *On uniformization of Riemann surfaces and the Weil-Petersson metric on the Teichmüller and Schottky spaces*. Mat. Sb. **132** (1987), 303-320 (Russian); English transl. in: Math. USSR Sb. **60** (1988), 297-313. MR **88i**:32031
- [18] P. G. Zograf, *The Liouville action on moduli spaces and uniformization of degenerate Riemann surfaces*. Algebra i Analiz **1** (1989), 136-160 (Russian); English transl. in: Leningrad Math. J. **1** (1990), 941-965. MR **91c**:32015

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SUNY AT STONY BROOK, STONY BROOK, NEW YORK 11794-3651

*E-mail address:* leontak@math.sunysb.edu

STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, ST. PETERSBURG, 191011 RUSSIA

*E-mail address:* zograf@pdmi.ras.ru